

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.734 of 2023</p> <p>D. M. Bhoire & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kailash Jadhav holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Pursuant to order dated 21.09.2023, today learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit in Reply' of Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Nagpur. Shri Vishal Borhade, Assistant Conservator of Forest, Nagpur is present before the Court on behalf of Chief Conservator of forest, Nagpur. The copy of reply is served to Applicant. Learned P.O. has filed Affidavit as per the directions given by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits all the applicants were allowed to participate in the selection process and they appeared for the examination as per prayer clause 8(a) of the O.A.</p> <p>4. 2nd prayer of the Applicants is to direct the Respondent No.3 for issuance of certificate of 'Daily Wages Worker', Learned P.O. submits that same was issued to the Applicant and she has given acknowledgment of the same.</p>

5. Learned P.O. submits that in earlier Affidavit dated 21.07.2023, in which certificate given to the applicants has annexed to the affidavit (Page 129 of O.A.). Learned P.O. further produces and assures acknowledgment of Certificate which was issued on 30.06.2023 under the signature of Rahul Patil.

6. Prayer 1 and 2 are complied with considering print out of acknowledgment produced by learned P.O. So far as reliefs are concerned, we are of the view that all the grievances are redressed.

7. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that what criteria applied for deciding that applicants did not fulfil the criteria of 240 days of each year for minimum of five years is not explained by the Respondents.

8. We are of the view that prayers nos. 1 and 2 are fulfilled. Hence, if applicants are having some other grievance about criteria applied for their eligibility, then it is a different cause of action.

9. In view of above, nothing survives in the matter. The O.A. is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.962 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. ChouguleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. P.B. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits an Office Order dated 13.09.2023 issued by the 'Chief Engineer (Mechanical), W.R.D., Nashik' by which earlier 'Office Order' dated 30.06.2023 of Shri Rajeev Mohite who was on deputation from the P.W.D. and posted as Deputy Engineer (Mechanical), Sawantwadi has been cancelled ostensibly in observance of the order of 'Interim Relief' granted to the Applicant on 08.08.2023 by which any one post of 'Deputy Engineer (Mechanical)' was directed to keep vacant in 'Kolhapur Circle'. The Respondents during the course of hearing of this O.A. No.962 of 2023 have all along stated that this post of 'Deputy Engineer (Mechanical), Sawantwadi' is a vacant. However, it has now become evident that post of Deputy Engineer (Mechanical), Sawantwadi had remained vacant only on account of Shri Rajeev Mohite, Deputy Engineer (Mechanical) on deputation from P.W.D. having not joined as per 'Office Order' of 'Chief Engineer (Mechanical) W.R.D., Nashik' dated 30.06.2023. The Respondents therefore need to explain as to why in the intervening period from grant of 'Interim Relief' on 08.08.2023 it has been repeatedly stated through learned P.O. that the post of Deputy Engineer (Mechanical), Sawantwadi was a clear 'Vacant Post' when there was a subsisting 'Office Order' of Shri Rajeev Mohite, Deputy Engineer (Mechanical) on deputation from P.W.D. issued on 30.06.2023 which has now come to be cancelled belatedly on 13.09.2023 by 'Chief Engineer (Mechanical), W.R.D., Nashik'.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. Learned P.O. in the aforesaid context to file 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' as to why such contemptuous stand has been taken all along by the 'Chief Engineer (Mechanical), W.R.D., Nashik' as the 'Competent Authority' and what where the compelling reason to issue 'Office Order' on 13.09.2023 'now to cancel the earlier 'Officer Order' dated 30.06.2023 of posting of Shri Rajeev Mohite, Deputy Engineer (Mechanical) who was on deputation from P.W.D. The 'Chief Engineer (Mechanical), W.R.D., Nashik' to explain this by way of the 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' and also inform as to whether they are now willing to post the Applicant to truly 'Vacant Post' of 'Deputy Engineer (Mechanical), Sawantwadi'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.737 of 2023</p> <p>B. B. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.4. S.O. to 02.11.2023 <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1161 of 2023</p> <p>Payal Govind RautApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that the Respondent wants to file Affidavit in Reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that O.A. is filed on 05.09.2023 and prays for 'Interim Relief'.</p> <p>4. The matter is removed from the caption of 'Final Hearing' as Affidavit in Reply is required to file in this matter.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants hearing of the matter but it is not possible to hear him today without short Affidavit of M.P.S.C.</p>

**Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders**

Tribunal's orders

6. Today, the Officers from M.P.S.C. are not present. Learned C.P.O. informs that on account of interview process of Medical Officers, no one is present on behalf of M.P.S.C.
7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks same relief which was granted in O.A.No.751/2023 as the Applicant has better case than the Applicant in O.A.No.751/2023. For interim relief, the matter is fixed on 17.10.2023, subject to outcome of O.A.No.751/2023, if any appointment is given Learned Advocate insists for hearing on 'Interim Relief' and states that in this Court, some Lawyers from Bombay High Court are getting different treatment and orders.
8. Learned C.P.O. is directed to file short Affidavit on behalf of M.P.S.C. as it is necessary to deliberate the Interim Issue.
9. The statement made by learned Advocate for the Applicant is very objectionable and such submissions are not to be made. The Counsel is required to make statement with all responsibilities. Suggesting unequal treatment in the judicial orders passed by any judicial officer amounts to showing distrust on the Member/Members of the Bench.
10. S.O. to 17.10.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : ¹² 05.10.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.640 of 2023 in O.A.No.1288 of 2023</p> <p>L. G. Gavande & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere holding for Shri M. Khairnar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.640 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

's orders

Date : 12.10.2023

O.A.No.799 of 2023

R. S. Londhe

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the Department Enquiry which was initiated against him by issuing charge sheet on 04.01.2011. The Departmental Enquiry report holding him guilty was issued on 19.01.2012. The Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2014. The punishment order directing to reduce 10% pension for 10 years was passed on 30.08.2019.

4. Learned Advocate submits that the Respondents were directed to pay him the amount of pension after deducting 10% of amount of pension for 10 years and the order was passed on 12.07.2023. He points out that such directions are given by this Tribunal in Para No.7 of order dated 12.07.2023. However, the order is not complied with.

5. Learned C.P.O. on the basis of written instructions received from the Respondent No.3 submits that Respondent wants to comply with the order, however, the applicant is not residing at the address which is given in O.A. as '202-C, Vrindavan, DSK Model Colony, Deep Bunglow Chowk, Pune 411 016' and, therefore, it is not possible for the Government to comply the order with the Applicant.

6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant makes statement that this is the correct address of the Applicant.

7. S.O. to 19.10.2023 as the learned Advocate wants Applicant to remain present before the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 19.10.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.70 of 2023</p> <p>H. D. More & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the list submitted by the Respondent is factually faulty and wants to file Affidavit to that effect.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.740 of 2023</p> <p>P. R. GuravApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Learned P.O. informs that Appeal No.02/2023 is heard and decided on 05.07.2023 and it is communicated to the Applicant by order dated 10.07.2023.3. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit. It is taken on record.4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to take instructions from his client.5. S.O. to 19.10.2023. <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.10.2023
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1116 of 2023</p> <p>S. M. WaghmodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Siddiquie, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has tendered Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. She seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.821 of 2023</p> <p>P. V. SaliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks time to file Affidavit in Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.612 of 2023</p> <p>A. S. Khade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. V. Shinde, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 02.11.2023. 'Interim Relief' if any, to be continued till next date.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1211 of 2023</p> <p>A. A. Dharanguttikar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Kranti Gaikwad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that Affidavit in Reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 12.10.2023

's orders

O.A.No.1283 of 2023

N. B. Pathan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.10.2023.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 26.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.513, 514 & 515 of 2023</p> <p>B. J. More D. P. Bandgar & Ors. U. S. Yadav & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply in O.A.No.514 of 2023. She states that Affidavit in Reply in O.A.Nos.513/2023 and O.A.No.515/2023 has already filed.3. The matter is admitted and it be kept for final hearing.4. S.O. to 09.11.2023. <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1288 of 2023</p> <p>L. G. Gavande & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere holding for Shri M. Khairnar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The Applicants aspiring to the Government Service to the post of Professors, Lecturers, Inspectors and Assistant Inspectors in the Director of Arts in pursuant to the Advertisement issued by M.P.S.C. There are five Advertisements of M.P.S.C. i.e 063/2023, 064/2023, 065/2023, 066/2023 and 067/2023 published on 13.09.20233. Learned Counsel for the Applicant is not pressing "Interim Relief" in terms of Clause (1) for stay to operation of five Advertisements of M.P.S.C. i.e 063/2023, 064/2023, 065/2023, 066/2023 and 067/2023 published on 13.09.2023, or Clause (2) to keep five posts vacant for the Applicants till the O.A. is heard and decided on merits. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that he restricts his 'Interim Relief' only to prayer Clause (3) which states that 'Application Forms' of the Applicants be accepted by way of 'Registered Post'.4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant also seeks permission to amend Clause (3) of 'Interim Prayer' as he wants to substitute word 'Online' in place of 'Registered Post'. Amendment is allowed. It be carried out forthwith by learned Counsel.

5. Learned C.P.O. submits that the last date of submission of Application Forms to these posts of Professors, Lecturers, Inspectors and Assistant Inspectors in the Director of Arts was 03.10.2023.

6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that M.A.T. Bench at Nagpur by order dated 03.10.2023 in C.A.No.502/2023 with O.A.No.1082/2023 has directed the M.P.S.C. to accept the applications of the Applicants subject to outcome of O.A. He prays that same order be passed in present O.A.. He states that as per the existing Recruitment Rules, 'Diploma' is considered as required 'Education Qualification.' However, in the five Advertisements of M.P.S.C. i.e 063/2023, 064/2023, 065/2023, 066/2023 and 067/2023 published on 13.09.2023 which are under challenge, there is no mention of 'Diploma' as required 'Educational Qualification'.

7. Learned C.P.O. submits that the five Advertisements of M.P.S.C. i.e 063/2023, 064/2023, 065/2023, 066/2023 and 067/2023 published on 13.09.2023 are issued on the basis of 'New Recruitment Rules' notified on 21.03.2023. 'New Recruitment Rules' have replaced the Old Recruitment Rules of 16.02.1983 which had permitted 'Diploma' as required 'Educational Qualification.' As per the 'New Recruitment Rules' notified on 21.03.2023, the 'Educational Qualification' is also changed.

8. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.10.2023.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 19.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) vsm</p> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.10.2023

M.A.No.636/2023 in O.A.No.997/2017

The State of Mah. & Ors.Applis (Org. Respd.)
Vs.

~~V.A. Desai~~Respondents(Org. Appl)
A.K. Gharat

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Org. Applicants)

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Bandiwadekar opposes the M.A. seeking extension of time for the compliance of the order dated 28.06.2023.

3. Order dated 28.06.2023 passed in O.A.No.997/2017 was required to be implemented within two months from the date of the order, thus the period of two months was over on 28.08.2023. On 29.08.2023 at the request of learned C.P.O. time was extended by one month in view of earlier order in M.A.No.555/2023 i.e. till 29.09.2023. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that file is pending before the Law and Judiciary Department whether the said order is to be challenged before the Bombay High Court.

4. This excuse was considered last time and this cannot be considered again after one month. In view of same, M.A. is dismissed as rejected.

Sd/-
(^{Ms}Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1167 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. KharatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. produces the copy of the Memorandum of the 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik' dated 09.10.2023 issued to implement the direction given on 29.09.2023 to consider the request of the Applicant for posting in the offices of (i) RFO, Chandwad (Territorial) or (ii) RFO, Nandgaon (Territorial) based on recommendations submitted by the 'Deputy Conservator of Forest, West Division Nashik' on 30.06.2023. The 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik' in the 'Memorandum' dated 09.10.2023 records the reasons for not considering the request of the Applicant for posting in the office of of (i) RFO, Chandwad (Territorial) or (ii) RFO, Nandgaon (Territorial) on grounds that the Applicant did not give 10 'Choices of Posting' & hence there is no need now to reconsider his 'Transfer Order' issued on 30.05.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is therefore informed to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' as to whether this reasons recorded by the 'Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Nashik' in the 'Memorandum' dated 09.10.2023 is as per the guidelines of G.A.D.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) [P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.10.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1293 of 2023 with O.A. No.1294 of 2023</p> <p>S.N. Kurule S.R. PhulpagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.W. Sawant, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.11.2023. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 8. S.O. to 02.11.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.550 of 2023 with O.A. No.730 of 2023</p> <p>S.D. Kulakarni Dr. R.M. GangurdeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicants and their Advocate Ms. P.B. Patil, both are absent. Shri D.B. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.6 is also absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date.'</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.10.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.697 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. R.R. TryambakeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim Relief, if any to continue till next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.10.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 12.10.2023

O.A.No.486 of 2023

Prakash Alias Appa R. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. B. Khot , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. informs that Appeal was heard by the Competent Authority on 06.07.2023 and it is decided.
3. S.O. to 13.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.10.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.975 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. F.I. BagawanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.10.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.563/2023 in O.A.No.1018/2023</p> <p>T.J. PardhiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. A.R. Kapadia, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay of 45 days.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply in M.A.</p> <p>5. Time granted. Adjourned to 26.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.96/2023 in O.A.No.107/1999 with O.A.No.146/1999</p> <p>Dr. M.B. NakilApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Yashodeep Deshmukh i/b. Mr. V.P. Potbhare & Vaidehi Pradeep, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel Mr. Deshmukh on instructions has submitted that he wants to withdraw the C.A.</p> <p>3. In view of above, C.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.23/2023 in O.A.No.487/2020</p> <p>Dr. R. RautApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Yashodeep Deshmukh i/b. Mr. Vinod Sangikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. C.A. is filed for non-compliance of order dated 03.12.2021 passed in O.A.No.487/2020.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that by the said order the Tribunal has directed the Respondent to consider the case of the Applicant for grant of benefit of Career Advancement Scheme (CAS) on or before 31.01.2022. Learned Counsel has submitted that the order is partially complied with. Learned Counsel has submitted that the AGP 9000 stage is not processed for which the Applicant is entitled to as per the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 03.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.611/2023 in O.A.No.59/2017</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors.Applis (Org. Respd.) Vs. Y.A. DesaiRespondents(Org. Appl)</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondents (Org. Applicants)</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking for extension of time for the compliance of the order dated 07.08.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Mr. Chougule has prayed that time be extended by six weeks.</p> <p>4. In view that M.A. is filed on 22.09.2023, so from the date of application six weeks time is granted i.e. upto 06.11.2023.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.86 of 2023 in O.A. No.599 of 2023</u> with <u>C.A. No.87 of 2023 in O.A. No.598 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. D.D. Patil Dr. C.S. Lone ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files affidavit in reply dated 6.9.2023 of Mahesh Madhukar Lad, Under Secretary, Public Health Department and the same is taken on record. The applicants who are working as Medical Officers claim that they are Group A and therefore they are entitled to extension of age from 58 to 60 years pursuant to notification dated 23.2.2022. Ld. CPO submits that Govt. disputes that they are Group A officers. It is stated that applicants are Group B Medical Officers and therefore they are not entitled to extension of superannuation till 60 years of age which is available for Group A Medical Officers as per notification dated 23.2.2022.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.11.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.10.2023 12.10.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1217 & 1218 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.R. Bhapkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she has already informed the department not to proceed with the DE as the matter is about to be heard. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.10.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.10.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.As. No.325 of 2018 with O.A. No.13 of 2020


A.M. Jadhao
R.S. Pawar ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant in OA No.325/2018, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 & 2 and Shri D.B. Khaire holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent no.3.

2. Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for Applicant in OA No.13/2020 is not present.

3. Ld. CPO submits that she is appearing for MPSC-Respondent No.1 and therefore name of Shri A.A. Desai is to be removed. Ld. Advocates Shri Lonkar and Shri Khaire submits that Ld. Advocate Shri S.S. Dere is going to open the matter. Ld. Advocate Shri Lonkar submits that he has already given written arguments and Ld. Advocate Shri Khaire wants to file written arguments.

4. S.O. to 2.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.10.2023

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
12.10.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.607/2023 in O.A.No.1206/2023</p> <p>C.B. Paradke & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants seek promotion to the post of Deputy Education Officer and equivalent posts. Applicants are from Maharashtra Education Service (MES) quota and they seek their promotion in 10% quota. He has submitted that the Division Bench of M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad has stayed the promotion to the post of Deputy Education Officer by order dated 16.03.2023 passed in O.A.No.227/2013.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that in view of the said order of Aurangabad Bench he prays that the Applicant in present O.A. be given temporary promotions to the post of Deputy Education Officer and its equivalent posts.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.504/2023 in O.A.No.348/2023 with O.A.No.353/2023</p> <p>N.B. BhondeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent. Leave note is on record.</p> <p>3. In view that learned Counsel is absent adjourned to 19.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.542/2023 in O.A.No.255/2023</p> <p>A.S. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant prays for promotion and states that the case of the Applicants in present O.A. is covered by the order dated 10.08.2023 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.416/2022.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. is directed to verify whether the Applicants in present O.A. is covered by the order dated 10.08.2023 passed in O.A.No.416/2022 or not.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.91/2023 in O.A.No.767/2022</p> <p>R.P. GageApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Yashodeep Deshmukh i/b. Mr. Vinod Sangikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has submitted that this C.A. is filed for non-compliance of the order of this Tribunal dated 14.12.2022 where the specified time limit is given by this Tribunal. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Commissioner cum Director of the Nagar Parishad Administration Directorate has forwarded representation dated 19.10.2022 to the Government. After going through the paragraph 8 of the impugned order it is found that it was necessary for the Commissioner to forward the said representation to the Government within four weeks. The date of forwarding the said representation is to be informed by the Respondents and thereafter within two months the said representation was expected to be decided. Learned Counsel has submitted that the representation is not decided and therefore he has filed C.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.11.2023.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

10. Adjourned to 03.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1204 of 2016

Y.R. Angle & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. As per order dated 10.8.2023 Shri Satish J. Tidke, Deputy Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Department has filed affidavit dated 10.10.2023 and the same is taken on record. A copy of the same is given to Ld. Advocate for the applicants.

3. Ld. CPO submits that as per query made by this Tribunal new staffing pattern will come in force and the total available post of 42 will be reduced to 28 and therefore though the vacancy shown as 18 posts will not be available.

4. Applicant is present in the Court and submits that he can demonstrate that out of 18 posts, 10 posts will remain available after introduction of revised staffing pattern. Officers from Higher & Technical Education Department are present. It is directed to both the parties to have discussion on this point today itself to find out exact position of available vacancies.

5. Later on Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks time to go through the reply.

6. S.O. to 19.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
12.10.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.10.2023



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.803 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.D. Walunj & 40 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Tanmay Sawant holding for Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though this matter is shown on board but it is adjourned to 11.12.2023. Mentioned and taken on board.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces a Praecipe dated 12.10.2023 praying that out of 41 applicants, 10 applicants enlisted in the Praecipe do not want to prosecute the matter. Hence, permission may be granted to delete their names.</p> <p>4. Permission granted to delete the names of 10 applicants as enlisted in the Praecipe. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served on all respondents and Ld. CPO.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 11.12.2023.</p> <p align="center">   Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.10.2023 12.10.2023 (sgj) </p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.337/2023 in O.A.No.971/2019 With M.A.No.338/2023 in O.A.No.973/2019 With M.A.No.339/2023 in O.A.No.1022/2019</p> <p>R.P. GaikwadApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Registry to take note that second set is misplaced. Learned Counsel has submitted that the Commissioner, Tribal Development is added as Respondent No.3 in the array of Respondents in all the M.As. Learned Counsel has submitted that he will make Commissioner, Tribal Development as party-Respondent in O.A. as well. Learned Counsel has submitted that on 27.03.2023 the application was made before Commissioner, Tribal Development against communication dated 10.02.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions on the decision taken in the present issue.</p> <p>4. We encourage the concerned officer to consider the degree and decide the said representation.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.10.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 278/2023 in M.A 436/2023 in O.A 744/2016</u></p> <p>Shri U.M. Kashyap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Hassan Khan i/b Shri Ganesh Palve, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy is not served on the office of the learned C.P.O.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will serve copy of both the Misc Application to the office of the learned C.P.O today itself. Learned counsel further submits that copy is served on the Respondents.</p> <p>4. At the request of the learned counsel for the applicant, matter adjourned to 20.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gädgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.10.2023</u></p> <p><u>C.A 45/2023 in O.A 614/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.D Gangurde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that Shri Shrikant Mohite, Desk Officer, Revenue & Forest Department is present. Learned P.O submits that final decision was taken by the Disciplinary Authority on 21.8.2023 and the copy of the same was served on the applicant on 22.8.2023. Further apology is tendered in writing by the Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.10.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 52/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.V Shelke & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Shri Dere submits that the Respondents be directed to consider how many sanctioned posts as on today as per the new Staffing Pattern and total filled in posts as on today and total number of students as well as the Colleges as per the record of the Respondents is taken into account while considering the case of the applicant, while fixing the Student Faculty Ratio.</p> <p>3. The Members of the Expert Committee is to take decision on the same on or before 30.11.2023 and file affidavit before this Tribunal about the decision so taken.</p> <p>4. S.O to 2.11.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2023</p> <p>R.A.No.6/2023 in O.A.NO.187/2021</p> <p>D.N. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks two weeks time to file affidavit.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 26.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.10.2023

C.A.No.92/2023 in O.A.No.639/2022

R.C. JadhavApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. C.A. is filed for non-compliance of order dated 13.07.2022 passed in O.A.No.639/2022. In the said order the Government has directed to take appropriate decision on the proposal on or before 13.08.2022 and it was to be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter i.e. till the month of August, 2022.
4. Learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that there is delay in implementing the said order. She has submitted that the proposal was received by the Government from the office of Directorate of Health Services on 03.10.2023. The Secretary of Public Health Department had taken decision and the file is sent to the Hon'ble Minister, PHD for approval. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Government needs three weeks to get the file from the Hon'ble Minister.
5. In view of above, we grant three weeks time. However, we are concerned with the Contempt. We call upon the Director of PHD to explain why the proposal was not sent within the time which was specifically mentioned in paragraph 9 of the impugned order. There is inordinate delay of more than one year in sending the file.
6. Director PHD is directed to file affidavit of this delay stating reasons for the delay.
7. Adjourned to 02.11.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.10.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 586/2023 in O.A 727/2023 (Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>Smt Minatai A. Khillare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Misc Application is filed for transferring the Original Application No. 727/2023 from Aurangabad Bench to Mumbai Bench.</p> <p>3. The order of appointment of two Members (Judicial) and two Members (Administrative) at M.A.T, Mumbai, Nagpur & Aurangabad Benches are issued by the DoPT on 29.09.2023 and the respective Members are going to take charge of the said post within a week or two from today.</p> <p>4. The Registrar, M.A.T, Aurangabad is hereby directed to place this matter before the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman on 16.10.2023 as Division Bench will be available from 16.10.2023 onwards.</p> <p>5. Hence, there is no need to transfer the O.A No. 727/2023 from Aurangabad Bench to Mumbai Bench.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Misc Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>