IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 13.11.2019.
	R.A. Nos.16, 17 & 18 of 2019 with O.A.Nos.340, 343 & 344 of 2018
	R. M. Shivsharan & OrsApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	 Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Present R.A. is filed by the Applicants under
·	apprehension that in view of dismissal of their OA Nos.340, 343, 344 and 135 of 2018 decided on 14.06.2019
	it will come in the way of Respondent No.1 to amend the G.R. dated 28.06.2011 in terms of proposed amendment
	suggested by the Committee.
	3. Original Applications were filed challenging the appointment of Police Patil made by the S.D.O. on the ground that the Applicants had already worked as temporary Police Patil, and therefore, entitled to the post of Police Patil in terms of G.R. dated 28.06.2011 and
	proposed amendments thereto. Original Applications are dismissed on merit.
	4. As per G.R. dated 28.06.2011, Clause -4 there must be 13 orders of appointment of total period of two years for regular appointment. The Tribunal has recorded findings of fact that the Applicants though worked on the post of Police Patil temporarily for some period, those are less than 13 in number as required by G.R. dated 28.06.2011, and therefore, they were found not entitled to the benefit of G.R. dated 28.06.2011 on all those posts. The S.D.O. had appointed another person after issuance of advertisement and those were also challenged in the O.A.,

5. In O.A., it was pointed out by the learned Counsel that there was move in the Government to modify clause (4) of G.R. dated 28.06.2011. Had these amendments carried out, the Applicants claim would have fallen in G.R. dated 28.06.2011. However, no such final decision was taken by the Government and it was under consideration. Taking note of all these facts, O.As. were dismissed on merit.

6. Now, the present R.A. is filed by the Applicants contending that they have apprehension that because of dismissal of O.A., the Government may refuse to amend G.R. 28.06.2011, therefore, by present R.A. directions are sought to the Government to decide the issue of amendment to G.R. 28.06.2011 within stipulated period and also to clarify that the dismissal order should not come in their way.

7. True, in prayer clause of O.A., the Applicants have also sought one of the relief of directions to the Respondents to decide the issue of amendment of G.R. dated 28.06.2011 within stipulated period. So far as this aspect is concerned as stated above, Original Applications are decided on merit, and therefore, there was no question of giving any directions for the proposed amendment in G.R. dated 28.06.2011. Needless to mention that relief asked for, and not granted by the Court or Tribunal is deemed to have been rejected. This is settled principle of law. Admittedly, the Applicants have not challenged the judgment rendered by this Tribunal in Original Applications.

8. In so far as apprehension of the Applicants that dismissal of O.A. would come in the way of Respondents to take final decision about amendment to G.R. dated 28.06.2011 is concerned, it is misplaced. It is open to Respondent No.1 to take appropriate decision about the proposed amendment to G.R. dated 28.06.2011 on its own merit as it deem fit. No further clarification about the judgment delivered in O.A. is required.

9. With this all these Review Applications are disposed of with no order as to costs.

vsm

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.1035 of 2019

Dr. P. B. Thakare & Ors.

)..... Applicants

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

)...Respondents

Shri M. D. Lonkar, Counsel for the Applicant Ms S. P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 13.11.2019.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned orders dated 26.07.2019 and 24.09.2019 and 11.10.2019 whereby the benefit of AGP of Rs.10,000/- granted to them has been withdrawn. Consequent to it, recovery as well as their current pay is reduced with AGP of Rs.9000/- instead of Rs.10,000/-. The Applicants have sought interim relief to protecting their present salary as well as against impugned recovery. The Applicants claim themselves as designated Professor.

3. According to Shri M.D.Lonkar, learned Counsel; the Applicants are entitled to AGP of Rs.10,000/- as per clause (xii) of Para 3 of G.R. dated 10.11.2010. He has further pointed out that a consequent to this G.R., letter was issued by the Government on 02.07.2015 whereby Associate Professor on completing three years service where to be treated as designated Professor and entitled to AGP of Rs.10,000/-. The Applicants granted AGP of 10,000/- from year to 2013 and they are receiving the same. However, by order dated 01.02.2018 and 05.02.2018 having realized that they were granted AGP of Rs.10,000/- incorrectly, the re-fixation was ordered and recovery was also sought.

. .

4. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that as the Applicants are receiving AGP of Rs.10,000/- from 2011 the impugned action of recovery without notice is impermissible. He further submits that Associate Professors are already getting AGP of Rs.9000/-, and therefore, the Applicants cannot be brought down to the level of Associate Professor.

5. Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents has pointed out that G.R. dated 10.11.2009 has not been interpreted and understood by the department correctly. She has pointed out that Clause (xii) of G.R. which entitles Professor of AGP of Rs.10,000/- apply, where Associate Professor having completed requisite qualification, if appointed and designated as Professor then only they entitled to AGP of Rs.10,000/-. She has further pointed out that clause (ii), (vi) and (xi) specifically provides that AGP of Assistant Professor shall be up to 7000, 8000 and 9000. It is further pointed out by learned C.P.O. that as per government letter dated 17.01.2012 it has been clarified by the Government that Associate Professor even if completing three years service they will not be entitled for AGP of Rs.10000/- as stated in G.R. dated 10.11.2010. This mistake was realized while applying 7th Pay Commission to the Applicants and therefore, remedial measures are taken.

5. Prima-facie, G.R. dated 10.11.2009 is not interpreted correctly which resulted into grant of AGP of Rs.10000/- to the Applicants. As per clause (xii) of G.R. dated 10.11.2009 there has to be appointment as designated Professor which is not done in the present case. Prima-facie G.R. dated 10.11.2010 was not interpreted correctly and after realizing the mistake, remedial steps are being taken. As such, at this stage it cannot be said that impugned action of down grading AGP of Rs.10000 to 9000/- is totally illegal.

2

6. I am, therefore, not inclined to grant interim relief to the extent of down grading of AGP.

7. However, in so far as recovery is concerned, as the Applicants have **T**eceived it from 2011 it cannot be recovered in this manner. Applicants are therefore entitled to protection against recovery till further orders.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.12.2019.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

14. S.O. to 03.12.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR) MEMBER (J)

E:\V\$O\2019\Order and Judments\November 19\0.A.1035 af 2019 (O).doc

`⊷ **...........**

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

....Applicant

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 13.11.2019.

M. A. No.481 of 2018 in O.A.No.842 of 2018

S. A. Patil

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D. A. Jogdeo, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant stands retired from the post of Section Engineer in 2012. However, he is claiming benefit of Notification dated 13.03.2013 which inter-alia states that persons who were appointed during the period 02.04.1981 to 31.12.1996 who have either retired on superannuation or voluntarily retired or left., the above referred post for any reason shall be deem to be regularized under the cadre of Assistant Engineer, Grade-2.

3. on the basis of Notification dated 13.03.2013, the Applicant has filed O.A.842/2018 for grant of pay and allowances and retiral benefits for the post of Assistant Engineer, Grade-II. As O.A. is delayed by five years, the Applicant has filed M.A.No.481 of 2018 for condonation of delay.

4. As the Applicant stands retired in 2012 and Notification relied upon is of 13.03.2013, the Applicant was first required to approach the department to redress his grievance. However, he directly approached this Tribunal.

5. In view of controversy raised by the Applicant, it would be appropriate to direct him to first approach the department by filing appropriate representation to redress his grievance and let the department pass appropriate orders.

6. In view of above, M.A. and O.A. are disposed of with directions as follows :-

- (A) The Applicant shall make representation to the Respondent within a month from today to redress his grievance about applicability of Notification dated 13.03.2013 to him.
- (B) If the representation is made within one month then it shall be decided by the Respondents within three months thereafter and the decision shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.
- (C) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision,he may take further recourse of law.

(D) No order as to costs.

∩ Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

2

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI ,

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	°S
	M.A. No.595 of 2019 in	O.A. No.1060 of 2019
	R.M. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors	
	Heard Shri Gunratan Sa Applicants and Ms. S.P. Ma Respondents.	davarte, Ld. Advocate for thunchekar, Ld. CPO for th
•	2. By this MA, the Applicants are seek jointly. The Applicants are similarly situat reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to the Applicants paying fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off ac	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019	(Shīree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019
	(sgj)	1.2.11.4017
•		

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	lers
	<u>M.A. No.538 of 2019</u>	in O.A. No.432 of 2019
	K.L. Chavan & Ors. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Maharashtra & C	
	Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manche	dratre, learned Advocate for the ekar, learned Chief Presenting 1 to 5, Shri S.S. Dere, learned ginal applicant.
	survives in the MA and the sa	al of the above OA, nothing me stands disposed off as such.
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019 (sgj)	(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019
	· · ·	

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	rs	
	<u>O.A. No.43</u>	<u>2 of 2019</u>	
	P.L. Patil Vs.	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents	
	Heard Shri S.S. Dere Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchek Officer for Respondents No.1 t Advocate for Respondents I Gunrantan Sadavarte, learned No.19, 23, 27, 28, 30, 32, 34, 3	o 5, Shri S.S. Deokar, learned No.15, 29 & 31 and Dr. Advocate for Respondents	
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has producted 6.11.2019 from the applicant requesting to the above OA. The said letter is taken on record an 'X' for identification.		
	3. In view of the above withdrawn and disposed off as on 26.4.2019 stands vacated.	, the OA is allowed to be s such. Interim relief granted	
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019 (sgj)	(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019	
-			

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orde	rs
			· · ·
		<u>O.A. No.54</u>	<u>44 of 2018</u>
	V. B .	Shende	Applicant
	The S	Vs. State of Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents
	Offic learne	pplicant, Ms. S.P. Manche er for Respondents No.1 &	davarte, learned Advocate for ekar, learned Chief Presenting & 2, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, ent No.3 and Shri S.S. Dere, ent No.4.
	taken	ndent no.1 as per order da	Iditional reply on behalf of ted 17.9.2019 and the same is for the applicant desires time
	3.	S .O. to 3.12.2019.	
		Sd/-	Sd/
	(sgj)	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019	(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017) [Spl. MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	· · ·	
	<u>O.A. No.432 of</u>	2019
	P.L. Patil	Applicant
	Vs. The Sta te of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
•	Heard Shri S.S. Dere, le Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Officer for Respondents No.1 to 5, Advocate for Respondents No.1 Gunrantan Sadavarte, learned Ac No.19, 23, 27, 28, 30, 32, 34, 35 &	learned Chief Presenting Shri S.S. Deokar, learned 15, 29 & 31 and Dr. ivocate for Respondents
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applied dated 6.11.2019 from the applicate the above OA. The said letter is ta 'X' for identification.	nt requesting to withdraw
	3. In view of the above, the withdrawn and disposed off as su on 26.4.2019 stands vacated.	e OA is allowed to be the ch. Interim relief granted
	Sd/	Sd/
	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019 (sgj)	(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI** ÷ . . .

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	· · · ·	
	O.A. No.124 of 2019	•
	S.S. Sol a nkiAppli	cant
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespo	ondents
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocat Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief F Officer for the Respondents.	
•	2. The matter may be placed before regular Div	vision.
	3. \$. O. to 11.12.2019.	
	Sd/- Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019 (Shree Bhagwa Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019	
	(sgj)	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	·
	<u>O.A. No.543 of 2017</u>	
	P.A. PatilApplicant Vs.	
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsResponder	ıts
	Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Chief Preser Officer for the Respondents.	
•	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that h relying on the judgment of Nagpur Bench of Hon'ble Court in Sachin Dhavale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtr	High
	3. Ld. PO is given last chance to file reply.	
	4. S.O. to 20.11.2019 before regular Division Bench	n.
	Sd/- Sd/	
	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019 (sgj) (A.P. Kurkhekar) (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019	
		•

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corom, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	lers
	<u>O.A. No.2</u>	250 of 2019
	G.G. Kamble & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & O	Applicants
	Heard Shri Gunratan S the Applicants and Shri A Presenting Officer for the Resp	adavarte, learned Advocate fo J. Chougule, learned Chie pondents.
	2. Ld. PO submits that h PO is directed to supply copy t	e has already filed reply. Lo to the other side.
	3. S.O. to 5,12.2019.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019	(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019
	(sgj)	
	· .	

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1060 of 2019

R.M. Gaikwad & Ors. Vs. ..Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, Ld. Advocate for the pplicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Ld. CPO for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 11.12.2019.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of 0.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Ld. Advocate for the applicant has annexed GR dated 1.7.2019 at Exh. D page 95 of OA which is stayed till 5.12.2019 y the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. St. No.26596 of 2019 by order ated 8.11.2019. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders copy of rder dt.8.11.2019.

OA will be heard after outcome of the W.P.

Sd/-Sd/-(Shree Bhagwan) (A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019 13.11.2019

(\$gj)

2

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1038 of 2019

A.N. Bhalchandra Vs. .. Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Ld. CPO for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 4.12.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

> Sd/-(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019

٢

Sd/-

(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019

(sgj)

O.A. No.1056 of 2019

Tribunal's orders

G.A. Agale Vs. ..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Ld. PO for the Respondents.

2. **Issue** notice returnable on 4.12.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service **report** on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019

Sd/-

(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019

(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's o	rders
			м. на при на
		<u>O.A. No.1</u>	<u>064 of 2019</u>
	S.S.	Pan aj kar Vs.	Applicant
	The	State of Maharashtra & O	rsRespondents
	Ms.	hri A.A. Desai, learned A	tap, learned Advocate holding Advocate for the Applicant and Chief Presenting Officer for
	the a this prese fresh Ld. A	2018 of dismissal (page pplicant relies on the ord Tribunal in OA No.661 nt applicant (page 67 of (OA however, procedure	challenged the order dated 61 of OA). Ld. Advocate for der dated 26.9.2019 passed by of 2018 filed earlier by the OA). Liberty was given to file thas to be followed. Hence, t is directed to file application
	3.	S.O. to 5.12.2019.	
		Sd/-	Sd/
	(sgj)	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019	(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019
		•	

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.11.2019.

2

O. A. No.1075 of 2019

S. P. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the chailenge is to the impugned order dated 10.09.2018 which inter-alia states that PI, Motor Transport Department, Sangali was orally directed by Superintendent of Police, Sangali to depute the Applicant who was serving in the office of SDPO, Miraj city to Motor Transport Department, Sangali. Indeed, deputation on oral directions is incomprehensible in law. As such, prima-facie, the order dated 10.0.2018 seems not legal.

3. issue notice before admission returnable on 27.11.2019 to the Respondent No.1 i.e. Police inspector , Motor Transport Department as well as Respondent No.2 and 3 also.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 27.11.2019.

(A.P. Kurhekar)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E. (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MIMBAL

T_T	$\mathbf{u}\mathbf{r}$	1175	м

٦

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
0		Applica	int/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		
	The State of Maharashtra	and others	
		Responde	ent/s
(Presenting Officer)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's o	rders
	Date : 13.11.2019.	
	M. A. No.601 of 2019	in O. A. No.1075 of 2019
	S. P. Jadhav	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.
	for the Applicant and S Presenting Officer for the I	andiwadekar, learned Counsel hri A. J. Chougule, learned Respondents. tion for condonation of delay
		ig the impugned order dated
		alia states that as per oral
		tendent of Police, Sangali, the
	Applicant was deputed fro	
		stated in the M.A., delay is
	condoned. M.A. is dispose	d of with no <u>o</u> rder as to costs.
		Sd/-
		V.
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm	· .
	·	
		.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)		[Spl. MAT-F-2 I	2.
IN THE MAHARASHTRA	ADMINISTRATIVE	TRIBUNAL	
		and the second second	

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		•
	versus		
	The State of Maharashtra a	and others	
			Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memaranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's ordars or dirsctions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.11.2019.

O.A.No.888 of 2019

....Applicant

G. D. Gite

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Enough chances are granted to file reply but no reply is filed. Prima-facie, posting given o the Applicant is in contravention of Cadre Allotment Rules. The Applicant was allotted Konkan-2 but he is posted at Solapur by order dated 13.09.2019 which has been passed during the pendency of this O.A. Indeed, in order dated 13.09.2019 also it is stated that his division given as Konkan-2 is maintained as it is. If this is so then the Applicant was required to reposit/in Konkan-2.

3. On the request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned to 15.09.2019 for hearing at the stage of admission. He is at liberty to file reply but no further time will be given.

4. S.O. to 15.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

A) (50,000—3-2017)		[Spl.	MAT-F-2 E.
MAHABASHTRA	ADMINISTRATIVE	TRIBUNA	L
ATAT TUTE CONTRACTOR			

G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL			
IN THE MAHARASHIRA ADMINISTRATIVE HUBCHLE MUMBAI			
Original Application No. of	20 DISTRICT		
Original Application No. of	# Applicant/s		
(Advocate)		
	versus		
The State o	f Maharashtra and others		
	Respondent/s		
(Presenting Officer)		
·····	T		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's ordars or	Tribunal' s orders		
directions and Registrar's orders			
	-		
	Date : 13.11.2019.		
	O.A.No.718 of 2019		
	D. R. PawarApplicant		
	Versus		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.		
	1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned		
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.		
	2. This Original Application pertains to treatment		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to suspension period. Reply is already filed.		
	3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage		
	of admission with liberty to the Applicant to file		
	Rejoinder on or before next date.		
	4. S.O. to 04.12.2019.		
•	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurhekar)		
	Member(J)		
	vsm		

.. ._ .. _....

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)		[Spl MAT-F
IN THE MAHARASHTRA	ADMINISTRATIV	E TRIBUNAL
and the second	MUMBAI	an an the second se Second second

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ÍΝ

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	·
	<u>O.A. No.723 o</u>	<u>f 2017</u>
	K.T. Sonone Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	Heard Shri A.R. Joshi, I Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, lear the Respondents.	earned Advocate for the ned Presenting Officer for
•	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has produced letter dated 4.11.2019 signed by the applicant requesting to withdraw the above OA with liberty to file fresh OA, if required. The said letter is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.	
	3. In view of the above, OA is with liberty to file fresh OA as ma	is allowed to be withdrawn y be permissible in law.
	Sd/-	Sd/
	(A ¹ P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019 (sgj)	(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019
	O.A. No.1038 of 2019	
	<u>0.4. No.1036</u>	012019
	4	

(G.C.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000–3-2017) [Spl- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. N</u>	lo.1063 of 2019
	U.G. Mane	Applicant
	Vs. The St a te of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. Advocate for examination has been held	or the applicant states that no i since 1992.
	3. Ld. PO is directed to take instructions and explain whether rule 3 was applicable along with rule 6 for promotion and if so why examinations were not held.	
	4. S.O. to 14.11.2019	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019 (sgj)	(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Applicatio: No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
0 11		Applicant	s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

LOTO

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.937 of <u>2</u> (Tribunal's orders		
	A.H. Gawale & Ors.	Applicants		
	Vs.			
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents		
	Heard Shri Gunratan Sadavart the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikw Officer for the Respondents.			
	2. The above matter was finally be closed for orders. Consequent to the passed in the above OA, the Ld. Co following documents:	order dated 18.9.201		
	(i) Order dated 22.8.20 Tribunal in OA No.403 of 201	_		
	(ii) Order dated 12.9.20 Tribunal in OA No.606 of 201	• ·		
	(iii) Table I & II.			
	(iv) Letter dated 24.7.199 Collector, Mumbai.	2 from by GAD t		
	(v) Index from Ld. PO for record.	r taking documents o		
	3. The above documents are tal matter is placed for rehearing on 14.1			
	Sd/-	Sd/-		
	(A.P. Kurkhekar) Member (J) 13.11.2019 (sgj)	Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman 13.11.2019		
	13.11.2019	13.11.2019		

pl	MAT-F-2	E.
----	---------	----

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
The St	tate of Maharash	tra and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corar Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m,	Tribunal's orders
•	Date : :	13.11.2019.
		O.A.No.986 of 2019
	М. D. К	arandeApplican
	Versus	
	The Stat	e of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	Applican	leard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the it and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chie ng Officer for the Respondents.
	2.	Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-
	Reply on	behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is
	taken on r 3.	
	1	On request of learned Counsel for the two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.
		5.0. to 27.11.2019.
		Sd/-
· · ·		v
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
·	V\$m	
· ·		

•

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	· · · •			
		1	DISTRICT Applicant/s		
(Advocate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		rippicalius		
)				
	versus				
The St	tate of Maharash	tra and others			
			Respondent/s		
(Presenting Officer)	- -		
Office Notes Office W		<u> </u>	·		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora: Appearance, Tribunal'e orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m ,	Tribunal's	orders		
	Date : 1	3.11.2019.			
		O.A.No.1014 of 2018			
	D. G. Wa	agh	Applicant		
	Versus				
	The State	e of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents.		
	the Appl		ndiwadekar, learned Counsel for . Chougule, learned Presenting		
	2.	in the present O.A.	very short point is whether the		
	Applicant	is entitled for pay a	nd allowances for the period he		
			f non joining despite issuance of		
	transfer o	rder and giving parti	icular posting to him.		
	3.	The issue is very sh	ort and the Respondents have		
	not filed r	eply though enough	time is already granted.		
		and the second	t for hearing at the stage of		
			s desire to file reply, they may		
	[meantime.			
	5. S	5. O . to 18.11.2019.	· - /		
			Sd/-		
			V ⁻		
	·		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)		
	vsm				
	1				

2

Date: 13.11.2019.

M.A. No.574 of 2019 in O. A. No.1032 of 2019

R. S. Kamble

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

Tribunal's orders

1. Heard Shri S. B. Rohile, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 04.12.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 04.12.2019.

. 6 Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm

Creburner approverse a

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

·- --

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

ĺ

-

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	Date : 13.11.2019.			
	O.A.No.1072 of 2019			
	K. B. KolekarApplicant			
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.			
	 Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 			
	2. In the present O.A., the Applicant is seeking			
	implementation of orders passed by the Hon'ble Minister			
	which seems to have been flouted by the Respondent No.3			
r	i.e. Oistrict Collector, Sangali.			
	3. By order dated 23.10.2018, the Hon'ble Minister			
	quashed the suspension as well as D.E. and directed the			
	Collector, Sangali to reinstate the Applicant on the post of			
	Circle Officer at Islampur, Dist. Sangali. However, the			
	Respondent No.3 i.e. Collector was reluctant to implement			
	the order passed by the Government. Therefore, he made			
	reference by letter dated 05.11.2018 addressed to Principal			
	Secretary for modification of order dated 23.10.2018 and			
	proposed to reposting at Kadegaon. However, the Hon'ble			
	Minister rejected the said proposal in view of the subsequent			
	order passed by him in appeal no.2018 on 20.08.2019. The			
	Hon'ble Minister confirmed his earlier order and again issued			
	direction for implementation of the order passed on			
	23.10.2018 for reinstatement of the Applicant at Islampur,			
	Dist. Sangali. Thereafter, also the Applicant has made various			
	representations to the Collector but those were simply			
	ignored.			
	4. Resultantly, the Applicant is still out of service for			
1	more than one year for no fault on his part.			

Tribunal's orders

2

5. It is thus obvious that Respondent No.3 not only flouted the order passed by the Government but attempt is made to circumvent it by inappropriate manner which is totally impermissible. In view of the order passed by the Government, the Collector was bond to give reinstatement to the Applicant at Islampur. There is a loss of service and pay and allowances of near about one year for which Collector, Sangali only prima-facie seems responsible.

6. In view of above, issue notice before admission returnable on 20.11.2019 to the District Collector, Sangali as to why the order passed by the Government is not implemented till date and as to why further orders for responsibility of pay and allowances loss by the Applicant should not be saddle upon him. If Collector, Sangali failed to submit suitable sufficient explanation on next date necessary orders would follow.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

12. S.O. to 20.11.2019.

13. Hamdast granted.

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Sd/-