

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 13.06.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.113 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>Y.M. Shaikh</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. Padmanath, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order of appellate authority order dated 11.11.2021 whereby the Appeal was dismissed being barred by limitation.</p> <p>3. The Applicant is serving as clerk on the establishment of Tahasildar Mhada, District Solapur. He was subjected Departmental proceeding and Collector Solapur by order dated 06.03.2017 imposed punishment of withholding of increment of two years without cumulative effect. The Applicant being aggrieved by it appealed before Divisional Commissioner, Pune wherein he specifically stated that he was not served with the copy of order of punishment. In Appeal memo the Applicant has specifically stated that he had no knowledge of punishment order and it is only in the information sought under R.T.I. Act he came to know about the punishment. He was further informed under R.T.I. that Department has no record of services of punishment order.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant was not at all served with impugned order of punishment dated 06.03.2017 and despite raising the ground in appeal memo that he has no knowledge of the order of punishment appellate authority ignored it and dismissed appeal on the ground of limitation.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

5. Indeed, the Tribunal by order dated 22.02.2022 directed the Respondents to produce record to show service of punishment in D.E. However, no such record is produced when Reply is tendered.

6. Information sought under R.T.I. by the Applicant reveals that office has not served the punishment order upon the Applicant. It is on the basis of information sought under R.T.I. the Applicant came to know about the punishment and thereafter filed appeal before Divisional Commissioner, Pune on 22.07.2020. This being the factual position the appeal could not have been dismissed on the point of limitation. When the Applicant was not served with the punishment order the question of starting of limitation did not survive. Despite the specific ground raised by the Applicant in appeal memo Divisional Commissioner, Pune ~~turning~~ <sup>turning</sup> blind eye to the contention and dismissed the appeal stating that it is barred by limitation which shows non application of mind.

7. In view of above, Divisional Commissioner, Pune ought to have decided the issue of limitation and then should have ~~facilitate~~ <sup>facilitate</sup> to decide appeal on its own merit. Impugned order is therefore liable to be quashed.

8. In so far as limitation is concerned delay ought to be condoned since there was no communication of punishment order to the Applicant. We therefore condone the delay caused in appeal filed before Divisional Commissioner, Pune and direct the Divisional Commissioner, Pune to decide appeal on its own merit.

9. For the aforesaid reason order dated 11.11.2021 is quashed and set aside and matter is remanded back to Divisional Commissioner, Pune. He is directed to decide the appeal within two months from today on merit and the decision as the case may, shall be communicated to the Applicant.

10. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Bijay Kumar)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>13.06.2022</b>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 297/2022 in O.A 475/2022</u></b></p> <p>S.H. Dhanawade &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application filed to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>13.06.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 475/2022</u></b></p> <p>S.H. Dhanawade &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Original Application is filed by the applicants for implementation of the policy decision of the Government dated 16.7.2018 wherein the applicants who are working on deputation in Motor Transport Department and have completed 5 years or more are eligible to be transferred to their original place of posting.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.6.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 27.6.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>13.06.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 473/2021</u></b></p> <p>D.D Harale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed the present Original Application challenging the impugned order dated 28.4.2022 passed by the Respondent under which he imposed punishment of reduction in pension by 12% for a period of 5 years and recovery of Rs. 30,01,556/-.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 11.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>13.06.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 477/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri D.D Harale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed the present Original Application praying that his suspension period which he has undergone from 24.2.2012 to 11.11.2013 is to be determined by the Respondents and decision of the same be communicated to the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of the prayer made by the applicant, the Original Application is disposed of with following directions:-</p> <p>(a) The Respondent the Divisional Joint Director, Agriculture, Pune Division, Pune is directed to take decision on the period of suspension undergone by the applicant from 24.2.2012 to 11.11.2013 after giving a show cause notice to the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>(b) Respondent to take decision and pass final order regarding the period of suspension undergone by the applicant from 24.2.2022 to 11.11.2013 within four weeks thereafter and communicate the decision to the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>13.06.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 480/2022</u></b></p> <p>Smt Jyoti P. Tambe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 9.3.2022 transferring the applicant from Police Aid Centre, Sarola, Dist-Pune to Control Room Highway Police, Pune Range.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. Respondents are directed to produce the original file of noting pertaining to the transfer of the applicant.</p> <p>9. S.O to 4.7.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>13.06.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 524/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.R Nawghare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri T.V Dhotre, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order of suspension dated 23.5.2022 passed by the Respondent, Divisional Joint Director of Agriculture, Konkan Division, Thane, under Rule 4(1)(c) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline &amp; Appeal) Rules, 1979 and prays that he be reinstated in service.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 4.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>13.06.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 528/2022</u></b></p> <p>Smt M.M Thakur ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, who is working as a Staff Nurse, prays that her case is fit for regularization and therefore, she has submitted two representations dated 13.9.2021 and 11.1.2021. However, the Respondents have not taken any decision on her representations. The applicant seeks regularization of her services w.e.f 2.6.2006 and not from 15.4.2015.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, the Original Application is disposed of with a direction to the Respondents to decide the above referred two representations made by the applicant on or before 15.7.2022 and communicate the decision taken thereon to the applicant by 29.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>13.06.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 529/2022</u></b></p> <p>Smt M.M Thakur ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant claims revised pay fixation considering the regular service from 15.4.2015 as against w.e.f 9.6.2006.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 11.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>13.06.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 536/2022</u></b></p> <p>S.M Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The applicant, who is 45 years of age working as a Constable is removed from service by the impugned order dated 20.1.2022 on the ground that he was held completely and permanently incapacitated for further service by the Medical Board on 15.12.2021 and he was retired on invalid pension.</li> <li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant relies on Section 20 of the Persons with Disabilities (The Right of Persons with Disabilities) Act, 2016 and the ratio laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Kunal Singh Vs. Union of India, (2003) 4 SCC 524 and their Circular dated 8.5.2011.</li> <li>4. The Commandant Mr Prahlad Khade, is directed to take note of the above and accordingly file affidavit in reply.</li> <li>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.6.2022.</li> <li>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>10. S.O to 20.6.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 13.06.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A. No.347 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>A.S. Bhoir .....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 and Respondent No.2 separately. Those are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Submission advanced by learned Advocate for the Applicant is heard.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for submission of learned C.P.O., be kept tomorrow.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Bijay Kumar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.120 of 2022 in O.A. No.158 of 2021</p> <p>M.M. Dawane &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>3. In view of absence of Applicant and his Advocate matter is adjourned and it be kept along with connected O.A. No.223/2021.</li><li>4. S.O. to 29.06.2022.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)                      Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.283/2018 in C.A.47/2017 in O.A.84/2016

Mrs. S.D. Muluk ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Today, the Tribunal has passed order in C.A.47/2017 and disposed of C.A. filed for contempt. O.A. is already disposed of.
3. In view of above, this M.A. is disposed of.

Sd/-  
(Bijay Kumar)  
Member-A  
13.06.2022

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
13.06.2022

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.1023/2019**

**Shri D.R. Madane** ... Applicant

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & Ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents. Applicant in person also present.

2. In this O.A, the Applicant has challenged the initiation of D.E. but during the pendency of O.A, DE is completed and Applicant is subjected to punishment by order dated 29.07.2020. The Applicant being aggrieved by it also filed O.A.No.568/2021 and it is subjudice.

3. In view of above, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw O.A, since it has become infructuous.

4. O.A. is become infructuous and disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

**(Bijay Kumar)**  
**Member-A**  
**13.06.2022**

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**13.06.2022**

(skw)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.867 of 2016</p> <p>R. M. Haridas .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. At the very outset, it is disgusting to note that though the period of more than six years from initiation of D.E. is over till date it has not attend finality.</li> <li>3. The Applicant was subjected to D.E. by charge sheet dated 02.01.2016 for alleged misconduct. The Enquiry Officer has submitted the report on 20.07.2017 to the Government but thereafter no further steps were taken and it is still pending with the Government.</li> <li>4. In the meantime, the Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2017.</li> <li>5. Indeed, as per various Government Circulars and G.Rs and the decisions rendered by the Tribunal, the D.E. ought to be completed expeditiously, By Circular dated 07.04.2008. the Government has given specific direction for completion of D.E. maximum within one year <sup>or</sup> where it is not completed within stipulated period, extension is required to be sought from the Administrative Head from Mantralaya for completion of D.E. As per the said Circular, <sup>if</sup> D.E. <sup>is</sup> remains pending for more than five years, the Government is required to find out who is responsible for such inordinate delay and such person is required to be subjected to D.E.</li> </ol>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Suffice to say, despite specific directions, no such steps were taken for expeditious disposal of D.E. The Enquiry Officer has submitted the report dated 20.07.2017 and for more than five years, the Government is sitting over the matter without passing final order. Because of pendency of D.E., some of the retiral benefits of the Applicant are withheld. <i>Ex-facie</i>, there is inordinate and unexplainable delay on the part of Government. Indeed, the Tribunal by order dated 07.09.2021 has passed specific order to pass final order in D.E. which is not complied with.</p> <p>7. As such, <i>prima-facie</i>, there is disobedience for the order passed by the Tribunal as well as lethargy, inaction and inordinate delay is obvious which needs to be explained by the Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.</p> <p>8. We are, therefore, directed the Additional Chief Secretary, Medical Education &amp; Drugs Department to file Affidavit and to explain the delay caused in not passing final order in D.E. so as to pass further order in the matter.</p> <p>9. Steno copy granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 15.06.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P.Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.512 of 2022</u></p> <p>H.B. Yelde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 13.6.2022 <span style="float: right;">13.6.2022</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>


# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.497 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.D. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li><li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Sd/-</b>    <b>Sd/-</b> </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil)    (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A)    Chairperson 13.6.2022    13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.325 of 2022 in O.A. No.491 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.K. Hiramani &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.491 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.K. Hiramani &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 13.6.2022 <span style="float: right;">13.6.2022</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

O.A. No.401 of 2022

Anil J. Bavaskar ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Anita Gavkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to serve the copy of OA in the office of CPO.

3. This matter involves implementation of the judgment and order dated 6.7.2017 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Chairman and Managing Director, FCI & Ors. Vs. Jagdish B. Bahira & Ors. Civil Appeal No.8928 of 2015 & Ors. and judgment and order dated 28.9.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Nagpur in W.P. No.3140 of 2008. The time limit granted was till 31.12.2019.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
13.6.2022

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
13.6.2022

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.453 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.R. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2022</p> <p>C.A. No.45 of 2019 in O.A. No.651 of 2018</p> <p>R.V. Somane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li><li>2. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>3. Perusal of record reveals that being aggrieved by the order passed by the Tribunal, Respondent – M.P.S.C. has filed Writ Petition No.9845/2019 before Hon'ble High Court. As per order dated 05.11.2019 Hon'ble High Court has stayed the impugned order. Thus, it appears that the order passed by the Tribunal is stayed in Writ Petition.</li><li>4. Learned P.O. is directed to appraise the Tribunal about the status of W.P. No.9845/2019 by next date.</li><li>5. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</li></ol> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.19 of 2020 in O.A. No.1158 of 2016 with O.A. No.352 of 2017 with O.A. No.353 of 2017</p> <p>Smt. S.K. Goraksha &amp; 24 Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that all the benefits in terms of order passed by the Tribunal are granted except difference of gratuity of Applicant No.16 – Smt. Sulaxana B. Mokashi.</p> <p>3. Whereas, learned P.O. submits that the papers were forwarded to Accountant General (A.G.) but A.G. returned the same. Indeed once Tribunal by order dated 09.03.2020 has quashed impugned order and directed to release pensionary benefits with consequential benefits within 3 months, Department ought to have made back reference to the Office of A.G. but it is not done.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to learned P.O. to file short Affidavit to appraise the Tribunal what was the objection raised by A.G. and to find out whether there is contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p align="center">NMN <span style="float: right;">[P.T.O.]</span></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.71 of 2022 in O.A. No.120 of 2022</p> <p>N.B. Dhaygude .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawashi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Savita Suryawashi requested for adjournment on the ground that learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan is unable to remain present because of some personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>				
	<p>Date: 13.06.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.93 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. R.L. Sathe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant, in the interest of justice it is taken on record though filed belatedly.</li> <li>3. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 29.06.2022.</li> </ol> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</td> </tr> </table> <p>NMN</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p><b>Date: 13.06.2022</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.144 of 2022 in O.A. No.223 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>A.V. Dudhal &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The perusal of order passed by Division Bench reveals that while considering M.A. No.144/2022 filed for amendment liberty was granted to substitute O.A. instead of making amendment in O.A. Accordingly, the Applicant has substituted O.A. Three weeks was already granted to learned C.P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the substituted O.A.</p> <p>3. Thus M.A. No.144/2022 is deemed to be disposed of in view of order passed by Division Bench on 01.04.2022 it is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>4. On request of learned C.P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to substitute O.A.</p> <p>5. O.A. is adjourned to 29.06.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</b></p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p><b>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.798 of 2019</p> <p>B.S. Lanjile .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.07.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <i>BJ</i> Sd/- (Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.171 of 2022</p> <p>S. R. Goud .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of record reveals that the reply is already filed and O.A. is ripe for final hearing.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.07.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.605 of 2021 in O.A.No.760 of 2021</p> <p>D. J. Dhumal .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri N. P. Deshpande , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. wherein challenge is to the initiation of D.E. initiated against the Applicant.</li> <li>3. During the pendency of M.A. and O.A., D.E. has been completed and Applicant is subjected to punishment by order dated 25.04.2017 reverting the Applicant on basic pay of PI till 31.05.2017. Against the said order, the Applicant has already filed Appeal before the Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police but same is still pending.</li> <li>4. Thus, admittedly, the Appeal against the impugned order of punishment dated 25.04.2017 is subjudice before the Appellate Authority i.e Director General of Police. This being the position, this O.A. challenging punishment is premature.</li> <li>5. In view of above, we are inclined to dispose of the M.A. and O.A. with direction to Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police to decide the Appeal filed by the Applicant against the order of punishment dated 25.04.2017 within two months from today in accordance to law.</li> <li>6. The decision, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.</li> <li>7. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 100px;">2</span> Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P.Kurhekar) Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.56 of 2017</b></p> <p>Ms A. S. Chavan <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week time is granted for final hearing since the matter is quite old and needs to be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="margin-left: 100px;">n</span> Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">(A.P.Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2022</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.189 of 2022 in O.A.No.613 of 2019</p> <p>Shri S. S. Chavan <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S.T. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt.Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed in O.A. for grant of interim relief in the form of direction to the Respondents to consider the Applicant for promotion subject to pendency of criminal case. The Applicant seems to be claiming promotion to the post of API but the criminal case is pending against him.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that pleading is complete and O.A. is ripe for final hearing.</p> <p>4. In view of above, it would be appropriate to decide the O.A. on merit along with this M.A. <del>for</del> for the point of convenience.</p> <p>5. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to place the O.A. before appropriate bench for decision along with M.A.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Bijay Kumar) <span style="float:right">(A.P.Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.06.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.279 of 2022 in M.A. No.280 of 2022 in O.A.No.879 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants (Ori. Resp.) Versus Smt. Trupti R. Apte &amp; Ors. ...Respondents (Ori. Applicants)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri Balasaheb Deshmukh , learned Counsel for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants ).</li> <li>2. The Tribunal by order dated 22.03.2022 quashed and set aside the order of termination and directed the Respondents to restore the appointments of all the Applicants. The order was to be complied with on or before 10.04.2022. However, it is not complied with.</li> <li>3. The Ori. Respondents have filed M.A. No.279/2022 on 04.05.2022 for delay condonation and along with M.A. 280/2022 for extension of time.</li> <li>4. Learned P.O. for Ori. Respondents submits that file is moved to Law and Judiciary Department seeking opinion for filing W.P.</li> <li>5. Thus, it appears that the Respondents want to challenge the order passed by the Tribunal. Till date, Law and Judiciary Department has not given its opinion though enough time is availed. The Tribunal has granted time till 10.04.2022 for compliance of the order. Therefore, in case of bonafide, the Respondents ought to have <sup>applied</sup> <del>complied</del> the order for extension of time before expiration of the time given by the Tribunal. Enough time was granted by the Tribunal to take necessary steps as well as there was enough time to avail remedy by filing W.P. Till date, the period of more than three months is over but there is no compliance nor W.P. is filed.</li> <li>6. Therefore, we are not inclined to condone the delay or to extend the time given by the Tribunal for compliance.</li> <li>7. Both Misc. Applications are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P.Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.06.2022</p> <p>C.A. No.26 of 2020 in O.A. No.1125 of 2013</p> <p>P.S. Sakhare &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On request of learned C.P.O. one week time is granted to produce the orders of regular appointment by which the Applicant's are replaced as contended in Para 6 of Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 20.06.2022.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Bijay Kumar) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

**I N**

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 13.06.2022</b></p> <p><b>M. A. No.91 of 2022 with M. A. No.178 of 2022 in O.A.No.1355 of 2009</b></p> <p><b>Shri D. V. Thorat P. Agavane &amp; Others</b>                                 <b>....Applicants</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b>                         <b>...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vivek Patil, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A. No.91/2022, Shri A. Pawar holding for Shri D.B.Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant in M.A.No.178/2022 and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Misc. Applications seem to have been filed only to brought on record non-compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.1355 of 2009 decided by judgment dated 08.12.2020. By this judgment, the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 were directed to take appropriate action including segregation of tainted candidates from untainted candidates after providing opportunity of hearing to the candidates and to pass fresh order in accordance to law. Enquiry report dated 22.04.2010 and 30.04.2014<sup>10/12/21</sup> placed on record in O.A. Six months' time was given to complete the exercise.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsels for the Applicants as well as learned P.O. submit that thereafter extension was granted till 31.01.2022 for compliance.</p> <p>4. Thus, till date there is no final compliance of the direction given by the Tribunal by judgment dated 08.12.2020 though time given in the judgment as well as extended time is already over.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. submits that matter is in process at the level of Government.</p> <p>6. Indeed, the Applicants ought to have filed contempt proceeding instead of filing Misc. Application if there is willful disobedience of the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>7. Be that as it may, learned Counsels for the Applicants sought permission to withdraw Misc. Applications with liberty to file Contempt Application.</p> <p>8. In view of above, Misc. Applications are disposed of with liberty to the Applicants that they can take legal steps in accordance to law.</p> <p>9. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Bijay Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P.Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1037 of 20 2019 & 9 Ors.

- |                          |                |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Dr. G.B. Taware       | (OA.1037/2019) |
| 2. Dr. V.A. Nadimath     | (OA.1039/2019) |
| 3. Dr. P.M. Sharebkausar | (OA.1040/2019) |
| 4. Dr. N.B. Masaram      | (OA.1041/2019) |
| 5. Dr. V.P. Doijad       | (OA.1042/2019) |
| 6. C.G. Patil            | (OA.1237/2019) |
| 7. Dr. M.M. Pawar        | (OA.1238/2019) |
| 8. Dr. P.R. Dhumal       | (OA.1239/2019) |
| 9. Dr. P.N. Rathi        | (OA.1240/2019) |
| 10. Dr. A.B. Pandav      | (OA.1241/2019) |

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.


..Respondents

Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants in Sr. No.1 to 5, Ms. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Advocate for Applicants in Sr. No.6 to 10 and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Interim relief granted by order dated 24.10.2019 to continue.

3. S.O. to 6.7.2022.

Sd/-

  
 (Medha Gadgil)  
 Member (A)  
 13.6.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.206 of 2022

R.T. Kadam ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav h/f Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 27.6.2022. Interim relief to continue.

Sd/-

(Medha G. Gidgil)  
Member (A)  
13.6.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1009 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.B. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files sur-rejoinder dated 10.6.2022 on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.7.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No. 13 of 2022**

<p align="center">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>P.P. Mahajan ..Applicant Vs. Tribunal's orders The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p>
	<p>Heard Ms. Shraddha Raut, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 8.6.2022 on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.6.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.160 of 2022 in O.A. No.281 of 2022</u></p> <p>Y.S. Kulkarni &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.280 of 2022 and O.A. No.281 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.G. Daga &amp; Ors. Y.S. Kulkarni &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply in OA No.281 of 2022 and seeks time to file reply in OA No.280 of 2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.159 of 2022 in O.A. No.280 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.G. Daga &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.901 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. N.J. Udgirkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.D. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.7.2022 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.876 of 2021</u></p> <p>H.A. Redekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.6.2022. High on Board.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.868 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.R. Wakde &amp; 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.7.2022 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.1 of 2022 in O.A. No.370 of 2019</u></p> <p>L.T. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.S. Rane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has submitted copy of representation dated 2.6.2022 to the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to take decision on the representation made by the applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>CA.49/2020 in OA.822/2019 with</u> <u>CA.50/2020 in OA.823/2019 with</u> <u>CA.51/2020 in OA.824/2019 with</u> <u>CA.52/2020 in OA.825/2019 with</u> <u>CA.53/2020 in OA.826/2019 with</u> <u>CA.43/2021 in OA.400/2019 And</u> <u>CA.44/2021 in OA.821/2019 with</u></p> <p>Dr. G.P. Jangid (CA.49/2020) Dr. S.K. Balwant (CA.50/2020) Dr. D.A. Wani (CA.51/2020) Dr. N.B. Swami (CA.52/2020) Dr. M.M. Bagwan (CA.53/2020) Dr. C.D. Chapte (CA.43/2021) Dr. A.G. Dighe (CA.44/2021) ..Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that writ petitions in the above matters in the Hon'ble High are pending. She therefore prays for time for taking circulation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- <span style="float: right;">2</span> (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.335 of 2022 in O.A. No.532 of 2022</u></p> <p>Virendra V. Navgire &amp; 10 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks condonation of delay of 225 days in filing OA. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants were waiting for the decision in W.P. in similar matters. The cause of action arose on 9.10.2019 when the results were declared.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. In view of the above and for the reasons stated in the MA, delay is condoned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.30 of 2021 in O.A. No.970 of 2014</u></p> <p>V.D. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that DPC was held on 26.4.2022 and the applicant was not found eligible on the grounds of experience.</p> <p>3. The impugned order at page 20 is not legible as we are unable to read the order on which contempt application is based. Ld. Advocate to replace with legible copy.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.6.2022 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.527 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.M. Thakur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.7.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2022</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.334 of 2022 in O.A. No.532 of 2022</u></p> <p>Virendra V. Navgire &amp; 10 Ors.   ..Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.   ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Meha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>13.6.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>13.6.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.452 of 2022</u></p> <p>D.A. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As sports certificate is invalidated, a show cause notice dated 12.8.2021 is issued by MPSC why recommendation is not to be cancelled. To the said notice the applicant has filed reply on 20.9.2021. Since then no decision is taken by the MPSC. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that such decision of cancellation of the recommendation can be taken any time.</p> <p>3. No reply is filed. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply and submits that there is shortage of staff in MPSC and it is not possible for MPSC to come and attend because they are busy in scrutiny and preparing result of medical post. Be that as it may, we understand the difficult of MPSC. However, for long time the applicant is waiting for reply and therefore according to us sufficient time is taken by MPSC and therefore till filing of the reply, we direct the MPSC not to take any decision on this show cause notice.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.7.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.332 of 2022 in O.A. No.526 of 2022</u></p> <p>Sundari S.B. &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Yashashree Munde and Sailee Dhayalkar i/b. Parinam Law Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

O.A. No.526 of 2022

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Sundari S.B. &amp; Anr.

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.

..Respondents

Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Heard Ms. Yashashree Munde and Sailee Dhayalkar i/b.

Parinam Law Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicants and  
Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. Shri K.R. Nimbalkar, Chairman, MPSC to take note that Tribunal starts functioning at 10.30 a.m. However, though notices are served earlier and inspite of intimation from the office of CPO, no officer remains present in the Tribunal at 10.30 a.m. Time and again matters are to be kept back only to secure presence of MPSC in Tribunal, for the purpose of instructions, which is very important and secondly in order to satisfy the queries of the Tribunal, if asked. If at all there is any difficulty on your side directing the staff to remain present at 10.30 a.m., please feel free to communicate it to the Tribunal.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)  
13.6.2022

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson  
13.6.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.295 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.B. Shelar &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that case of the applicants has been decided on 1.6.2022 in view of the revised list. Hence, cause of action does not survive.</p> <p>3. In view of the above OA is disposed off.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.6.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.6.2022</p>