

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.26 of 2022 with M.A. No.201 of 2022 with O.A. No.306 of 2022 with O.A. No.1089 of 2022 with O.A. No.428 of 2022 with O.A. No.696 of 2022 with O.A. No.697 of 2022 with O.A. No.698 of 2022 with O.A. No.952 of 2022 with O.A. No.1096 of 2022 with O.A. No.07 of 2023 with O.A. No.105 of 2023 with O.A. No.106 of 2023 with O.A. No.129 of 2023 with O.A. No.165 of 2023 with O.A. No.172 of 2023 with O.A. No.181 of 2023 with O.A. No.182 of 2023 with O.A. No.191 of 2023 with O.A. No.194 of 2023 with O.A. No.207 of 2023 with O.A. No.215 of 2023 with O.A. No.263 of 2023 with O.A. No.265 of 2023 with O.A. No.289 of 2023 with O.A. No.325 of 2023 with O.A. No.477 of 2023 with O.A. No.541 of 2023 with O.A. No.567 of 2023 with O.A. No.568 of 2023 with O.A. No.609 of 2023 with O.A. No.831 of 2023 with O.A. No.859 of 2023 with O.A. No.912 of 2023 with O.A. No.913 of 2023 with O.A. No.1099 of 2023 with O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A. No.1294 of 2023 and Other Connected matters</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar learned Advocates for the Applicants, Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicants. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocates for the Applicant is Not Present. Shri A.J. Chougule, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad & Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>(A) Commissioner of Police, Nasik.</p> <p>2. (i) Learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A. No.306/22 & 1089/2022 states that 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' by letter dated 02.02.2024 has communicated to 'Commissioner of Police, Railways Mumbai' their willingness to accept 3 'Police Constables' on 'Inter District Transfer' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>2. (ii) Learned Advocate for Applicants in O.A. No.306/2022 and O.A.No.1089/2023 further states that it is thus to be expected that 'Commissioner of Police, Railways Mumbai' will soon relieve these 3 'Police Constables' to join on 'Inter District Transfer' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.</p> <p>2. (iii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Railways Mumbai' is informed to relieve the 3 'Police Constables' who are Applicants in O.A. No.306/2022 and O.A.No.1089/2023 within 'One Week' of uploading of this order so as enable them to join on 'Inter District Transfer' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.</p> <p>3. (i) Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.696/2022 states that 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' by letter dated 02.02.2024 to 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' has now included names of 4 'Police Constables' who had been inadvertently left out although they were senior to 19 'Police Constables' about whom willingness to accept on 'Inter District Transfer' was conveyed earlier on 03.01.2024 by 'Commissioner of Police, Nasik'.</p> <p>3. (ii) Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.697/2022 then mentions that 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' by letter dated 02.02.2024 to 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' has also specifically conveyed willingness to accept 'Shri S.P. Khare, Police Constable' who is an 'Ex-Servicemen' on 'Inter District Transfer' in place of 'Shri Shailendra Raul, Police Constable' from amongst the 19 'Police Constables' as he had already joined on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.</p> <p>(C) Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. states that 'Commissioner of Police Pimpri-Chinchwad' is not represented but on basis of information obtained from officer who is present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' mentioned that 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' has now given willingness to accept on 'Inter District Transfer' the 5 'Police Constables' who are serving on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. However, the communication from 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' was received on 15.02.2024 after meeting of 'P.E.B' had been held on 29.01.2024.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>(D) Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar</p> <p>5. (i) Learned PO states that 'Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar' is not represented, however based on information obtained from officer who is present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' it is mentioned that so far willingness to accept on 'Inter District Transfer' the 3 'Police Constables' who are serving on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' has not yet been conveyed by 'Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar'.</p> <p>5. (ii) The 'Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar' is informed by way of last chance and within 'One Week' of uploading of this order to convey willingness to accept on 'Inter District Transfer' the 3 'Police Constables' who are serving on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>(E) Superintendent of Police, Satara</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.1096/2022 states that 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' has now communicated willingness to accept 1 'Police Constable' on 'Inter District Transfer' who are serving on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. However, the communication from 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' was received on 15.02.2024 after meeting of 'P.E.B.' had been held on 29.01.2024.</p> <p>(F) Superintendent of Police, Parbhani</p> <p>7. (i) Learned PO states that 'Superintendent of Police, Parbhani' is not represented however based on information obtained from officer who is present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' mentioned that so far willingness to accept 2 'Police Constables' on 'Inter District Transfer' who are serving on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' has not yet been conveyed by 'Superintendent of Police, Parbhani'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. (ii) The 'Superintendent of Police, Parbhani' is informed by way of last chance and within 'One Week' of uploading of this order to convey willingness to accept on 'Inter District Transfer' the '2 Police Constables' who are serving on establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>(G) Superintendent of Police, Ratnagiri and Palghar</p> <p>8. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No.698/2023 states that '2 Police Constables' have since been relieved by (i) 'Superintendent of Police, Ratnagiri' and (ii) 'Superintendent of Police, Palghar' and they have also joined on 'Inter District Transfer' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik'.</p> <p>9. 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'</p> <p>9. (i) Learned P.O. on the basis of information provided by officer present on behalf of Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai states that 'P.E.B.' held its meeting on 29.01.2024. The cases of 19 'Police Constables' for whom willingness for 'Inter District Transfer' had been conveyed by 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik' on 03.01.2024 however could not be examined in this meeting of 'P.E.B.'. Further in respect of 5 'Police Constables' for whom willingness has been communicated by 'Commissioner of Police, Nasik' on 02.02.2024 also could not be considered as it was received after the meeting of 'P.E.B.' held on 29.01.2024. So also not considered were cases of 5 'Police Constables' for whom willingness from 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad' and '1 Police Constable' for whom willingness from 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' was received on 15.02.2024 after the meeting of 'P.E.B.' held on 29.01.2024.</p> <p>9. (ii) Learned P.O. on basis of information received from officer present on behalf of Commissioner of Police Greater Mumbai thereupon states that 77 'Police Constables' who have been relieved to join on 'Inter District Transfer' after 'P.E.B.' meeting held on 29.01.2024 are those whose requests were primarily on 'Compassionate Grounds' of (i) Self Illness (ii) Serious illness of Children (iii) Serious Illness of Parents, (iv) Mental Illness of Parents (v) Husband & Wife working in State Government (vi) Husband or Wife working in Private Establishments.</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>9. (iii) Learned P.O. further relied on 'Minutes of Meeting' of P.E.B. held on 29.01.2024 to convey that there were detailed discussions about observations made in 'Para 8 (ii)' Order dated 09.01.2024 and proceeded to read contents of 'Para 8' of 'Minutes of Meeting' of 'P.E.B.' held on 29.01.2024 which thus is reproduced below:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“सदर आदेशातील नमुद सुचनेप्रमाणे, या कार्यालयाच्या उपलब्ध अभिलेखाची पडताळणी करण्यात आली. प्रस्तुत प्रकरणी असे निदर्शनास आले की, बहुतांश अंमलदारांनी आंतरजिल्हा बदलीकरिता अर्जांची एकच मुळ प्रत (मुळ अर्ज) या कार्यालयास सादर केली होती. सदर मुळ अर्ज संबंधित जिल्हा कार्यालयांना /घटक कार्यालयांना पाठविण्यात आले होते. त्यामुळे मुळ अर्ज व अर्ज दिनांक याबाबतची माहिती अभिलेखावर उपलब्ध नाही. अंमलदारांनी वचवितच प्रसंगी ०२ प्रतीत अर्ज सादर केलेले आहेत. उपलब्ध अभिलेखावरून कार्यमुक्त करणे बाकी असलेल्या अशा केवळ ५५ अंमलदारांचे अर्जांचे दिनांक मिळून आले आहे. सदर ५५ अर्जांपैकी सर्वात जुना अर्ज २०२० या असून, सन २०२० चे एकूण ०९, सन २०२१ चे १० व सन २०२२ चे ३६ अर्ज आहेत. वर नमुद केल्यानुसार उर्वरित अर्जांच्या प्रती अभिलेखी उपलब्ध नसल्याने, त्यांचे अर्ज/अर्ज दिनांक या कार्यालयास उपलब्ध नाहीत. अर्ज दिनांकाविषयी पुरेशी माहितीच उपलब्ध नसल्याने, केवळ अर्ज दिनांकाचा निकष लावणे योग्य होणार नसल्याबाबत मंडळाने निर्णय घेतलेला आहे. तथापी २०२० च्या सर्व ९ अर्जांचा बारकाईने विचार करून त्यापैकी ०५ अंमलदारांना सोडण्याचा निर्णय मंडळाने घेतला आहे.”</p> <p>9. (iv) Learned P.O. further emphasized that 'P.E.B.' in its meeting held on 29.01.2024 had taken specific note of the observations made in 'Para 8(ii)' of Order dated 09.01.2024 and acknowledged the fact that there are indeed several 'Police Constables' who were not relieved between March 2022 upto June 2022 though senior to 1897 'Police Constables' who had been relieved by the then 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai. The 'P.E.B.' after deliberations on this issue decided to initially relieve 5 'Police Constables' out of 9 'Police Constables' whose applications had been pending since 2020.</p> <p>9. (v) Learned P.O. thereupon drew attention to the fact that 'P.E.B.' in its meeting held on 29.01.2024 has pertinently observed that in respect of many 'Police Constables' seeking 'Inter District Transfer'; the 'Original Applications' were no longer available on 'Office Files' kept by office of 'Commissioner of Police' Greater</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Mumbai'; because the only 'Original Copy' of their Applications had already been forwarded to concerned 'Police Establishments' viz (i) 'Commissioners of Police' & (ii) 'Superintendents of Police'.

10. The Commissioner of Police Greater Mumbai against the backdrop of the meeting of 'P.E.B.' held on 29.02.2024 is thus informed to take the following course of action:-

10. (i) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' in next meeting of 'P.E.B.' to consider and decide about cases of 'Inter District Transfers' of those 'Police Constables' for whom willingness have been conveyed by (i) 'Commissioner of Police, Nashik', (ii) 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad', (iii) 'Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar', (iv) 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' after meeting of 'P.E.B.' was held on 29.01.2024.

10. (ii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to designate a 'Nodal Officer' to facilitate submission of 'Self-Certified Copy' of the 'Original Application' by those 'Police Constables' whose 'Original Copy' are now not available on 'Office Files' and provide them time of 'Two Weeks'

10. (iii) The 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' to thereupon fix 'Time Schedule' for next round of meetings of 'P.E.B.' with view to implement directions in 'Para 8 (ii)' of Order dated 09.01.2024 to deal with several pending cases of 'Police Constables' who were left out and not relieved during March 2022 to June 2022 to join on 'Inter District Transfer' by then 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.

11. S.O. to 02.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 13.02.2024

O.A. No.1550 of 2023

U.B. KumbharApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Siddhesh Bane, learned Advocate holding for Shri. Harshad Sathe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned PO states that 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, Pune' has been authorized by 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Pune' to file the 'Affidavit-in-Reply'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that after 'Affidavits-in-Reply' are filed he may like to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. Time as prayed for is granted to the learned Advocate.
4. Learned PO is informed that as the 'Transfer Order' of the Applicant had been issued on 06.12.2023 with approval of 'Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forest (Administration) (Subordinate Cadre) Nagpur' but was subsequently directed to be revoked by 'Email' sent on 08.12.2023 by 'Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur' (HOFF). Therefore, it is necessary for both of them to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Further with respect to observations recorded in 'Para 6' of Order dated 30.12.2023, it is imperative that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is also filed by the 'Principal Secretary (Forests) Revenue & Forest Department.
5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
6. S.O. to 28.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.165 of 2024</p> <p>V.V. Salvi,Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors:Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. presents copy of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' letter dated 20.11.2023 wherein it is mentioned that based on 'Telephonic Instructions' it had been directed that 'Additional Charge' of the post of 'Deputy R.T.O., Kalyan District Thane' be forthwith taken away from Applicant who was serving as 'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O. Kalyan, District Thane'</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. referring to 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' letter dated 20.11.2023 further mentions that it is proposed that upon withdrawal of 'Additional Charge' of the post of 'Deputy RTO Kalyan; District Thane' from Applicant, his services should be attached to office of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' till such time as 'State Government' decides to also transfer Applicant from post of 'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O. Kalyan, District Thane'</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Learned P.O. then produces copy of representation made by 'Konkan Vibhag, Rickshaw – Taxi Union' on the basis of which 'Home Department' letter dated 01.02.2024 came to be issued to 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'</p> <p>5. The Applicant handed over 'Additional Charge' of the post of 'Deputy R.T.O., Kalyan, District Thane' soon after 'Home Department' letter dated 01.02.2024 was issued to 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. The 'Joint Transport Commissioner (Administration), Maharashtra State, Mumbai' however issued Order dated 02.02.2024 to even relieve Applicant from the post 'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O., Kalyan'. However as is evident from contents of 'Para 5' of Order dated 02.02.2024 of 'Joint Transport Commissioner (Administration), Maharashtra State, Mumbai' the action to relieve Applicant from the post of 'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O., Kalyan, District Thane' was grossly erroneous and beyond competence as Applicant was not to be relieved from post of 'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O, Kalyan' District Thane. No 'Transfer Order' of Applicant has yet been issued under provisions of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'. Hence, the Order dated 02.02.2024 of 'Joint Transport Commissioner (Administration), Maharashtra State, Mumbai' to even relieve Applicant from post</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O. Kalyan' District Thane is inherently bad in law and indicative of malice against the Applicant. Further in so far as direction in 'Home Department' letter dated 01.02.2024 that services of Applicant in the meanwhile be attached to office of 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' is concerned, it cannot be brought to implementation being ambiguously worded and not in accordance with any provisions of law or rules i.e (i) 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005' or (ii) Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal), Rules, 1981.</p> <p>6. The 'Joint Transport Commissioner (Administration), Maharashtra State, Mumbai' is therefore directed to withdraw 'Order' dated 02.02.2024 immediately and thereupon allow Applicant to continue on post of 'A.R.T.O.' in office of 'Deputy R.T.O., Kalyan, District Thane' because such action of overreach was not even contemplated by 'Home Department' letter dated 01.02.2024 addressed to 'Transport Commissioner, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 11.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.195 of 2024</p> <p>M.G. Sardesai/Rathod,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that the Applicant who is 'Deputy Collector' and presently serving on 'Deputation Post' of 'Deputy Director Tourism Directorate; Nashik' has been posted to the 'Vacant Post' of 'Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition Officer No.1, Dhule' by 'Transfer Order' dated 31.01.2024 of 'Revenue and Forest Department'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that 'Transfer Order' dated 31.01.2024 of 'Revenue and Forest Department' has ostensibly been issued for implementation of directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 read with provisions of Section 4(4)(ii) and Section 4(5) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that Applicant came to be posted as 'Deputy Director Tourism Directorate; Nashik' by 'Transfer Order' dated 28.10.2021 of 'Revenue and Forest Department' and is thus yet to complete 'Normal Tenure' of '3 years' under 'Section 3(1)' of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that post of Applicant which is 'Deputy Director Tourism Directorate; Nashik' is not proposed to be notified under 'Section 22' of 'Representation of Peoples Act, 1951' as that of 'Assistant Returning Officer' for conduct of 'General Election to Lok Sabha 2024.'</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for Applicant then mentioned that 'Husband' of Applicant is also 'Deputy Collector' and posted in 'Ahmednagar District'.</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate for Applicant in this regard quoted from letter dated 23.01.2024 of 'Divisional Commissioner Nashik' addressed to 'Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department' which mentions in its 'Annexure' the 'Names' and 'Designations' of several officers from cadres of (i) Additional Collector (ii) Deputy Collector and (iii) Tahsildars who are not proposed to be entrusted with work directly related to conduct of 'General Elections to Lok Sabha: 2024'. Further he stated that this 'Annexure' includes names of several 'Deputy Collectors' who have been working for much longer periods than Applicant in Nashik and thus should have been transferred to 'Vacant Post' of 'Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition Officer No.1, Dhule'.</p> <p>8. Learned Advocate for Applicant lastly stated that as per the information available no other 'Deputy Collector' has been posted in place of Applicant as 'Deputy Director Tourism, Directorate Nashik'.</p> <p>9. The 'Revenue and Forest Department' Order dated 31.01.2024 has been issued to fill the 'Vacant Post' of 'Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition Officer No.1, Dhule' which is notified as 'Assistant Returning Officer' under 'Section 22' of 'Representation of Peoples Act, 1951' for conduct of 'General Election to Lok Sabha 2024' However, post of 'Deputy Director Tourism Directorate; Nashik' on which Applicant was working is not notified as 'Assistant Returning Officer' under 'Section 22' of 'Representation of Peoples Act, 1951' for conduct of 'General Election to Lok Sabha 2024'. Further no other 'Deputy Collector' been posted so far in place of Applicant. Also, the 'Divisional Commissioner, Nashik' had reported to 'Additional Chief</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department' on 23.01.2024 that several officers including Applicant would not be assigned any 'Election Duty' by 'District Collector' and 'District Election Officer', Nasik. Hence, the 'Revenue and Forest Department' should have considered all these facts in its entirety and transferred some other 'Deputy Collector' on the 'Vacant Post' of 'Deputy Collector, Land Acquisition Officer No.1, Dhule'. Hence, considering these facts and circumstances; the 'Balance of Convenience' at this stage lies in favour of Applicant. Therefore, Applicant is granted 'Interim Relief' and permitted to continue on the post of 'Deputy Director Tourism Directorate; Nashik'. In the meanwhile the 'Revenue and Forest Department' may take appropriate decision to provide substitute officer in place of Applicant from amongst those 'Deputy Collectors' who are eligible to be transferred so as to fill 'Vacant Post' of 'Deputy Collectors, Land Acquisition Officer No.1, Dhule'.</p> <p>10. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>11. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.02.2024.</p> <p>12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>14. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>15. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>16. S.O. to 22.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.173 of 2024</p> <p>P.S. Bhosale,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states based on information received from 'A.C.P., (Administration)' who is present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai' that transfer of Applicant from the post of 'In-Charge' of 'Sanpada Police Station' to the 'R.B.I. Security Branch' was not as per the directions of 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023. She further states that even the transfer of the 'Respondent No.5' from the 'Special Branch' to the post of 'In-Charge' of 'Sanpada Police Station' was not as per directions of 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant further states that therefore the transfer of the Applicant and the Respondent No.5, has been done only under provisions of 'Section 22N' of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951'. Hence, there was no necessity for these to be issued along with several other 'Transfer Orders' of 'Police Inspector' by 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai' on 30.01.2024 which ostensibly are in respect to implementation of directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere files 'Vakalatnama' on behalf of Respondent No.5.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Learned P.O. based on instructions from 'A.C.P. (Administration)' present of behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai' states that the Applicant has now joined on the post of 'R.B.I. Security Branch' on 10.02.2024.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. further seeks 'Two weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 27.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.88/2024</u> with <u>O.A.96/2024</u> with <u>O.A.107/2024</u></p> <p>P.D. Kokare M.M. Bhogate B.K. Gangawane ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.N. Mandal, learned Advocate i/b Shri P.D. Sharma, learned Advocate, Smt. Priyanka Naik, learned Advocate and Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 & 6.</p> <p>2. Shri R.N. Mandal, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.88/2024 on instructions from Applicant informs that he wants to withdraw his OA with liberty to file fresh OA. Accordingly, he has submitted 'Pursis'. It is taken on record. Allowed to withdraw OA No.88/2024.</p> <p>3. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 & 6 submits that he has received instructions to appear for Respondent No.4 Shri M.B. Markad and Respondent No.6 Shri R.D. Pagore.</p> <p>4. In this matter, it is necessary for Respondents to verify the reservation under Section 34(d) and (e) of 'The Rights of Persons with Disability Act, 2016' in the categories of 'Mental Illness/Multiple Disabilities'.</p> <p>5. The learned CPO submits that MPSC has referred the matter to 'Persons with Disability Welfare Department'.</p> <p>6. In view of this, we direct Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for Applicant to add GAD and Public Health Department in the array of Respondents within 2 days after uploading of this order. He is directed to serve the copy of OA on proposed Respondents.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The learned CPO informs that as the letter is sent to State Government, the MPSC is waiting for response from the Government.

8. We would like to know from concerned Department as to when they are going to reply to query raised by MPSC on the point of disability and secondly, MPSC to make statement as to when they are going to declare the results.

9. Hence, we direct that concerned officer from MPSC to remain present on next date.

10. S.O. to 16th February, 2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member-A
13.02.2024

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J)
Chairperson
13.02.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.737/2017</p> <p>S.J. MohiteApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S. Patil with Mr. Digvijay Kochare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel is present now. However it is noticed that learned Counsel does not remain present in this matter. However, matter will peremptorily appear on board on 26.02.2024 and learned Counsel is directed to remain present.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.02.2024. To be removed from the caption 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.999/2017</p> <p>P.S. Mahamuni & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. produces chart regarding Applicants promotion to the post of Group Inspector. It be taken on record and mark as Exhibit A for identification. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>3. We direct learned Counsel Mr. Kolge to type the handwritten chart which is at page 98 of the O.A. as he is relying on this document.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.02.2024 at 12 noon.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1464 of 2023 with O.A. No. 1485 of 2023</p> <p>S.A. Kotkar & Ors., D.G. HiwaleApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar' has already been filed in O.A. No.1485 of 2023.</p> <p>3. Learned PO then seeks time of 'Three Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar' in O.A. No. 1464 of 2023.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date' in both O.A. No. 1464 of 2023 and O.A. No.1485 of 2023.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 13.02.2024
	<p align="center">M.A. No.706 of 2023 in O.A. No. 1285 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S.B. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Krishna Tarde, learned Advocate for the Applicant holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned PO submits 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Principal Secretary Social Justice and Special Assistance Department' and then states that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is yet to be filed on behalf of 'Director General, BARTI, Pune'. 3. Learned PO seeks time of 'Two Weeks' to file Affidavit in Reply behalf of 'Director General, BARTI, Pune'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned PO. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicant thereupon states that he would like to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' to the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed today by 'Principal Secretary Social Justice and Special Assistance Department'. 5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'. 6. S.O. to 12.03.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.519 of 2023 in O.A. No.1002 of 2023</u></p> <p>Komal S. Gite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.N. Sagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Kanhaiya S. Yadav holding for Ms. A.C. Kaladharan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. The father of the applicant Shri Sunil Balkrushna Gite expired on 23.4.2010. The applicant seeks appointment on compassionate ground. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he is the only child of her parents. He states that there has been delay of 804 days in filing the OA. In fact there is no delay. He states that the communication dated 27.5.2021 of Home Department is received on 17.7.2023 informing the applicant that her claim for appointment on compassionate ground is rejected as there is a third child born in the family. Hence, he states that there is no delay.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for respondent no.4 states that respondent no.4 is the son of the deceased out of the second marriage. He states that earlier respondent no.4 had filed OA No.1326 of 2022 in this Tribunal for compassionate appointment which was allowed by order dated 13.7.2023. Thereafter this OA is filed. He refers to letter dated 22.8.2019 sent by the department to the applicant and states that applicant was aware of the fact in 2019 itself. Ld. Advocate for the respondent no.4 submits that applicant has made representation to the department in 2019 and hence the delay starts from then. He states that applicant has given NOC to the respondent no.4 for appointment on compassionate ground in 2022. The applicant has her mother have given NOC in favour of respondent no.4 relinquishing their rights for compassionate appointment. He states that applicant is graduate and now she has</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

completed her L.I.B. and she cannot take recourse that she is not aware of the law. He submits that NOC clearly states that it was given for compassionate appointment and they cannot take recourse that this was given for private job. He states that no NOC is required for private job. He opposes the MA for condonation of delay and submits that no satisfactory reasons have been given for the same. He relies on the judgment and order dated 16.12.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in CA No.7696/2021.

4. I.d. PO refers to judgment and order dated 13.7.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.1326/2022 filed by respondent no.4 and states that present OA is not maintainable. Applicant should have either filed RA or challenged the order of this Tribunal in the Hon'ble High Court.

5. I.d. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant has not made party in the earlier OA No.1326/2022. He states that respondent no.4 has also given NOC in favour of the applicant for compassionate appointment. I.d. Advocate for the applicant submits that W.P. No.12664/2023 filed by the applicant is pending in the Hon'ble High Court. I.d. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has also filed OA No.1503 of 2023 in this Tribunal which is pending.

6. S.O. to 21.2.2024.

Sd/-
(Medhá Gadgil)
Member (A)
13.2.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.367 of 2023</p> <p>N.B. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.M. Misal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO states that 'Director of Accounts & Treasuries, Mumbai' had submitted draft of 'Para-wise Comments' for approval to the 'Additional Chief Secretary' (A & T) 'Finance Department' because the Applicant belongs to cadre of 'Maharashtra Finance & Accounts Service - Group-B'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO accordingly seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of both Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Time as prayed for is granted to the learned PO.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant states if required he will file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' after 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is filed on behalf of both Respondent Nos. 1 and 2</p> <p>5. S.O. to 01.03.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (<u>Debashish Chakrabarty</u>) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

S.O. to 27.02.2024.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20(sk)w _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.193/2024</u></p> <p>P.R. Kuchekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sumit Vhonbatte, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this OA, Applicant challenges list dated 15.01.2024. The Applicant has applied in 'LDS Female Reservation' and opted by writing 'Yes', but still she is not considered in 'LDS Female Reservation' for the post of 'Craft Instructor'.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for Applicant points out Page Nos.328 and 330. These are the documents verification result which discloses that not a single candidate in the NT(C) candidate is found and thus Applicant has a rightful claim to get over the selection.</p> <p>4. The learned CPO submits that she needs a day to verify the position.</p> <p>5. Status-quo to be maintained qua NT(C)/LDS Female category.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 15.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <i>Sd/-</i> <i>Sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J) Member-A Chairperson 13.02.2024 13.02.2024 </p>

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1540 of 2023</p> <p>K.K. KhedkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO seeks further time of 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department' specifically with respect to observations recorded in 'Para 3' of the Order dated 24.01.2024. Time as prayed for is granted to the learned PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.80 of 2024</p> <p>P.S. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents some 'Additional Information' obtained by Applicant under provisions of the 'RTI Act: 2005'. 3. Learned PO states he will file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents No. 1 & 2 within the course of the day. However averments in respect of observations made in 'Para 6' of Order of 06.02.2024 must be included in the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' of Respondents No. 1 & 2. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that after 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is filed by both Respondents No. 1 & 2, he would like to file 'Affidavit -in-Rejoinder' within 'Two Week'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant. 5. S.O. to 29.02.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.²¹⁸381/2023</p> <p>V.P. IdateApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 27.02.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/- SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.56/2023 in O.A.No.614/2014</p> <p>D.E. HirdeApplicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents<li>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that the order of the Tribunal is challenged before Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition No.13411/2023 and the same is Part Heard and adjourned to 22.02.2024.<li>3. In view of the above, C.A. stands adjourned to 27.02.2024. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.877 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.P. Singh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO files affidavit in reply dated 12.2.2024 of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.2.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.2.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.870 of 2022</u></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Satyavrat Joshi holding for Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant seeks promotion to the post of Superintendent of Police/Dy. Commissioner of Police. The applicant is presently working as ACP in Nagpur. Ld. Advocate submits that the applicant is facing prosecution under Prevention of Corruption Act along with DE initiated by the respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that as per GR dated 15.12.2017 a conscious decision was taken by the DPC not to promote the applicant as there is sufficient evidence. Ld. Advocate for the applicant contends that parameters of GR dated 15.12.2017 are not followed. He relied on the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No.1672/2022 dated 5.10.2023 (Ashok M. Nand Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.) and judgment and order dated 7.12.2023 passed by the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in OA No.427/2023 (Sandip P. Chavan Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.).</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.2.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.2.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.400 of 2017</u></p> <p>V.B. Ghogare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is to be noted that GAD and MPSC are added as respondents no.2 & 3 respectively. They have not yet filed the reply. This is the last chance to file reply, if they want to file reply on or before 28.2.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.3.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.2.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.750 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.P. Dhage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Digvijay Kachare holding for Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is informed that Shri A.A. Desai, I.d. Counsel for the applicant is held up in the Hon'ble High Court. I.d. CPO insists that matter be heard early in view of interim relief which is running against the Government since 4.7.2023. I.d. CPO submits that this matter is to be heard as there is settled position of law.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.2.2024 at 12.30 p.m.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024 (sgl) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.2.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1044 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.B. Kolpe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant is not present when the matter is called out.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.2.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.2.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.874 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.A. Raut ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant through videoconferencing and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 27.2.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024 (sgj) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.2.2024 </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1169/22, 483, 726, 894, 895, 896 & 612/2023</u></p> <p>K.A. Devkate & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that OA No.895/2023 in the above group is already disposed off.</p> <p>3. It is to be noted by the Board Department of this Tribunal that OA No.895/2023 should not be shown on board as it is already disposed off.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.2.2024.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.2.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1039, 953 & 955 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.A.R. Pathan S.K. Khade S.V. Kokare ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.1039/2023, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs No.953 & 955/2023 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 26.2.2024. Interim relief to continue. Part heard.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.2.2024 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1081 of 2023</p> <p>R.D. Ghunkikar,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent Nos.4 & 5. Time of 'Two Weeks' as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Interim Relief' be continued till filing of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.4 & 5'.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief' is continued till filing of 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.4 & 5'</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29.02.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 13.02.2024

O.A. No.1599 of 2023

S.A. Barse & Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicants seeks further time of 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicants. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicants.

3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

4. S.O. to 29.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1490/2023</p> <p>S.N. ShelkeApplicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 05.03.2024.</p> <p>SD/-</p> <p>SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2024

M.A.No.644/2023 in O.A.No.460/2023

K.B. Ghodke & Ors.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Mr. A.V. Gaikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. Adjourned to 26.02.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2024

C.A.No.45/2023 in O.A.No.614/2022

N.D. GangurdeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Adjourned to 22.02.2024.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2024

O.A.No.842/2021

R.B. SawantApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S.
Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

2. Adjourned to 05.03.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2024

O.A.No.1102/2017

Dr. A.S. YadavApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

2. Adjourned to 15.02.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1575/2023</p> <p>R.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 05.03.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1444/2023</p> <p>T.A. DeshpandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 27.02.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1265/2023</p> <p>B.B. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Yogita Tembe holding for Mr. A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 19.03.2024.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2024

C.A.No.45/2023 in O.A.No.614/2022

N.D. GangurdeApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Adjourned to 22.02.2024.

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

SD/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.643/2023 in O.A.No.1300/2023</p> <p>V.C. PasalkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of two years and nine months in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that the delay is to be condoned only on the ground that the Applicant is prosecuted under Section 392, 395 read with Section 120-B of IPC. The Applicant was in jail during the period from 22.09.2020 to 04.08.2023. He was granted bail on 04.08.2023. O.A. is filed on 10.10.2023.</p> <p>4. Considering the reason given is valid to condone the delay, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. stands allowed.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.801/2023</p> <p>S.K. ParekarApplicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents<li>2. Mr. A Gavkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.<li>3. Reply is filed. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.<li>4. Adjourned to 26.03.2024. <p>SD/-</p> <p>SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.699/2023</p> <p>Y.A. Ahire & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 05.04.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>SD/- SD/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2024</p> <p>M.A.No.86/2024 in O.A.No.849/2023</p> <p>S.D. BhagatApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.02.2024.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Misc. Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>8. Adjourned to 27.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2024

M.A.No.34/2024 in O.A.No.845/2023

R.P. DeshmukhApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav holding for Mr. R.G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Reply is filed. Applicant challenges termination order dated 30.06.2023. Record shows that show cause notice was given to the Applicant (page 37 of O.A.)

3. We want to finish the matters of the year 2017. In view that applicant is already terminated from service under such circumstances we keep this matter on 12.03.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2024

M.A.No.647/2023 in O.A.No.291/2023

N.P. Bhosale & Anr.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.S. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A. Misal, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.

2. Applicants came to be appointed on the post of Construction Supervisor since 2008 and he was appointed on the post of Peon in the year 2019. Hence, the delay is from the year 2009. O.A. is filed on 04.03.2023. However, learned Counsel has mentioned that there is delay of 365 days.

3. At the request of learned Counsel Mr. Jadhav adjourned to 05.03.2024.

SD/-

SD/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1621 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Kothari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Private Respondent no.4 files reply and the same is taken on record. Private respondent no.3 is personally present in the Court and she states that she will not be in a position to attend the Court and submits that she will send her reply by post.</p> <p>3. Admit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.3.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1576 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.V. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant produces photocopy of email dated 23.1.2024 received from the applicant requesting for withdrawal of the above OA as he is appointed at Police Patil and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. OA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1318 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.B. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.2.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.685 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.A Pereira & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.3.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.175 of 2024

Dr. S.R. Jain ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.3.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
13.2.2024

(sgj)