

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
actions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 13.06.2024

O.A. No.282 of 2024

Dr. R.S. Satpute

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 - Intervener.

2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit' dated 11.06.2024 on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant stated that various issues relating to challenge of Transfer Order dated 28.02.2024 of Applicant from post of 'Additional C.E.O, Z.P. Thane' raised in O.A. No.282 of 2024 have been heard at length during the course of hearing of M.A. No.154/2024.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then stated that by Order dated 28.03.2024 in M.A. No.154/2024; the 'Intervener' was made Respondent No.5. Further as arguments on behalf of Applicant are complete in O.A. No.282 of 2024, the case of Applicant be decided by considering all facts including findings recorded in Order dated 28.03.2024 in M.A. No.154/2021 and 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' dated 06.03.2024.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. ~~Learned Advocate for Respondent No.5~~ thereupon states that no further arguments are proposed to be made and therefore O.A. No.282 of 2024 which also challenges transfer of Respondent No.5 in place of Applicant to post of 'Additional C.E.O., Z.P. Thane' be decided based on points of law as well as 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 07.05.2024 of Respondent No.5 and averments made in (a) Short Affidavit dated 04.03.2024 & (b) Short Affidavit dated 11.06.2024 of 'Respondent No.1'.

6. 'Interim Relief' to thus continue and Applicant permitted to serve on post of 'Additional C.E.O., Z.P. Thane' till pronouncement of Judgment in this O.A. No.282/2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

Date: 13.06.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Q.A. No. 1540 of 2023

K.K. KhedkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that so far no information has been received from office of 'Additional Chief Secretary Home Department' with reference to directions in 'Para 5 & Para 6' of Order dated 02.05.2024.
3. Learned P.O. also states that no information has yet been received from office of 'Additional Chief Secretary Home Department' regarding directions given in 'Para 5 & Para 6' of Order dated 02.05.2024.
4. The request of Applicant came to be rejected by 'Home Department' letter dated 18.10.2023 addressed to 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State'. She is desirous of joining the establishment of 'Spl. IGP Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur' as her 'Husband' is serving in 'Government Aided Private School' in 'Kolhapur District'.
5. The case of Applicant and those of others in cadre of 'Clerk Typist' may have been decided by 'Home Department' without knowledge about Order passed in O.A. 73/2020 on 04.07.2022 as recorded in 'Para 4' of Order dated 02.05.2024.
6. The case of Applicant is therefore required to be expeditiously reviewed by Home Department'. Thus it is directed that 'Under Secretary, Home Department' dealing with the subject of 'Inter-Establishment' transfers of 'Clerk Typists' be informed to remain present on 'Next Date' with information of what action has been taken in respect of directions in (i) 'Para 3' of Order dated 24.01.2024 and in (ii) 'Para 5 & Para 6' of Order dated 02.05.2024.
7. 'Interim Relief' granted on 13.12.2023 to keep 'One Post' of 'Clerk Typist' vacant to continue being implemented by 'Spl. IGP Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur'.
8. S.O. to 20.06.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.708 of 2024</p> <p>O.R. DivateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K. S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has been working on the post of 'Municipal Commissioner; Ichalkaranji Municipal Corporation, District Kolhapur' as per 'Transfer Order' dated 06.07.2023 of Urban Development Department.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has been transferred 'Mid Tenure' and 'Mid Term' by 'Transfer Order' dated 12.06.2024 of Urban Development Department and Respondent No.2 who was serving on post of 'Joint Commissioner, (District Administration Officer-Group A) in office of 'District Collector, Satara' has been posted in place of Applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant also drew attention to 'Transfer Order' dated 26.10.2023 of Urban Development Department by which Respondent No.2 had been posted as 'Joint Commissioner, (District Administration Officer-Group A) in the office of 'District Collector, Satara' to stress on the point that she is</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

servicing in cadre of 'Chief Officers- Group A' whereas the Applicant is senior to 'Respondent No.2' being in 'Selection Grade' of 'Chief Officers – Group A as per GR dated 22.02.2022 of Urban Development Department.

5. Learned PO based on information from officer present on behalf of Urban Development Department alongwith 'File Notings' states that reason for transfer of Applicant from post of 'Municipal Commissioner; Ichalkaranji Municipal Corporation, District Kolhapur' was the letter written by 'MLA' of '279 Ichalkaranji Legislative Assembly Constituency' on 01.03.2024 to 'Hon'ble Chief Minister Government of Maharashtra'.

6. Learned PO further states that on letter of 'MLA' of '279 Ichalkaranji Legislative Assembly Constituency' written on 01.03.2024; certain directions had been given to 'Principal Secretary Urban Development Department by 'Hon'ble Chief Minister Government of Maharashtra'. So factual position about 'Applicant' and 'Respondent No.2' was submitted and further orders sought from 'Hon'ble Chief Minister Government of Maharashtra' who is both 'Competent Transferring Authority' as well as next 'Superior Transferring Authority' under 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.

7. The 'Hon'ble Chief Minister Government of Maharashtra' as per 'File Notings' submitted on 30.05.2024 had directed on 11.06.2024 that Respondent No.2 be posted as 'Municipal Commissioner Ichalkaranji Municipal Corporation, District Kolhapur' and accordingly 'Transfer Order' dated 12.06.2024 of Applicant and Respondent No.2 have been issued by Urban Development Department.

8. The transfer of Respondent No.2 to post held by Applicant as 'Municipal Commissioner, Ichalkaranji Municipal Corporation, District Kolhapur' is without any recommendation of 'CSB' of Urban Development Department. Further, it is pertinent to note that 'Transfer Order' dated 12.06.2024 of Urban Development Department came to be issued

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>notwithstanding the fact that both Applicant and Respondent No.2 had not completed 'Normal Tenures' of 3 Years under 'Section 3 (1)' and yet they have been transferred without recording of any 'Special Reasons' or 'Exceptional Circumstances' as required to be done in exercise of 'Statutory Powers' under 'Section 4(4)' and 'Section 4(5)' by the 'Competent Transfer Authority' and next 'Superior Transfer Authority'. Hence the 'Transfer Order' dated 12.06.2024 of Urban Development Department of both Applicant and Respondent No.2 '<i>prima facie</i>' have been issued in gross contravention of letter and spirit of 'Section 4(4)' and 'Section 4(5)' of the 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. The guidelines laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in landmark Judgment of 'T.S.R. Subramaniam V/s. The Union of India' dated 13.08.2013 have also not been observed besides several 'Judicial Observations' of 'Hon'ble Bombay High Court' have been side stepped to exercise 'Statutory Powers' under 'Section 4(4)' and 'Section 4(5)' 'Competent Transfer Authority' and next 'Superior Transfer Authority'. Hence at this stage 'Balance of Convenience' lies with Applicant for grant of 'Interim Relief'.</p> <p>9. The Applicant is therefore permitted to continue to hold post of 'Municipal Commissioner, Ichalkaranji Municipal Corporation, District Kolhapur'. Further; if 'Respondent No.2' has been relieved as per Transfer Order dated 12.06.2024 of Urban Development Department, she may choose to join back on earlier post of 'Joint Commissioner, (District Administration Officer, Group-A) in the office of 'District Collector Satara'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10. The grant of 'Interim Relief' to Applicant be conveyed immediately by learned PO to 'Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department'.</p> <p>11. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>12. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.07.2024.</p> <p>13. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>14. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>15. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>16. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>17. S.O. to 11.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  Debashish Chakrabarty Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1081 of 2023 with O.A. No.547 of 2024</p> <p>R.D. GhunkikarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant was placed under 'Suspension' by 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg' by Order dated 16.04.2024 which has been challenged in O.A. No.547/2024.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that Applicant had earlier filed O.A. No.1081/2023 regarding his 'Transfer' from post of 'R.F.O. Kankavali, Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg' for which 'Interim Relief' was granted to Applicant on 24.08.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant was placed under 'Suspension' by the 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg' on 16.04.2024 under the erroneous believe that such 'Statutory Power' had been vested upon by 'Revenue & Forest Department G.R. dated 01.01.2021'. The 'Revenue & Forest Department G.R. dated 01.01.2021' relates to various authorities of 'Forest Department' who have been designated as 'Heads of Department' as under 'Rule 9(22)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Service), Rules, 1981'. Therefore Order dated</p>

16.04.2024 of 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg' about 'Suspension' of Applicant is patently bad in law as under provisions of 'Rule 4(1)(a)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'.

5. Learned P.O. emphasized that Applicant has been placed under 'Suspension' by Order dated 16.04.2024 of 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg' in exercise of 'Statutory Powers' delegated to 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg' as per 'Revenue & Forest Department G.R. dated 01.01.2021'.

6. Learned P.O. then produces copy of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' which had been filed by 'Principal Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department' in O.A. No.179/2021 on 05.08.2022 based on 'Revenue & Forest Department G.R. dated 01.01.2021' to justify correctness of the order of 'Suspension' which had been passed by 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial) Thane'.

7. Learned P.O. also states that there are two other 'Judgments' based on same aspects viz. (a) O.A. No.820/2022 dated 24.11.2022 and (b) O.A. No.237/2023 dated 03.07.2023.

8. Learned P.O. emphasizes that these 'Judgments' have not been challenged by concerned Applicants and therefore holds grounds and becomes applicable to the present case of Applicant who has filed O.A. No.547/2024.

9. The contentions of learned Advocate for Applicant and learned P.O. can be considered in due course; but it is imperative at this stage to refer back to 'Statutory Powers' relating to 'Suspension' of 'Government Servants' under 'Rule 4(1)(a)' of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' which reads as follows:-

4. Suspension – (1) *The appointing authority or any authority to which the appointing authority is subordinate or the disciplinary authority or any other authority empowered in that behalf by the Governor by general or special order may place a Government servant under suspension-*

The plain reading of 'Rule 4(1)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979' makes it apparent that such 'Statutory Powers' are specifically vested with only 'Appointing Authority' or any 'Authority Superior' to 'Appointing Authority' or 'Disciplinary Authority' or such 'Other Authority' who is empowered in that behalf by 'General Order' or 'Special Order'. Hence declaration of certain 'Senior Officers' of 'Forest Department' as 'Heads of Department' under 'Rule 9(22)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Service), Rules, 1981' does not '*ipso-facto*' mean that they all stand empowered under 'Rule 4(1)(a)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'.

10. The 'Principal Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department' to thus informed to diligently peruse provisions of 'Rule 4(1)(a)' of 'Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979'; notwithstanding earlier 'Affidavits-in-Reply' that have been filed in O.A. No.1081/2023 or O.A. No.820/2022 or O.A. No.237/2023, so as to arrive at an informed conclusion as to whether action taken by 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg' to place Applicant under 'Suspension' on 16.04.2024 can be sustained in eyes of law and if not whether 'Forest Department' would choose to take remedial course of action under 'Rule 5(a)' read with 'Rule 5(c)' of the 'Maharashtra Civil Services (General Conditions of Service), Rules, 1981' to revoke order of 'Suspension' of Applicant passed on 16.04.2024 by 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg'; so that accordingly prayers of 'Interim Relief' which have been kept open can be heard on 20.06.2024.

11. 'Interim Relief' granted in O.A. No.1081/2023 about 'Transfer' of Applicant from post of 'R.F.O. Kankavali (Territorial)' Sawantwadi Forest Division, District Sindhudurg' to be continued but in state of redundancy till further decision is taken about grant of 'Interim Relief' in O.A. No.547/2024.

12. S.O. to 20.06.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.538 of 2024</p> <p>Y.R. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned PO presents 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development, Thane'. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted. 4. O.A. No.538 of 2024 is admitted and kept for 'Final Hearing'. 5. S.O. to 20.06.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.479 of 2024</p> <p>A.M. KhobragadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarang Gundajkar holding for Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Medical Education and Research, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1056 of 2023</p> <p>N.P. TummevarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.07.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.877 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1617 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri P.P. Deokar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in O.A. No. 877/2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.07.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.818 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. D.A. ShengalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.691/2024

R.P. Sable & Anr. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. This matter be tagged with OA 1479/2023.
3. Issue notice returnable on 20.06.2024.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
8. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. S.O. to 20th June, 2024.

sd/
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

sd/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1301 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.D. Kaushal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.7.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.119 of 2024 in O.A. No.234 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant who is working as Sales Tax Inspector is aggrieved by excess recovery order dated 20.9.2022 whereby his salary has been refixed as per Rule 11 of MCS (Pay) Rules and recovery has been issued. He states that in similar facts a judgment and order dated 22.4.2022 has been passed by this Tribunal in OAs No.475/2019 & 894/2021 and recovery is stayed while dismissing the OAs. He states that his case is identical to OA No.894/2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO is directed to verify if the facts are identical.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.6.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1110 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Ulalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Darshana Kadekot holding for Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.639 of 2023 in O.A. No.1110 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.D. Ulalkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Darshana Kadekot holding for Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder. Ld. Advocate prays for condonation of delay of 4 months and submits that the case of the applicant is relating to pension and pensionary benefits and therefore there is continuous cause of action and hence there is no delay.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA.</p> <p>4. However, in view of the facts stated above, the delay is condoned. MA is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.698 of 2024

S.S. Kamble ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
13.6.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.686 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.B. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.648 of 2024

R.R. Nandanwar

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant retired as Executive Engineer, Soil & Water Conservation Department on 31.3.2023. He has been granted pensionary benefit however regular pension and gratuity remains to be given. He states that no Departmental Enquiry or charge sheet was issued to him prior to his retirement. Ld. PO is directed to take instructions as to whether any criminal case or charge sheet has been issued to the applicant prior to retirement.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.6.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)

Member (A)

13.6.2024

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.637 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.D. Mohare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.636 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 9.7.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.502/2024

B.S. Dusane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Learned PO is filing Affidavit-in-Reply today.
3. S.O. to 27th June, 2024.

SK
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

SK
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.675/2024

S.K. Shinde ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 18th June, 2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.676/2024

Dr. V.N. Palange

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 21.06.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 21th June, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.691/2024**R.P. Sable & Anr.****... Applicants****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This matter be tagged with OA 1479/2023.

3. S.O. to 20th June, 2024.

skw
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

skw
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.324/2024 in O.A.695/2024

Dr. K.B. Mithari & Anr. ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.

2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.

SKW
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

SKW
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.695/2024

Dr. K.B. Mithari & Anr. ... Applicants
Vs. ... Respondents
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 21.06.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 21st June, 2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A.110/2024 in O.A.220/2024

S.B. Yadav**... Applicant****Vs.****The State of Mah. & Ors.****... Respondents**

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.

2. In this matter, the cause of action arosed on 27.05.2019. The punishment from removal from service was modified to punishment of Rs. 5,000/- by way of penalty in appeal. As per judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, the period of limitation was extenxed from 20.03.2020 to 28.02.2022.

3. S.O. to 4th July, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.258/2024 in O.A.546/2024

S.K. Navtake ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. In this matter, the delay is of 3 Years and 7 Months. Affidavit-in-Reply is awaited.
3. S.O. to 4th July, 2024 for Reply.

sd/
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

sd/
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.463/2024

S.M. Dabade & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.
2. Learned CPO is filing Affidavit-in-reply today.
3. S.O. to 25th June, 2024.

sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.06.2024

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.06.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.41 of 2024 with O.A. No.42 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.G. Bharsakle Dr. S.A.SyedApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that he will file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.06.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

O.A.No.1580/2023

A.S. Jadhav

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Adjourned to 20.06.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

O.A.No.1530/2023

V.B. Kendre

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S.
Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has submitted the
Respondents No.1 and 2 have not filed reply.

3. Last chance granted to Respondents No.1
and 2 to file reply.

4. Adjourned to 04.07.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2024

O.A.No.1495/2023

B.D. Shelar

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S.
Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

2. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

3. Adjourned to 18.07.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

**O.A.No.1326/2023 with O.A.No.1327/2023 with
O.A.No.1328/2023**

J.M. Ganvir
V.B. Avhad
K.R. Muthe
Vs.

.Applicants

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Yashaswi Pande holding for Mr. P. Avhad, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Admit. Place for Final hearing.

3. Adjourned to 21.06.2024. HOB.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

O.A.No.1208/2023

S.C. Chavan .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.
Manchekar, learned chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned
to 04.07.2024 for filing rejoinder.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

O.A.No.1001/2023

S.D. Davbhat & Ors. .Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 18.07.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

O.A.No.255/2023

A.S. Chavan

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar,
learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P.
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

2. Admit. Place for Final hearing.

3. Adjourned to 11.07.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

M.A.No.264/2024 in O.A.No.558/2020

P.R. Thakur .Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P.
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned
to 27.06.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

M.A.No.86/2024 in O.A.No.849/2023

S.D. Bhagat

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 04.07.2024 for fling rejoinder.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

M.A.No.67/2024 in O.A.No.567/2019

S.P. Aher & Ors. .Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 27.06.2024

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

**M.A.No.730/2023 in O.A.No.1439/2023 with
M.A.No.731/2023 in O.A.No.1440/2023**

R.K. Deorukhkar

M.M. More

.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. M.As are filed seeking condonation of delay in filing O.As. Applicants challenge cancellation of Selection to the post of Constable. On 26.12.2017 Applicant's candidature was rejected. O.As are filed on 07.11.2023. Applicants submitted representation on 03.12.2017 requesting to consider the case for appointment to the post of Police Constable under the Police Child Category. Applicants applied under RTI on 26.05.2017 seeking copy of G.Rs referred in the impugned communication. Thereafter on 21.07.2017 Applicants filed RTI appeal seeking the G.Rs. On 15.09.2017 it was informed to the Applicants that the documents are not available since they were lost in the fire of Mantralaya. Thereafter the Applicants again pursued for the documents under RTI, therefore he could not file O.A. in the year 2017 and 2018.

3. Learned Counsel has submitted that similarly situated candidates had filed O.A.No.1005/2017 and the said matter was allowed by judgment / order dated 25.08.2023, P.B. Dhanawade Vs. The Commissioner of Police & Anr. Learned Counsel has submitted that on the basis of the judgment in O.A.No.1005/2017 applicants preferred representations on 13.09.2023 and 15.09.2023 before Respondents No.2 and 1 respectively.

4. Learned P.O. has submitted that on 26.12.2017 representation of the applicants was decided. Learned P.O. has relied on the order dated 04.01.2024 passed M.A.No.779/2023 in O.A.No.1569/2023, N.D. Bidkar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

5. Adjourned to 14.06.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2024

M.A.268/2024 (Mum) in O.A.207/2023 (Nag)

S.A. Patil (M.A.268/2024)

D.J. Khonde (O.A.No.207/2023) ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Ravi Shetty, learned Senior Counsel along with Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.268/2024, Mr. Sandesh Shukla a/w. Mr. Vivek Patil i/b. Mr. Vivek Patil & Asso. for Respondents and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Dere is directed to serve copy of M.A.No.268/2024 to learned C.P.O. and all other concern forthwith.

3. Adjourned to 14.06.2024 at 10.15 a.m.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.6 of 2020 in O.A. No.1044 of 2017</u></p> <p>Hemant Chopade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.D. Kurane holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on board. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files an application duly signed by the Applicant and the Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and seeks leave to withdraw the above CA. The same is taken on record and marked as Court Exhibit-1 for identification.</p> <p>4. Leave granted. CA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024 Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.186 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.H. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above matter is already adjourned on board and scheduled on 25.7.2024.</p> <p>3. Shri U.V. Bhosle, Ld. Advocate submits that he has been appointed as Advocate on behalf of the applicant in the above matter and he is filing his Vakalatnama. Applicant- Ms. Aasma Hussain Shaikh, who is present in the Court, confirms the same. Vakalatnama is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate Shri U.V. Bhosle is requested to produce the NOC from the previous advocate on record. Ld. Advocate Shri Bhosle states that previous advocate is not responding. Ld. Advocate to take steps and write a letter to the previous advocate on record.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 25.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2024</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OAs No.361, 362, 505, 506 of 2022,</u> <u>OAs No.108, 109, 468, 469 of 2020,</u> <u>OAs No.569, 570 of 2022</u></p> <p>L.K. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all these matters the applicants have challenged the impugned orders passed by Dy. Director of Sports invalidating the sports certificate and also the show cause notice. In First Appeals filed by the applicant, the Joint Director, Sports has confirmed the order passed by Dy. Director. Thereafter the applicants have filed Second Appeals before the Commissioner of Sports, which are pending.</p> <p>3. Under these circumstances when other forum is used and the alternate remedy is available, it is improper to file these OA in this Tribunal. There is impropriety to file these OAs when the said issue is pending before the second appellate authority i.e. Commissioner, Sports on this ground.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that interim relief granted by this Tribunal by order dated 3.8.2022 in OA No.361/2022 and by order dated 29.7.2022 in OAs No.108/2020, 109/2020, 468/2020, 469/2020, 569/2022 & 570/2022 be continued.</p> <p>5. The interim relief granted in the above OAs, to continue for ten days after the order in second appeal is communicated to the applicants.</p> <p>6. With these observations, the OAs are dismissed. No orders as to cost.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.242 & 789 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.K. Bolbhat S.K. Pawar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2024. We direct the Ld. Advocate for the applicants to be ready in the matter.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1078 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.A. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks extension of time to carry out the amendment.</p> <p>3. Extension of time granted.</p> <p>4. To be placed before the Second DB. Registry to take note.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1139 of 2023 with</u> <u>O.A. No.670/2023</u></p> <p>Dr. S.K. Phule R.H. Metkar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Daksha Punghera holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant on VC in OA No.1139/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for applicant in OA No.670/2023.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.245 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.R. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 20.6.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.840, 915, 758, 759, 799, 1067/2017</u></p> <p>P.V. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.D. Kurane & Smt. S.S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocates for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Suryawanshi, Ld. Advocate for Applicant in OA No.1067/2017 seeks extension of time to carry out the amendment.</p> <p>3. Extension of time granted.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO seeks time. Ld. Advocate for the applicant Shri Kurane states that he is not available for one month and seeks adjournment.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2024</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.06.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 72/2023</u></p> <p>M.D Shende & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondent-State is called upon to show and justify how the other candidates having lesser marks than the applicants were appointed at Pune and Palghat and they are also called upon to produce the Minutes of the meeting or policy decision about fixing the criteria for merit or cut-off marks.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.6.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2024

O.A.No.245/2021

J.S. Sathe .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana
B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Concern Officers from Public Works
Department are directed to remain present with file
tomorrow.

3. Adjourned to 14.06.2024.

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

SD/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.750/2023</p> <p>B.P. Dhage .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Mr. S.D. Patil / Mr. A.S. Pawar, learned Counsel for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 27.06.2024. Interim Relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p>SD/- SD/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2024

**C.A.No.41/2021 in O.A.No.1052/2016 with
M.A.No.700/2019 in R.A.No.23/2019 in
O.A.No.1052/16 with R.A.No.23/2019 in
O.A.No.1052/2016**

V.S. Patil

V.S. Kalekar

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant in C.A.No.41/21 in O.A.No.1052/16 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Ms. Bhagyashree Upadhyay, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.700/2019 is absent.

3. In view that order passed by this Tribunal is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the said Writ Petition is pending, C.A. is adjourned to 25.07.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

C.A.No.30/2021 in O.A.No.970/2014

V.D. Shinde .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned
to 18.06.2024.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

C.A.No.73/2023 in O.A.No.733/2022

R.H. Arsidh

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. In view that order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.733/2022 is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the said Writ Petition is pending, C.A. is adjourned to 25.07.2024

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

C.A.No.31/2024 in O.A.No.394/2022

D.K. Kokane .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant has not been granted deemed date of promotion.

3. Learned P.O. is directed to decide the same and report on the next date.

4. Adjourned to 20.06.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

C.A.No.8/2024 in O.A.No.465/2017

G.R. Karpe

Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that order has been complied by M.P.S.C.. However, Government is yet to comply the order.

3. In view of above, Government is directed to comply with the order, otherwise Contempt Notice will be issued.

4. Adjourned to 20.06.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

C.A.No.50/2024 in O.A.No.162/2024

M.S. Radye

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned Counsel is directed to serve the copy of C.A. to the office of C.P.O. and all other concern.

3. Adjourned to 20.06.2024.

sd/

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

sd/

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance; Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

M.A.No.607/2022 in O.A.No.465/2020

G.Y. Deshpande .Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. Learned C.P.O. states that affidavit-in-reply is filed.
4. Adjourned to 04.07.2024, for rejoinder, if any.

sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

M.A.No.594/2023 in O.A.No.574/2023

S.I. Bhosale .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Learned C.P.O. informs that results of the next Advertisement is also declared in the month of April, 2024

3. Admit. M.A. and O.A. will be heard together. Adjourned to 04.07.2024. HOB.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.06.2024

M.A.No.719/2023 in O.A.No.1462/2023

G.S. Ahankari

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.

2. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Jagdale, adjourned to 27.06.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.485/2018</p> <p>K.S. Chougule .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. O.A. to adjourned to 18.07.2024 taken on board.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 20.06.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>