

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2023

M. A. No.148 of 2023 in O.A.No.260 of 2023

A. A. WankhedeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. On previous date, last chance was granted to file reply but the same is not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.

3. M.A. shall proceed without reply and be kept for hearing.

4. S.O. to 27.06.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.379/2023 in O.A.No.649/2023</p> <p>S.N. Bade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- Sd/-</p>
prk	<p align="center"> (Medha Gadgil Member (A)) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2023

C.A.No.80/2022 in O.A.No.879/2015

G.P. WadekarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that file is still pending with the Finance Department.

3. We direct Joint Secretary, Finance Department to remain present with detail affidavit showing movement of the file and the proposal prepared and also giving reason why this proposal was placed before the Hon'ble the Chief Minister in the month of April, 2023 i.e. one year after the order was passed.

4. Adjourned to ^{19.6.2023} 13.06.2023. //

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.370 of 2023 in O.A.No.1016 of 2018</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>C. S. Atkar ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents). The Respondent (Ori. Applicant) and his Counsel are absent.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for extension of time granted by the Tribunal in order dated 15.03.2023 passed in O.A. No.1016/2018. As per the order, the Respondents' were directed to recalculate the penal rent and take further necessary action within two months which was expired on 15.05.2023. However, this M.A. is filed on 06.06.2023 i.e. after expiration of two months time granted by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that the file was in process in various departments for filing W.P. and, therefore, seeking ^{fourth} time.</p> <p>4. As stated above, M.A. is filed after expiration of time limit given by the Tribunal in order dated 15.03.2023. Now, the period of near about three months is over but neither W.P. is filed nor order is complied with.</p> <p>5. The submission advanced by learned P.O. that time was consumed in processing the file in various departments is not acceptable since already two months time was granted by the Tribunal which was enough for necessary compliance. That apart, till date no W.P. is filed challenging the order passed by the Tribunal. Thus, apparently the Respondents have no regard to the orders passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. In view of above, I am not inclined to extend the time.</p> <p>7. M.A. No370/2023 is, therefore, dismissed, with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">R. A. No.02 of 2023 in O.A.No.356 of 2022</p> <p>R.S. Kore through legal heirs Smt. S. R. Kore Shri A. R. Kore ...Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Applicant (a) - Smt. Sunita Raosaheb Kore (widow of deceased Government servant) died recently during the pendency of R.A. Therefore, her name is required to be deleted since the son of deceased Government servant is already on record as Applicant (b)-Shri Arun R. Kore.</p> <p>3. Necessary correction be made in the title clause.</p> <p>4. R.A. be kept for hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.36 of 2023</p> <p>R. K. LakheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.06.2023. Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.152 of 2023</p> <p>M. T. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.326 of 2023</p> <p>S. S. TambeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1 and 3.</p> <p>3. Hence, the matter should proceed without reply against them.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission along with connected matters.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2023

O.A.No.346 of 2023

G. S. ShirkeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

3. Hence, O.A. should proceed without reply against them.

4. Two weeks time is granted to learned Counsel for the Applicant to file Rejoinder.

5. S.O. to 27.06.2023

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.374 of 2023</p> <p>K. M. SuravaseApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. The Hon'ble High Court has already granted interim stay to clause 'C' of order dated 28.03.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.63/2023. The W.P. is still subjudice and now kept for hearing on 30.06.2023.</p> <p>3. In view of above, hearing of this O.A. needs to be adjourned awaiting the decision of the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.07.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.366 of 2023</p> <p>K. S. WaghApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar holding for Shri K. B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to learned P.O. for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">R.A.No.05 of 2023 in O.A.No.834 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>S. B. Patil ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This R. A. is filed along with M.A. for condonation of delay which is allowed today, therefore, R.A. is required to be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>3. Two weeks' time is granted to Original Applicant to file reply to R.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.06.2023. [^] Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.240 of 2023 in R.A.No.05 of 2023 in O.A.No.834 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>S. B. Patil ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay of 116 days caused in filing R.A. and for review of order passed by the Tribunal on 30.09.2022 in O.A.No.834/2019.</p> <p>3. Though enough time is granted, no reply is filed to M.A. Since R.A. is filed by the Government with M.A. to condone the delay of 116 days, in the interest of justice, I am inclined to condone the delay so as to decide the R.A. on its own merit.</p> <p>4. In view of above, M.A. No.240/2023 is allowed and disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.397 of 2023</p> <p>A. B. JagtapApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 11.03.2023 whereby he was suspended in contemplation of D.E. The period of three months' time is already over.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought short time to ascertain about initiation of D.E. or review of suspension order.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has raised grievance of non-payment of subsistence allowance.</p> <p>5. The Respondent is directed to pay the same, if not paid and compliance be reported by next date.</p> <p>6. Two weeks' time is granted to file reply to learned P.O.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 16.06.2023. Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.375 of 2023</p> <p>U. K. Kor & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.391 of 2023</p> <p>N. D. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. B. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 394 of 2023</p> <p>A.S.PataniApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.519 of 2023</p> <p>U. R. PujariApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. N. Katkar holding for Shri S. Raktate, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. In this O.A, the Applicant has challenged the order dated 10.04.2023 whereby the Respondent No.1- Superintendent of State Excise, Sangli deputed the Applicant on non-executive post at Chikhali, Tal. Shirala, Dist. Sangali. The Applicant contends that order is stigmatic and it amounts to mid-term and mid-tenure transfer.</p> <p>3. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered the order dated 08.06.2023 whereby the Respondent No.1 has withdrawn the order dated 10.04.2023 which is subject matter of this O.A. The order dated 08.06.2023 is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>4. Thus, the grievance of the Applicant stands redressed.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.593 of 2023</p> <p>A. D. BadgujarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 has filed Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has also filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.596 of 2023</p> <p>A. S. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.634 of 2023</p> <p>M. S. BotleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 09.12.2021 whereby he was suspended as deemed suspension in view of his detention in policy custody for more than 48 hours. He was ^{in jail} up to May, 2022 and thereafter released on bail. At the time of suspension, he was at Thane but Head Quarter was kept at Chandrapur which is apparently in contravention of Circular dated 19.03.2008. That apart the period of more than one and half year is over under suspension.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that recently the matter was placed before the Review Committee. Now the file is placed before the Minister for necessary orders. She is not aware about the recommendations made by the Review Committee.</p> <p>4. In view of above, three days' time is granted to learned P.O. for filing reply and for passing appropriate order in Review.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 16.06.2023. Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.639 of 2023 with O.A.No.640 of 2023</p> <p>Shri B. G. Bansode Shri S. S. Sonawane</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K. and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents. 2. The Applicants are seeking directions to implement the directions given by the Collector, Nashik by letter dated 25.04.2023 for issuance of order of compassionate appointment. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.06.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 27.06.2023. Sd/- <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1064 to 1067 of 2016,</u> <u>OAs. No.1122, 1123, 1124, 1127, 1128 of 2019</u></p> <p>E.J. Barshinge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. And <u>O.A. No.730 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.G. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is fixed peremptorily on 14.6.2023 at 10.30 a.m.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2023 13.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p style="text-align: center;">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders 13.06.2023</p>
--	--

M.A 380/2023 in O.A 479/2023

Shri A.D Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.V Waghmare, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Registry to note that the name of Shri S.V Waghmare should be mentioned on the file instead of Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, which is wrongly shown on Board.

3. The present Misc Application is filed seeking amendment in the Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant prays that as per the schedule annexed to the Misc Application more persons are to be added, i.e., from Sr. No. 15 to 50 as applicants.

4. Learned C.P.O submits to the orders of the Court.

5. Amendment allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.

6. Misc Application is accordingly disposed of.

7. O.A 4792/2023 be placed on Board on 27.6.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2023

O.A.No.648/2023

G.K. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant challenges corrigendum dated 11.08.2022 to G.R. dated 01.03.2018. It is to be declared unconstitutional, illegal and unjust.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.07.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 11.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A))

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 13.06.2023 Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------------------------

O.A.No.651/2023

Dr. V.P. WahaneApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate Mr.
Bandiwadekar, Adjourned to 15.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 263/2022</u></p> <p>Smt N.D Raut ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sukhada Gorule i/b Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.8.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

13.06.2023

O.A 333with 335 & 336/2023

Shri S.J Shahane & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O submits that the reply will be filed today.

3. S.O to 4.7.2023. Interim relief, if any to continue.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>13.06.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 552/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.P Shete ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that this matter is similar to O.A 386/2022.</p> <p>3. Hence the present matter be tagged with O.A 386/2022 on 27.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 13.06.2023
---	--

O.A 402/2023

Shri U.J Shinde ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 25.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 742/2022</u></p> <p>Shri Y.V Sawalakhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 13.06.2023
---	--

**O.A 658/2021 with M.A 316/2021 with O.A
671/2022 with M.A 409/2022**

P.R Kshirsagar
S.M Chavan ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The Original Application as well Misc Application will be heard on the next date.

3. Adjourned by way of last chance.

4. S.O to 4.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2023

O.A.No.891/2022

K.S.A.H. ShaikhApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Jwala, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar & Asso. learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. request for further four weeks to finalize the seniority list.

3. By way of last chance, adjourned to 11.07.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

13.06.2023

O.A 1198/2022

Shri G.N Chaudhari ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.B Solanke, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance. If reply is not filed, the matter will proceed without reply.

3. S.O to 27.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	13.06.2023 Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------------------------

M.A 324/2023 in O.A 147/2021

Shri G.R Chavan & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O to verify the position based on the judgment and order of the Division Bench of the Tribunal, Nagpur Bench dated 23.3.2022 in O.A 977/2021, 194.2022 in O.A 858/2021 and 21.6.2022 in O.A 930/2021.

3. S.O to 27.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>13.06.2023</u> Tribunal's orders O.A 460/2023
---	---

Shri B.B Pawar & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O submits that as per directions, the Respondents have decided the representation and typing test is conducted on 31.5.2023 and the results are awaited. Learned C.P.O further submits that applicant Shri B.B Pawar has sent email that everything was perfect and no difficulty arose.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that it is noticed that in the fresh examination of skill test of typing the same difficulty arose as the Computers were not working. Learned counsel submitted that Marathi Key Board with ISMV6 was required, instead Dell was given.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants are directed to file affidavit to that effect.

5. S.O to 27.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/- 

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2023

O.A.No.651/2023

Dr. V.P. WahaneApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. .

2. At the request of learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar, Adjourned to 15.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 13.06.2023
---	--

M.A.No.378/2023 in O.A.No.648/2023

G.K. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2023

O.A.No.570/2017

B.G. Kingare & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.6 & 6(A). Learned Advocate Mr. D.B. Khaire is absent and has filed leave note.

2. In view that learned Advocate Mr. Khaire is absent, adjourned to 27.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>13.06.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 661/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Sachin P. Nikam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that on telephonic instructions received from Mr Ravindra Otari, Under Secretary, M.P.S.C that due to technical error the applicant was not called for interview. However, the said error has been rectified and the applicant has been called for interview on 16.6.2023.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Original Application, the same stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2023

O.A.No.795/2022

B.B. ThiteApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 20.06.2023. FOB.

Sd/-

**(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson**


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>13.06.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 376/2022 in O.A 737/2021</u></p> <p>S.S Bhamare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 27.6.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	13.06.2023 Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------------------------

M.A 499/2022 in O.A 31/2022

Shri Yogesh Wagh ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The present Original Application be tagged along with O.A 648/2023.

3. S.O to 4.7.2023

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 13.06.2023
---	--

O.A.No.818/2017

A.M. SurveApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. .

2. Pursuant to the order dated 09.06.2023 a question was raised by the Tribunal to the learned P.O. as what are the passing marks for physical, bands and written examination for the post of bandsman. The result of this examination was declared on 06.06.2017. O.A. was filed on 28.08.2017 challenging the said result.

3. Learned P.O. has pointed out circular dated 22.03.2017 issued by Special Inspector General of Police.

4. At the request of learned P.O. Adjourned to 20.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

^

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 13.06.2023 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.386/2022
---	---

R.P. SatputeApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan is absent and has filed leave note.

2. In view that learned Advocate Mr. Mahajan is absent, adjourned to 27.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.47 of 2023 in O.A. No.280 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. KalekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On 09.06.2023, Tribunal adjourned the hearing of the Contempt proceeding in view of the submission made by learned P.O. that order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.280/2023 was being challenged before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Writ Petition against the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.280/2023 was heard by Hon'ble High Court today in the morning and interim relief is not granted. She further states that Hon'ble High Court directed the Government to take remedial measures.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. sought time to take remedial measures and to find out what is the exact direction / observation made by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. In view of above, it is necessary to see the order passed by Hon'ble High Court today in the morning. Parties are directed to produce the order of Hon'ble High Court. Respondents are at liberty to take remedial measures, if any.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.28 of 2023 in O.A. No.101 of 2022</p> <p>S.T. GhawaliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing it is noticed that the contemnors are not joined by name but they are joined by their designation. In contempt proceeding, Contemnor needs to be joined by name.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant has already given notice to the contemnor by name but in contempt proceeding they are joined by designation which needs to be corrected.</p> <p>4. Liberty is granted to learned Advocate for the Applicant to correct title clause of the C.A. by joining contemnor by name.</p> <p>5. One week time is granted to file Reply of contemnor No.1. Reply of the contemnor No.2 is already filed.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 20.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.05 of 2023 in O.A. No.914 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. K.D. DayalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. for the Respondents three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply of contemnor Shri Sanjay Khandare, The Additional Chief Secretary.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.07.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.02 of 2023 in O.A. No.476 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. A.M. KadhikhayeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri D.K. Lokhande, R.No.3, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. and Advocate for the Respondent No.3, three days time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.31 of 2023 in O.A. No.478 of 2022</p> <p>U.N. FundeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Learned Advocate Shri U.V. Bhosle holding for learned Advocate of the Applicant sought adjournment stating that Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan is unable to attend the Tribunal. Learned P.O. sought two weeks time for the compliance of the order stating that the matter is already in process and order will be complied within two weeks. Indeed, period of more than six months is availed for the compliance of the order. Tribunal granted one month time to comply the order. However, in the interest of justice two weeks time is granted as last chance for the compliance of the order. S.O. to 27.06.2023. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.732 of 2019</p> <p>C.G. MantriApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Submission advanced by learned Advocate for the Applicant is heard.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. requested one day time to make her submission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 13.06.2023

C.A. No.40 of 2023 in O.A. No.1290 of 2022

P.S. ChoughuleApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly submits that order passed by the Tribunal is complied with so he requested to dispose of the C.A.

3. In view of above, C.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/- Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (A) **Member (J)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.06.2023

O.A.No.645 of 2023

M. T. Kumbhar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. When the matter is taken up for admission, it is noticed that this is not a case of deemed date of promotion as learned Counsel for the Applicant sought to contend but it is a case of claiming seniority with retrospective effect. Therefore, the matter is required to be heard by the Division Bench.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he would file second set and will be take circulation for placing the matter before the Division Bench.

4. Two weeks' time is granted to take necessary steps.

Sd/-

**
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.641 of 2023</p> <p>Shri S. S. Rawle ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is really disgusting to note that though the Applicant stands retired on 28.2.2006 and in the enquiry report submitted by Enquiry Officer on 16.03.2016, the charges were held not proved till date the Applicant is deprived of retiral benefits. There is total lethargy and negligence on the part of Respondents for not passing final order in D.E. which resulted into denial of benefits of retiral benefits.</p> <p>3. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondents particularly Government as to why matter is hanging in fire.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATIONS NO.1064, 1065, 1066 & 1067 OF
2016**

ORIGINAL APPLICATIONS NO.1064, 1065 & 1066 OF 2016

Shri Eknath Jairam Barshinge,)
Age 55 years, Inspector in the office of)
Charity Commissioner,)
Annie Besant Road,)
Worli, Mumbai, now transferred)
to the office of Public Trust Registration)
Office, Pimplekha Complex,)
Sakri Road, Dhule,)
R/o 97/55, BDD Chawl, Worli,)
Mumbai 400018)..Applicants

Versus

1. The Charity Commissioner,)
3, Annie Besant Road, Worli,)
Mumbai 400018)
2. Smt. N.S. Pawar,)
Joint Charity Commissioner,)
Kolhapur (Enquiry Officer)..OA.1064/2016
2. Shri R.H. Nathani,)
Asstt. Charity Commissioner,)
Jalgaon)..OA.1065/2016
2. Shri M.S. Kshirsagar,)
Jt. Charity Commissioner,)
in the office of Respondent No.1)
(Enquiry Officer))..OA.1066/2016
)..Respondents

WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1067 OF 2016

2

1. Shri Eknath Jairam Barshinge,)
Age 55 years, Inspector in the office of)
Charity Commissioner, 3, Annie Besant Road,)
Worli, Mumbai, now transferred to the office of)
Public Trust Registration Office, Pimplekha)
Complex, Sakri Road, Dhule,)
R/o 97/55, BDD Chawl, Worli, Mumbai 400018)
2. Shri Siddharth Devram Shelar,)
Age 50 years, Senior Clerk in the office of)
Charity Commissioner, 3, Annie Besant Road,)
Worli, Mumbai, now transferred to the office of)
Public Trust Registration Office, Thane)
R/o 3/461, Govt.Colony, Bandra (E), Mumbai 51)
3. Shri Sadanand Vitthal Thakurdesai,)
Age 49 years, Inspector in the office of Res.No.1,)
now transferred as Accountant to the office of)
Public Trust Registration Office, Nashik,)
R/o 403-A, Shiv Park, Anantnagar,)
Badlapur (E), District Thane)
4. Shri Surajpal Hariswarup Parche,)
(Deleted as per order dated 2.3.2017)
5. Shri Peter Savio Pereira,)
Age 38 years, Inspector in the office of Res.No.1,)
now transferred as Accountant to the office of)
Public Trust Registration Office, Raigad,)
R/o C/o. Mr. Shawn Coutinho, B-407, EL Plaza,)
Opp. Citizen Credit Bank, Borivali (W), Mumbai)
)..Applicants

Versus

1. The Charity Commissioner,)
3, Annie Besant Road, Worli, Mumbai 400018)
2. Shri A.S. Rajandekar, Jt. Charity Commissioner,)
Amravati (Enquiry Officer))..Respondents

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar – Advocate for the Applicants
Ms. S.P. Manchekar – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM :Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, (Chairperson)
Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : 13.06.2023

PER : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

ORDER

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1064 /2016 to 1067 /2016 and O.A.No.1122 /2019 with O.A.No.1123 /2019 with O.A.No.1124 /2019 with O.A.No.1027/2019 with O.A.No.1028/2019 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Applicant who is present in person has submitted that he wants to withdraw the present group of O.As.
3. Matter kept back for him to give the same in writing.

Later on :

1. Matter called out. Applicant in person has now submitted that he does not want to withdraw the matter. He places the application on record wherein he stated that the matter should not be heard by this Bench. However, we refuse to recuse from all these matters, as the matters are at fag end and pending since 2016.

2. In the application no specific allegations in respect of bias on the part of the Judges is mentioned. So, we don't find any reason to recuse; except the intention of forum shopping. This matter is pertaining to departmental enquiry and competency of the Enquiry Officer is challenged. We pointed out Rule 8(2) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979 which reads as below :

8(2) Whenever the disciplinary authority is of the opinion that there are grounds for inquiring into the truth of any

imputation of misconduct or misbehaviour against a Government servant, it may itself inquire into, or appoint under this rule or under the provisions of the Public Servants (Inquiries) Act, 1850, as the case may be, an authority to inquire into the truth thereof.

Thereafter, this Application dated 13.06.2023 submitted by the applicant requesting us to recuse, it is marked at Exhibit-A. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar has tendered the Chart. The same is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-2. Thus, the application is rejected as we refuse to recuse.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai

Date : 13.06.2023

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.