

**M.A. No. 400/2021 in O.A. No. 701/2021  
(Vijay E. Sonune Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri N.D. Sonavane, learned Advocate for the applicant in the present M.A. / intervenor and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents. Shri Dinesh Kakde / R.V. Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A., **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in O.A.

3. As none present for the learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A., S.O. to 29.03.2022.

4. Interim relief granted earlier in O.A. No. 701/2021 to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 158 OF 2018**  
**(Dr. Yogesh U. Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.03.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 844 OF 2019  
(Raviraj R. Darak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.
3. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 11.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 889 OF 2018**  
(Sayyed Matinoddin Aminoddin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in the O.A. the applicant's date of retirement is wrongly mentioned as '31.05.2017' instead of '31.05.2016'. He therefore, seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the said date of retirement in the O.A.

3. Liberty as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary correction in the O.A. forthwith and to supply the amended copy of the O.A. to the other side.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record a copy of retirement order dated 31.05.2016. Same is taken on record at page No. 40-A of the paper book. He further placed on record a copy of suspension order dated 31.10.2011. It is taken on record at page No. 40-B of the paper book.

5. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

6. S.O. to 07.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 398 OF 2018**  
(Dnyaneshwar K. Sanap & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. During the course of arguments, it transpires that there are some shortcomings with the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondents.

3. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents submits that she would file short affidavit to clarify the facts in issue of the case and therefore, she seeks time. Time granted.

4. Shri Pritam Shewale, Sub-Divisional Engineer, Sagamner Irrigation, Sub-Division Sangamner, is present with original record.

5. S.O. to 22.03.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 528 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Kishor H. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 21.03.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 365 OF 2019**  
**(Nilesh W. Mahajan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. By consent of both the parties, S.O. to 22.03.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 918/2010  
(Gayabai G. Pokale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.S. Bhosale, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri N.E. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 3 to 6, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.3.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 736/2012  
(Devidas R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sudhir Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.3.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 303/2014  
(Prakash N. Shrivastav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 31.3.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612/2014  
(Vidya T. Sakhare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.L. Kute, learned counsel for the applicants  
(**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for  
the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Counsel for the  
applicants, S.O. to 31.3.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517/2015  
(Pathan W. Yunuskhan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R. Madhekar, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 31.3.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 641/2015  
(Bhagatsingh P. Patil (Pawar) Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and  
Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 31.3.2022  
for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758/2015**

**(Dr. Tanuja S. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 31.3.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 42/2016  
(Jailal G. Kasliwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. NO. 90/2016 WITH O.A. NO. 226/2015  
(Shankar J. Mane & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicants in both the matters, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 in both the matters, Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 4 in O.A. No. 90/2016 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 4 in O.A. No. 226/2015, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.3.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 292/2018**  
**(Bhavana H. Thakre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 2.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. NOS. 212, 213, 214, 355 AND 439 ALL OF 2019  
(Sunder S. Waghmare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicants in all these matters, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 3 to 5 in O..A. No. 355/2019 and respondent nos. 4 to 7 in O.A. No. 439/2019 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Counsel for the respondent no. 2 in O.A. 439/2019, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.4.2022 for final hearing. The present matters be placed along with M.A. 17/2020 in O.A. 1072/2019 on the next date.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 248/2019**

**(Vikram B. Mate & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 4, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 6.4.2022 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**M.A. 90/2022 IN O.A. ST. 342/2022  
(Salim Mohd. Hanif Shaikh & Ors. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 342/2022  
(Salim Mohd. Hanif Shaikh & Ors. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. After having heard the submissions of the learned Counsel for the applicants in support of the interim prayer, which is sought in the application, the learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought a week's time to seek instructions from the respondents and make his submissions insofar as interim relief is concerned.

3. S.O. to 8.3.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 110/2019  
(Ashok M. Randhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.3.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. NOS. 716, 634, 635 AND 636 ALL OF 2018  
(Atual N. Shirke & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 23.3.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**C.P. 16/2021 IN O.A. 211/2018  
(Dilip K. Mankeshwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for respondent no. 2, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 8.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 614/2018**  
**(Dr. Minakshi B. Pathak Vs. State of Maharashtra &**  
**Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Rahul Pawar, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 4 & 5, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 18.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 182/2019**

**(Dr. Devidas L. Lavhate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1065/2019  
(Dr. Jahagirdar D. Nizam Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102/2020  
(Swati G. Jagdhane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.M. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 140/2020  
(Shrikant K. Bhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 431/2020  
(Purushottam G. Khule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri S.P. Salgar, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 3 to 5 and Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for respondent no. 6, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501/2020**

**(Dr. Prashant B. Shamkumar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 271/2021  
(Shivaji S Kawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 & 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Counsel for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 19.4.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 408/2021  
(Umila M. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 14.3.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**O.A. NOS. 793 AND 794 ALL OF 2021  
(Amit R. Gaikwad & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in both the matters and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters, are present. Dr. (Smt.) Sunita Golahit, Deputy Director (Nursing), M.S., Mumbai is also present before the Tribunal.

2. The learned Presenting Officer submitted two communications dated 11.2.2022 & 28.2.2022, which are taken on record. However, applicants have categorically rejected the offer of the respondents to reappoint applicants in their original posts in Zilla Parishad so that their resignation is nullified and damage caused to their interest is made good.

3. Arguments of both the sides are heard. Reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. 34/2019 IN O.A. 925/2017  
(Mahadeo B. Khandare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A.R. Lukhe, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4, are present. Shri Ashish Shinde, learned Counsel for respondent no. 3 (**absent**).

2. Today when the present Contempt Petition is taken up for consideration the learned Presenting Officer has placed on record the Government decision dated 28.2.2022. We have perused the said decision. The Government has resolved to pay the retiral benefits payable to the applicant, subject to final outcome of the appeal pending in the Hon'ble High Court.

3. In view of the decision so taken by the Government, the present Contempt Petition does not survive and hence stands disposed of with no order as to costs. We hope and trust that within the reasonable period the monetary benefits as mentioned in the Government decision will be remitted in favour of the applicant.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393/2020  
(Sanjay B. Barde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 82/2022 IN O.A. 419/2021  
(Deepak P. Dungahu Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Amol B. Chalak, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 31.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**C.P. 19/2019 IN O.A. 226/2016  
(Shivam N. Dhapate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.M. Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 10.3.2022 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 554 OF 2020  
(Dr. Rajendra D. Pendharkar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Smt. Vaishali Chaudhary, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present O.A. is filed praying for the relief of extension of the retirement age up to 60 years, on the basis of the Government Resolution, which was at the relevant time holding the field. He pointed out that the said Government Resolution has now been set aside by the Hon'ble High Court.

3. In view of the facts as above, the O.A. stands dismissed without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2020  
(Dr. Mangal S. Dadar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Smt. Vaishali Chaudhary, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the present O.A. is filed praying for the relief of extension of the retirement age up to 60 years, on the basis of the Government Resolution, which was at the relevant time holding the field. He pointed out that the said Government Resolution has now been set aside by the Hon'ble High Court.

3. In view of the facts as above, the O.A. stands dismissed without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1007 OF 2019  
(Mustafa Dastagir Khonde Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.R. Doke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022-HDD

**C.P.NO. 22/2021 IN O.A.NO. 947/2018**  
**(Bhagwat T. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**C.P.NO. 23/2021 IN O.A.NO. 432/2018**  
**(Ajabrao R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**C.P.NO. 24/2021 IN O.A.NO. 169/2018**  
**(Shankar Daga Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned counsel for the applicants in all these cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the applicants seeks leave of this Tribunal to delete the word "Daga" in the name of the applicant in C.P. No. 22/2021 stating that the said word has been inadvertently mentioned. Permission granted as prayed for by the learned counsel appearing for the applicants. The necessary correction be carried out in C.P. No. 22/2021 forthwith.

3. S.O. to 8.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 162 OF 2022  
(Gopal Prabhakar Patil Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.S. Sonawane, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 13.4.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 13.4.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 178 OF 2022  
(Shrikrishna N. Nakate Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 13.4.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 13.4.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 92/22 IN M.A. 65/22 IN O.A.ST. 1296/21  
(Raju Uttam Hanuvate & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.P. Uttarwar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The M.A. No. 92/2022 stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A.ST.NO. 1296/2021 WITH M.A. NO. 65/2022  
(Raju Uttam Hanuvate & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.P. Uttarwar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the learned counsel appearing for the applicants that in view of the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent No. 2 in O.A. No. 449/2015, a copy of which is at Annexure 'A-8' in the present compilation, the respondents must have held the applicants to have been appointed on the date on which the candidates were appointed in pursuance of the advertisement published in the year 2009. Learned counsel further submits that the process is now undertaken for promotion to the post of Peon from amongst the Kotwals and since the appointment of the present applicants is shown from the year 2016 and no deeming effect has been given, they are likely to lose the opportunity of getting the said promotion. Learned counsel in the circumstances has prayed for staying the process of the said promotion till the decision of the present O.A.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has opposed for granting any such relief.

4. After having perused the documents on record though there appears some substance in the contentions raised by the applicants in the O.A., we are not inclined to stay the process of promotion. However, it is observed that the process being carried out and the promotions given as a result of the said process shall be subject to the outcome of the present O.A.

5. Issue notice to the respondents in delay condonation application, as well as, in O.A., returnable on 8.4.2022.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along

**:: - 3 - :: O.A.ST.NO. 1296/2021 WITH M.A.  
NO. 65/2022**

with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date.  
Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 8.4.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022-HDD



**M.A.NO. 87/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 96/2022  
(Panjabrao D. Bhosle & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.ST.NO. 372/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 96/2022  
(Panjabrao D. Bhosle & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in delay condonation application, returnable on 18.4.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A.NOS. 179, 180, 279, 280 & 346 ALL OF 2019  
(Dr. Suryakant D. Sonkhedkar & Ors. Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in all these cases, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri C.D. Biradar, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4 in O.A. No. 279/2019, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicants has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 685 OF 2019  
(Shivaji R. Thakare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 11.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 496 OF 2020  
(Mohd. Akif Abrar Mohd. Abdul Rauf Vs. State of Maha. &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 546 OF 2020  
(Vinayak P. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 31 OF 2021  
(Sattar Khan Jamal Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Asif Ali, learned counsel holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri Madhav P. Gude, learned counsel for respondent No. 2, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O to 12.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 221 OF 2021  
(Subhash S. Jawadekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned counsel holding for Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 13.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 228 OF 2021  
(Mukesh K. Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 13.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334 OF 2021  
(Madhuri B. Panzade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Jagdish K. Bansod, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, are present. Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 (**absent**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record. She undertook to serve the copy of the same on the other side.

4. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 424 OF 2021  
(Dr. Vaibhav G. Wakade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, are present. Shri V.D. Patnoorkar, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 (**absent**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 641 OF 2021  
(Nilesh V. Salunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Gajanan Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 12 OF 2022  
(Dr. Ganesh G. Kalyankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 18.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 59 OF 2022  
(Ramesh D. Narwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Asish B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
4. S.O. 19.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 57 OF 2022  
(Abdul Rajjak Abdul Rahim Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

**DATE :** 1.3.2022

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Asish B. Rajkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

4. S.O. 19.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. 162/17 WITH M.A. 139/17 IN O.A. 136/17  
(Madhuri B. Banait Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajabn, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. 29.3.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022-HDD



**M.A.NO. 35/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 97/2018**  
**(Nilesh R. Tagad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.G. Tambade, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 has filed leave note.

2. S.O. 29.3.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 412/2019 IN O.A.NO. 705/2019  
(Suresh S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)  
AND  
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.M. Hajare, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 7.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 139/2020 IN O.A.NO. 866/2019**  
**(Santoshsing K. Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kalyan V. Patil, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 7.4.2022.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022-HDD

**M.A.NO. 17/2020 IN O.A.NO. 1072/2019**  
**(Ajit S. Solanke & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM :** **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**  
**AND**  
**Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

**DATE :** **1.3.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicants, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned counsel for the applicant in O.A. and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in O.A., are present.

2. The present M.A. shall be heard along with O.A.

3. S.O. to 5.4.2022. The present case be placed along with O.A. Nos. 212, 213, 214, 355 & 439 all of 2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 1.3.2022-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 538 OF 2019**  
**(Jawahar R. Bhoi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 07.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 67 OF 2020  
(Bansi G. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.03.2022 for filing service affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 448 OF 2020**  
**(Sopan P. Telpe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant placed on record the instruction pursis given to him by the applicant seeking withdrawal of the Original Application and therefore, he seeks permission to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. I have no reason to refuse the permission to withdraw the Original Application.

4. In view of above, the present Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 453 OF 2020  
(Bhatu R. Mahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 07.04.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder/for passing order.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 462 OF 2020**  
**(Arvind B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Prasad D. Jarare, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1, 3 & 4 and Ms. V.H. Sangole, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Ashwini S. Hoge, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 3.

3. S.O. to 08.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 584 OF 2020**  
**(Sumanbai W/o Gopalrao Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra**  
**& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.L. Dharashive, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 3 to 5.

3. S.O. to 08.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 157 OF 2021**  
**(Ganesh S. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Ware, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 and 4.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 and 4 separately.

3. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2 is taken on record.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant received the copy of said reply and seeks time for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any. Time is granted.

5. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 238 OF 2021**  
**(Pandurang H. Bhalerao & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicants received the copy of said reply.
4. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.
5. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 239 OF 2021**  
**(Khanderao M. Ajegaonkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. At the request made on behalf of the respondents, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 240 OF 2021**  
**(Ranjeetsing B. Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3.
3. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.
4. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 241 OF 2021**  
**(Prakash S. Shegokar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant received the copy of said reply.
4. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.
5. S.O. too 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 242 OF 2021  
(Shaikh Mohamad Farukh Mohamad Mastan & Ors. Vs. State  
of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Short affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicants received the copy of said reply.
4. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 243 OF 2021**  
**(Pandurang R. Bellale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3 is **absent**.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

3. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.

4. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 244 OF 2021  
(Venkat R. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3 is **absent**.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

3. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.

4. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 245 OF 2021**  
**(Sunil R. Dharmadhikari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikram Surse, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant received the copy of said reply.
4. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.4.
5. S.O. to 11.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 362 OF 2021  
(Usha A. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.
3. S.O. to 14.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425 OF 2021**  
**(Kirtimala M. Sonwale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he would file service affidavit in respect of respondent Nos.3 and 4.

3. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.2 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply of other respondents.

5. S.O. to 21.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 489 OF 2021**  
**(Sanjay N. Hange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.5. None present on behalf of the respondent No.6, though duly served.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 5.

3. As none present on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 22.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any/for passing necessary order.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 621 OF 2021**  
**(Shivaji S. Kawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 15.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 720 OF 2021**  
**(Ramchandra G. Sawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 15.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2022



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 112 OF 2022  
(Bharat D. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 14.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 588 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2292 OF 2019  
(Vyankat L. Nilawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received the copy of said reply.
4. S.O. to 21.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.286 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1059 OF 2020  
(Prabhakar M. Kawathekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.287 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1061 OF 2020  
(Bhalchandra P. Dharurkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent No.2 in M.A.

3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.288 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1063 OF 2020  
(Pratap S. Sontakke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 28.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2022

**M.A.NO.289 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1065 OF 2020  
(Pandharenath B. DhorgeVs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents in M.A.

3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.290 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1067 OF 2020  
(Vilas V. Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2 in M.A.

3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.291 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1070 OF 2020  
(Jilani A. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2.

2. Learned P.O. submits that the affidavit-in-reply of respondent No.1 is not necessary.
3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2022



**M.A.NO.292 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1072 OF 2020  
(Shaikh Rahim Shaikh Chand Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2 in M.A.
3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.312 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1351 OF 2020  
(Ajgar Ali Mohiddin Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 2 in M.A.

3. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2022

**M.A.NO.306 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.1336 OF 2020  
(Sanjeev R. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 22.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2022

**M.A.NO.174 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.722 OF 2021  
(Avinash V. Solanke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 and 3 is taken on record.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he has received the copy of said reply.
4. S.O. to 22.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.78 OF 2022  
(Ashru M. Kaldate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.M. Humbe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.84 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.921 OF 2018**  
**(Shriram B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO.85 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.922 OF 2018**  
**(Hansraj M. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO.86 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.923 OF 2018**  
**(Shvaji M. Shelke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO.87 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.924 OF 2018**  
**(Dhananjay P. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters is **absent**. Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 in all these matters.

3. Today, learned P.O. deposited extra copy of the affidavit-in-reply already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 in all these matters for the applicants. The same are taken on record.

4. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 22.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.209 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.580 OF 2017  
(Subhmangal S. Bhakt Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note**. Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.297 OF 2019**  
**(Balaji M. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Deepak S. Manorkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicants, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining to Time Bound Promotion.

4. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

5. S.O. to 29.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.198 OF 2019**  
**(Achyut R. Kulkarni & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.C. Sonone, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 3 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos.2,4 & 5.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining to Time Bound Promotion.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 01.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.103 OF 2019**  
**(Balkrishna R. Chhallare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 06.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.853 OF 2018**  
**(Pramod Chaagan Bute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 06.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.773 OF 2018**  
**(Shivaji B. Satote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.C. Sonone, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 3 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent No.2.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining to Time Bound Promotion.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 01.04.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.87 OF 2019  
(Yogiraj V. Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 and 3 is **absent**.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 08.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO.340 OF 2021 WITH M.A.NO.341 OF 2021 IN  
O.A.NO.77 OF 2020**

**(Mahesh S. Khedkar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 01.03.2022**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.T. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. Misc. Application No.340/2021 is taken out for seeking permission to sue jointly and Misc. Application No.341/2021 is taken out for seeking amendment in the Original Application and also adding applicant No.2 in the Original Application.

3. In the amendment application, the applicant is seeking to challenge the order/communication dated 10.05.2019 addressed to the mother of the applicant issued by the respondent No.3 denying substitution.

4. The Original Application was filed on or about 04.02.2020. In prayer clause 'B' there is reference of the said communication dated 10.05.2019. However, the said communication was not challenged at that point of time.

//2//

5. The Misc. Application No.341 of 2021 is filed on 18.10.2021. It is apparent that challenge to the said communication dated 10.05.2019 at that time would be time barred.

6. In view of above, the applicant to take necessary steps.

7. S.O. to 21.03.2022.

**MEMBER (J)**

**Date : 01.03.2022**

**M.A.NO.94/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.249/2022**

**(Deepak Shivaji Sherkhane V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri G.J.Kore Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan Id. CPO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 21.03.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **21.03.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**



**Date : 01.03.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.211/2022**

**(Smita Rajkumar Achme V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri Shamsundar B. Patil Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil Id. PO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.03.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **24.03.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**

**Date : 01.03.2022**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.776/2021**

**(Lingoji Kashiram Pokale V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri S.D.Joshi Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse Id. PO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.03.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **24.03.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**REGISTRAR**