### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

### I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>M.A. No.753 of 2022 in O.A. No.219 of 2022</u>
	S.R. Waghmare & OrsApplicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Ms. Amruta Kharade holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. The present MA is filed seeking amendment to the OA. Ld. Advocate submits that in the OA prayer is made for regularization. Pending OA the advertisement for filling up the new post is issued on 16.11.2022 and therefore applicants need to amend the OA by adding pages 18-A, 24-A and 27-A as per schedule annexed to MA.
	3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.
	4. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all respondents.
	5. OA is adjourned to 1.2.2023.
	Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.1.2023 (sgj) Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.1.2023

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders

### M.A. No.657 of 2022 in O.A. No.507 of 2022

Rajendra G. Shendge Vs. .. Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri P.G. Bagade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the above matter next date of 17.1.2023 is already granted on the board. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions and the matter is taken.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has filed this MA seeking implementation of the order dated 11.6.2019 passed by this Tribunal in OA No.294 of 2019 filed by the applicant against suspension and DE. By order dated 11.6.2019 OA No.294 of 2019 was allowed by reinstating the applicant and respondent no.1 was directed to complete the DE proceedings within six months from the date of order. However, the respondents started the enquiry on 19.4.2022.

4. Ld. PO on instructions from Ms. Laxmi Ingale, Law Officer, Social Welfare & Special Assistance Department, Mantralaya, submits that enquiry is completed and the file is submitted to the Hon'ble Chief Minister on 3.1.2023 for his approval.

5. In the present OA No.507 of 2022 the applicant prays that notice and the enquiry be set aside. However, as per submissions of Ld. PO enquiry is over.

6. Ld. PO is directed to produce copy of report of the enquiry on 3.2.2023. S.O. to 3.2.2023.

Sd/-(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.1.2023

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.1.2023

Sd/-

4

(sgj)

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.462/2022

### Shri M.R. Khude & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. The Applicant has challenged the communication dated 26.07.2021 whereby request of the Applicant to substitute the name of her son [Applicant No.2] is rejected on the ground that the name of Applicant No.1 is already in waiting list at Serial No.36.

Admittedly, after the death of deceased 3. Government servant Ramesh B. Khude, his wife Smt. Mangal R. Khude [Applicant No.1] applied for compassionate appointment and her name was accordingly enrolled in waiting list. Not only that, the Respondent issued communication dated 07.04.2021 (correct date 07.04.2022) calling upon the Applicant that one post is vacant, and therefore, she should remain present along with necessary document for verification on 11.04.2022. However, instead of complying communication dated 07.04.2021, the Applicants have filed the present O.A. and sought direction to the Respondent to substitute the name of Applicant No.2 in place of Applicant No.1.

4. Thus, this is not a case where the name of mother is deleted from waiting list on account of age bar, in which situation, substitution may be permissible. Here is the case, Applicant No.1 is already offered employment, but she seems to be not ready to accept the same.

5. In view of above, prima-facie, the O.A. is not maintainable.

6. The learned Advocate for the Applicant requested for one week time to take instructions from the client to take necessary steps.

7. S.O. to 20<sup>th</sup> January, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.01.2023

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	•
			Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	versus		
The St	ate of Maharashtra and others		

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
•	<u>O.A.390/2022</u>
	Shri M.K. Waghela & Ors Applicants Vs.
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	1. Shri N.N. Dixit, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
	2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is noticed that one Manali D. Chouhan is likely to be affected person, but she is not joined in the O.A. If appears that deceased Government servant Naresh A Waghela has given nomination in her favour, as seen from impugned order dated 13.03.2021. On that ground, the Applicants' claim for appointment in terms of Lad-Page Committee Recommendation is rejected. Thus, Manali D. Chouhan is necessary party.
	3. Learned Advocate for the Applicants undertakes to file M.A. for amendment in addition of Manali D. Chouhan as Respondent No.5.
	4. Two weeks' time is granted to take necessary steps.
	5. S.O. to 31st January, 2023.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.01.2023
	(skw)

[*P.T.O.* 

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate	)	
	varene	
	versus e of Maharashtra and	othong
Ine State	on manarasinna and	
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's orders
•		<u>0.A.595/2022</u>
	Shri S.R. Misal	Applicant
	Vs. The State of Ma	h. & ors.      Respondents
	learned Advocat	a Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, e for the Applicant and Shri A.J. ned Presenting Officer for the resent.
		equest of learned Advocate for the rned for hearing to 23 <sup>rd</sup> January,
		Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.01.2023
	(skw)	
·		
		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

MUMBAI				
Original Application No.	of 20	Ι	DISTRICT	la
			Applicant/	s
(Advocate	)			
	versus			
The Stat	e of Maharas	htra and others		
			Respondent/	's
(Presenting Officer		)		
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's	orders	
		<u>0.A.14</u>	2/2021	
		R. Davar Vs.	Applicant	
	The Sta	te of Mah. & ors.	Respondents	
	Applicar		learned Advocate for t Baikwad learned Presenti s is present.	
	reply on	behalf of Respondent	has tendered Affidavit- dents 3 to 5 to explain t ment order. It is taken	th
	3. A 2023.	djourned for final	hearing to 07 <sup>th</sup> Februa	ry
	, a			
			Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)	
			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.01.2023	×.
	(skw)			

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000–3-2017)

.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
		Applicant/s	

(Advocate .....)

versus

### The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.589/2021</u>
	Shri G.R. Waghmode Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	1. Applicant and Advocate absent. Smt. K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for th Respondents is present.
	2. In the interest of justice, adjourned for final hearing to give an opportunity to the Applicant.
	3. S.O. to 30.01.2023.
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.01.2023
	(skw)

# (G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MIMRAT

WIUWIDAI			
Original Application No.	of 20	Di	STRICT Applicant/s
(Advocate	)		
	ver	sus	
The Stat	e of Maha	arashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer		)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal' s	orders
		<u>0.A.846</u>	<u>5/2021</u>
	Late	e Mrs. Kalavati D. Likh	
	Sinc	ce deceased by her L.F. Dhanaraj D. Likhar Vs.	ł.
	The	State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
	1. K.S. Resj		cate both are absent. Smt. Presenting Officer for
	2. hear	In the interest of juring to give an opportur	ustice, adjourned for fina nity to the Applicant.
	3.	S.O. to 30 <sup>th</sup> January	r, 2023.
			Sd/-
			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.01.2023
	(skw	<i>r</i> )	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

	MUMBAI	
Driginal Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT Applicant/s
Advocate	)	
	versus	
The Sta	e of Maharashtra and others	
		Respondent/s
Presenting Officer	)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna	ll's orders
	<u>O.A.</u>	1023/2022
	Shri V.R. Gosavi Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & or	s Respondents
	the Applicant and Sl	vadekar, learned Advocate for hri A.J. Chougule, learned e Respondents is present.
		.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply s. It is taken on record.
		is proceeded without reply, vithin time. However, in the ed to file the same.
	is again moved before F not fixed. Lastly, revie October, 2022. In terr review was required to Government servants a	ply, it is stated that proposal Review Committee, but date is w was taken in the month of ns of G.R. dated 14.10.2011, be taken quarterly so that are not subjected to prolong case, the Applicant is under 2022.
		e, learned P.O. is directed to about the decision of Review
	6. S.O. to 30 <sup>th</sup> Janu	V .
		Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.01.2023
	(skw)	

[*P.T.O*.

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ŧ

i

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 13.01.2023
	O.A.No.28 of 2023
	Kedar L. VartakApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri Sachin Salunke, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during
	the course of the day. The statement is accepted. It be taken
	on record.
	3. This O.A. be kept with connected O.A. 768/2022.
	4. S.O. to 27.01.2023.
	n Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm

[*P.T.O.* 

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

t

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	°S
	Date: 13.01.2023 M.A. No.27 of 2023 in O.	A.No.723 of 2022
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicants (Ori. Respondents)
	Versus Ashok M. Jadhavf	Respondent (Ori. Applicant)
	1. Heard Smt. Archana B K., for the Applicants (Ori. Respon	learned Presenting Officer dents) and Smt. Koparkar, condent (Ori. Applicant) the order dated 06.01.2023
	whereby one week time is grante	
	of Rs.10,000/- as a condition pre	cedent.
		nat she is filing Affidavit in
	Reply in O.A. and requested t	o recall the order of cost.
	According to her, the record	was not available readily,
	therefore, it caused delay in fil	ing reply. Indeed, this could
	not be the ground for such delay	in filing reply.
	4. In O.A., more than six r	nonths' time is availed. The
	O.A. was filed on 25.07.2022.	
	specific order on 07.11.2022	and 22.11.2022 giving last
	chance but no reply is filed and	l ultimately the O.A. was kept
	for hearing without reply. Ever	thereafter also no reply was
	filed within reasonable time wh	ich is now being filed today.
	4. As such, no case is mad	e out to recall the order dated
	0601.2023.	
	5. M.A. is accordingly reje	cted. 、 Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ł

.....

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 13.01.2023 O.A.No.723 of 2022
	A. M. JadhavApplicant
	Versus
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Smt. I. M. Koparkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
	2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit in Reply
	By order dated 06.01.2023, the P.O. was allowed to file reply
	subject to cost of Rs.10,000/- as a condition precedent.
	3. Learned P.O. undertakes to deposit the cost within
	week.
	4. O.A. be kept for final hearing.
	5. S.O. to 20.01.2023.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	vsm
	[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	O.A. No.281 of 2022 Y.S. Kulkarni & OrsApplicants Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Heard Shri S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate for Applicants, Shri Mihir Desai, learned Special Counsel with S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respond No.1 & 2, Ms. Amruta Kharade holding for Shri S.B. Tale learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 8.		
	<ol> <li>Yesterday Shri S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate Applicants has completed his arguments and Shri Mihir Du learned Special Counsel for Respondents no.1 &amp; 2 has reque to keep his matter for arguments as he wants to argue in matters together i.e. OAs. No.280/2022 and 281/2022. He w to make common submissions so he will argue on 16.1.2023.</li> <li>Today the matter is fixed for arguments of Shri Sangh Waghmare, Ld. Advocate and Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advo for private respondents in OA No.280/2022 and Shri S.B. Tale Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 8 in OA No.281/2/ However, Shri Talekar is not present. We note that Shri Tal- was not present earlier also when Shri S.T. Yaseen argued matter. Earlier we have made it clear that all the matters w issue of SEBC candidates shifting to EWS is involved wil heard jointly.</li> <li>Ms. Amruta Kharade holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, Advocate now submits that she has been instructed by Talekar that respondents no.3 to 8 do not belong to SE category or EWS Category and they have not applied either f SEBC or EWS and they are from Open category or from o category and they are not concerned with this issue of E reservation. Ld. Advocate further submits that these respond were concerned only to the extent that due to this issue of subjudice the appointments of these respondents mo.3 to 8 are n appointed in States Tax Department and therefore Shri Tal- does not want to submit that no further submissions on behal respondents no.3 to 8 are advanced.</li> </ol>		
	5. S.O. to 16.1.2023 at 11.00 a.m.		
	Sd/-		
	(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.1.2023 13.1.2023 (sgj)		

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ŧ

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribu	inal's orders	
	Date: 13.01.2023		
	O.A.	. No.738 of 201	18
	C.V. Patil Versus		Applicant
	The State of Maharas	htra & Ors.	Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri K.R Applicant and Smt</li> <li>Officer for the Respon</li> </ol>	Archana B.K.,	ned Advocate for the learned Presenting
	2. Today, learne on behalf of Respon O.A. be kept for Final	dent No.4. It	ed Affidavit-in-Reply t is taken on record
	3. S.O. to 19.01.	.2023.	Sd/-
			Kurhekar) ember (J)
	NMN		
			[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ħ

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
	Date: 13.01.2023	
	O.A. No.13	311 of 2022
	V.R. Ghandankush	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra 8	& OrsRespondents.
	holding for Shri S.S. Dere	Mankoji, learned Advocate e, learned Advocate for the ana B.K., learned Presenting s.
		; filed Affidavit-in-Reply on
	<ol> <li>Learned P.O. subr forwarded for Gratuity an regular pension it will be s</li> </ol>	mits that proposal is already nd Leave Encashment and for sent in due course.
	<ol> <li>In view of above, only. On the point of int on next date.</li> </ol>	issue remains about interest erest only matter will be heard
	5. S.O. to 23.01.2023	3. Sd/-
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	
		[ <i>PT.O.</i>
		[

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ŧ

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 13.01.2023	
	O.A. No.1206 of 2	022
	M.B. Bagale	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Applicant and his Advocate	both are absent.
	2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned the Respondents is present.	Presenting Officer for
	3. This O.A. was taken for admission on 03. and Tribunal found that claim is not made limitation. O.A. is filed on 29.11.2022 for int some retiral benefits which were paid in 2020 ar O.A. was not filed within limitation of one year f date of receipt of payment. Therefore, on the of learned Advocate for the Applicant mat adjourned to show how the matter is within li or to file M.A. However, today again the Appli his Advocate are absent.	
	4. Hence, O.A. is dismissed i	n default.
		Sd/- .P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	
		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ħ

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 13.01.2023 O.A. No.137 of 2022 with M.A. No.694 of 2022	
	V.S. Chougule Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	<ol> <li>The Applicant and his Advoc</li> <li>Smt. Archana B.K., learned the Respondents is present.</li> </ol>	
	3. The perusal of record rever- has filed this O.A. on 10.02.2022 Respondents to release retiral due board of Registrar for removal objections were removed and u directions given by the Tribunal before this Tribunal on 23.12.2021 the Applicant and his Advocate w was adjourned to give one opport and his Advocate.	for direction to the s. Matter was on the of objections but no litimately, in view of the matter was listed L. On 23.12.2021 also ere absent but matter
	<ol> <li>However, today again the descent of the descent.</li> <li>Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>Interested in the matter.</li> <li>Hence default.</li> </ol>	Thus, they seem not
	5. M.A. No.694/2022 for disposed of with no order as to co	
	· · ·	Sd/- P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	[ <i>P.T.O</i> .

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.·

*,* ·

.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<u>O.A. No.892 of 2022</u>		
	S.M. JadhavApplicant Vs.		
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents		
	Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent no.3. None for the applicant.		
	2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file sur-rejoinder.		
	3. S.O. to 27.1.2023 by way of last chance.		
	Sd/- / Sd/- >		
	(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.1.2023 13.1.2023 (sgj)		
·			

4

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

### ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<u>O.A. No.1317 of 2022</u>				
	S.R. \$	Shaikh		Appli	cant
	The S	Vs. State of Maharashtra &	& Ors.	Respo	ondents
	Heard Ms. Mahalakshi Ganapathy, learned Advocat for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chie Presenting Officer for the Respondents.				
	<ul> <li>Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces copy of order 20.12.2022 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. (L) No.37656 of 2022 filed by the applicant by which the W.P. was allowed to be withdrawn to approach this Tribunal.</li> </ul>				
	3.	S.O. to 20.1.2023.			
	l	Sd/-		Sd/-	
	(sgj)	(Medha (Gadgil) Member (A) 13.1.2023		Bhatkar, J.) person 2023	

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

F

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 13.01.2023 O.A. No.1269 of 2022
	R.P. ManceApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	<ol> <li>On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> </ol>
	3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ħ.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 13.01.2023	
	O.A. No.1253 of 2022	
	A.K. Agale & Ors.,	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, lea</li> <li>Applicant and Smt. Archana B.k</li> <li>Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>	Irned Advocate for the K., learned Presenting
	<ol> <li>On request of learned P.O. one week time granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> </ol>	
	3. This O.A. be kept along No.38/2023 on 08.02.2023.	with connected O.A.
		N Sd/-
		P. Kurhekar) Aember (J)
	NMN	
		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ħ.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 13.01.2023 O.A. No.1196 of 2022	
	M.J. Shinde Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougu Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>	learned Advocate for le, learned Presenting
	2. On request of learned hearing at the stage of admission.	P.O. adjourned for
	3. S.O. to 23.01.2023.	
		N Sd/-
		v.
		P. Kurhekar) Iember (J)
	NMN	
		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>
	1	

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ħ

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 13.01.2023		
	O.A. No.1181 of 2	022	
	D.B. Salunkhe Versus	Applicant	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.	
	1. Heard Shri S.A. Kashid, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Present Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. None Respondent No.3.		
	<ol> <li>On request of learned P.C granted to file Affidavit-in-Re Respondent Nos.1 &amp; 2. Resp served is absent.</li> </ol>		
	3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.		
		N Sd/-	
		P. Kurhekar) 1ember (J)	
	NMN		
		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>	

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ħ

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 13.01.2023	022
	O.A. No.1174 of 2022	
	A.Y. Jagtap	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	<ol> <li>Heard Shri Sandeep Gaikw for the Applicant and Shri A.J Presenting Officer for the Respond</li> </ol>	. Chougule, learned
	2. On request of learned P.C granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by	). two weeks time is / way of last chance.
	3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.	
		∖ Sd/-
	(A.F	• •. Kurhekar) Iember (J)
	NMN	
		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>
		[x

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ħ

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 13.01.2023 O.A. No.786 of 2022 with O.A. No.787 of 2022 with O.A. No.788 of 2022 T.D. Pednekar K.D. Garud A.K. PatilApplicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri P.G. Roughd the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikw Officer for the Respondents.	learned Advocate for ad, learned Presenting
	<ol> <li>On request of learned P granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</li> </ol>	.O. two weeks time is
	3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.	
		∩ Sd/-
	(A 	.P. Kurhekar) Vlember (J)
	NMN	
		[ <i>P.T.O.</i>

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ħ

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's or	ders
	Date: 13.01.2023	0.4. No. 552 of 2021
	O.A. No.552 of 2021 with O.A. No.553 of 2021	
	K.T. More P.G. Kulkarni	Applicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & (	DrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned P.O. has f behalf of Respondent No.1 i on record.	filed Affidavit-in-Reply on n both the O.As. It is taken
	3. This O.A. is kep No.694/2019 and others an the O.As. Enough time is f filed in O.A. No.854 & 856 &	granted but still no Reply is
	<ol> <li>O.A. be kept for he availed by the Respondents.</li> </ol>	earing since enough time is
	5. S.O. to 09.02.2023.	
	Sd/-	
		(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN	
		[P.T.O.

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

Ħ

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date: 13.01.2023
	R.A. No.28 of 2022 in O.A. No.616 of 2022
	P.P. PimparkhedeApplicant
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	1. Heard Shri P.P. Pimparkhede, Applicant-in- Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
	3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.
	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)
	NMN
	[ <i>PT.O.</i>

#### Date: 13.01.2023

#### M.A. No.26 of 2023 in O.A. No.58 of 2023

A.D. More	Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has filed M.A. to condone delay caused in filing O.A. wherein challenge is to the order dated 22.12.2021. The Applicant had already joined at Konkan Bhavan, Navi Mumbai in terms of order dated 22.12.2021 and now after more than one year he wants to challenge it which is also not within the limitation.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Hence, issue notice before admission returnable on 10.02.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 10.02.2023.

A Loho Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)

2