

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 729/2020</u></p> <p>V.V Ambole & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 11.3.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 245/2021</u></p> <p>Shri J.S Sathe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri A. Ransuble, learned counsel for Respondent no. 2.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Ransuble, for Respondent no. 2 submits that he adopts the affidavit in reply filed by Respondent no. 1.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 43/2021</u></p> <p>Smt M.B Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad , learned P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Bhosle for Respondent no. 3 submits that Mrs Medha Gadgil, Member (A) was Chairperson of the Committee of the D.P.C held on 1.1.2016, which is under challenge in the present O.A.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Member (A) recuses from the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.3.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 21/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Mali & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S Pawar, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 6 and Shri S.S Ghumare, learned advocate for Respondents 7, 8, 10, 12, 14 to 19.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in rejoinder is filed by the applicants. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 11.03.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 400/2021</u></p> <p>Shri P.B Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri U.V Bhosle, learned counsel for Respondents no 3 to 6.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the Department has send the file to the Committee as there was issue of roster involved. It is expected that the Committee will take the decision at the earliest in view of the pendency of this matter before the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.2.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>OA No.663 of 2020 with O.A. No.351 of 2021</u></p> <p>R.S. Yadav U.S. Koli ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.663/2020, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.351/2021 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted in OA No.663/2020 to continue.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.1.2022 13.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 734/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.R Gabhale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 859/2021</u></p> <p>Shri N.G Kabadi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard applicant in person and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that she will be filing affidavit in reply during the course of the day and copy will be given to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 1.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 571/2021 in O.A 993/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.R Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, with Mr A.S Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 403/2021</u></p> <p>Smt U.J Khot & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the grievance of the applicants No 1 to 10 is addressed and the grievance of applicants No. 11, 12 & 13 remains. He further states that the orders of promotion of applicants No. 11, 12 and 13 are issued, but they will be duly send for training when the next batch commences.</p> <p>3. We accept the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicants and dispose of the Original Application with liberty to applicants No. 11, 12 and 13 to approach this Tribunal, if they are not sent for training in the next batch.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 496/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.H Kazi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar,, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that affidavit in reply is filed and copy is served on the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 495/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Solanke, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that affidavit in reply has been filed on behalf of Respondents No 3 to 5 and affidavit in reply has not been filed by Respondents No 1 & 2.</p> <p>3. Respondents no 1 & 2 should filed affidavit in reply if they so choose, by way of last chance. If affidavit in reply is not filed, then it will be presumed that they do not wish to file affidavit in reply and the matter will be proceed without affidavit in reply of Respondents no 1 & 2.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.2.2022.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 670/2021 with M.A 392/2021</u></p> <p>Dr A.S Chandanwale ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">And'</p> <p>DR S.D Nandankar ...Intervenor/Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Madhvi Ayyappan. i/b Talekar & Associates learned counsel for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned counsel for the Intervenor/Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 20.1.2021 first on Board.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 664/2021</u></p> <p>Shri B.Y Hegade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the meeting of the D.P.C was conducted and the name of the applicant was considered. She submits that to complete the entire process four months are required from the date of meeting of the D.P.C. However, as on today, one month is over and so the Respondents need three months' time to complete the entire process of promotion.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the matter can be disposed of with appropriate directions.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Original Application stands disposed of with directions to the Respondents to take necessary steps and complete the entire process of promotion within three months from the date of the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil/ Member (A)) (Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.27 of 2022</u></p> <p>Mangala S. Khadse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Rajendra Sorankar i/b. Shri Ketan Dabke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant challenges reversion of the applicant from the post of Matron Grade-III (Non-Gazetted) to Sister-Tutor as per order dated 12.11.2021 and transfer order dated 24.12.2021. He therefore seeks interim stay on the ground that principles of natural justice were not followed while reverting her to the said post.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that this order is not of reversion but mere transfer order. However, she seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>4. We also have one query whether the post of Assistant Matron and Sister-Tutor are equivalent.</p> <p>5. Adjourned today to 2.15 p.m.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.1.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.1.2022</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p><u>O.A 847/2021</u></p> <p>Shri R.V Bhale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel submits that he has received instructions from the Association of Labour Workers and one individual to intervene in the matter and he seeks time to file application for intervention. Time granted.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O also seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>4. S.O to 1.2.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 512/2021 in O.A 898/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Anil M.Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Avinash Gokhale , learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants states that the present Misc Application is filed seeking condonation of delay in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the applicants have challenged the impugned order dated 7.10.2020 and the Original Application was filed on 3.11.2021. Thus, there is a delay of 27 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that in view of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 23.9.2021 in M.A 665/2021 in SMW (C) No. 3/2020, extending the limitation period, the delay in filing the Original Application be condoned.</p> <p>5. In view of the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court referred to above, Misc Application seeking condonation of delay is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 898/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Anil M.Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Avinash Gokhale , learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice made returnable on 17.2.2022.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. S.O to 17.2.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>13.01.2022</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 491/2021 in O.A 898/2021</u></p> <p>Shri Anil M.Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Avinash Gokhale , learned advocate for the applicants and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula R.Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 380/2020 WITH O.A 2 & 3/2022

DISTRICT : PUNE

1) ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 380/2020

Amruta Karvande
Abhay A. Teli

)...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

)...Respondents

2) ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 2 OF 2022

Amol M. Narute

)...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

)...Respondents

3) ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 3 OF 2022

Akash R. Dahadade

)...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

)...Respondents

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant in O.A 380/2022.

Shri S.S Dere, learned counsel for the applicants in O.A 2 & 3/2022.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM

**: Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)
Mrs Medha Gadgil (Member) (A)**

DATE

: 13.01.2022



PER : Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)

OPERATIVE ORDER

1. We do not find any merit in the case of the applicants in O.A 380/2020 and we hereby vacate the order dated 15.9.2020 granting interim relief by way of keeping two posts vacant which are reserved for Orphans, if at all recommendations are sent to G.A.D. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Original Application No. 380/2020 and the same is hereby dismissed.

2. As the order dated 15.9.2020, granting interim relief by this Tribunal is vacated, the Respondent-State will consider the candidature of the applicants in O.A 2/2022 and O.A 3/2022. The Respondent-State is hereby directed to take corrective measures of giving posting to the applicants in O.A 2/2022 as Naib Tahsildar and in O.A 3/2022 as Tahsildar.

3. We are informed that appointment orders are issued in some cases and the training will commence from 17.1.2020. In view of this urgency, the Respondent-State is directed to take decision on or before 19.1.2022 and issue necessary orders in respect of the applicants in O.A 2/2022 and O.A 3/2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 13.01.2022
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.27 OF 2022**

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Smt. Mangala S. Khadse ..Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri Rajendra Sorankar i/b. Shri Ketan Dabke -Advocate for the Applicant
Ms. S.P. Manchekart – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson
Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : 13th January, 2022

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Rajendra Sorankar i/b. Shri Ketan Dabke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this matter the applicant, who has served on the post of Matron for 13 years i.e. from 2008 till December, 2021, is posted as Sister-Tutor and she is transferred from Ulhasnagar Central Hospital to Alibag Government Hospital by order dated 24.12.2021. The applicant has challenged the said order on the ground that this being reversion from the cadre of Matron to Sister-Tutor, she should have been given notice and

h

she should also have been given hearing in view of order of reversion dated 12.11.2021.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that order of reversion dated 12.11.2021 is not served on her till today. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for stay of the said order and also by way of interim relief he prays that the applicant is to be retained on the post of Matron unless she has been given opportunity of hearing. Ld. Advocate submits that the act of the Respondent-State is against the principles of natural justice and also against Article 311(2) of the Constitution of India.

4. Ld. CPO on instructions from Smt. Archana Walzade, Under Secretary, Public Health Department, submits that applicant was not given promotion to the post of Matron but it was just transfer as per her request. Ld. CPO pointed out that a wrong entry was made in her service book that applicant was given promotion to the post of Matron. On the basis of said entry she was allowed to continue and her subsequent transfer orders were also issued on the said post. Ld. CPO submits that she wants time to file reply.

5. The matter was heard for long time as the issue cropped-up before us, was whether the order dated 10.11.2008 was to be treated as recruitment of applicant to the post of Matron in view of Recruitment Rules for the post of Matron (Non-Gazetted) dated 17.4.1973. We find that affidavit in reply of the State is required to decide the relief of interim stay and whether the impugned orders dated 12.11.2021 and 24.12.2021 tantamount to reversion. We need to decide this aspect whether there is a breach of Article 311(2) of the Constitution of India.

6. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that order dated 24.12.2021 was served on the applicant on 10.1.2022 and she is not aware whether

she is relieved or not and so also her relieving order is not being served on her. However, in the course of arguments, Ld. CPO has made it clear that applicant has been relieved by order dated 10.1.2022. A copy of the said order is given to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has taken note of this relieving order under protest.

7. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he prays for interim relief.

8. For want of sufficient time and so also affidavit in reply from the State, we postpone hearing on interim relief on the next date i.e. 27.1.2022.

9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.1.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



13. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
13.1.2022

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
13.1.2022

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.762 of 2021</p> <p>K. J. Patki ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. seeks permission to file additional affidavit in rejoinder filed by the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with connected O.A. Nos.585/2021 and 601/2021.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center">Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.741 of 2021</p> <p>C.P. YelveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but still not filed. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Reply. 4. S.O. to 10.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>L.O.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. In the afternoon session, learned P.O. seeks permission to file reply. Allowed to file the same. It is taken on record. 6. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 7. S.O. to 10.02.2022. <p align="right"> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> |

vsm

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.733 of 2020 with O.A. No.394 of 2021</p> <p>S.H. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. seeks permission to file Sur-Rejoinder on affidavit. Allowed to file the same. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Arguments heard partly.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| <p>13/1/22 Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.732 of 2021 with M.A. No.555 of 2021 with M.A. No.585 of 2021</p> <p>N.A. AshtekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.555/2021 is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. No Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 is filed.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission along with M.A. No.555/2021 & M.A. No.585/2021.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">P.T.O. [P.T.O.]</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
Directors and Registrars' orders

Tribunal's orders

L.O.

7. It is pointed out by the learned Counsel for the Applicant that interim relief was granted till filing of reply and it needs to be extended.

8. Interim relief granted by the Tribunal is continued till next date.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.922 of 2021</p> <p>A.G. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. the Applicant has challenged order dated 29.10.2021 whereby he is directed to vacate service quarter which was allotted to his father and also to pay penal charges for unauthorized occupation.</p> <p>3. Applicant's father was Police Head Constable and died in harness on 20.05.2020 due to COVID-19. After the death of father the Applicant applied for appointed on compassionate ground and accordingly by order dated 20.12.2021 Applicant was appointed as Police Constable on compassionate ground and presently he is under training of 9 months.</p> <p>4. Shri M.B. Kadam learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly submits that the Applicant is ready to pay penal charges and also invited Tribunal attention to G.R. dated 18.11.2005.</p> <p>5. Clause No.4(c) of G.R. dated 18.11.2005 is as under:-</p> <p>“क) कोणत्याही पोलीस अधिकारी/कर्मचारी यांना ९ महिन्यांपेक्षा जास्त मुदतवाढ देण्यांत येवू नये. तथापि अनुकंपा कारणास्तव एखाद्या प्रकरणी सदर ९ महिन्यांच्या कालावधीत कर्मचा-याच्या कुटुंबातील सदस्य पोलीस शिपाई पदी भारती झाल्यास सदर सदस्याचे प्रशिक्षण पूर्ण होवून प्रत्यक्ष नियुक्ती मुदतवाढ देण्याबाबत निर्णय घेण्यांत यावा. अशा प्रकरणी संबंधीत कर्मचा-यांकडून “अ.क्र.९” मध्ये नमूद दराने दंडनीय शुल्क वसूल करण्यांत यावे.”</p> |

2019-2020 - Maharashtra of Comm.
Appeals, Tribunal's orders or
Registrar's orders.

Tribunal's orders

6. Thus the Government has taken policy decision to continue heirs of Government Servant in service quarter upto 9 months subject to liability to pay penal rent were heirs of deceased Government servant is appointed on compassionate ground. Competent authority is required to take decision about the extension of the 9 months and to take necessary steps for transfer of service quarter in the name of the Applicant in terms of G.R. dated 20.04.2002 which indeed provides that heirs of deceased Government servant who died in harness can be allowed to stay in service quarter for one year subject to payment of necessary charges in terms of G.R. dated 06.05.2000.

7. As such, the Applicant seems to be entitled to get service quarter transferred in his name in view of policy of Government. Applicant is ready to pay charges/penal charges in accordance to Rules.

8. The Applicant has already applied for transfer of service quarter in his name by Application dated 08.11.2021.

9. In view of above as submitted by learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned P.O. this O.A. deserves to be disposed of with suitable direction.

10. Respondent No.2 is directed to decide the Application dated 08.11.2021 made by the Applicant for transfer of service quarter in his name in accordance to Rules within a month from date of regular appointment of the Applicant (which are require to be passed after completion of 9 months of training). Till then the Applicant should be allowed to continue in service quarter subject to payment of necessary charges in accordance to Rules.

11. O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.513 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance with specific directions that no further time will be granted since, enough time is already availed. S.O. to 20.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N vsm

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.962 of 2014</p> <p>D. B. ChitaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. seeks permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. Indeed, reply of Respondent No.1 ought to have been filed much earlier. O.A. is of 2014 but no reply is filed till today. 3. Today, learned P.O. sought permission to file reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 when the matter is taken up for final hearing. 4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant opposes the request of learned P.O. 5. However, in the interest of justice, learned P.O. is allowed to file reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. 6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought two weeks time to examine the reply filed today and to file Rejoinder, if necessary. 7. S.O. to 25.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 1000 of 2018 With O.A.No.1231 of 2019</p> <p>L. G. Lonkar & Ors. P.R. Vanraj & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed additional Rejoinder on behalf of the Petitioners to show the representations and correspondence exchanged between the departments. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matters are adjourned for final hearing with liberty to learned P.O. to file reply to additional Rejoinder filed by the Applicants today, if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 13.01.2022

M.A. No.602 of 2021 in O.A. No.1061 of 2021

B.G. KardeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.02.2022.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Miscellaneous Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 10.02.2022.

Sd/-

✓
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center">Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.10 of 2022 in O.A. No.16 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. Unavane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">A Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 13.01.2022

O.A. No.16 of 2022

A.S. Unavane & Ors.Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.02.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 13.01.2022

O.A. No.19 of 2022

P.D. KedarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.02.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.470 of 2021</p> <p>N.A. AshtekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admitted for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center">Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.424 of 2021</p> <p>P.P. KashidApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Ruchika Indalkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.S. Iyer, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 10.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.569 of 2021 in R.A. No.11 of 2021 in O.A. No.509 of 2020</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus M.A. Damale (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant). 2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant in Original Application has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A. as well as R.A. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing of M.A. and R.A. 4. S.O. to 01.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.497 of 2021 in O.A. No.388 of 2021 with M.A. No.498 of 2021 in O.A. No.389 of 2021 with M.A. No.499 of 2021 in O.A. No.390 of 2021</p> <p>A.B. Kohtekar & Ors. A.C. Mantri D.M. VishwasraoApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. by way of last chance. 4. S.O. to 25.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.04 of 2022 in O.A. No.1008 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. KhudeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for amendment namely to substitute para. 11(a) of prayer clause. 3. Proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A. Hence amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out within a week. 4. After amendment of O.A. notice be issued to Respondents before admission returnable on 08.02.2022. 5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Council,
Applications, Tribunal's orders or
minutes of Council Proceedings orders

Tribunal's orders

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. S.O. to 08.02.2022.

^
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.1022 of 2021</p> <p>U.K. Anvekar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Ghule, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 23.02.2021 whereby claim of appointment on compassionate ground is rejected by Government. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.02.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. |

[P.T.O.]

Order No. 10113/M. Mumbai of 10/02/22
Against the Tribunal's orders on
Director's and Employees' orders

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 08.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.18 of 2022</p> <p>V.K. BorhadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 30.07.2021 whereby he was suspended in view of registration of crime and arrest for offence under Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.02.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. |

[P.T.O.]

1. The Commission Members of the
2. Appellate Tribunal's orders on
3. the appeal are hereby confirmed.

Tribunal's orders

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 10.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.21 of 2022</p> <p>M.V. BhureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is serving as Assistant Section Officer in M.P.S.C. By order dated 30.09.2021 in view of change of table/work he was given different desk. The Applicant contends that he is suffering from 60% physical disabilities due to low vision. He made representation dated 31.12.2021 for change of table contending that he is not able to work on a desk given to him due to visual disabilities. The representation is not yet decided.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.02.2022.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> |

[P.T.O.]

State of Maharashtra
Tribunal for
Tribunal's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. In the mean time learned P.O. is directed to take instruction about the decision on representation made by the Applicant and appraise the Tribunal by next date.
10. S.O. to 01.02.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.17 of 2022</u></p> <p>Shri Daulat N. Gutte & 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 11.1.2022, Ld. CPO on instructions from the officers in the department submits that all the facts are not correct as 8 out of 25 applicants will not come up to cut-off marks of 67.5 after deducting 1.25 marks. This fact is not disclosed in the OA. She further submits that rest of the facts are correct. However, the applicants have not given reply to the show cause notice within 30 days which is going to end on 15.1.2022. She submits that after receiving reply from the applicants to the show cause notice the department will consider the reply and take decision in respect of their continuity in service in one month.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that applicants will submit their reply till tomorrow by 5.00 p.m. in the office of Respondent – Commissioner-cum-Director, Municipal Administration Directorate, Government Transport Services Building, Worli, Mumbai and also email reply to the said office.</p> <p>4. He submits that applicants be protected for three weeks after communication of the decision taken by the respondents on receiving their respective replies.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions made by Ld. Advocate for the applicant and Ld. CPO and the issue involved therein and the directions given by this Tribunal, we dispose of this OA with following directions:</p> <p align="center"><i>✓</i></p> |

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

ORDER

- (1) The applicants shall file their reply till tomorrow by 5.00 p.m. in the office of respondent and also email reply to the said office.
- (2) The respondents shall take decision on the said reply within one month i.e. on or before 16.2.2022.
- (3) If services of the applicants or some of the applicants is terminated by the respondents or going to be effected by the decision of the respondents, then they are protected from the effect of the said decision till 9.3.2022.
- (4) With these directions OA stands disposed off.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
13.1.2022

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
13.1.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>M.A. No.9 of 2022 in O.A. No.15 of 2022</u> <u>(Through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>A.R. Pawar & 10 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Yashodeep Deshmukh i/b. Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.1.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.1.2022</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>M.A. No.1 of 2022 in O.A. No.4 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.M. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.1.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- ¹¹ _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.1.2022 13.1.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> |


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1066 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.D. Salok & 30 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO takes objection of non-joinder of party i.e. persons going to be effected are not made parties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.2.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.1.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.1.2022</p> </div> </div> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p align="center"><u>C.A. No.44 of 2019 in O.A. No.1134 of 2016</u></p> <p>B.R. Nalawade & 11 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that SLP No.45904 of 2019 filed by the State Government in the Hon'ble Supreme Court was dismissed on 1.12.2021. She seeks five weeks time to comply the order. She submits that financial benefits are to the tune of approximately rupees one crore and budgetary allocation will be made in the next financial year. She submits that order will be passed within six weeks and payment will be made in the next financial year.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.2.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Medha) Gadgil Member (A) 13.1.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.1.2022</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.966 of 2021</u> <u>(Through Video Conferencing)</u></p> <p>K.D. Wadekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.2.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 13.1.2022 13.1.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.22 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for direction to release retiral benefits which seems to have been withheld because of non-completion of 2 Departmental Enquires (D.Es.) initiated against the Applicant while she was in service.</p> <p>3. Perusal of O.A. reveals that the Applicant stands retired on 31.01.2015 as Deputy Commissioner Education, Nashik. That time 2 D.Es were pending against her.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in first D.E. initiated in the year 2014, enquiry officer has already submitted report but his client is not served with the copy of enquiry report and no further steps are taken. As regard second enquiry he submits that enquiry officer has already submitted report to the Government in the year 2019 and Applicant has submitted his Reply to the Show Cause Notice in July, 2021.</p> <p>5. It is thus explicit that though enquiry officer has submitted report long ago, no final decision is taken for long time which is indicative of laxity in the process.</p> <p>6. It may be noted that in one matter of same department Tribunal has taken note of sheer laxity and negligence in completion of D.Es. As per direction to Additional Chief Secretary, School Education and Sports Department has filed Affidavit in the said matter and to</p> |

Tribunal's orders

the some extent tried to justify delay. Affidavit found not satisfactory, the said matter is kept on 20.01.2022.

7. Similar is the situation in this O.A. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instruction from the Respondent and to appraise the Tribunal about the status of D.Es pending against the Applicant by next date.

8. In the mean time issue notice before admission returnable on 20.01.2022.

9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

14. S.O. to 20.01.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date : 13.01.2022</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.1134 of 2017</p> <p>M. L. PendamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed additional affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.3 along with documents of inquiry. It is taken on record. 3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing. 4. S.O. to 08.02.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1059 of 2019</p> <p>M. G. PathanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of O.A. reveals that Applicant has challenged the order dated 05.08.2019 which is composite order of punishment of withholding of one increment without effecting future increments. Secondly, treating the period from 27.12.2014 to 26.12.2017 as extra ordinary leave without pay.</p> <p>3. It appears that the Applicant has not filed appeal against the impugned order of punishment and directly filed this O.A.</p> <p>4. The quarry is, therefore, raised to learned Counsel for the Applicant as to how O.A. is maintainable without filing appeal against the order which is appealable one. However, he sought two weeks time for hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.973 of 2021</p> <p>S.S. ThoratApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 03.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p align="center">Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.590 of 2021</p> <p>P.B. KaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed separate Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Respondent No.4 & Respondent Nos.3 & 5. These are taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant three weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.02.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.958 of 2021</p> <p>S.H. SuryawanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pardhan, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C.V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. short time is granted for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.947 of 2021</p> <p>V.M. RandiveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 25.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.916 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. TawareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance. 3. S.O. to 25.01.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|---|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.808 of 2021</p> <p>S.P. GharateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1048 of 2019</p> <p>J. M. LihitkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 20.01.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> |

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date: 13.01.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.786 of 2021 with O.A. No.787 of 2021 with O.A. No.788 of 2021</p> <p>J.B. Jadhav S.B. Kamat S.L. BhoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in all these O.As. on behalf of Respondent No.3 which are taken on record. 4. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 10.02.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> |