

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.607 OF 2017****V.P. Jagtap & Ors.****....Applicants.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman


DATE : 13.07.2017.


PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants prays for leave to amend to add party.
3. Leave to add party as prayed is granted.
4. Issue notice returnable on 18.08.2017.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. It shall suffice to direct the Additional Chief Secretary, Home to undertake following exercise :-
- (a) To call for all papers of present O.A..
 - (b) Personally read all papers.
 - (c) Understand the issue involved and isolate the point to be addressed.
 - (d) Understand the effect of judgment and order passed in O.A.No.695 of 2015, and find out whether the judgment is acquiesced and followed.
 - (e) Find out as to whether the Government Resolution dated 14.06.2017, Exhibit-A, in violative of the order passed by this Tribunal referred to foregoing paragraph.
10. After completing the exercise directed in foregoing paragraph, he shall decide as to whether the Government Resolution dated 16.06.2017 requires amendment and if the answer is in affirmative he shall take steps as may be necessary, preferably forthwith.
11. File his own affidavit as to course of action which he chooses to adopt.
12. We hope a prudent decision and action by and on the part of the Additional Chief Secretary, Home.
13. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
14. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..
15. S.O. to 18.08.2017.


 (Rajiv Agarwal)
 Vice-Chairman


 (A.H. Joshi)
 Chairman

prk

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FAKAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/7/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>MA is allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date : 13.07.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.275 of 2017 in O.A.No.607 of 2017</p> <p>V.P. Jagtap & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. 5. M.A. is allowed. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/7/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Mrs. Shri. RAHVAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.B. Kololgi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 3/8/17</u></p>	<p><u>O.A.461/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. R.R. Gawali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>The request for further time to file reply is turned down because last chance was already given. It is, however, made clear that, on the next date, when the matter was called out for the hearing, the reply is filed, it will be taken on record, but no further adjournment will be given. The OA is admitted and appointed for final hearing to 3rd August, 2017.</p> <p>S.O. to 3rd August, 2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 13.07.2017 13.7.17</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/7/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>3/8/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.611/2017</u></p> <p>Mr. N.A. Polane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Mr. A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>The Applicant is currently under suspension. He is an Inspector of Motor Vehicles. With regard to some incident at Nagpur a few years ago, he was suspended at Kolhapur and the order of head quarter during suspension has been ear-marked in Mumbai. I do not think, this to be correct approach. Going by the GAD G.R. dated 19.3.2008 referred to by me in OA 284/2017 (Dr. M.A. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra and others, dated 24.4.2017), the base of the Applicant during suspension will have to be Kolhapur from which station, he had been suspended. As and by way of interim relief, I direct that, till further orders, the head quarter of the Applicant shall be Kolhapur (during suspension). The compliance be made within a period of one week from today. The OA stands adjourned for reply to 3rd August, 2017. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 13.07.2017</p> <p style="text-align: right;">17</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.632 of 2017

Shri V.A. Deshpande ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned C.P.O. is being instructed by Shri N.N. Kamble, Office Assistant, Integral Tribal Development Project, Nashik- Jawhar Road, Jawhar.

It appears to me infact that it may be possible to decide this OA itself at the stage of admission hearing. The Respondents must come prepared with Affidavit-in-Reply and or record to render assistance to this Tribunal.

Issue notice returnable on 20.07.2017 .

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 20.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 13.7.17
Member (J)
13.07.2017

DATE: 13/7/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. L. S. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N. K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 20/7/17

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>13/7/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Ag. to <u>3-8-17</u> before Hon. Division Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1195 of 2017</u></p> <p>Smt. U.A. Sonawale & Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K.Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The Applicants are allowed to implead the G.A.D. as party Respondent No.2 by the way of amendment within two weeks from today. A consolidated copy of the OA after amendment be filed and copy be served to other side and the newly added respondent also be served in accordance with rules. The office to ascertain the position with regard to matter ^{and} be placed before the Division Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Removed from the board.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) <u>15/7/17</u> Member (J) 13.07.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/7/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents holding for <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>Adj. To _____ D.A. is admitted. S.O. to 2/8/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FM </p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.107 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.A. Nalawade ... Applicant V/s. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Pleadings are complete. The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 02.08.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (R.B. Malik) 13-7-17 Member (J) 13.07.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/7/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> S. R. Deshpande holding for S. Joshi Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt. Ms. S. Suryawansi C.O./P.O. for the Respondents holding for A. J. Chougale</p> <p>Rejoinder filed by Applicant. O.A. is admitted. S.O. to 7/8/17. FH</p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.149 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.R. Nagarkar ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Deshpande holding for Shri S. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawansi holding for Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record. The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 07.08.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 13.07.2017</p> <p align="right">1-17</p> <p align="center">(vsm)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/7/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIVAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>V.P. Potbhare</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.O./R.O. for the Respondents <u>O.A. is admitted.</u> <u>S.O. to 1/8/17.</u></p> <p align="right">FH </p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.286 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.N. Fugat ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S.Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The request for further time to file Affidavit-in-Reply is turned down because the last chance was already given. It is made, however, clear that on the next date when the matter is called out for hearing, if the reply is tendered, it will be taken on record but no adjournment shall be given for that purpose.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 01.08.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 13.07.2017</p> <p align="right">13.7.17</p>

(vsm)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>13/7/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt : <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt : <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>MA is allowed.</u></p>	<p>Date : 13.07.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.294 of 2017 in O.A.No.634 of 2017</p> <p>S.D. Sutar & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.634 OF 2017****S.D. Sutar & Ors.****....Applicants.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman

DATE : 13.07.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants prays for leave to amend and undertakes to carry out the amendment within one week.
3. Leave to amend as prayed is granted.
4. Issue notice returnable on 16.09.2017.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. Heard on the point of interim relief.

10. Applicants have prayed interim relief as follows :-

“10. INTERIM RELIEF PRAYED FOR

a) The Hon. Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondent No.1 & 2 to allow the Applicants, who have submitted their application form to appear for the Limited Departmental Competitive examination-2017 subject to outcome of this Original Application.

b) The Hon. Tribunal further be pleased to direct the Respondent No.1 and 2 to extend the date of submission of application form for the examination namely Limited Departmental Examination-2017 for the post of Maharashtra Education Services, Group-B (Administrative Branch) in respect of the Applicants i.e. Asst. teacher / primary teacher working under the Zilla Parishad who have not submitted their forms and allow them to appear in the examination till final disposal of this Original Application.”

(Quote paragraph 10 (a) and (b), page 12 of O.A. paper book)

11. Paragraph 10(a) of O.A. paper book relates to applicants 1 to 8 and 10(b) relates to applicants 9 to 11.

12. Applicants No.9 to 11 have not shown as to what has precluded them from submitting applications to Maharashtra Public Service Commission for admission to the examination. Therefore, any relief for the applicant nos.9, 10 and 11 cannot be considered.

13. In so far as applicant nos.1 to 8 are concerned they are serving as Teachers in primary schools of Zilla Parishad. They claim that they fall in the category of District Technical Services, Group-C, and that they are eligible to apply, however by impugned communications it is notified that Primary Teachers serving in Zilla Parishad are not eligible to apply, because promotion to Deputy Education Officers are not given to the Primary Teachers.

14. It, prima facie, appears that no intelligible differentia is seen as to the reasons due to which Primary Teachers who possess prescribed educational qualification can be excluded from the field of consideration for limited departmental examination channel.

15. Therefore, applicant nos.1 to 8 have made out case for grant of interim relief to the extent that they be admitted to examination and allowed to appear. Their results may be withheld till next hearing.

16. Respondent-State shall file affidavit within four weeks.


17. We therefore, grant interim relief of foregoing paragraph 15 with notice of show case as to why interim relief as prayed may not be granted.

18. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

19. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

20. S.O. to 16.09.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarkar)
Vice-Chairman


Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.607 OF 2017****V.P. Jagtap & Ors.****....Applicants.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman

DATE : 13.07.2017.

PER : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants prays for leave to amend to add party.
3. Leave to add party as prayed is granted.
4. Issue notice returnable on 18.08.2017.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. It shall suffice to direct the Additional Chief Secretary, Home to undertake following exercise :-

- (a) To call for all papers of present O.A..
- (b) Personally read all papers.
- (c) Understand the issue involved and isolate the point to be addressed.
- (d) Understand the effect of judgment and order passed in O.A.No.695 of 2015, and find out whether the judgment is acquiesced and followed.
- (e) Find out as to whether the Government Resolution dated 14.06.2017, Exhibit-A, in violative of the order passed by this Tribunal referred to foregoing paragraph.

10. After completing the exercise directed in foregoing paragraph, he shall decide as to whether the Government Resolution dated 16.06.2017 requires amendment and if the answer is in affirmative he shall take steps as may be necessary, preferably forthwith.

11. File his own affidavit as to course of action which he chooses to adopt.

12. We hope a prudent decision and action by and on the part of the Additional Chief Secretary, Home.

13. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

14. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..

15. S.O. to 18.08.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.07.2017.

O.A.No,646 of 2017

C.S. ChoudhariApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, the learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandeep G. Kulkarni, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 28.07.2017

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 28.07.2017.

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 13/7/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri Smt. S.D. Dhongde Lt. Adv.
holding for adv. S.G. Kulkarni
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.A.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 28/7/2017.

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/7/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadker Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 11/9/2017..... Ad-interim order shall continue till next date. BTE</p>	<p>Date : 13.07.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.96 of 2017</p> <p>A.B. Dalvi & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants states that he shall file rejoinder during the course of the day and prays to adjourn the hearing.</p> <p>3. Ad-interim order shall continue till next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 11.09.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/7/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>6/9/2017.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.640 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.V. Deshmukh & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 6.9.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 6.9.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 13.7.2017 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 13.7.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.295 of 2017 in O.A. No.640 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.V. Deshmukh & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p>
<p>DATE: <u>13/7/2017</u></p>	
<p>CORAM:</p>	
<p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member) A</p>	
<p>APPEARANCE:</p>	
<p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p>	<p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 13.7.2017</p>
<p>Advocate for the Respondent/s <u>Archana B.K.</u></p>	<p>Sd/- (A. I. Joshi) (J.) Chairman 13.7.2017</p>
<p>Adj. To: <u>MA disposed off accordingly.</u></p>	<p>(sg)</p>

Handwritten initials

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/7/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>11/9/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><u>BZ</u></p>	<p>Date : 13.07.2017.</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.50 of 2017.</p> <p>H.S. KoliApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he shall file rejoinder during the course of the day and prays to adjourn the hearing.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 11.09.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

MA. 296/17 In
Original Application No. 616 of 2017

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate Mr. P.N. Pati)

Mr. N.J. Bharmale

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>13/7/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Aggarwal (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>H.S. Deshmukh</u> Ld. Adv. Holding for adv. P.N. Pati for Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> Counsel for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>MA is allowed.</u></p>	<p>Heard</p> <p>This M.A. is for leave to amend. Draft amendment is annexed to MA. OA is yet awaiting admission hearing.</p> <p>Hence in the interest of justice MA for leave to amend is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- <u>V.C.</u> 13/7/2017</p> <p>Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> 13/7/2017</p>