

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 730/2022
(Shri Gajanan P. Chaudhari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to substitute the document at Annex. 'A-1'. The learned counsel submits that the present matter pertains to the advertisement issued by the Superintendent of Police, Beed. In the O.A. it has been rightly mentioned, however, document at Annex. 'A-1' is inadvertently filed regarding advertisement issued by the Superintendent of Police, Jalna. Leave granted as prayed for.

3. The learned P.O. has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 14.10.2022.

5. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till filing of the affidavit in reply by the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

C.P. 1/2021 IN O.A. 83/2018
(Shri Vyankat S. More & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned counsel for the applicants submits that the impugned order has been complied with insofar as some of the applicants are concerned. The learned P.O. to explain way the order has not been implemented insofar as other applicants are concerned.

3. S.O. to 28.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 181/2016 WITH M.A. 372/2016 IN O.A. 67/2016

WITH

O.A. NO. 368/2016, O.A. NO. 369/2016, O.A. NO. 490/2016
(Shri Jagdishkumar N. Shirsath & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters, are present.

2. On request of learned CPO, these matters stand adjourned to 28.9.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 93/2017 IN O.A. 801/2022
(Smt. Manjushree B. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, re-issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 14.10.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 14.10.2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 04/2019
(Shri Govind P. Gite V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.N. Nagargoje, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334/2021
(Smt. Madhuri B. Panzade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.K. Bansod, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.B. Solanke, learned counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing. It is open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit if he so desires.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 34/2022
(Shri Sachin D. Shrimanwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing the affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 19.9.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 181/2022
(Shri Sahebrao B. Chavhan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.9.2022 for hearing. It is open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if he so desire.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 359/2022 IN O.A. 729/2022
(Shri Pavansing M. Chungda & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri AB. Chalak, learned Counsel for the applicants in M.A., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.B. wagh, learned counsel for the respondent nos. 4 to 9/applicants in O.A., are present.

2. The learned CPO has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of res. no. 3 in OA. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. The respective counsel for respondents have sought time for filing the affidavits in replies in MA. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 19.10.2022.

5. The interim relief granted earlier in O.A. to continue till filing of the affidavit in reply by the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

O.A. NOS. 892/2018 WITH O.A. NO. 901/2018
(Shri Dhananjay D. Chandodkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicants in both the matters and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the matters, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 30.9.2022 for hearing.

3. The interim relief granted earlier in O.A. No. 892/2018 to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

O.A. NOS. 149, 150 AND 151 ALL OF 2020
(Shri Vitthal S. Ambatwad & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Counsel for the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, are present.

2. The learned CPO has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 4 in O.A. No. 150/2020. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 6.10.2022 for hearing. It will be open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit if he so desire.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 212/2021
(Dr. Rahul P. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.9.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213/2021
(Dr. Ashok S. Dhumal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.9.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 485/2021
(Shri Amol Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned counsel holding for Smt. S.D. Tambat-Dhumal, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri A.B. Chalak, learned counsel for respondent no. 6, are present. Shri Sanjay Wakhure, learned counsel for respondent no. 5 (**absent**).

2. Shri Chalak, learned counsel has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply for respondent no. 6. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for further consideration.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 195/2022
(Shri Yohan S. Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.G. Tambade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned PO has tendered across the bar the affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing. It is open for the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit, if he so desires.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 53/2019 IN O.A. ST. 185/2019
(Shri Ashok B. Amarutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 4.11.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 189/2018
(Shri Dhiraj A. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.O. Awsarmal, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for respondent nos. 4 to 8, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.9.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 345/2017
(Shri Abhiman M. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 6, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 22.9.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 701/2018
(Dr. Santosh N. Kotule & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.M. Ghongade, learned counsel holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri V.V. Ingle, learned counsel for respondent nos. 5, 8, 19 & 22 and Shri U.P. Giri, learned counsel for respondent no. 12 (**absent**).

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 12.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 67/2018
(Dr. Mohd. Feroz Iqbal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O for the respondents, S.O. to 12.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

O.A. NOS. 377, 378, 379, 381, 382, 565, 630, 660, 661, 988 ALL OF
2019 WITH O.A. NOS. 108/2020, 109/2020 & O.A. 656/2022
(Somnath A. Nande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.C. Bramhankar, learned Counsel for himself and holding for Shri V.S. Panpatte, learned counsel for the the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these matters, are present.

2. S.O. to 15.9.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 532/2020
(Shri Hemant J. Kinhikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 6.10.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 408/2021
(Smt. Urmila M. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.9.2022 for hearing. **High on Board.**

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 192/2016
(Shri Maroti S. Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 776/2022
(Dr. Jaya P. Dighe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present applicant is working as Associate Professor in the Government Medical College at Dhule on permanent basis from the year 2009. The applicant has passed the MBBS in the year 1986. She has completed her MD in Anesthesiology in the year 1990. Vide order dated 8.4.1992 the applicant was appointed as Assistant Professor in the Government Medical College, Dhule on ad-hoc basis. In the year 2000 the applicant was selected by the Maharashtra Public Service Commission on the post of Lecturer and till the year 2006 she served on the said post. During the period from 14.3.2006 to 14.9.2009 the applicant worked as Associate Professor in the Government Medical College at Dhule on ad-hoc basis. Vide order dated 15.9.2009 the applicant was selected by the MPSC for the post of Associate Professor and since then she is serving on the said post.

3. An advertisement has been issued on 17.9.2021 by the MPSC inviting applications for the posts of Professor in various subjects. The applicant has also applied for the

said post for the subject of Anesthesia. Her candidature has not been considered on the ground that she has crossed the upper age limit of 55 years. The learned counsel has strenuously urged that the applicant is working since 1992 and she has ample experience at her credit. The learned counsel further submitted that since 2008 onwards till 2021, no recruitment was made for the post of Lecturer and that was the reason the applicant could not get any opportunity to apply for the said post and secure the said post. The learned counsel submitted that the age relaxation as has been provided by the Government of the pandemic period also could not be availed by the applicant because before the pandemic she had crossed the upper age limit, however, the experience at the credit of the applicant cannot be simply ignored. The learned counsel has submitted that the candidates who are called for interview, some of them have very less experience and comparing to them the applicant is far more experienced. The learned counsel submitted that the applicant has made representation with the MPSC however it is not yet considered. The learned counsel has prayed for interim relief directing the MPSC to allow the applicant to appear for the interview provisionally subject to outcome of the present OA.

4. The learned CPO opposed the prayer for interim relief. The learned CPO taking us through the advertisement submitted that the age relaxation is for 5 years, however, by giving that grace period also the applicant does not fall within the age limit. The concerned clause specifically says that in case the eligible candidates are less in number then upper age limit can be relaxed of

the candidates who possess more experience. In the present matter, 40 candidates have been found eligible and are called for interview however, only 6 posts are available. The learned CPO submits that no case is therefore made out by the applicant and therefore the OA deserves to be dismissed summarily. He further submitted that representation is made by the applicant on 4.9.2022 and the present OA is filed on the next day i.e. on 5.9.2022. In the circumstances, no interim order is liable to be granted.

5. We have considered the submissions of the parties. Two circumstances are weighing in the present matter, first that the applicant is having long experience of teaching as Lecturer, Assistant Professor and Associate Professor. The other that, from the year 2008 onwards no advertisement has been issued for recruitment on the post of Professor. The applicant has made haste to approach the Tribunal apprehending that the MPSC may make final recommendations. The representation made by the applicant to MPSC for age relaxation is not yet considered. We therefore direct the MPSC to consider the aforesaid aspects raised by the applicant in her representation dated 4.9.2022 on their own merit within 2 weeks from the date of this order. According to us, nothing more can be directed in the present matter. We therefore dispose of the present O.A. with the aforesaid direction. No order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 906/2016
(Dr. Atul R. Ladwanjari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.G. Talharr, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri H.P. Kshirsagar, learned counsel for respondent no. 4 (**absent**).

2. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

REV. 4/2017 IN O.A. 223/2014
(Shri Kakasaheb B. Zalte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758/2017
(Shri Dadarao D. Borade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Ind set not filed.
3. S.O. to 10.11.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9- **FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 771/2018
(Shri Shrihari D. Ghogare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.A. Dhengle, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri M.V. Bharuka, learned counsel for respondent no. 4 (**absent**).

2. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-20222022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 669/2019
(Shri Raju B. Rasede V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Bayas, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 81/2020
(Shri Sudarshan N. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 133/2020
(Smt. Alka S. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for S.D. Munde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.9.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508/2020
(Shri Bhojane S. Prabhakar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned counsel holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 523/2020
(Shri Rajkumar G. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 557/2020
(Smt. Savita S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Saket Joshi, learned counsel holding for Shri A.A. More, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 122/2021
(Shri Mohan B. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 412/2021
(Shri Chetan K. Thakare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 30.9.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758 AND 769/2021
(Shri Suhas A. Pathak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.O. Awasarmal, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22.9.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 16/2022
(Shri Vd. Piyush K. Gandhi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri MS. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned counsel for respondent nos. 4 to 7, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 512/2022
(Shri Sunil B. Magre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 20.10.2022 for further consideration.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 456/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1919/2018
(Shri Lakshman K. Nirmal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.R. Kedar, learned counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 200/2020 IN M.A. 506/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1951/2018
(Sajed Khan Aziz Khan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri C.B. Gore, learned counsel for respondent nos. 5 & 6 and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for respondent no. 7, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 73/2021 IN O.A. ST. 1245/2020
(Shri Balkrishna M. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 13.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 402/2021 IN O.A. ST. 1631/2021
(Shri Prakash H. Bhamre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 18.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 12/2022 IN O.A. ST. 1746/2021
(Shri Keshawrao W. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 3.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A. 383/2022 IN O.A. 687/2022
(Shri Shivaji S. Kawade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19.9.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612/2014
(Smt. Vidya T. Sakhare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.L. Kute, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 3.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 42 OF 2016
(Shri Jalil G. Kasliwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel holding for Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 3.11.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 233 OF 2016
(Shri Pankaj W. Pangul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 588 OF 2016
(Dnyaneshwari M. Barse V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.B. Narwade Patil, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 12 OF 2017
(Shri Babu G. Kapale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 221 OF 2017
(Shri Hanuman A. Khokale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 251 OF 2017
(Dr. Sham P. Toshniwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.G. Toshniwal, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 824 OF 2017
(Jivan B. Sutar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 9.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 76 OF 2018
(Shri Purushottam S. Andhale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri L.M. Kulkarni, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 to 6 and Shri S.R. Shirsath, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 7 to 9 , are present.

2. S.O. to 9.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 667 OF 2018
(Shri Gajanan P. Khot & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 866 OF 2018
(Shri Venkat M. Methe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.9.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant and Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 10.11.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 12.9-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 759/2022
(Smt. Manisha A. Rashinkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.9.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri O.D. Mane, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The learned counsel for the applicant has placed on record the compilation of the orders passed by the applicant in large number of matters. The learned counsel has also placed on record the copies of the orders passed in appeal preferred against the orders passed by the applicant. The said documents are taken on record.

3(a). Learned counsel for the applicant was asked as to how the order passed by the applicant gets cover by provisions of clause 237 of Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, 1966. He has further been asked to explain as to how the provision of clause 237 of MLR Code, 1966 is in conformity with prayer clause wherein applicant claims to have acted in the capacity of Sub-Divisional Magistrate.

(b) Learned counsel for the applicant has also been advised to substantiate his claim of protection under Section 3(1) r/w 3(2) of the Judges Protection Act, 1985.

(c) Learned counsel for the applicant has assured to file his response to the above points mentioned in para 3(a) and 3(b) on or before the next date of hearing.

4. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 29.9.2022.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. The prayer for interim relief is kept open.

10. S.O. to 29.9.2022.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.790/2022
(Dr. Pravin Gomaji Ranvir V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. The applicant has applied for the post of Assistant Professor in Orthopedics in pursuance of the advertisement issued on 13-08-2021. The name of the applicant has been included in the list of not eligible candidates and the reason for not making him eligible for the said post is that he did not possess the required experience as prescribed in the advertisement of working as Senior Resident in concerned subject. Learned Counsel for the applicant brought to our notice that the applicant fulfills the eligibility criteria and had also submitted all relevant documents in that regard. Our attention was invited to the experience

certificate dated 31-10-2018 issued by Government Medical College, Aurangabad wherein it has been certified that the applicant had worked in the department of Orthopedics as Senior Resident in the first spell from 09-10-2017 to 31-03-2018 and in the second spell from 01-04-2018 to 30-09-2018. Learned Counsel submitted that thereafter the applicant has worked for more than 3 years on the same post for which he has applied i.e. of Assistant Professor.

3. Learned Counsel submitted that the applicant thus fulfills the eligibility criteria but has been wrongly declared as not eligible for the said post. Learned Counsel submitted that interviews for filling the posts of Assistant Professor are scheduled from 21st September, 2022 onwards. Learned Counsel in the circumstances, has prayed for interim relief thereby directing the respondents concerned to call the applicant also for interview subject to the outcome of the present O.A.

4. Learned CPO has sought time to seek instructions and to file affidavit in reply. After having

considered the submissions and more particularly after having gone through the documents filed on record, there appears prima facie case in favour of the applicant. Prima facie, it is established that the applicant is fulfilling the eligibility criteria and seems to have been wrongly listed as non-eligible candidate. We are therefore inclined to pass the following order:

ORDER

(i) Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 28-09-2022.

(ii) Respondent no.2 MPSC is directed to call the present applicant also for interview and keep the result of the said candidate in sealed cover. In the meanwhile if any appointment is made to the post of Assistant Professor in the subject of Orthopedics, the same shall be subject to outcome of the present O.A.

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 28-09-2022.

(viii) The learned CPO is directed to communicate this order to the concerned since interviews are scheduled.

(ix) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 12-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.782/2022
(Bhausahab S/o. Angad Shelke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amol R. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Applicant, at present is working at Civil Hospital, Nandurbar as X-Ray Technician. The applicant had entered into Government service from the quota of Sports person. As is contended in the O.A., the applicant had participated in power lifting tournament at the State level and was eligible to be selected from the Sports person category. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that certificate issued in favour of the applicant was cancelled by respondent no.4 vide order dated 23-12-2021. Learned Counsel further submitted that against the said decision first appeal has been preferred by the applicant and the same has

not yet been decided. Learned Counsel submitted that without decision in the said appeal, the respondent no.6 served the notice dated 10-08-2022 on the applicant and the applicant was required to submit his reply to the said notice within 3 days. Learned Counsel submitted that the applicant submitted reply to the said notice, however, the same has not been considered and the Director of Health Services, Pune respondent no.3 has passed the orders on 19-08-2022 and has directed the respondent no.5 and 6 to initiate the necessary action for terminating services of the applicant. The applicant submits that till this date the termination has not been taken effect, however, the applicant apprehends that the respondent no.6 may at any time terminate services of the applicant.

3. Learned Counsel submitted that when the appeal filed by the applicant is still pending in respect of the validity of the Sports Certificate, no such action could have been taken by the respondents. In the circumstances, the applicant has prayed for interim relief thereby directing the respondents not to relieve the applicant from the service on the basis of the

invalidation of the certificate by respondent no.4, Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services.

4. Learned CPO has opposed for granting any such relief in favour of the applicant. Learned CPO submitted that without there being any instructions from the respondents, it may not be possible for him to make any submissions on merit of the matter. Learned CPO, however, submitted that having regard to the documents no case is made out for granting any relief. Learned CPO submits that, the documents on record demonstrates that the applicant was not eligible to be appointed from the Sportsperson quota. Learned CPO, therefore, prayed for rejecting the request for interim relief.

5. We have considered the submissions advanced by the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned CPO for the respondents. Learned CPO has not given any answer to the query that whether the first appeal preferred by the applicant has been decided or not. Learned CPO shall make submission whether first appeal preferred by the applicant is

decided or not and if it is decided, file copy of the said order which would facilitate in deciding the matter. In the order dated 10-08-2022, it has been specifically mentioned that there is no information with the said authority that whether the said appeal is decided or not. It appears to us that when there is no concrete information with the authority concerned no such harsh step could have been taken by it. In the circumstances, we deem it appropriate to pass the following order:

ORDER

(i) Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 17-10-2022.

(ii) Till then no coercive action for termination of services of the applicant be taken against the applicant merely on the basis of invalidation of Sportsperson certificate issued in favour of the applicant.

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date

of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 17-10-2022.

(viii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK (!) ORAL ORDERS 12-09-2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.794/2022
(Ishvar S/o. Shatrughna Survase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12-09-2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S.Thombre, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the applicant sought leave to add (1) Secretary, Ice Hockey Association, Maharashtra and, (2) Secretary, Ice Hockey Association, India as party respondents. Leave as prayed for is granted. Necessary amendment be carried out forthwith.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant further sought permission to replace certain pages from the compilation since they are illegible. Permission granted.

4. Applicant has successfully undergone process of selection for the post of Police Sub Inspector from

Sportsman category and presently undergoing training for the said post at Police Academy, Nashik. As has been contended in the O.A., the applicant had played Ice Hockey at State level as well as at National level and the certificates in that regard were received to him. On the basis of the said certificates, the applicant had applied from the Sportsperson's quota and accordingly has been selected from the said quota.

5. In the meanwhile, certificate issued in favour of the applicant came to be cancelled vide communication dated 25-05-2021 under the signature of Deputy Director, Sports and Youth Services Aurangabad Region, Aurangabad. It was mentioned in the said letter that the applicant was not complying with the prescribed criteria in so far as the sports activities are concerned and as such his sports certificate has been held invalid. Applicant was informed that if he is dissatisfied by the said order he can prefer appeal against the same.

6. The only reason mentioned in the said order is that the Secretary, Ice Hockey Association, Maharashtra did not submit the report in respect of

the Ice Hockey competitions within time to the Government. Applicant preferred an appeal against the said order with the Director of Sports and Youth Services and the same came to be allowed by the said authority and the matter was remitted back to the Deputy Director. The Deputy Director affirmed the earlier order vide his subsequent order dated 07-10-2021. Again, first appeal was preferred by the applicant which was dismissed and the second appeal has also now been dismissed.

7. The applicant has approached this Tribunal with a contention that none of the said authorities has conducted enquiry into the allegations as it was required to be conducted. The learned Counsel submitted that the only reason which was cited in the first order dated 25-05-2021 was that the Association did not submit the report of competitions within time. Learned Counsel pointed out that in the said letter, it was in many words mentioned that the applicant was fulfilling the criteria as prescribed, however, since the report was not received, the said certificate was cancelled. Learned Counsel pointed out that First

Appellate Authority and Second Appellate Authority, on the basis of certain questions put to the applicant and on mere surmises have held that the cancellation of Sports Certificate is proper.

8. Learned Counsel submitted that the applicant is possessing entire record by which can prove that he has participated in the Ice Hockey tournaments at the National level and that he has eligibility as prescribed for the Sportsperson quota. Learned Counsel further submitted that if the Association did not produce the relevant record, the applicant cannot be held responsible for that and no prejudicial order can be passed on that count against the applicant. Learned Counsel submitted that throughout no attempt was made to call the said Association and to ascertain whether the present applicant has in fact played in the National tournament and whether the certificates issued were genuine and why Association did not submit the report in the given time.

9. Learned Counsel submitted that the persons who have conducted enquiry are prejudiced and they cannot be said to be experts in so far as the issue in

question is concerned. The learned Counsel submitted that having regard to several cases of such nature, Government has now decided to constitute a committee wherein the experts will also look into the aspect whether certificates issued are genuine and whether the persons whose certificates are under scrutiny are eligible to be selected as Sportsperson from the said quota. Learned Counsel further submitted that on the basis of half-hearted enquiries, the applicant is likely to lose his job which he has secured after successfully undergoing selection process, he, therefore, prayed for interim relief thereby directing the respondents not to take any adverse action against the applicant till the decision of the O.A.

10. Learned CPO has sought time to file affidavits in reply. Learned CPO submits that after having gone through the documents filed by the applicant himself, no case can be said to be made out for grant of any relief as has been claimed by him. Learned CPO has pointed out that basic questions were not properly answered by the applicant in the enquiry conducted by the first and second appellate authorities, which

suggest possibility of certificates produced by the applicant being not genuine. The learned CPO in the circumstances prayed for rejecting the prayer for interim relief.

11. We have carefully gone through the documents filed on record. It appears that the only reason which was stated in the communication dated 25-05-2021 was that though the applicant is fulfilling the criteria since the Secretary of the Ice Hockey Association, Maharashtra did not submit the relevant record and report within the time, the said certificate has been invalidated. It is true that in the subsequent enquiry certain questions were put to the applicant and some of the said questions could not be answered by the applicant. However, we have noticed that none of the said authorities have made any effort to call record from the Ice Hockey Association, Maharashtra so as to confirm the genuineness of the certificates issued in favour of the applicant. It is the assertive contention made by the applicant on oath that he did participate in the National level tournament and is fulfilling criteria as was prescribed for the Sportsperson

category against which he has been selected on the post of PSI. When a query was put to the learned Counsel that applicant had become aware of cancellation of his Sports certificate on 25-05-2021, whether such fact is disclosed by him to his appointing authority. Learned Counsel stated that the said correspondence has been issued after the documents verification etc. was done and therefore this could not be brought to the notice of his appointing authority.

12. It appears to us that before invalidating the Sports Certificate granted in favour of the applicant no proper enquiry has been conducted. In our opinion, the Ice Hockey Association, Maharashtra must have been summoned by the authorities concerned to verify the genuineness of the Sports Certificate issued in favour of the applicant. Merely because the Secretary of that Association did not submit the relevant record within time, cannot be a valid reason for invalidating the Sports Certificate issued in favour of the applicant. Similarly, the decision of invalidating the Sports Certificate of the applicant cannot be upheld on the ground that the applicant gave wrong answers to some

of the questions put forth to him during the course of enquiry. For the aforesaid reasons, we find prima facie case in support of the applicant so as to grant interim relief in his favour. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

(i) Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 17-10-2022.

(ii) Till then no adverse action be taken against the applicant on the basis of invalidation of Sportsperson certificate issued in favour of the applicant.

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 17-10-2022.

(viii) Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
M.A.NO.83 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1621 OF 2021
(Sopan P. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
M.A.NO.284/2022 IN M.A.ST.NO.635/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.536/2022
(Anna B. Holkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Aniket V. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A.No.284/2022, returnable on 18.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.10.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
M.A.NO.382 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1465 OF 2022
(Madhukar S. Mundeshwar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 18.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 18.10.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.400 OF 2022
(Rohit G. Ubale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vijay C. Suradkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.708 OF 2022
(Sayyed Abeda Begum Sayyaed Ajaz Ahmed Quadri V/s. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Angad L. Kanade, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.1148 OF 2021
(Manoranjan M. Gatkal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Amol S. Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1093 OF 2019
(Bhanudas B. Putwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.411 OF 2020
(Ashfaq Shahnour Quraishi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, affidavit-in-reply is not filed on behalf of the respondent No.4.

3. S.O. to 11.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.82 OF 2021
(Deepak S. Zinjurde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Amruta Paranjape-Menezes, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.121 OF 2021
(Sukhadev S. Jadhav & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O.to 11.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.389 OF 2021
(Baburao C. Mahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Yogesh H. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri Sushil P. Pandit, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4, is **absent**.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4, S.O. to 21.10.2022 for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.414 OF 2021
(Shaikh Gulab Sher Muhammad Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the Original Application is required to be amended in view of the subsequent G.R.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.599 OF 2021
(Bhima D. Chavan & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Yogesh H. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1. Shri Sushil P. Pandit, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 5, is **absent**.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 5, S.O. to 21.10.2022 for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 to 5.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.630 OF 2021
(Babasaheb H. Dahifale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 12.10.2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.730 OF 2021
(Imronoddin E. Shaikh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 13.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.757 OF 2021
(Jayashri S. Bhokre & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. The matter is pertaining to arrears of wages. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
M.A.NO.347 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1444 OF 2019
(Vijaykumar M. Nawale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5.

2. The present Misc. Application is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
M.A.NO.135 OF 2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.336 OF 2020
(Khurshid Begum Mohd. Moosa V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

None present on behalf of the applicant.

Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate,
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the matter was fixed for hearing without affidavit-in-reply of the respondents. However, today learned P.O. submits that the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1 is ready and seeks permission to file the same.

3. In view of the above, in the interest of justice permission is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.1.

4. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 is taken on record. Learned P.O. deposited extra copy of reply for the applicant.

5. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
M.A.NO.194 OF 2020 IN O.A.NO.174 OF 2020
(Ravindra P. Bibekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.A. Khande, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent No.4.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.10.2022 for taking necessary steps.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.54 OF 2019
(Varsha S. Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 Shri V.C. Patil (Ashtekar), learned Advocate for the respondent No.3, is **absent**.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 20.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.406 OF 2019
(Dayanand V. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Girish Awale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.528 OF 2019
(Dr. Kishor H. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 22.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1087 OF 2019
(Ravindra B. Chobe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.83 OF 2020
(Devendra I. Sonar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the respondents, S.O. to 21.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.531 OF 2020
(Manik D. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter has already been treated as part heard.

3. At the request of the learned P.O., S.O. to 23.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.532 OF 2007
(Amir Khan Ibrahim Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.502 OF 2017
(Public Prosecutors Association V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Saket Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 03.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.721 OF 2017
(Subhash K. Parlikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde (Upadhyay), learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing written notes of arguments.

3. S.O. to 28.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.49 OF 2018
(Ravindra V. Sapkale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Harshal P. Randhir, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.894 OF 2018
(Shivaji B. Satote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4, is **absent.**

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.317 OF 2019
(Mangala D. Lasurkar (Died) L. Rs Purushottam E. Joshi V/s. State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.640 OF 2019
(Pradeep M. Kaushike V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.09.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.907 OF 2019
(Dr. Namdeo V. Korde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shir N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 5 and Shri Mayur Subhedar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the respondent No.6.

2. By consent of all the parties, S.O. to 11.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.536 OF 2020
(Ravi U. Vanjare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Karne, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.103 OF 2021
(Dr. Harishchandra T. Kokani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 Shri Rakesh N. Jain, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3, is **absent**.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.248 OF 2021
(Sunil S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 to 4.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.317 OF 2021
(Vishwanbhar V. Tidke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant, is **absent**. Heard Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.583 OF 2021
(Jitendra M. Panje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.10.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.68 OF 2021
(Sarjerao S. Jagdhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vijay C. Suradkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 12.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.262 OF 2022
(Sima P. Kangane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., one more last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 23.09.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.63 OF 2022
(Sandeep S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the applicant to the affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.3 & 4 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Record shows that in spite of grant of opportunities, affidavit-in-reply is not filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2. Hence the matter will proceed further without affidavit-in-reply of the respondent Nos.1 & 2 in accordance with law.

4. S.O. to 17.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.432 OF 2022
(Ramesh R. Kagne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.404 OF 2022
(Mahesh D. Nagargoje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O., last chance is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

3. S.O. to 11.10.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.743 OF 2022
(Ankush R. Kolekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vijay V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue fresh notice to the respondent Nos.2 & 3, returnable on 21.10.2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 21.10.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.524 OF 2021
(Hanumant R. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the course of the day he would file affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 14.10.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.209 OF 2020
(Akhil Ahmed Mukheed Ahmed Kazi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.09.2022.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 6. Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the respondent No.7, is **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent No.6. Time is granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2022 as a most last chance filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.6 & 7.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592 OF 2018
(Nilesh B Pardeshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No.

1. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 3.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 313 OF 2019
(Dr. Mahendra A Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Chaintanya C. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 4.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 336 OF 2019
(Anil S. Navale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ashok R. Tapse, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 7.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 791 OF 2022
(Rajshekhar L. Mengule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.09.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vikrant S. Valse, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant possesses the required qualifications for to be appointed to the post of Assistant Professor in general medicine. Learned counsel submitted that the name of the applicant has been wrongly included in the list of not eligible candidates and the reason therefor is mentioned as applicant does not possess the required experience of one year of working as Senior Resident in the concerned subject. The list of non-eligible candidates along with remarks is there on page-36, wherein the name of the applicant appears at Sr. No. 6. Learned counsel pointed out that the applicant did possess the required experience of working for more than one year on the post of Senior Resident and the certificate in that regard was uploaded by the applicant at the time of filling up an application. Learned counsel for the applicant also pointed out the certificate issued by the Government

Medical College, Thrissur on 29.8.2019, wherein it has been certified that the applicant completed one year senior residency course from 11.07.2018 to 10.08.2019. Learned counsel further submitted that interviews for the subject post are in progress and tomorrow is the last date for conducting such interviews. In the circumstances, learned counsel has prayed for an interim relief thereby seeking direction against the respondents to conduct the interview of the present applicant subject to outcome of the present Original Application.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time to seek instructions and to file affidavit in reply to the O.A. so filed by the applicant.

4. We have carefully gone through the documents filed on record. Copy of the online application submitted by the applicant is also placed on record, which also contains information therein that the applicant possesses the experience of working as Senior Resident in the subject concerned for more than the period of one year. Copy of experience certificate is also filed on record.

5. In the circumstances, we find that *prima facie* case is made out by the applicant for issuance of interim relief as prayed for by the applicant. Hence, the following order: -

ORDER

(i) Respondent NO. 2, MPSC is directed to call the present applicant also for interview and keep the result of the said candidate in sealed cover. In the meanwhile if any appointment is made to the post of Assistant Professor in the subject of General Medicine, the same shall be subject to outcome of the present O.A.

(ii) In the meanwhile, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28-9-2022.

(iii) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

(iv) Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

(v) This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

(vi) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

(vii) S.O. to 28-9-2022.

(viii) The learned CPO is directed to communicate this order to the concerned since interviews are scheduled tomorrow.

(ix) Steno copy & Hamdust allowed for the use of both the sides.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 776 OF 2019
(Gulchand Fulchand Gangawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,**
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.09.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. When the present matter is taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, submitted that the applicant is not pressing prayer clause C-1. Learned counsel further submitted that reason for which the applicant was not being considered for promotion was that in the departmental enquiry punishment was imposed upon him of stoppage of three increments. Learned counsel further submitted that the said three years period has now been completed and, as such, the present Original Application has become infructuous and the respondents shall now consider the case of the applicant for promotion in accordance with law. Learned counsel has prayed for disposing of the present Original Application with the aforesaid observations.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the case of the applicant even now cannot be considered for his promotion for the reason that an offence under Section 354 has been filed against the present applicant and the same is pending. Documents in that regard are submitted by the learned Presenting Officer across the bar and same are taken on record.

4. As has been submitted by the applicant, if it be the case of the applicant that the applicant was not considered for promotion at the relevant time for the reason that punishment of stoppage of three increments was imposed upon him and the said period had not expired, the respondents may now consider the applicant for his promotion in accordance with law and on merit, if otherwise there is no impediment for granting such promotion in favour of the applicant. With the observations as above the present Original Application stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 774 OF 2019
(Chandrakant S. Shinde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Arvind G. Ambetkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 7.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 423 OF 2020
(Rahul P Deore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri N.L. Choudhari, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 7.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2020
(Shaikh Akbar Shaikh Rasul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 9.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 482 OF 2021
(Kishor U. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Harshal P RAndhir, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 & 5, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 9.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 561 OF 2021
(Toliram P. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicants and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 11.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 53 OF 2022
(Sudhakar N. Dusane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant has tendered across the bar rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. List the present case for hearing on 17.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213 OF 2022
(Pravin G. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 12.10.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 253 OF 2022
(Nagannath N Waste V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 14.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 609/2019 IN O.A.ST.2372/2019
(Radhabai K. Kedare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit. List the present case for hearing on 3.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 239/2021 IN O.A.ST.366/2021
(Nandu D. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sajitkhan M. Pathan, learned counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 4.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 124/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 260/2022
(Dr. Radhakisan N. Pokharkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Shriniwas S. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned counsel has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 & 4 and the same is taken on record.

2. S.O. to 7.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

M.A.NO. 267/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO. 747/2022
(Vasant D. Wadile & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora,
Vice Chairman
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.09.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Await service.
3. S.O. to 7.11.2022.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 12.09.2022-HDD