

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1041 of 2022 with O.A. No.1042 of 2022 with O.A. No.1044 of 2022 with O.A. No.1043 of 2022 with O.A. No.1048 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. Dhake C.P. Bhasme C.G. Kurunkar S.R. Bankar S.R. MalwadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all these O.As the Applicants are retired Government servant who have challenged impugned order dated 11.05.2022 whereby Respondent – The Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration, Mumbai have withdrawn benefit of 2nd Time Bound Promotion benefits ACPS which was granted to them by his predecessor in 2018. The Applicant stands retired from Government service in the period from 2008 to 2009. In 2017/2018 the Applicants made representations for grant of 2nd Time Bound Promotion under ACPS and for enhanced pension. The then Commissioner by order dated 08.10.2018 granted benefit of 2nd ACPS restricting it to the Pensionary benefits. However, later Respondent by order dated 11.05.2022 cancelled 2nd benefit granted by his predecessor on the ground that the Applicants were not entitle for the 2nd benefit of ACPS which is challenged in this O.A.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. During the course of hearing one of the main contentions raised by the Applicants counsel is about non issuance of notice resulting into non observance of principles of natural justice and breach of Rule 134(A) of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1982. He has pointed out that in terms of impugned action pension of the Applicants is reduced and notice was essential. Arguments were also advanced by him to demonstrate that the Applicants were indeed entitled to 2nd benefit under ACPS.</p> <p>4. During the course of hearing when pertinent query has been raised to learned P.O. about the non compliance of Rule 134(A) of Pension Rules he sought short time to take instruction from the Department and to make statement.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. on instruction from Shri R.S. Rathod, Chief Administrative Officer, Food and Drugs Administrative Department submits that Respondent intend to withdraw impugned orders dated 11.05.2022 within two weeks and to pass afresh order after necessary observance of Rule 134(A) of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules, 1982.</p> <p>6. In view of above, all these O.As. stand disposed of with liberty to the Respondent – The Commissioner, Food and Drugs Administration, Mumbai to take necessary steps in accordance to law. The decision as the case may be shall be communicated to the Applicant. If aggrieved they can avail legal remedy as available in law.</p> <p>7. It is clarified that all contentions about entitlement of 2nd benefits on merits are kept open.</p> <p>8. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.997/2022</u></p> <p>Shri A.T. Joseph ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the issue about the entitlement of family of deceased Government servant to compassionate appointment where he had 3 children born after cut-off date is subjudice before Division Bench in view of concurrent ^{concurrent} Judgments of coordinate Benches.</p> <p>3. In view of above, hearing of the O.A. is adjourned.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28th April, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">N Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>(sgj)</p> <p><u>L.O.:-</u></p> <p>1. In afternoon session, learned P.O. requested for one day's time to tender Affidavit-in-reply in Office. Allowed to file the same by tomorrow with copy to other side.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 21st February, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.02.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>R.A. No.2 of 2023 in O.A. No.356 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.S. Kore, through LR's. Smt. S.R. Kore & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that affidavit in reply to RA is under preparation and will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Statement accepted.</p> <p>4. RA be kept for hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.2.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.590 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. Kale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit along with Annexure to show that they were deputed, and therefore were entitled for absorption much earlier. It is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O. sought short time to file counter Affidavit and to argue the matter. 4. S.O. to 27.02.2023. <p align="right">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

NMN


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.02.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.418 of 2017</p> <p>S.D. KharatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri R. Gadhari, Respondent No.4 in Person is absent.</p> <p>2. When the matter is taken up for hearing it is transpired that this is not only a case of Time Bound Promotion which is allotted to the Single Bench under office order of distribution of work. The Applicant is also seeking upper position in Seniority list of 2000-01 and for consequential service benefits.</p> <p>3. Thus, in view of above, learned P.O. submits that matter pertain to Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also fairly concedes this position and requested to transfer the matter to Division Bench.</p> <p>5. In view of above, I/C. Registrar is directed to place the matter before Division Bench.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.747 of 2022</p> <p>V.H. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit on behalf of Shri Satish Lokhande, Chief Executive Officer, S.R.A. by way of explanation to his letter dated 27.10.2021. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.02.2023 as Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.103 of 2023 in O.A. No.120 of 2023</p> <p>S.N. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondents to issue permanency certificate and to grant consequential service benefits of Time Bound Promotion Scheme. In O.A. the Applicant have not challenged communication dated 03.03.2022 whereby permanency certificate has been rejected.</p> <p>3. Now by proposed M.A. sought permission to add prayer to quash and set aside the communication dated 03.03.2022.</p> <p>4. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>6. Amendment be carried out within three days.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 13.02.2023

O.A. No.120 of 2023

Smt. S.N. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 03.03.2022 and sought direction to grant benefits of Time Bound Promotion Scheme.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.03.2023.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 17.03.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 13.02.2023

O.A. No.112 of 2020

D.W. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply to the amendment made by the Applicant in O.A. It is taken on record.
3. S.O. to 14.02.2023.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.02.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.185 of 2023</p> <p>D.T. KatkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pradnya Talekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.D. Salve, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. K.N. Solunke, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 - MMRDA.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 08.02.2023 issued by Respondent No.2 – MMRDA whereby his services are repatriated to Respondent No.1 i.e. Revenue and Forest Department.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. as well as learned Advocate for Respondent No.2 sought one day time to make submission on the point of interim relief sought by the Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.02.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.179 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. MurudkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.M. Inamdar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant challenged order dated 07.02.2023 issued by Government which proposed to have been issued exercising Section 4(5) of Transfer Act 2005 whereby the Applicant is transferred from the post of Deputy Engineer, Maharashtra Cinema, Stage Culture Development Corporation Goregaon, Mumbai and posted at Kurla on vacant post. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim relief.</p> <p>3. However, learned P.O. sought one day time to argue the matter on the point of interim relief.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.537 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.P. Sansare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.F. Wasif, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant sought permission to file affidavit in sur-sur-rejoinder in response to additional affidavit filed by Ld. PO. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 668/2022</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Misale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply before the next otherwise the matter will proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Mr Kadam prays for time to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 20.2.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 720/2022 in O.A 783/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.R Maule & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Katkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present Misc Application is filed for early hearing of the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 15.2.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 158/2021 with O.A 223/2021</u></p> <p>Shri M.M Dawane & Ors A.V Dudhal & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 20.3.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 91/2023 in O.A 88/2023</u></p> <p>Shri D.S Khairnar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.U Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. O.A 88/2023 to be placed on Board on 24.2.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 452/2021</u></p> <p>Shri D.A Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to amend the copy of the Original Application by adding Mr Barge, as Party Respondent. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>3. We direct both the learned counsel for the applicant and learned C.P.O to file affidavit on the point as to who is the third party who is going to be affected if the applicant's name is considered for appointment.</p> <p>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O to 14.2.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 175/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Ganesh B. Kasare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants pray that name of the applicants no 3, 4 and 11 in the cause title be allowed to be deleted.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Amendment to be carried out forthwith and copy served on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.02.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>10. S.O 17.02.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1193/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.S Tikawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.03.2023.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O 13.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

Date : 13.02.2023

O.A.No.488/2021 with O.A.No.660/2021

S.C. Patil & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. S.D. Patil/ Mr. A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate seeks time to file rejoinder.

3. At the request of learned Advocate Adjourned to 13.03.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2023

O.A.No.135/2023

G.V. SahaneApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.03.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 13.03.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 215/2021</u></p> <p>Smt P.S Manjare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to follow the proper procedure and withdraw his Vakalatnama.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.3.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 370/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.A.R Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Hitesh Sangle i/b Shri Hrishikesh Chitale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the Writ Petition No. 148/2021 is still pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and requests for time.</p> <p>3. Hence matter stands adjourned to 6.3.2023. Interim relief to continue till then.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 64/2022 in O.A 608/2019</u></p> <p>Dr M.V Pande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Vijay Lahane, Joint Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, Shri Vijay Wagh, Addl. District Health Officer, Z.P, Pune and Dr Radhakrishan Pawar, Deputy Director, Health Services, Pune are present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O on instructions submits that the amount of G.P.F is already paid to the applicant and the amount of gratuity and pension was not released because there was a gap in service of 12 years. The said gap of 12 years has been condoned by the Respondents by G.R dated 8.2.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that the pension papers of the applicant has been duly processed and submitted to the office of the Accountant General today.</p> <p>5. S.O to 27.2.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 13.02.2023
---	--

C.A.No.12/2022 in O.A.No.431/2018

B.D. SarangkarApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. on instructions from Mr. Avinash Jadhav, Section Officer, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mr. Shailesh Patankar, Chief Administrative Officer, Director of Health Services Office, Mumbai and Dr. Radhakrishan Pawar, Deputy Director of Health Services, Pune has submitted that one week time will be required to send the proposal to Public Health Department and furthermore the Department will require four more days to take decision thereon.

3. Matter adjourned to 20.02.2023 to inform the progress in the matter. Respondent is directed to remain present to inform steps taken till day.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.931/2022</p> <p>R.U. Bhosale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants working as Deputy Director in the Agricultural Department want to be promoted as Superintending Agriculture Officer without appearing and passed the examination.</p> <p>3. The Respondent-State is directed to file affidavit as whether these five persons whose names appear in the chart at Annexure-R1 are promoted though they did not appear and passed the Departmental Examination for the second time. Further we call the concerned officer to interpret Rule 8 of the Maharashtra Agricultural Service Class I, Class II and Class II (Junior) in the Directorate of Agriculture (Development Group) (Recruitment) Rules, 1983 in the said affidavit.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.02.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.85 of 2023 with M.A. No.81 of 2023,</u> <u>O.A.86 of 2023 with M.A. No.98 of 2023 &</u> <u>O.A.87 of 2023 with M.A. No.99 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.M. Jagtap S.S. Kokane P.D. Pagar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.D. Joshi, Ld. Advocate for the applicants though has taken circulation for today has sent leave note.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note adjourned to 21.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.479 of 2020</u></p> <p>K.P. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned for final hearing on 22.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.27 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. S.D. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.2.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1249 of 2022</u></p> <p>S.R. Lohar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.2.2023 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1085 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.G. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant & Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. In this matter notice was issued on 25.11.2022 and since enough time is consumed and no reply is filed, OA be kept for hearing without reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.3.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.974 of 2022</u></p> <p>N.G. Kabadi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person, and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Applicant, one week's time is granted for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.2.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.491 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.K. Hiramani & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that affidavit in rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Statement accepted.</p> <p>4. OA be kept for hearing on 9.3.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.563 of 2022 in O.A. No.889 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.N. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant, MA is adjourned to 13.3.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023 </p> <p>(sg)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>R.A. No.2 of 2023 in O.A. No.356 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.S. Kore, through LRs. Smt. S.R. Kore & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that affidavit in reply to RA is under preparation and will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Statement accepted.</p> <p>4. RA be kept for hearing with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.2.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.177 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Bhapkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has prayed for directions to decide his representation dated 15.6.2022 for pay and allowances for suspension period as well as pay and allowances for out of service period. He seems to have made representation on 15.6.2022 and 23.5.2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO is directed to take instructions about status of representation and apprise Tribunal by next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.2.2023. If decision is not taken till date it be taken in the mean time.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.169 of 2023</u></p> <p>D.P. Malve ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is challenging suspension order dated 27.12.2022 mainly on the ground of competency of respondent Deputy Commissioner of Police to suspend him.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.3.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>