

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 280 OF 2021
(Laxman H. Garud & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, present.

2. The present case is not on board. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant it is taken on record.

3. It is brought to my notice that while transcribing the order, in the title clause name of the applicant in O.A. No. 280/2021 has been wrongly mentioned as "Laxman M. Bhise", it ought to be "Laxman H. Garud". The necessary correction be carried out in the title clause and the corrected copy be issued. The respondents may also obtain the corrected copy of the order passed in the application.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 281 OF 2021
(Kusum M. Bhise & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, present.

2. The present case is not on board. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant it is taken on record.

3. It is brought to my notice that while transcribing the order, in the title clause name of the applicant in O.A. No. 281/2021 has been wrongly mentioned as "Kusum M. Bjhise", it ought to be "Kusum M. Bhise". The necessary correction be carried out in the title clause and the corrected copy be issued. The respondents may also obtain the corrected copy of the order passed in the application.

MEMBER (J)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

OFFICE NOTES

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

- (1) ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 280/2021
(Laxman M. Bhise & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)
H. Garud
- (2) ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 281/2021
(Kusum M. Bhise & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)
Bhise

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 30.11.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Original Application No. 280/2021

Heard Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5. None appears for respondent no. 4.

Original Application No. 281/2021

Heard Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3, Shri V.A. Bagadiya, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 and Shri A.G. Vasmatkar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5.

2. Since in both these Original Applications the issue involved is one and the same, we deem it proper to decide both these matters by this common order.

Connected
to case order
dt. 13.12.21.
[Handwritten]
Registrar
14/12/21

3. It is the case of the applicants in both the matters that, though they are senior to respondent nos. 4 & 5, in so far as date of appointment is concerned, in the final seniority list published on 10.7.2020 they are shown below the said respondents on the ground that they have not passed the Sub-Service Departmental Examination as per the provisions of rule 4(a) of the Maharashtra Sub-Service Departmental Examination Rules, 1988 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Rules of 1988') within 3 attempts in 4 years from the date of their recruitment. It is the contention of the applicants that the rules of 1988 have been wrongly interpreted by the respondents, which has resulted in placing the present applicants in the seniority list below the respondent nos. 4 & 5. It is further contention of the applicants that, so far as the aforesaid Rules are concerned, the Clerk appointed in Revenue Department has to pass the Departmental Examination within 04 years from the date of appointment and within 03 chances. According to the applicants, they have passed the Departmental examination within the aforesaid limit.

::-3-:: O.A. NOS. 280 & 281 BOTH OF 2021

4. As against this, it is the contention of the respondent nos. 4 & 5 as well as the respondent authorities that in the first O.A. i.e. O.A. NO. 280/2021, the applicants did not appear for the Departmental Examination, which was held during the first year of their joining and, as such, it can be said that the first chance was not availed by them and considering the same it is their further contention that the applicants cannot be said to have complied with the Rules of 1988 cited supra. In second matter i.e. in O.A. No. 281/2021 it is their contention that 04 Departmental Examinations were held in the 04 years, one was missed by the applicants therein and as such it has been held that the applicants in the said second O.A. i.e. O.A. no. 281/2021 did not qualify the criteria as prescribed in the Rules of 1988. It is the submission on behalf of the respondents that nothing wrong has been committed and no case is made out by the applicants for accepting the prayers made by them in their respective O.As.

5. We have carefully considered the submissions advanced by both the sides in both the cases. We have also gone through the rules referred to and the G.Rs. and Circulars relied upon by the parties.

::-4-:: **O.A. NOS. 280 & 281 BOTH OF 2021**

6. Rule 4 of Maharashtra Sub-Service Departmental Examination Rules, 1988 reads as under :-

“4. Period and number of chances –

(a) A clerk recruited in the Revenue Department shall be required to pass the Sub-Service Departmental Examination within four years of his date of recruitment and within three chances.”

7. On plain reading of aforesaid rule, it appears that, the person entering in Revenue Services on recruitment as Clerk is required to pass the Departmental Examination within 04 years and within 03 chances. It is nowhere mentioned therein or there is no clarification that the Clerk concerned is required to pass the Departmental Examination in first 03 consecutive chances. In the circumstances, the contention of the respondents that the first attempt, which was available to the applicants in O.A. No. 280/2021 and which was not availed by them was their first chance, cannot be accepted. For similar reasons, the contention of the respondents in another O.A. bearing no. 281/2021 for not availing three

::-5-::

O.A. NOS. 280 & 281 BOTH OF 2021

consecutive chances during the period of four years of recruitment does not find any legal basis.

8. Reliance is sought to be placed on the recent G.R. issued by the General Administration Department No. विपधो २६२०/प्र.क्र.१६/का.१७, मंत्रालय, मुंबई, dated 31-3-2021 and Circular of General Administration Department bearing No. संकीर्ण २३१७/प्र.क्र.३३/का-१७, मंत्रालय, मुंबई, dated 17.11.2017. We have gone through the aforesaid G.R. and Circular. So far G.R. dated 31.3.2021 is concerned without going into the correctness of the criteria laid down therein it has to be held that same cannot be attracted in the present matter since its operation is prospective. We need not to state that the G.R. or the Circular cannot supersede or override the rules framed in that regard. As noted earlier, plain reading of rules does not provide for passing the Departmental Examination within first 03 consecutive chances or within 04 years. Even the justification given by the General Administration Department for inferring limitation of three consecutive chances for passing Sub-Service Departmental Examination by way of an illustration

::-6-:: **O.A. NOS. 280 & 281 BOTH OF 2021**

given in para 2 of the said Circular suffers from wrong interpretation of the term, 'year', which is to be counted from the date of recruitment. Thus, it can safely be inferred that the applicants in the present O.As. have fulfilled the criteria as laid down in the Rules by passing the Departmental Examination within the period and chances prescribed.

9. In the circumstances, as further stated in the said Rules, the seniority of the applicants in both the cases has to be counted from the date of their entry in service. Since the date of joining of the present applicants in both the O.As. is prior to the date of joining of the respondent nos. 4 & 5 in both the O.As., the seniority list prepared by showing these respondent nos. 4 & 5 senior to the applicants in both the O.As. needs to be corrected and rectified. For the reasons mentioned above, O.A. Nos. 280/2021 & 281/2021 deserve to be allowed. Hence, we proceed to pass the following order :-

ORDER

- (i) The final seniority list published on 10.7.2020 is quashed and set aside.

::-7-::

O.A. NOS. 280 & 281 BOTH OF 2021

- (ii) The seniority of the Clerks passing the Sub-Service Departmental Examination within 4 years of the date of their appointment and within 3 chances shall be maintained and / or reckoned from the date of their original appointment. It is clarified that it may not be necessary that new appointee Clerk shall pass the Sub-Service Departmental Examination within first 3 consecutive chances. It will be sufficient that, within 4 years and by availing 3 chances he passes the said examination.
- (iii) Applying the said criteria the applicants in both the O.As. be placed in the seniority list at the appropriate place taking into account their date of joining.
- (iv) Accordingly, O.A. Nos. 280/2021 and 281/2021 stand disposed of.
- (v) There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 70 OF 2020
(Shaikh Hameed Shaikh Dadamiyan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.01.20 22 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 564 OF 2019
(Vijay M. Lad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. Record shows that except the respondent No. 4 other respondents have filed affidavit in reply.

3. Pleadings up to sur-rejoinder are complete. The present matter is pertaining to counting of past service for pensionary benefits. The O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 19.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

O.A. Nos. 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 837, 838 & 839 all of 2019

(Yogesh B. Sonawane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.G. Pahilwan, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, S.K. Shirse, V.R. Bhumkar, N.U. Yadav, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate & Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective O.As.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officers for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply in all these O.As.

3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2019
(Dr. Vijaykumar R. Sul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.R. Doke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is already filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4.
3. S.O. to 25.01.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1099 OF 2019
(Ramraje G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer filed sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent No. 2 to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant.

3. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to difference of pay from deemed date of promotion. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 20.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2020
(Shankar N. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.
3. S.O. to 27.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 497 OF 2020
(Bhujang V. Godbole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
3. S.O. to 20.01.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 290 OF 2021
(Sayyad Yusuf Syiad Lal and Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete up to affidavit in reply. The matter is pertaining to arrears of wages. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 24.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501 OF 2021
(Anita D. Damodar and Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that pleadings are complete up to affidavit in reply. The matter is pertaining to arrears of wages. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 24.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 46 OF 2020
(Balaji M. Nagdarwad and Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.01.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 443 OF 2021
(Suresh L. Gaikwad and Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.01.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 301 OF 2021
(D.P. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.J. Pahilwan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 24.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 321 OF 2021
(Dr. Pramod U. Wawdhane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.V. Kurundkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri Ashish B. Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has not even received provisional pension.

4. Learned Presenting Officer to seek instructions from the concerned respondent/s about provisional pension.

5. S.O. to 19.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393 OF 2021
(Anjanabai M. Ingale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants do not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to appointment on compassionate ground. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be fixed for final hearing on 19.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 432 OF 2021
(Mayadevi S. Khadiwale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1. Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2, **absent**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the respondent No. 2 i.e. the District Animal Husbandry Officer, Latur belongs to Zilla Parishad, Latur. Therefore, he seeks correction in the title clause of the respondent No. 2.

3. Permission as sought by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary correction in the O.A. on or before the next date of hearing.

4. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

5. S.O. to 21.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 548 OF 2021
(Rajendra M. Gunjal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.T. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. None present on behalf of respondent No. 4, though duly served.

2. Record shows that on 24.11.2021 last chance was granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply.

3. The present Original Application is filed by the applicant seeking direction against the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Dean, Swami Ramanand Teerth Rural Government Medical College, Ambajogai to effect and implement the order dated 30.08.2021 (Annexure A-9) passed by the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Director of Medical Education and Research, Mumbai, whereby the transfer order of the applicant dated 09.08.2021 (Annexure A-5) issued by the respondent No. 2 was cancelled. The applicant was not allowed to join his earlier post as per this order dated 30.08.2021 (Annexure A-6). The applicant has been relieved on

//2//

O.A. No. 548/2021

11.08.2021 as per the relieving order dated 11.08.2021 (Annexure A-6) and since then the applicant is without posting.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that recently the respondent No. 2 has cancelled his order dated 30.08.2021 (Annexure A-9) on 08.12.2021 and thereby his transfer order dated 09.08.2021 (Annexure A-5) is revived. In view of the same, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the O.A. to bring on record the subsequent development, which is pertaining to original relief sought for by the applicant.

5. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. within a period of one week and to serve amended copy of the O.A. to the learned Presenting Officer.

6. S.O. to 19.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 689 OF 2021
(Ajay R. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.
3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 456/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1749/2019
(Sandip V. Ganage Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Govind B. Chate, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Andhale, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the respondent No. 1 adopts the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent Nos. 2 to 4.

3. S.O. to 21.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 18/2021 in O.A. St. No. 64/2021
(Asef Aslam Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.R. Bangar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that he will submit the copy of the M.A. and O.A. to the learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

3. S.O. to 21.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 393/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1686/2021
(Sumitrabai V. Adke and Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Abhilasha Yadav, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.G. Kamble, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 25.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1082 OF 2019
(Naresh W. Sakpal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri L.V. Sangit, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time for filing short affidavit as per the directions issued by this Tribunal on 17.11.2021. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 761 OF 2021
(Pradeep B. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

2. Learned Advocate Shri N.N. Desale, has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record.

3. The impugned order dated 25.10.2021 (Annexure A-4) is of relieving the applicant from the post of Deputy Chief Executive Officer (Water Supply and Sanitization), Zilla Parishad, Dhule. The present matter is dealt with by this Tribunal on the first date of hearing in detailed on 03.12.2021 by way of interim relief order, the respondent No. 1 was directed to consider and decide the representation dated 26.10.2021 (Annexure A-5) made by the applicant to the concerned Hon'ble Minister, as well as, representation dated 27.11.2021 (Annexure A-8(ii)) made to the respondent No. 1 seeking indulgence in the impugned order. The point of interim relief of stay however is kept open.

//2//

O.A. No. 761/2021

4. Learned Chief Presenting Officer appeared on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and placed on record a copy of the communication dated 10.12.2021 received from the Under Secretary, Government of Maharashtra seeking extension of 8 days time for taking decision on the representations. Copy of the said communication is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

5. Learned Advocate for the respondent No. 3 seeks short time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3.

6. Considering the earlier order passed by this Tribunal on 03.12.2021, in my considered opinion, the matter is required to be dealt with expeditiously.

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief of stay.

8. Considering the exigency in the matter, time is granted to the respondents till 17.12.2021 for filing affidavit in reply, as well as, for deciding the representations in question.

9. S.O. to 17.12.2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 459 OF 2020
(Priti J. Palve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amit S. Savale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents during the course of arguments placed on record a copy of communication dated 27.04.2020 issued by the Incident Commander In-charge Epidemic and the District Civil Surgeon, District Hospital, Nandurbar and a copy of letter / communication dated 06.10.2021 issued by the Civil Surgeon, Nandurbar to the Assistant Director, Health Services, Leprosy Office, Nandurbar. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purpose of identification.

3. The present matter is closed for orders.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 407 OF 2019

(Sadhana U. Borse @ Sadhana S. Pachpol Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Amruta Paranjape, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri H.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, **absent**.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed only on behalf of respondent No. 4, to which the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 27.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502 OF 2020
(Shrikant B. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent No. 4.
3. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
4. S.O. to 14.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 116 OF 2018
(Sanjay M. Deokate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 398 OF 2018
(Dnyaneshwar K. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for making compliance of directions incorporated in the farad sheet order dated 20.10.2021. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2019
(Yogiraj V. Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. During the course of arguments, it transpires that the applicant is seeking benefit of the post of Sectional Engineer from 01.04.1988 onwards with all consequential benefits.

3. During the course of the arguments, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is claiming the said relief by virtue of provisions of G.R. dated 01.04.1988, which is also mentioned in para No. 6(b) of the present Original Application.

4. However, copy of the said G.R. is not produced on record either by the applicant or by the respondents. The controversy revolves around the said G.R. dated 01.04.1988.

//2// O.A. No. 87/2019

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that she would produce on record the said G.R. dated 01.04.1988 on the next date of hearing.
6. The present matte is to be treated as part heard,
7. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 310 OF 2020
(Sandip P. Nalawade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 05.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 351 OF 2020
(Ramraj G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.
3. S.O. to 22.12.2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 352 OF 2020
(Ramraj G. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.
3. S.O. to 22.12.2021.

MEMBER (J)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 790 OF 2021

(Gajanan B. Aundhekar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 11.01.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **11.01.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

DATE : 13.12.2021

M.A. No. 398/2021 in O.A. St. No. 1666/2021
(Sadashiv S. Shingare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-

1. Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 11.01.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **11.01.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 942/2018
(Dr. Meena R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **13.12.2021**

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.12.2021. High on Board.

3. In the meantime, the learned P.O. may file affidavit in reply of the respondents to the amended O.A.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1032/2019
(Sadashiv V. Rathod Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **13.12.2021**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available today. Hence, S.O. to 14.1.2022.

3. Registry to place O.A. No. 563/2021 along with the present O.A. on the next date.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. 149/2019 IN O.A. ST. 413/2019
(Bharat Z. Patil Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **13.12.2021**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In the present O.A. St. No. 413/2019 the applicant is seeking directions against the respondents to grant him exemption from passing the Departmental Examination and consequentially to issue the promotional order on the post of Assistant Sub-Inspector of Police, since he has crossed the age of 45 years. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that delay of about 15 months has occurred in filing the O.A. It is contended that, since the representation was preferred by the applicant with the competent authority and the same was under consideration, the applicant did not approach the Tribunal in time. However, after having long period lapsed, the representation was not decided by the competent authority, the applicant filed the O.A. with M.A. No. 149/2019 for condonation of delay. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the reasons which are assigned are genuine and delay which has occurred is unintentional.

::-2-::

**M.A. 149/2019 IN
O.A. ST. 413/2019**

3. The learned P.O. has opposed for condonation of delay occurred in filing the O.A. Affidavit in reply has been submitted by the respondents in the M.A. It is the contention of the respondents that, no just and sufficient grounds are made out for condonation of delay.

4. After having heard the learned Advocate for the applicant and the learned P.O. for the respondents and after perusing the documents placed on record, it appears that the applicant needs to be given an opportunity to prosecute his O.A. on merits. The reasons, which are assigned, are sufficient for condoning the delay. Hence, I pass the following order :-

ORDER

- (i) Misc. Application No. 149/2019 stands allowed.
- (ii) The delay of about 15 months occurred in filing O.A. is condoned.
- (iii) There shall be no order as to costs.
- (iv) Registry to register the O.A. on its due scrutiny.

MEMBER (J)

O.A. ST. 413/2019
(Bharat Z. Patil Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **13.12.2021**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. It is brought to my notice that the affidavit in reply has already been filed by the respondents in the present O.A.

3. In the circumstances, the O.A. be fixed for hearing on 13.1.2022. In the meantime the applicant may file rejoinder with copy to other side.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.12.2021

M.A. 355/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1185/2019
(Amit S. Swami Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **13.12.2021**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. M.A. No. 355/2019 has been filed by the applicant for condonation of about 5 months delay occurred in filing O.A.

3. After having considered the M.A. and the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent nos. 1 & 2 thereto, it appears to me that the delay of about 5 months occurred in filing O.A. has been properly explained and the applicant, therefore, needs to be given an opportunity to prosecute the O.A. on merit. Therefore, I am inclined to allow the present M.A. Hence the following order :-

ORDER

- (i) The M.A. stands allowed with no order as to costs.

::-2-::

M.A. 355/2019
IN O.A. ST. 1185/2019

- (ii) The delay of about 5 months occurred in filing the O.A. is condoned.
- (iii) Registry to register the accompanying O.A. according to law.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.12.2021

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1185/2019
(Amit S. Swami Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **13.12.2021**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It is brought to my notice that the copy of O.A. has already been served on the respondents, therefore, no need to serve the respondents again. Learned P.O. to file affidavit in reply of the respondents on or before the next date.

3. S.O. to 10.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**MA 159/2020 WITH MA 104/20 IN O.A. ST. 2118/2019
(Bhaurao M. Ghane Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **13.12.2021**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. M.A. No. 159/2020 is filed seeking restoration of M.A. No. 104/2020 filed in O.A. St. No. 2118/2019, which was dismissed in default by the Tribunal for want of prosecution vide order passed on 11.3.2020. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that since he was engaged in the matter before the Hon'ble High Court, he could not reach the Tribunal and attend the said proceedings and that is the reason the said application has been dismissed in default. The learned Advocate submits that henceforth he will take every care to promptly attend the proceedings and, as such, he may be given an opportunity to prosecute his matter on merits.

3. The learned P.O. submitted for passing appropriate order.

::-2-:: **MA 159/2020 WITH MA 104/20**
IN O.A. ST. 2118/2019

4. Since the reasons, which have been assigned are genuine, I am inclined to allow the present M.A. No. 159/2020. Hence I pass the following order :-1

ORDER

- (i) The Misc. Application no. 159/2020 stands allowed with no order as to costs.
- (ii) M.A. No. 104/2020 with O.A. St. No. 2118/2019 are restored to their original file.

MEMBER (J)

MA 104/20 IN O.A. ST. 2118/2019
(Bhaurao M. Ghane Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The Division Bench is not available. With consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.12.2021

**M.A. 329/21 IN M.A. 283/21 IN M.A.149/21 IN O.A.
187/21, C.P. 14/21
(State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Ramrao K. Pallewad)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in M.A. (respondents in O.A.), Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicants (intervenors) in M.A. No. 283/2021, Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant (intervenor) in M.A. No. 149/2021 and Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent in M.A. (applicant in O.A.), are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available today. By consent of both the parties, S.O. to 20.12.2021. the interim relief granted earlier in O.A. No. 187/2021 to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.12.2021

M.A. 169/2021 IN O.A. ST. 141/2021
(Anil J. Kande & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The Division Bench is not available today. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.12.2021

**M.A. 219/21 IN O.A.162/19 WITH M.A.220/21 IN O.A.163/19 WITH M.A.221/21 IN O.A.164/19 WITH M.A.222/21 IN O.A. 173/19 WITH M.A. 223/21 IN O.A. 174/19 WITH M.A.224/21 IN O.A.175/19 WITH M.A. 225/21 IN O.A. 176/19 WITH M.A.226/21 IN O.A.221/19
(Mahadev P. Pawar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **13.12.2021**

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters, are present.

2. The learned C.P.O. has sought time by way of last chance to file affidavits in reply of the respondents in the M.As. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 11.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 278/2021
(Dr. Udaykumar D. Padhye Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : **13.12.2021**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned P.O. has placed on record the xerox copies of documents which were required by the Tribunal vide order passed on 2.12.2021. The said documents are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. List the matter for further consideration on 21.12.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1021 OF 2019
(Dr. Prashant D. Warkari Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Time is sought for filing affidavit in reply. Granted.
3. S.O. to 19.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 183 OF 2020
(Rajendra G. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Though for filing affidavit in reply time as a last chance was granted to the respondents and the matter was fixed on today's board. For compelling circumstances, time is again sought. Granted.

3. S.O. to 24.12.2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 523 OF 2020
(Rajkumar G. Sonawane Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandeep Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Time is again sought for filing affidavit in reply by respondent Nos. 2 & 3. Granted by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 5.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590 OF 2020
(Ankush B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Suhas R. Shirsat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Time is sought for filing affidavit in reply. Granted by way of last chance.
3. S.O. to 24.12.2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 219 OF 2021
(Manoj S. Belkhede Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Suhas R. Shirsat, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered across the bar a copy of letter of appointment issued in favour of the present applicant. The same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. Learned Advocate submits that he will take instructions from the applicant and will accordingly make the submission, on the next date.

3. S.O. to 16.12.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 284 OF 2021
(Jitendra S. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandeep Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kiran G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. List the present matter for hearing on 13.1.2022. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 300 OF 2021
(Aabasaheb B. Ghayal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandeep Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kiran G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. List the present matter for hearing on 13.1.2022. In the meanwhile it would be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 333 OF 2021
(Supriya G. Nande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.G. Tambade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Rejoinder affidavit is not filed by the applicant.
3. List the matter for hearing on 14.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 553 OF 2021
(Sunayana S. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ratan L. Adhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Nimbalkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant intends to prosecute the matter further.
3. List the present matter for hearing on 18.1.2022. By that time the respondents shall file affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 678 OF 2021
(Suresh G. Tandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Time is sought for filing affidavit in reply. Granted.
3. S.O. to 12.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. 364/19 IN C.P. 43/18 IN O.A. 838/15, O.A.
122/18 & O.A. 558/18
(Ramdas T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Though on 29.10.2021 the Tribunal has granted last chance to the respondents for filing their affidavit in reply, the same has not yet been filed. Today, again time is sought for filing affidavit in reply.

3. Since the Division Bench is not available today, list the matter for further consideration on 23.12.2021. Till the said date the respondents must file affidavit in reply or else the matter will be considered without their affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 32/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 138/2021
(Gopal D. Ghuge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Time is sought for filing affidavit in reply. Granted.
3. S.O. to 13.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**M.A.NO. 343/2021 IN O.A.NO. 692/2017
(Bhanudas R. Watane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Time is sought for filing affidavit in reply. Granted.
3. S.O. to 7.1.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**M.A.NO. 353/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1145/2021
(Ramkrushan D. Gore & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A. No. 353/2021, returnable on 13.1.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

:: - 2 - ::

M.A.NO. 353/2021 IN
O.A.ST.NO. 1145/2021

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 13.1.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**M.A.ST.NO. 1599/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1553/2021
(Sham G. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for the applicant
and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for
the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**C.P.NO. 20/2017 IN O.A.NO. 718/1998
(S.G. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

WITH

**C.P.NO. 21/2017 IN O.A.NO. 1203/1999
(Ravji K. Bandre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

WITH

**C.P.NO. 22/2017 IN O.A.NO. 717/1998
(S.R. Sonar through LR Sumati Sonar Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

WITH

**C.P.NO. 25/2017 IN O.A.NO. 525/2002
(N.S. Shinde through its S.N. Shinde Vs. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the proposal has been forwarded to the appropriate authority and the decision is likely to be taken within next few days. Time is, therefore, sought for compliance. Other side has no serious objection for granting such time. Time granted.

:: - 2 - ::

**C.P.NO. 20/2017 IN
O.A.NO. 718/1998 & Group**

3. S.O. to 1.2.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**C.P.NO. 61/2019 IN O.A.NO. 533/2015
(Shivaji P. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32 OF 2018
(Shahadeo S. Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the
applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate,
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. S.O. to 12.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**M.A.NO. 386/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1659/2021
(Bhaskar N. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A. No. 386/2021, returnable on 14.1.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

:: - 2 - ::

**M.A.NO. 386/2021 IN
O.A.ST.NO. 1659/2021**

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 14.1.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 785 OF 2021
(Ashok N. Godbole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri G.K. Muneshwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It is the contention of the applicant that he is eligible to be promoted to the post of Social Welfare Officer, Grade-B from amongst the Non-gazetted Executive Staff. It is further contended that though the representation is made ventilating grievance of the applicant that his name has been wrongly excluded from the list of the candidates in the zone of consideration as on 28.10.2021 and reminder to the said letter has also been issued on 17.11.2021 without considering his representation the promotion orders are likely to be issued only to the 6 persons. It is further contended that the applicant is at Sr. No. 7 in the said list and total promotional posts available are 13 for the cadre in which the applicant falls. Learned Advocate in the circumstances is seeking interim relief to the effect that the directions given in the letter dated 27.10.2021 whereby the

bonds are to be got filled in of the candidates at Sr. Nos. 1 to 6 shall not be implemented.

3. After having gone through the contents of the original application and the documents annexed therewith, *prima facie*, case is noticed in favour of the applicant. In the circumstances, following order is passed.

ORDER

4. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 11.1.2022.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 11.1.2022.

10. In the meanwhile, if the promotions are effected, the said promotions shall be subject to the decision in the present O.A.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 356/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1530/2019
(Ranjana D. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Delay of 2 years has occurred in filing the accompanying Original Application. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that on the basis of the Government Clarification dated 19.12.2018 in the Government Resolution dated 13.8.2014, some right has accrued in favour of the applicant and on that basis the applicant has approached the Tribunal. Learned Advocate submits that in the circumstances in fact it cannot be said that there is any delay in filing the accompanying O.A. It is further contended that in the meanwhile the applicant was ventilating his grievance at the level of concerned authority and, therefore, he could not approach the Tribunal within a prescribed period of limitation.

3. The Misc. Application is opposed by the learned Chief Presenting Officer. It is submitted that the delay has not

**:: - 2 - :: M.A.NO. 356/2019 IN
O.A.ST.NO. 1530/2019**

been appropriately explained and, as such, it deserves to be rejected.

4. After having gone through the contents of the M.A., I am satisfied that the reasons assigned are just and sufficient to condone the delay. Hence, the following order:

-

ORDER

(i) The delay caused in filing accompanying Original Application is condoned.

(ii) The accompanying O.A. be registered in accordance with law.

(iii) The present M.A. stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 1530 OF 2019
(Ranjana D. Jaybhaye Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 19.1.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

:: - 2 - :: O.A. ST.NO. 1530 OF 2019

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 19.1.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 279 OF 2017
(Smt. Rekha A. Bonalawar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 27.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517 OF 2017
(Anup Subhash Kulkarni Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.V. Bhamre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 10.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**O.A.NO. 303/2019 WITH O.A.NO. 492/2019
(Sukracharya B. Tekale & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. &
Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 10.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**O.A.NO. 537/2019 WITH O.A.NO. 941/2019
(Narendra R. Thakur & Anr. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri V.R Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both these cases, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 13.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 810 OF 2017
(Shaligram M. Sonawane Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Suresh D. Dongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 10.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 773/19, 766/19, 794/19, 120/19, 66/20,
809/19, 195/20, 705/18, 234/20 & 301/20
(Babu V. Gitte & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

S/Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh & V.D. Gunale, learned
Advocates for the respective applicants in respective cases
and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for
the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to
10.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 787 OF 2019
(Laxman P. Huse Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 14.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 223 OF 2020
(Divya S. Nandi & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Santosh S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivaji Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 11.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD

**O.A.NOS. 253 & 254 BOTH OF 2020
(Bapurao F. Bhosale Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 13.12.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kiran G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Smt. D.S. Deshmukh & Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases, are present.

2. Since Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 10.1.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 13.12.2021-HDD