

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 1069 OF 2018

DISTRICT : THANE

1. Pundlik Sudam Jilevad,)
R/o: 4, Reti Bunder, Mumbra.)
Dist-Thane.)
2. Arun Dhanlal Channe)
R/o: Kohinoor Manjil, Mataparmeshwari)
Bldg No. 2, Rahamat Nagar,)
Ostwal Nagar, Tal-Nalasopara,)
Dist-Thane.)...Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra)
Through its Chief Secretary,)
Home Department, Mantralaya,)
Mumbai 400 032.)
2. The M.P.S.C,)
Through its Chairman,)
7 & 8th floor, Cooperage Telephone)
Nigam Bldg, M.K Marg,)
Cooperage, Mumbai 400 021.)...Respondents

Ms Vibha Oswal, holding for Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**
Shri P.N. Dixit (Member)(A)

DATE : **13.12.2018**

ORDER

1. Heard Ms Vibha Oswal, holding for Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.



2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record copy of letter dated 13.12.2018, addressed to the applicants, text whereof reads as follows:-

“प्रति,

श्री. पुंढलिक सुदाम जिलेवाड
मु. सिंगरवाडी, पो. थेरबान
ता. भोकर, जि. नांदेड ४३१८०१.

विषय:- लिपिक - टंकलेखक परीक्षा २०१७ शिफारशीबाबत

महोदय,

आपल्या दिनांक २१ नोव्हेंबर, २०१८ रोजीच्या पत्राच्या अनुषंगाने आपणास असे कळविण्यात येते की, आपल्या लिपिक-टंकलेखक पदावरील नियुक्तीबाबतचे प्रकरण चौकशीच्या अधीन असल्यामुळे प्रस्तुत परीक्षेच्या आधारे लिपिक-टंकलेखक पदावरील नियुक्तीसाठी आपली शसनाकडे शिफारस करण्यात आलेली नाही. चौकशी पूर्ण होताच खासदरभारत त्वरित कार्यवाही करण्यात येईल.

आपला विश्वासू,

(सुनिल अयताडे)

उप सचिव, महाराष्ट्र लोकसेवा आयोग ”

3. In view of foregoing, applicants have to wait for decision of enquiry by M.P.S.C.

4. Learned P.O was called to make statement on following points:-

- How much time will be required for completing the enquiry?
- What is the number of candidates recommended for appointment?
- Whether the categories against which the applicants' candidature was considered are withheld?

5. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions from Shri D.H Shinde, Assistant Section Officer, M.P.S.C, Mumbai, states as follows:-

Against 39 vacancies called by the State Government, only 37 names are sent. Two names are in the process of forwarding, awaiting decision in the enquiry against the applicants and these two names would be sent only after completing the enquiry.

6. In view of the foregoing, it is not necessary to entertain the present application, which is disposed of with liberty to the applicants if decision of M.P.S.C is adverse to them and if occasion to challenge the same arises.

7. M.P.S.C is directed to communicate the decision as would be taken. In the event, the decision is adverse, it should not be acted upon for a period of 15 days from the date of service of intimation / communication on email.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 13.12.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1109 OF 2018

S.D. Sapkale & Ors.

...Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

Shri Shrikant Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 13.12.2018.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Shrikant Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate Shri Shrikant Patil for the Applicants prays for leave to delete prayer 'C'. Leave as prayed is granted.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.01.2019.
4. On behalf of Respondents No.7 to 160 notices to be served through the office of Director (Training), Maharashtra Police Academy, Nashik.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
10. Heard on Interim relief.
11. Respondents 7 to 160, to be appointed furtherance to Government decision at Exhibit E, page 72, dated 05.03.2018. Respondents be informed that their appointments are made subject to outcome of present O.A..
12. It shall be open to the Government to act for curing the defect/deficiency brought to the notice of Government by applicant, through present O.A. without waiting for adjudication, by taking legal advice well in advance, and before filing of affidavit-in-reply.
13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
14. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
15. S.O. to 02.01.2019.



Sd/-



(A.H. Joshi,
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 1355 OF 2009

DISTRICT : SOLAPUR

Shri M.K Subhedar

)...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others

)...Respondents

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3.

Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for Respondents no. 4, 5, 8, 32, 54, 57 & 59.

Shri P.V Patil, learned advocate for Proposed Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

DATE : **13.12.2018**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicants, Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3, Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for Respondents no. 4, 5, 8, 32, 54, 57 & 59 and Shri P.V Patil, learned advocate for Proposed Respondents.

2. It has transpired and learned advocate for applicant states that the dispute about genuineness of Certificates as well as complaint regarding tampering of answer sheets may not apply to all the Respondents who are added.



3. Learned advocate for the applicant Shri Bandiwadekar after taking instructions from the applicant states that the applicant wants to verify the genuineness of credentials of eligibility of all Respondents as well would like to verify answer sheets of Respondents and would take a decision whether all Respondents are necessary in the array as contesting parties. Applicant would decide to delete such respondents against whom he has no grievance.

4. Therefore, Mr Bandiwadekar prays for direction to the Deputy Director, Health Services to offer inspection of papers.

5. Respondent State has no objection to the exercise proposed/prayed for by advocate for applicant.

6. Deputy Director, Health Services, Pune is directed as follows:-

(a) He should hold a camp for scrutiny of papers at each District level by fixing a date, where all the candidates (Respondents) in that District should remain present along with original papers and self-attested photo copy thereof for supply to applicant.

(b) Deputy Director, Health Services or responsible Officer appointed by him should offer to applicant inspection of answer sheet of all Respondents.

(b) Applicant would be free to inspect the papers himself and if necessary with the help a Advocate or a friend, and True Copy /Photo Copy of answer sheet be supplied to applicant and respondent concerned.

7. After completing the exercise mentioned hereinbefore, the learned advocate for the applicant would take steps to specify particular Respondent against whom applicant would decide not to proceed for deleting them from array of Respondents if he chooses.

8. Depending upon the decision, which the applicant would take, the applicant shall be free to amend the O.A and place on record relevant amended Paper Book, to be substituted and proceeded as O.A.

9. After completing the exercise described in foregoing para, following schedule be followed.

- (i) If all the Respondents file respective email address on or before 20.12.2018 for sending intimation on their email address.
- (ii) The applicants and Respondents be informed about schedule by Email.
- (iii) The meeting or session for inspection of papers be fixed by the Deputy Director, Health Services, on any date before 10.1.2019.

10. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend and time to carry out the amendment till 12.2.2019. Leave and time as prayed is granted.

11. S.O to 14.2.2019. All Respondents who are present should submit their memo of appearance, except for those who have engaged Respondents.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 13.12.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.12.2018.

C.A.No.31 of 2018 in O.A.No.102 of 2016

Dr. T.A. JadhavApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents states as follows :-

- (a) Order passed in O.A. is complied.
- (b) Principal Secretary, Shri Dr. Pradeep Vyas has tendered hand written apology and has prayed for waiver of costs.

3. Request for waiver of costs is accepted.

4. C.A. is disposed in view of compliance and apology.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, P) Chairman

DATE : 13-12-18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

order passed in tribunal column

Adj. S.O. to

C.A. is disposed

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>13-12-18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><i>orders passed in tribunal column</i> Adj./S.O. to _____</p> <p><i>C.A. is disposed</i></p>	<p>Date : 13.12.2018.</p> <p>C.A.No.32 of 2018 in O.A.No.1044 of 2015</p> <p>Dr. S.S. DusaneApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Order passed in O.A. is complied.</p> <p>(b) Principal Secretary, Shri Dr. Pradeep Vyas has tendered hand written apology and has prayed for waiver of costs.</p> <p>3. Request for waiver of costs is accepted.</p> <p>4. C.A. is disposed in view of compliance and apology.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>(A.H. Joshi, Chairman)</i></p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 13.12.18</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Y. P. Deshmukh</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>order passed in tribunal column Adj.S.O. to S.O. to 20.12.18</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 13.12.2018.</p> <p>C.A.No.38 of 2018 in O.A.No.48 of 2017</p> <p>R.P. GageApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents states on instructions that Departmental Promotion Committee would be held within one week.</p> <p>3. For reporting compliance S.O. to 20.12.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>13/12/18</p> <p>GRAM</p> <p>Shri S. P. Suryawanshi (Member J)</p> <p>HEARD BY</p> <p>Shri K.R. Jagdale</p> <p>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</p> <p>P.O.</p> <p>O.A. is disposed</p> <p>of</p> <p>of</p>	<p>Date : 13.12.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.979 of 2018</p> <p>D.V. Gadhave & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned Present for the Respondents. 2. In present matter challenge is to the minor punishment imposed upon the Applicant by order dated 25.10.2018. 3. However, today the matter is taken up on board by giving circulation for withdrawal. 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that both the Applicants have given written instructions to him to withdraw this O.A. 5. As such, the Applicants do not want to continue this O.A. and sought to withdraw it. 6. In view of above, Original Application is allowed to withdraw. 7. Liberty is granted to Applicant to approach this Tribunal if required as may be permissible in law. 8. Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">A</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of _____

Advocate _____

The State of Maharashtra

Presenting Officer _____

Office No. 107, Member's Office
Appearance of learned officers in
Directions and Registrar's Office

Date : 13.12.2018

O.A.No.862 of 2017

S.N. MouleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder of the Applicant. It is taken on record.
3. As the pleadings are completed, the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing.
4. Liberty is granted to learned P.O. to file affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder, if needed by next date.
5. S.O. to 30.1.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

gba

DATE 13/12/18

FORAM

Member (J)

APPEARANCE

B.A. Bandiwadekar

A.J. Chougule

ADJUDICATED TO 30/1/19
Rejoinder filed
by Applicant.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

Advocate _____

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Presenting Officer _____

Office No. 1000, Memoranda of Understanding, Appointments, Tribunal's orders, directions and Registrar's orders.

Date : 13.12.2018

O.A.No.41 of 2017

S.N. PatvardhanApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In present O.A. the relief sought to set aside the order dated 29.6.2016 fixing the pay of the Applicant and thereby refusing the increments to the Applicant accrued ~~from~~ⁱⁿ the period of his suspension as it was not treated as duty period.
3. This is substituted O.A. and therefore, learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks' time to file affidavit-in-reply. Time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
4. S.O. to 10.1.2019.

DATE 13/12/18

CURAM

Member (J)

M. B. Kadam.

Ms. S. Suryawanshi

Presenting Officer

Ad/S.O. to 10/1/19.

(Signature)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.12.2018

O.A.No.199 of 2017

S.V. Kulkarni

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The matter pertains to the non granting benefit of second Time Bound Promotion. Insofar as second Time Bound Promotion is concerned, the case of employee deserves to be considered year to year once he becomes eligible for benefits of second Time Bound Promotion.
3. In this matter the Applicant stands retired on 30.4.2010, therefore it is necessary to know whether the case of the Applicant was examined by Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) regularly till his retirement.
4. In fact, this Tribunal has made observation in order dated 5.10.2018 that the details of the DPC meeting are not forthcoming and therefore, liberty was granted to the Applicant to file documents by way of short affidavit.
5. On the last date, during the course of hearing this Tribunal directed to produce minutes of DPC meeting to know whether the case of the Applicant has been considered for granting ^{of} second Time Bound Promotion.
6. However, today, learned P.O. for the Respondents expressed that she is unable to produce minutes of the meeting of DPC and requested for short time.
7. In view of above, matter is adjourned for final hearing.
8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to produce the minutes of the DPC ^{of} to the relevant period.
9. S.O. to 9.1.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

DATE : 13/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. M. B. Kadam

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt./Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 9/1/19.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.12.2018

O.A.No.936 of 2018.

V.K. ValviApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. By order dated 28.11.2018, permission was granted to the Applicant to amend the application pertaining to order dated 27.11.2018 passed by the Government during the pendency of this O.A.

4. However, amendment is not carried out till today. On the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant one week's time is granted to carry out amendment.

5. S.O. to 11.1.2019 for hearing.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

DATE : 13/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. ~~B.A. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. ~~K.S. Gaikwad~~

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv. S.O. to 11/1/19.

Reply filed by P.No.1

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.12.2018

M.A.Nos.507 & 508 of 2018 in O.A.No.867 of 2017

P.V. Valiv

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Present for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice made returnable on 17.1.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.As. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, M.As. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 17.1.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 18/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. A. S. Suryawanshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. K. S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 17/1/19

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.12.2018

O.A.No.1100 of 2017

H.R. Nikam

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Present for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A. challenge is to the suspension order dated 8.2.2017. The Applicant was kept under suspension in view of registration of offence against him. During the pendency of this O.A., department enquiry was initiated and completed.

3. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant has been dismissed from service by order dated 16.10.2018.

4. As such, in view of dismissal from service, O.A. giving challenge to the suspension has been become infructuous. Infact, the Applicant has filed appeal against the order of dismissal on 25.10.2018.

5. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of as become infructuous.

6. The appeal filed against the order of dismissal be expedited. No order as to costs.

DATE : 13/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N. G. Gohad

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to O.A. as disposed

of.

[Signature]

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI.**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.12.2018

M.A.No.444 of 2018 in O.A.No.795 of 2018

V.N. GhatgeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Applicant his Advocate both are absent. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents three weeks' time is lastly granted to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 16.1.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 13/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

~~Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate absent.~~
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 16/1/19.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.12.2018

O.A.No.950 of 2018

R.S. DevareApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.

3. On the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant short time is granted to file affidavit-in-rejoinder, if necessary.

4. S.O. to 2.1.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

sba

DATE : 13/12/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri. A. P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

APPEARANCE

Shri./Smt. P.A. Banalicerodatta

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri./Smt. Ms. N. G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 2/1/19

Reply Dated by
Respondent