

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.10.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 105/2021 in O.A 191/2021</u></p> <p>Shri D.M Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The present matter be de-tagged from the group of matters, i.e. M.A 244/2019 in O.A 1080/2018 and others.</p> <p>3. S.O to 14.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.350 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. Shaikh M.A.S.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt.Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the matter is being conducted by learned C.P.O. but she is unwell, and therefore, requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.10.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.752 of 2021</p> <p>R. J. BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 09.08.2021 whereby he is transferred from the post of Circle Officer, Wadala, Tahasil Office, Dist. Solapur to Circle Officer, Ghodegaon Circle, Tahsil Office, Barshi, Dist. Solapur.</p> <p>3. The Applicant contends that he has not completed normal tenure of six years but transferred mid-term and mid-tenure. He further contends that he is suffering from 40% disability of visual impairment in both eyes, and therefore, his options ought to have been considered preference wise.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel for the Applicant however fairly concedes that the Applicant has been relieved and joined at Godegaon Circle. This being the position, now the question of interim relief does not survive.</p> <p>5. However, O.A. has been expedited since <i>prima-facie</i> the Applicant is transferred, as if, he is due for general transfer as seen from the transfer order dated 09.08.2021.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned P.O. therefore directed to take instructions and to file reply by next date. In the meantime, issue notice before admission returnable on 28.10.2021.</p> <p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 28.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.776 of 2021</p> <p>S. P. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sachin Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed the present O.A. seeking direction to the Respondents to consider his representation for transfer in view of Circular dated 11.07.2000 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides for preference to Government servant who has worked in tribal area.</p> <p>3. The Applicant joined as Sub-Inspector, Excise Department at Palghar in August, 2018 and admittedly has not completed his normal tenure so as to consider him due for transfer.</p> <p>4. Insofar as Circular dated 11.07.2000 is concerned, it speaks about giving preference to choices given by a Government servant who have worked in tribal area. Indeed, as per Para 2 of Circular dated 11.07.2000 where a Government servant of Group C & D have worked for three years in tribal area then they should be given posting as per their choice. It further provides where a Government servant of Group A & B worked for two years in tribal area, they should be also given posting as per their options. Thus, it is quite clear that Circular dated 11.07.2000 has to be considered when a Government servant is due for transfer whereas in present case, the Applicant was not at all due for transfer since has not completed normal tenure in the present post. Therefore, O.A. itself is premature and not maintainable.</p> <p>5. The Applicant is at liberty to make representation which would be dealt with by the Respondents in accordance to rules.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2021</p> <p>O.A.No. 706 of 2021</p> <p>S. D. NalavadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 30.08.2021 whereby he is transferred from the post of Head Master, Government Technical School, Nashik to Principal, ITI, Alapalli, Dist. Gadchiroli. 3. When the matter was taken up for consideration of interim relief and admission, learned Counsel for the Applicant states that his client is also ready to go to Mahad or Dhule or in Palghar District. Therefore, interim relief to the extent of non posting of a Government servant in place of the Applicant at Nashik was only granted and the matter was adjourned to explore further development. 4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered the file which shows that the Civil Services Board as well as the Hon'ble Chief Minister has approved the modification in the transfer order posting him at Mahad. 5. Learned P.O. on instructions further submits that the order to that effect will be issued tomorrow. 6. Thus, now the Applicant is being posted at Mahad as per his option and grievance stands redressed. 7. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs. 8. Interim relief granted by the Tribunal on 16.09.2021 stands vacated. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.83 of 2021</p> <p>M. S. Deo ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the very outset, learned Counsel for the Applicant and learned P.O. pointed out that similar O.A. is already fixed on 18.10.2021. Hence, this O.A. be also kept on that day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.648 of 2021</p> <p>M.M. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. In mean time office objections be removed.</p> <p><i>B</i> S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="right"> \ Sd/- v. (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.654 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. SheteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.692 of 2021</p> <p>V.S. Patil & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.599 of 2021 with O.A. No.600 of 2021</p> <p>A.T. Chowdhar P.L. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance with specific directions that no further time will be gratted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.617 of 2021</p> <p>M.K. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Advaita M. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.646 of 2021</p> <p>T.A. SayyadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Nagnath Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.316 of 2021 in O.A. No.658 of 2021</p> <p>P.R. KshirsagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.264 of 2021</p> <p>C.S. SanapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is already reinstated in service and has joined at Sindhudurg. He has tendered copy of reinstatement order dated 30.04.2021. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification purposes.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.663 of 2021</p> <p>K.S. AdheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Smt. Rekha Musale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder. 4. In this O.A., M.A. No.407/2021 is filed for intervention. 5. Heard Shri A.B. Tajane, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A. No.407/2021. 6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant in Original Application sought time to file Reply to the intervention Application, two weeks time is granted. 7. S.O. to 26.10.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.421 of 2021 in M.A. No.196 of 2021 in O.A. No.41 of 2021</p> <p>E.B. SayyedApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment of O.A. to incorporate certain additional factual aspects to facilitate due consideration of the claim for pension.</p> <p>3. The proposed amendment will not change nature of O.A. On the contrary it will assist the Tribunal for proper adjudication of the issue involved in O.A. Hence M.A. for amendment is allowed.</p> <p>4. Amendment be carried out within a week.</p> <p>5. Respondents shall file additional Reply to the amendment in O.A. within two weeks.</p> <p>6. In view of above, M.A. No.421/2021 is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.221 of 2021</p> <p>K.M. GundApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.510 of 2021</p> <p>S.J. Pendharkar @ A d/o. K. DoijadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 26.10.2021. <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.541 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KakadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.581 of 2021</p> <p>A.S. RegeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation and same will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3 O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. 29.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.33 of 2021 in O.A. No.148 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.D. Mane @ Jankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the order has been partly complied with. She submits that proposal for provisional pension from 1.12.2020 to 31.5.2021 has been send to the Treasury and will be deposited in the account shortly. As far as fixation of pay as per 7th Pay Commission is concerned, it cannot be fixed.</p> <p>3. We have perused the short affidavit dated 28.9.2021 of respondent no.4 and as per chart at page 82, payment is made under 9 (nine) heads.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to approach the Court, which had passed the order dated 5.3.2021 in the above OA for clarification as to what benefits can be paid during pendency of criminal case.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is, directed to show specific provision or rule that pay fixation can be done during pendency of the criminal case and so also commutation benefits.</p> <p>6. It is expected from the State to continuously pay well in time the provisional pension and the applicant should be required to approach the Tribunal for this purpose.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 30.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.10.2021 12.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.355 of 2021 in O.A. No.626 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.B. Wategaonkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO and Ld. Advocate for respondent no.3 seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.10.2021 12.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.164 of 2021 in O.A. No.38 of 2021</u></p> <p>Dr. Vivek V. Patil & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghati holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks one week's time to take further instructions from the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.120 of 2021 in O.A. No.105 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.H. Waghmare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri T.V. Jadhav holding for Shri R.K. Mendadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed this MA No.120 of 2021 in above OA No.105 of 2020 for condonation of delay. He submits that respondent no.2 passed the impugned order on 14.12.2017 which was not communicated to the applicant and he came to know the same when respondent no.3 passed the impugned order dated 7.5.2018 based on the order dated 14.12.2017. The applicant has received the order dated 14.12.2017 on 7.5.2018. However, before passing the order dated 7.5.2018 the applicant has approached the Hon'ble High Court by filing W.P. No.1283 of 2018 on 21.12.2017 for pursuing legal action as per law of limitation. Therefore, this period has to be excluded. However, there is still delay of 9 months in filing OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes the MA for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Looking into the facts of the matter, we condone the delay in the interest of justice as the applicant was pursuing legal remedy in the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>5. MA for condonation is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.105 of 2020

A.H. Waghmare ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri T.V. Jadhav holding for Shri R.K. Mendadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
12.10.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.10.2021

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.758 of 2021</p> <p>A.B. SuryawanshiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Siddhesh Pilankar, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.P. Warunjikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant is seeking retiral benefits in view of retirement on 31.05.2021. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.11.2021. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 16.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.757 of 2021</p> <p>A.N. BharmalApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged transfer order dated 06.09.2021 whereby he is shown transferred mid-term and mid-tenure on administrative ground from Government Ashram School at Titawe, Tal. Dindori, Dist. Nashik to Government Ashram School at Ambe, Tal. Pethe, Dist. Nashik.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that only reason for transfer of the Applicant mid-term and mid-tenure is to accommodate Respondent No.4.</p> <p>4. Since, the Applicant was already relieved, no interim relief was granted but matter was expedited.</p> <p>5. Interestingly as seen from the letter dated 03.09.2021 tendered by learned P.O. the Applicant is shifted mid-term and mid-tenure only to accommodate Respondent No.4 and there was no other reason, much less administrative exigencies for transfer of the Applicant.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. submits that he be allowed to file short Affidavit-in-Reply and to produce the record and to find out the reason for transfer and thereafter only the O.A. be decided.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Adjourned for tomorrow for filing Affidavit-in-Reply without fail.</p> <p>8. Learned P.O. is also directed to produce relevant record tomorrow.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 13.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>12.10.2021</p> <p><u>O.A 683/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the appeal dated 31.1.2014 regarding stoppage of pension filed by the applicant is pending before His Excellency The Governor of Maharashtra.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O produces copy of letter dated 11th October, 2021 signed by Shri Jagdish Gupta, Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department, addressed to the Secretary to His Excellency The Governor of Maharashtra.</p> <p>4. We hereby direct the Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department, to pursue the matter with the office of His Excellency The Governor of Maharashtra and intimate the progress on the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O to 20.10.2021. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

AKR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.10.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 664/2021</u></p> <p>Shri B.Y Hegade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate i/b Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Matter is fixed on 25.11.2021, till then status quo to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.10.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 430/2021</u></p> <p>Shri C.N Desale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 8.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.10.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 146/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Six weeks' time is granted to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 24.11.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.10.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 794/2020</u></p> <p>Smt N.N Chavan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 1.12.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.791 of 2021</u></p> <p>Rajashree S. Gandhi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is seeking promotion to the post of ACP/Dy.S.P. at par with her juniors/batchmates.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)^v (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.10.2021 12.10.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.761 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.P. Bhoir ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate both are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.11.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.10.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.10.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.344 of 2021 in O.A. No.691 of 2021

S.B. Chavan & 37 Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
12.10.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.10.2021

(sgj)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N _____

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.655 of 2020</p> <p>Dr. K.S. DeshpandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="left">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.416 of 2021</p> <p>D.M. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that in this O.A. he has restricted his claim only to extent of challenging order dated 13.03.2018 whereby his claim for condonation of break in service has been rejected and he is not claiming relief of Time Bound Promotion or any other consequential benefits as prayed in para 9(a) of O.A. He further sought permission to amend and delete relief clause suitably.</p> <p>3. Allowed to amend prayer clause.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.10.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.622 of 2020 with O.A. No.623 of 2020</p> <p>M.R. Ahire M.P. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ganesh Masurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Ganesh Masurkar holding for Shri C.T. Chandratre says that his senior Adv. Shri C.T. Chandratre is not well and requested for two weeks time for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.10.2021.</p> <p align="right">  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>