

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.53/2015, 912/2015 & 1078/2016</u></p> <p>D.G. Deshmukh S.G. Deshpande & Ors. R.D. Wardhane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Counsel with Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocates for the Applicants, Shri D.B. Khaire, Special Counsel with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri U.V. Bhosle, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.19 in OA No.912/2015 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for Respondent no.38 in OA.912/2015 and Respondents No.2 & 3 in OA No.1078/2016.</p> <p>2. Shri D.B. Khaire, Ld. Special Counsel has produced a tabular chart on 8.9.2022 as per our order dated 7.9.2022. The same was taken on record and marked Court Exh.3 for identification.</p> <p>3. Shri A.Y. Sakhare, Ld. Senior Counsel has produced a letter dated 12.9.2022 pointing out discrepancies in the tabular chart submitted by the State. The same is taken on record and marked Court Exh.4 for identification.</p> <p>4. The State is called upon to file affidavit today in view of the said letter dated 12.9.2022 which is Court Exh.4.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.9.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.9.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.9.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.8 of 2021 in O.A. No.848 of 2018 with M.A. No.344 of 2020 in O.A. No.848 of 2018</u></p> <p>Rahul S. Salunkhe & 5 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondents-Interveners (MA.456/2022), Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondents-Interveners (MA.496/2022) and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicants is not present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocates Shri M.D. Lonkar and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar for the interveners submits that MA No.456/2022 and MA No.496/2022 for intervention are allowed by order dated 24.8.2022 and they are required to be added as party respondents in CA No.8 of 2021. However, Ld. Advocate for the applicants has not carried out the amendment. MA No.456/2022 and MA No.496/2022 are wrongly shown on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to carry out the amendment by adding interveners as party respondents in CA No.8 of 2021 and amended copy be served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.9.2022 13.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.P. Kapdne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the applicant.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that in the month of July, 2022 the department realized that the subject is not with the Treasury but with the Accountant General. He seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.9.2022 13.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2022 in O.A. No.223 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.N. Kamble & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that there is no compliance.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that some compliance is remaining. Ld. PO submits that bills are submitted to the Treasury.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 4.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) 13.9.2022 Chairperson 13.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.883 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.R. Zanzurne ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.10.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) / Member (A) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) 13.9.2022 Chairperson 13.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.730 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.I. Fulari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Bhagyashri M. Kanade holding for Shri S.C. Mangle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that she needs two weeks time.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate adjourned to 11.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.9.2022 13.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1 of 2020 with O.A.No.2 of 2020</p> <p>N.N. Mane (O.A.1/2020) S.S. Kedar (O.A.2/2020)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Arif Jamkhandi, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Sachin Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 20.09.2022 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.51 of 2020 with O.A.No.52 of 2020 with O.A.No.488 of 2019 with O.A.No.491 of 2019 with O.A.No.492 of 2019 with O.A.No.493 of 2019</p> <p>K.B. Shivsharan & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit and kept for final hearing on 05.11.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) // Member(A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 13.09.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.572 of 2020</p> <p>P.P. SuryawanshiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing on 05.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.154 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. SamantApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed. To be shown under the caption of 'Due Admission' as HOB.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.579 of 2022</p> <p>N.B. Mude & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant files rejoinder. It be taken on record. Copy is served upon learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time.</p> <p>4. Time granted. To be treated as Part Heard matter. Adjourned to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.742 of 2022</p> <p>K.S.A.H. ShaikhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.D. Patil along with Mr. A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekear, learned Special Counsel for the M.P.S.C. (Respondent).</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Special Counsel adjourned to 11.10.2022 to file reply.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.891 of 2022</p> <p>K.S.A.H. ShaikhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Amruta Kharade, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Asso, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekear, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that copy of O.A. is not served on the Respondent No.3, Superintendent Engineer, PWD Circle, Pune.</p> <p>3. Applicant to file affidavit of service.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.631 of 2022 with O.A.No.451 of 2022</p> <p>P.V. Topale (O.A.631/2022) H.R. Gangurde (O.A.451/2022)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekear, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 27.09.2022 to file reply.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.572 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. MoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ganesh Masorkar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter affidavit-in-reply is not filed. Learned Advocate has submits that he seeks limited relief of completion of D.E. Applicant has retired on 31.01.2021. All his pensionary benefits are not given to him only because of the pendency of .D.E. Last chance is given till tomorrow to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 14.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.545 of 2022 with O.A.No.546 of 2022 with O.A.No.547 of 2022</p> <p>G.B. Udeg & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.361 of 2022 with O.A.No.362 of 2022 with O.A.No.505 of 2022 with O.A.No.506 of 2022 with O.A.No.108 of 2020 with O.A.No.109 of 2020 with O.A.No.468 of 2020 with O.A.No.469 of 2020 with O.A.No.569 of 2022 with O.A.No.570 of 2022</p> <p>L.K. Shinde & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that there are certain developments after filing of reply by the State. In view of those developments Respondent-Department wants to file additional affidavit.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.09.2022. Interim relief, if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.303 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Patil (Galande) & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Avinash N. Naikwadi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that he will be filling rejoinder during the course of the day. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 18.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.240 of 2022</p> <p>S.V. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Adjourned to 29.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.208 of 2022</p> <p>J.G. JadhavApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that he will file rejoinder during the course of the day. It be taken on record and copy be served upon learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Under such circumstances, matter is admitted and adjourned to 15.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.183 of 2022</p> <p>J.G. TatkareApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.R. Asawa, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This being the last chance given to the Department, we proceed without reply of G.A.D.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 15.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.679 of 2021</p> <p>A.P. KamalkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply of Respondents no.1 to 3 is already filed. However, reply of Skilled Development Department is necessary.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.435 of 2022 in O.A. No.723 of 2022</p> <p>A.M. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.M. Koparkar/P.S. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On previous date one week time was granted as last chance to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time to file Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of eight months caused in filing O.A. In O.A. the Applicant is challenging commutation dated 28.10.2020 issued by Government thereby sanctioning medical reimbursement of Rs.8,00,000/- (Rupees Eight Lakhs Only) against actual expense of Rs.14,98,257/- (Rupees Fourteen Lakhs Ninety Eight Thousand Two Hundred and Fifty Seven Only). O.A. ought to have been filed within one year of limitation upto 28.10.2021. However, it is filed on 25.07.2022.</p> <p>4. Indeed, Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.01.2022 passed in Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil) No.03/2020 directed that were^{would} limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all person shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022 in view of COVID-19 Pandemic situation. As such, This O.A. ought to have been filed upto 01.06.2022. However, it is filed on 25.07.2022, thus delay is of hardly two months and not eight months. The Applicant is retired Government servant.</p> <p>5. In view of above, I am inclined to condone delay. M.A. is accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.723 of 2022</p> <p>A.M. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.M. Koparkar/P.S. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since, learned P.O. already appeared in M.A. No.435/2022 for condonation of delay which is allowed today the issuance of notice of O.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>3. Four weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022 M.A. No.538 of 2022 in O.A. No.899 of 2022</p> <p>K.A. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of five months and 10 days caused in filing this O.A. 3. Indeed, the Applicant has also filed an O.A. No.561/2021 which is also on today's board challenging posting of Respondent No.4 at Rural Hospital, Girnare, Dist. Nashik which was sought by the Applicant. However, the Applicant has not challenged her transfer order dated 31.03.2021 whereby she was given posting at Sub District Hospital, Shirpur, District-Dhule in that O.A. 4. Perusal of record of O.A. No.561/21 reveals that the Tribunal has raised question for not challenging transfer order of the Applicant dated 31.03.2021. It is on this background the Applicant has filed this fresh O.A.(899/2022) to challenge transfer order dated 31.03.2021 along with Application for condonation of delay. 5. Learned P.O. opposed the Application for condonation of delay. 6. As stated above, the Applicant has already challenged transfer and posting order of Respondent No.4 at Rural Hospital, Girnare, Dist. Nashik which was sought by her. However, she was given posting at Sub District Hospital, Shirpur, District-Dhule by order dated 31.03.2021. 7. Indeed, transfer order dated 31.03.2021 ought to have been challenged in O.A. No.561/2021 itself. Be that as it may, since, O.A. No.561/2021 is already subjudice, I am inclined to condone delay caused in challenging order dated 31.03.2021. 8. In view of above, M.A. No.538/2022 is allowed with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 13.09.2022

O.A. No.899 of 2022

K.A. SalunkeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This O.A needs to be heard along with O.A. No.561/2021 in which pleading are already complete. Insofar as this O.A. No.899/2022 is concerned, Respondents are required to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
3. Three weeks time is granted to file the same.
4. S.O. to 04.10.2022 along with O.A. No.561/2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.529 of 2022</p> <p>M.M. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.341 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.N. DeoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.857 of 2021</p> <p>L.P. Gaikwad & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.473 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. HaraleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for hearing on the point of maintainability in absence of filing appeal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.534 of 2022 in O.A. No.980 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. U. YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.J. Lavte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for restoration of O.A. No.980/2021 which is dismissed in default on 02.09.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he was unwell, and therefore could not remain present.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, O.A. is restored for decision on merit. Order of dismissal in default dated 02.09.2022 is accordingly recalled.</p> <p>5. M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.980 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. U. YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.J. Lavte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of order passed in M.A. No.534/2022 this O.A. is restored.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.189 of 2020 <u>FOR SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES</u></p> <p>S.A. WarangApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This matter is taken up for Speaking to the minutes. 3. Learned P.O. pointed out that there is typographical mistake in operative order. While typing date is mentioned as 22.03.2015 though infact it should be 22.03.2017. This is the only correction required to be made in operative part of the Judgment dated 10.08.2022. 4. Perusal of Judgment reveals that there is a apparent typographical mistake in operative order and the date 22.03.2015 needs to be corrected as 22.03.2017. Hence, in Clause 'B' of operative order the date "22.03.2015" be corrected as "22.03.2017". 5. Necessary correction be made in Original Judgment. <p align="right">Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.911 of 2022 with O.A. No.912 of 2022 with O.A. No.913 of 2022 with O.A. No.919 of 2022</p> <p>F.G.R. Mukadam N.V. Gavali A.S. More P.N. ThakreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.2 and Shri Kunal Damale, learned Advocate for Respondent - MIDC.</p> <p>2. Insofar as O.A. No.919/2022 is concerned the Applicant has already joined Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC) through Chief Executive Officer (CEO) as Respondent No.3 for whom Adv. Kunal Damle appeared. He raised grievance that MIDC is necessary party in O.A. No.911/22, 912/22 & 913/22.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the Applicant is directed to implead MIDC through CEO having its office at Marole, Industrial Estate, Andheri (E), Mumbai-93 as Respondent No.3. Necessary amendment be carried out in O.A. No.911/22, 912/22 & 913/22.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. for Respondent No.1 & Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.2 sought time to make submission on the point of interim relief. With the consent of all the Advocates, matter is adjourned for tomorrow to consider interim relief.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.543 of 2022 in O.A. No.901 of 2022</p> <p>Maharashtra Industrial Develop. CorporationApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kunal Damale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.543/2022, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. No.901/2022, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 in O.A. No.901/2022 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.2 in O.A. No.901/2022.</p> <p>2. M.A. No.543/22 is filed to implead MIDC and to modify interim relief granted by the Tribunal on 08.09.2022 in O.A. No.901/2022</p> <p>3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly concedes to join MIDC as Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. In view of above, MIDC to join as Respondent No.3, necessary amendment be carried out in O.A. This O.A. be kept tomorrow with the connected O.A. No.911/2022 and Ors. for hearing on the point of interim relief.</p> <p>5. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.09.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A164/2022 in O.A 935, 936 & 937/2019</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>T.P. Rathod ... Respondents (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents). None present for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O informs that the above Original Applications are decided by M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench by order dated 4.5.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of this Misc Application is rejected.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.09.2022</u></p> <p><u>M.A 164/2022 in O.A 675 & 1087/2019 & 978/2020 (Nagpur Bench)</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Shri J.J Sukhdeve & Ors ... Respondents (Ori Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Applicants (Ori Respondents) and advocate for the applicant and Shri S.P Palshikar, learned advocate for the Respondents (Ori Applicants).</p> <p>2. This Misc Application is moved by the Applicants (Ori Respondents) for transferring the Original Applications from Nagpur Bench to Mumbai Bench.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submits that all these matters pertain to punishment imposed after completion of the departmental enquiry by the Disciplinary Authority. Therefore, it is convenient for the Respondent-State to take up the matter before one Forum at Mumbai Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the Respondents (Ori Applicants) submits that the applicants are objecting the transfer of the Original Applications from Nagpur to Mumbai on the ground that it is not convenient economically, financially and timewise. Learned counsel further submitted that it is not one common departmental enquiry, but there are different departmental enquiries against the different applicants.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions made by both the parties, I find no just and good ground for transfer of the Original Applications from Nagpur to Mumbai.</p> <p>6. M.A 164/2022 to that extent is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.09.2022</u></p> <p>M.A 227/2022 in O.A 935, 940/2020 with O.A 858, 930, 977, 1146 & 1147/2021 (Nagpur Bench) in O.A 36, 492 & 587/2021 (Aurangabad Bench).</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents (Ori Applicants)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for the Respondent (Ori Applicant) in O.A 36 /2021.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that pursuant to the order dated 13.2.2022 of this Tribunal in O.A 848/2018, the seniority list of Civil Engineering Assistant in the Public Works Department was modified as per the provisions of Rule 13 of the Civil Engineering Assistant (Qualifying Examination, Departmental Examination and Training) Rules, 2001. However, this modification in the seniority list led to the filing of various Original Applications before all the Benches.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O submitted that O.A 940/2020, 858/2021 and 977/2021 are already decided at M.A.T, Nagpur Bench. She prays that other O.A Nos 935/2020, 930, 1146 & 1147/2021 from M.A.T, Nagpur Bench and O.A Nos 36, 492 & 587/2021 from M.A.T, Aurangabad be transferred to the Principal Bench at Mumbai, so that the issue can be decided.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O further submitted that the matter which was decided at M.A.T, Nagpur Bench are having issues different to some extent and therefore that decision cannot be treated as precedent in the matter pending at Nagpur and Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O submits that affidavit of service in the Misc Application is filed on 24.5.2022.</p> <p>6. Learned counsel Mr Lonkar, appearing in O.A 36/2021 at M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench has no objection if the matter is transferred to Mumbai and tried here.</p> <p>7. The Registry is directed to call for the record and proceedings in O.A 36 /2021 from Aurangabad to Mumbai bench.</p> <p>8. The Registry is directed to inform the Registrar, M.A.T, Nagpur & Aurangabad Bench to find out and inform the applicants in the O.A Nos 935/2020, 930, 1146 & 1147/2021 at Nagpur and O.A 492 & 587/2021 at Aurangabad about the pendency of the present Misc Application at Mumbai Bench.</p> <p>9. The Misc Application will be heard on 27.9.2022 and the applicants can make arrangement for appointment of the learned counsel to appear before M.A.T, Mumbai.</p> <p>10. S.O to 27.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4 to the amendment made in O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.08 of 2022 in O.A. No.335 of 2020</p> <p>S.M. SatheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.M. Sathe, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2 separately. Those are taken on record.</p> <p>3. R.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.162 of 2022 in O.A. No.163 of 2022</p> <p>M.A. Inamdar, Legal Heirs, M.M. Inamdar & Ors., D.Y. Ghadage & Ors. Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in both the O.As. 4. S.O. to 20.09.2022. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.226 of 2022 to O.A. No.230 of 2022 with O.A. No.259 of 2022</p> <p>N.L. Yevage S.K. Randive P.R. Kadarkar S.A. Kamble D.N. Wable W.M. DsouzaApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer along with Smt. Archana B.K., Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Shri A.J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present. Affidavit-in-Reply is already filed in O.A. No.226/2022, O.A. No.228/2022 & O.A. No.230/2022 and today matter is for filing Reply in O.A. No.227/2022, O.A. No.229/2022 & O.A. No.259/2022 On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance. S.O. to 20.09.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.418 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. Mirajkar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply by way of most last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.535 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. ShaikhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.628 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. KumbharApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.641 of 2022</p> <p>T.T. Wangade & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.685 of 2022</p> <p>P.B. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.825 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. DhaktodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>