

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 12.09.2022

M.A. No.536 of 2022 in O.A. No.894 of 2022

K.S. Gawli & Anrs. ....Applicant  
 Versus  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.537 of 2022 in O.A. No.895 of 2022</p> <p>D.L. Wasnik &amp; Anrs. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A526/2022 in O.A 280/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri G.B Jagtap &amp; Ors ... Applicants Intervenors</p> <p>And Rajat M. Agrawal &amp; Ors ... Ori Applicants</p> <p>Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicants-Intervenors, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri S.T Yaseen, learned counsel for the original Applicants.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the original applicants fairly stated that the applicants-intervenors in the Misc Application can be added as Party Respondents in O.A 280/2022. Learned counsel for the original applicants is hereby directed to make the applicants-intervenors as Party Respondents in O.A No 280/2022</p> <p>3. In view of the above M.A 526/2022 is allowed.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

**MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.752 OF 2022**

**DISTRICT : NASHIK**

Shri Nitin Ramesh Garje

..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Smt. Punam Mahajan – Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. S.P. Manchekar – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson

Smt. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : 12<sup>th</sup> September, 2022

PER : Smt. Justice Mridula Bhatkar, Chairperson

**ORDER**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files affidavit in reply dated 12.9.2022 on behalf of Respondent No.2 through Dr. Madhav V. Veer, working as Joint Secretary in the office of Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai in view of the order dated 5.9.2022 wherein a query was raised by this Tribunal as to,

*“When the last order of regular promotion of the officers from the cadre of Tahsildar was issued.”*

3. We went through the affidavit and did not get the answer to the query made by us. Hence, we call Dr. Madhav Veer, Joint Secretary to remain present today at 1.00 p.m.

4. Matter kept back.

Later on:

5. Dr. Madhav Vitthalrao Veer, Joint Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is present. He is directed to read the query raised in the order dated 12.9.2022 and then he is asked to go through his affidavit dated 12.9.2022 and find out where the answer is given to our query.

6. Dr. Veer informs that answer to query is not given in the affidavit.

7. We raised the above query on the basis of noting of GAD dated 22.4.2022 (page 153) which were approved finally on 4.5.2022. Thus, on the basis of this noting we understood that the applicant will be given deemed date after giving him promotion after the DPC of 2020-2021. We also understood from this noting that recommendation of the earlier DPC of 2018-19 holding him 'eligible' but for pendency of DE will be reviewed in DPC of 2021-22 and his eligibility will be considered again. It is further held by GAD that after giving him promotion and date of regular promotion given to the junior officers to the applicant will be given as deemed date to the applicant of a regular promotion.

8. Now we are informed that no regular promotion is given to any officer in the Revenue & Forest Department since 1998, so far as Dr. Veer's knowledge and information is concerned in the cadre of Naib Tahsildar and Tahsildar. Thus, no junior of the applicant was ever

regularly promoted. We draw attention of Smt. Shinde – Section Officer, Shri Virole – Under Secretary, Smt. Kulkarni – Dy. Secretary, Smt. Sujata Sounik, Additional Chief Secretary and Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue). (page 164)

9. We also note and point out to the concerned officers <sup>n</sup> the affidavit dated 18.8.2022 filed by Geeta Rahul Kulkarni, Deputy Secretary, GAD, Mantralaya, Mumbai on behalf of respondent no.1 wherein para 3 reads as under: (page 174) .

*“3. Pursuant to the directives of Hon’ble Tribunal in para 4 of the order dated 17.8.2022 in the above OA, I say and submit that, in case of a person whose report is kept in sealed cover because of DE/Court proceedings pending against him and later he gets exonerated or enquiry against him is dropped, then following steps are required to be follows:*

*3.1 A fresh DPC is required to convene as prescribed in para 3(2)(iii) of GR, GAD dated 1.8.2019. The para 3 (2)(iii) of the said GR is as below:*

*(3) समितीने बैठकीच्या वेळी खालील बाबी विचारात घ्याव्यात. ॐ*

*(2) (iii) मागील निवडसूचीतील मोहोरबंद पाकीटात ठेवलेल्या प्रकरणांची सद्यस्थिती जाणून घेऊन याबाबत संदर्भ क्र. 34 येथील दि.15.12.2017 व दि.30.08.2018 च्या शासन निर्णयानुसार पुढील कार्यवाही करण्यात यावी.*

*3.2 I further say and submit that it is not necessary to re-examine the eligibility of such persons in fresh DPC, as his eligibility for promotion has already been examined and he is found eligible for promotion after opening the sealed cover. However, following things*

*are required to ascertain while granting promotion to such persons during fresh DPC.*

*(1) Whether vacant posts are available from the current select list to promote such government servant.*

*(2) Whether any senior officer to such a person has not been deprived of promotion.*

*3.3 In view of above, it is necessary to convene a fresh meeting of DPC to ascertain the aforesaid things while granting promotion to the Government servant whose report is kept in sealed cover.”*

10. Ld. CPO referred to GR dated 1.8.2019 (page 102) on the point of conducting fresh DPC in respect of officers who cannot be given promotion on account of pending DE. However, he is exonerated. GR is pertaining to giving promotions to the officer as per clause 7.8 wherein it is stated that persons who are undergoing DE or whose matters are subjudice or who are convicted, information about the undergoing punishment is to be placed before the DPC. Para 8 states about the sealed cover. It states that whose names were kept in sealed cover as per 7.8 they are to be placed before the DPC to verify the position of the DE, subjudice matter of the persons undergoing conviction and others and it is to be placed before DPC. Ld. CPO informs that this DPC means fresh DPC. She relies on 32 of the said GR.

11. After going through the relevant GRs we call upon the GAD to answer our queries and file affidavit to that effect.

A) Assume a person whose DE is pending. His name was placed before DPC which was presumed to be conducted on 20.10.2021. During pendency of DPC he was not given promotion though otherwise his CRs

were found satisfactory. If such officer is exonerated on 10.11.2021 whether such officer will have to wait and his case will not be considered till October, 2022 i.e. not before annual meeting of DPC.

B) Further query is made if any such person is retired on 31.5.2022 whether his case will not be considered till regular DPC which is going to be held in October, 2022. We make it clear concerned officer dealing with the issue from GAD to remain present.

12. S.O. to 15.9.2022.

**(Medha Gadgil)**  
**Member (A)**  
**12.9.2022**

Sd/-  
/  
**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)**  
**Chairperson**  
**12.9.2022**

Dictation taken by S.G. Jawalkar.



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.26/2022 with 18 connected O.As.</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri A.K. Pawar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Applicant</b></span> <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>... Respondents</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This matter is taken up as a lead matter for deciding all these O.As. The learned P.O. sought time to file detailed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of C.P, Mumbai about the difficulties and administrative problems to be faced by C.P, Mumbai if Applicants are to be relieved in terms of inter-district transfer policy immediately.</li> <li>3. According to learned P.O, the present strength with C.P, Mumbai is inadequate so as to relieve the Applicants and secondly, in terms of policy, in a year only 5% Police Personnel out of sanctioned strength can be relieved. She, therefore, sought 10 days' time to file detailed Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of C.P, Mumbai as a common Affidavit-in-reply in all O.As.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>12.09.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>

P.T.O. →

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**L.O.**

1. In afternoon session, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocates for the Applicants submit that all these matters in respect of which above order is passed in morning session does not pertain to Commissioner of Police, Mumbai. They further submit that the matter pertaining to other Commissionerate are required to be separated and those matters be postponed.
2. The learned P.O. is also present. She has no objection for deciding the matter in two groups, one pertained to C.P, Mumbai and second pertained to group other than C.P, Mumbai.
3. The perusal of record reveals that O.As.26/22, 428/22, 696/22, 697/22, 774/22, 804/22, 867/22, 868/22, 869/22, 872/22, 894/22 and 895/22 pertained to C.P, Mumbai. In these matters, learned P.O. wants to file detailed Affidavit-in-reply. Hence, these matters be kept on 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 for filing detailed Affidavit-in-reply of C.P, Mumbai.
4. Insofar as O.A.Nos.305/22, 306/22, 307/22, 308/22 and 412/22 are concerned, those are pertaining to Railway C.P. O.A.No.552/22 pertained to S.P, Thane (Rural) and O.A.No.698/22 pertained to S.P, Palghar and S.P, Ratnagiri. These O.As are clubbed together and be kept on 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.
5. The learned P.O. is at liberty to file Affidavit-in-reply in which the same is not filed or can adopt the same by making specific statement to that effect on 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.
6. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member-J**  
**12.09.2022**

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) )

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.894 &amp; 895/2022</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri K.S. Gawali &amp; Ors.</b>          ... Applicants     <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b>          ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since this issue of inter-district transfer is already under consideration in 17 O.As subjudice before this Tribunal, these two O.As. be also clubbed with those 17 OAs.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26/9/2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 12.09.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

12.09.2022

O.A 808/2022

Shri Ajay B. Dhende ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant seeks directions of this Tribunal to quash and set aside the order dated 20.9.2021 and to grant deemed date as 18.10.2010 in the cadre of Chief Accountant/Head Clerk.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '**for Dismissal**' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."

9. S.O 10.10.2022.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)  
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.116 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.S. Bhusare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. A special drive is taken-up to decide the pending matters of the year 2015. Thereafter 2016 matters and approx. 100 matters of the year 2021 will be taken up as the matters of the year 2021 are saturated due to COVID-19 pandemic. Hence present matter will come in due course accordingly.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.334/2020</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri D. Y. Dange</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member-J</b> <b>12.09.2022</b></p> <p>(skw)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="771 703 997 741">Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p data-bbox="813 770 1463 852">M.A. No.282 of 2021 in O.A. No.552 of 2021 with M.A. No.283 of 2021 in O.A. No.553 of 2021</p> <p data-bbox="771 899 1507 1051">K.T. More P.G. Kulkarni .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="771 1128 1511 1437" style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.</li><li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li><li>3. In view of leave note, adjourned to 13.10.2022.</li></ol> <p data-bbox="1247 1530 1312 1568">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1170 1604 1377 1682">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p data-bbox="787 1728 834 1754">NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.513 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. Kadam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.103 of 2022</p> <p>A.N. Sayyed .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.523 of 2022 in O.A. No.874 of 2022 with M.A. No.530 of 2022 in O.A. No.885 of 2022 with M.A. No.531 of 2022 in O.A. No.887 of 2022 with M.A. No.532 of 2022 in O.A. No.890 of 2022 with M.A. No.542 of 2022 in O.A. No.906 of 2022</p> <p>R.D. Hirve &amp; Ors. J.M. Jadhav &amp; Ors. R.D. Shinde &amp; Ors. P.P. Khatavkar &amp; Ors. D.D. Mehta &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the M.A., leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 12.09.2022</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.874 of 2022 with O.A. No.884 of 2022 with O.A. No.885 of 2022 with O.A. No.886 of 2022 with O.A. No.887 of 2022 with O.A. No.888 of 2022 with O.A. No.890 of 2022 with O.A. No.906 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>R.D. Hirve &amp; Ors. N.T. Sherkhane J.M. Jadhav &amp; Ors. C.K. Kamble R.D. Shinde &amp; Ors. M.D. Divate P.P. Khatavkar &amp; Ors. D.D. Mehta &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">.....Applicant</span> Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">.....Respondents.</span></b></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer along with Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These O.As are filed by retired Government servants claiming benefit of increment which was due on next day of their retirement i.e. 1st July of the year of retirement.</p> <p>3. Indeed, this issue is already decided by this Tribunal in bunch of O.As i.e. 950/2019 decided with connected matter by order dated 05.07.2022 granting benefit of increment due on 1st July. The said judgment is passed on various judgments of Hon'ble High Court and Hon'ble Supreme Court. As such, the Applicants being similarly situated persons are required to be given same benefits.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to explain as to why these O.As should not be allowed on the same line since the Applicant's being similarly situated persons.</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Two weeks time is granted.</p> <p>6. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>7. In the mean time issue notice before admission returnable on 26.09.2022.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 26.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.508 of 2022 in O.A. No.841 of 2022 with M.A. No.509 of 2022 in O.A. No.842 of 2022</p> <p>M.S. Mulla .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.As are filed for condonation of delay of six months caused in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. sought time to file Reply to M.A. but having regard to the short delay and facts and circumstance of the case, I am not inclined to grant further more time and M.A. needs to be decided immediately.</p> <p>4. The Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2016 but his Gratuity and Leave Encashment was withheld due to pendency of criminal case. The Applicant was prosecuted under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act and came to be acquitted on 31.10.2017. Government had filed appeal against acquittal which is pending before Hon'ble High Court. It is on this background this O.As are filed challenging the impugned orders.</p> <p>5. In O.A. No.841/2022 the Applicant has challenged communication dated 01.08.2019 whereby he was informed that the decision about the suspension period from 08.08.2014 to 29.02.2016 will be taken only after decision of appeal. Whereas in O.A. No.842/2022 the Applicant has challenged communication dated 19.11.2020 whereby he was informed that since appeal</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

is pending he is not entitled to Gratuity and Leave Encashment. The period of limitation expired during the COVID-19 Pandemic period which ends on 28.02.2022. Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.01.2022 passed in Suo Moto Writ Petition (Civil) No.03/2020 directed that <sup>the</sup> ~~were~~ limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022, notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all person shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022. In view of order of Hon'ble Supreme Court the O.A. ought to have been filed within 90 days from 01.03.2022 which come upto 01.06.2022. However, O.As are filed on 23.08.2022 as such there is delay of hardly two months. The claim raised in O.A. pertains to treatment of suspension period and Gratuity as well as Leave Encashment. I am inclined to condone the delay so as to decide O.A. on merit.

6. In view of above, M.A. No.508/2022 and M.A. No.509/2022 are allowed.

7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

NMN


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.841 of 2022 with O.A. No.842 of 2022</b></p> <p><b>M.S. Mulla</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A. No.841/2022 the Applicant has challenged communication dated 01.08.2019 whereby he was informed that the decision in respect of suspension period from 08.08.2014 to 29.02.2016 will be taken only after decision of appeal.</p> <p>3. Whereas in O.A. No.842/2022 the Applicant has challenged communication dated 19.11.2020 whereby he was informed that since appeal is pending he is not entitled to Gratuity and Leave Encashment till the decision of criminal case.</p> <p>4. Since, learned P.O. appeared on behalf of Respondents in M.A. (for condonation of delay) which are allowed today, issuance of notices of O.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>5. Three weeks time is granted to learned P.O. to file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 03.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.293 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.A. Parabatrao .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 26.09.2022 to file reply.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.789 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.L. Omase .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. prays for one week time in view that copy of the O.A. is served on 08.09.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that one post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector is to be kept vacant.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. opposes the same mainly on the ground that copy of the O.A. is served on the Department on 08.09.2022. She needs time to take instructions.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 26.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.542 of 2015</u></p> <p>R.H. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has challenged order of suspension for the period from 2.1.1995 to 31.3.2001 and it is to be treated as period spent on duty. She submits that order of initiation of DE dated 2.7.2014/continuation of earlier enquiry dated 2.2.1995 and appointment of the Enquiry Officer shall be quashed and set aside. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that no interim order of staying the DE was passed. Ld. Advocate submits that Enquiry Officer has passed order dated 29.2.2016 (page 169-A) holding him guilty and thereby also challenging order dated 29.10.2016 thereby confirming the order in appeal. By order dated 29.2.2016 applicant was held guilty and punishment order of permanent deduction of Rs.100/- per month was passed. Ld. Advocate further submits that due to influx of time prayer clause (a) to (f) shall not survive and therefore she submits that prayer 9(aa) only survives which is added pursuant to order dated 10.1.2017.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.9.2022 12.9.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.261 of 2020</b></p> <p>B.A. Jadhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. the order of summary dismissal is challenged. On query we are informed that in normal course the applicant was to be superannuated on 31.08.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the O.A. be removed from the caption of HOB and to be shown under the caption of 'Due admission'.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.491 of 2021</b></p> <p>Dr. S.V. Sambhare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Pradhan submits that reply of M.P.S.C is not yet filed.</p> <p>3. Matter to proceed without the reply of M.P.S.C.</p> <p>4. Matter is admitted and adjourned to 17.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.799 of 2021</b></p> <p>U.R. Shinde &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale files affidavit-in-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Taken on record. Copy is served upon learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. Admit. Adjourned to 07.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.821 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.D. Inamdar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Vijayalaxmi Khopade, learned Advocate holding for Mr. B.A. Khopade, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Matter pertains to the issue between EWS and SEBC candidates. Applicants who are EWS candidates challenge the policy of the State along with SEBC candidates to opt for EWS for the selection of general services under M.P.S.C. for the advertisement dated 23.12.2019 for the preliminary examination.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- ) Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1032 of 2021</b></p> <p>A.L. Jagtap .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 19.09.2022 to file reply.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>





# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.897/2022</u></p> <p><b>Shri H.R. Kanoj</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply as a last chance.</li> <li>3. S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member-J  12.09.2022</p> <p>(skw)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.249/2021</u></b></p> <p><b>Smt. M.S. Wagh</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Anita Naik holding for Smt. I.M. Koparkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that he will file Affidavit-in-reply during the course of the day. This statement is recorded. If it is filed, it will be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. This O.A. be kept along with connected O.A.No.250/2021.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">       Sd/-        W  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member-J</b>  <b>12.09.2022</b> </p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.09.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.835 of 2017</b></p> <p>U.D. Kshatriya .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 14.09.2022 for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.936 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.B. Bhadre &amp; Ors.. ....Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Bandiwadekar files affidavit-in-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Taken on record. Copy is served upon learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. Admit. Adjourned to 07.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1004 of 2021</b></p> <p>E.A. Pardhi .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>4. Admit. Adjourned to 21.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.51 of 2022</b></p> <p>N.V. Chavan .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 19.09.2022 to file reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.09.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.139 of 2022</b></p> <p>Dr. S.D. Kale .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 19.09.2022 to file reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A) prk</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <b>/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.184 of 2022</b></p> <p>K.E. Waghmare .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 10.10.2022 to file rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha/Gadgil)</b> <b>Member(A)</b></p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.775/2022 with O.A.No.776/2022 with O.A.No.777/2022 with O.A.No.778/2022 with O.A.No.779/2022 with O.A.No.790/2022 with O.A.No.791/2022 with O.A.No.793/2022 with O.A.No.796/2022 with O.A.No.830/2022</b></p> <p>V.R. Sarole &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.D. Salve, learned Advocate holding for M/S. Talekar Assoc, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.775/2022, O.A.No.776/2022, O.A.No.777/2022, O.A.No.778/2022, O.A.No.779/2022, O.A.No.793/2022 &amp; O.A.No.796/2022, Ms. Darshna Naval along with Mr. Pranav Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.790/2022 &amp; O.A.No.791/2022, Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.830/2022, Ms. Amrita Chaware, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.777/2022 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Chaware filed vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.777/2022. She seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 19.09.2022 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.993 of 2021</b></p> <p>A.R. Jadhav .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>4. Admit. Adjourned to 21.11.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.241 of 2022</b></p> <p>S.S. Bansode .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 17.10.2022 to file rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil Member(A)</b></p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</b></p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="841 692 1430 729" style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.452 of 2022 in O.A. No.321 of 2018</u></p> <p data-bbox="743 767 1487 878">The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.                               ..Applicants Vs. S.R. Sonawane   ..Respondent</p> <p data-bbox="743 911 1531 994">Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="743 1025 1536 1105">2. Ld. PO seeks one week's time to file affidavit of service.</p> <p data-bbox="743 1143 1078 1179">3. S.O. to 19.9.2022.</p> <p data-bbox="938 1239 997 1275" style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1252 1239 1310 1275" style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="846 1321 1052 1434" style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.9.2022</p> <p data-bbox="1130 1321 1406 1434" style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.9.2022</p> <p data-bbox="753 1442 812 1478">(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A 104/2022 in O.A 373/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.K Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that G.A.D seeks more time to take decision in the matter. She prays for three weeks' time.</p> <p>3. However, one weeks' time is granted to the Respondent-G.A.D to take decision in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.9.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(<b>Medha Gadgil</b>) (<b>Mridula Bhatkar, J.</b>) <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 53/2022 in 960/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.S.A.N Ali ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the order of this Tribunal is complied with by the Respondents by issuing order dated 24.8.2022. She further submits that the delay in complying with the order of this Tribunal was on account of the fact that the Government formation was in process during the said period.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, nothing remains in the Contempt Application and the same is disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Chairperson</b></p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>C.A 50/2022 in O.A 493/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.G Parab ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that W.P 18526/2022 is filed before the Hon'ble High Court challenging the judgment and order of this Tribunal dated 2.5.2022.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, matter is adjourned to 17.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>C.A 14/2022 in O.A 343/2021</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.G Rajput &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the meeting of the D.P.C is held and the Director General of Police has been communicated the directions regarding allotment of Revenue Division on 6.9.2022. Hence, D.G.P will issue necessary orders.</p> <p>3. Respondent-Government is directed to see the order of promotion to the post of Assistant Commissioner of Police, State Intelligence are issued from the office of the D.G.P by 16.9.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.9.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 904/2022 with M.A 540/2022</u></b></p> <p>Sangeeta S. Rathod &amp; Ors                      ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors              ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rahul Salve, i/b Talekar &amp; Associates, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swawti Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that in this Original Application, the order of cancelling the entire process of selection of Class-III posts in the Public Health Department dated 29.6.2022 is under challenge. The Petition is filed on 8.9.2022. Learned C.P.O submits that due to three days holiday, there was no time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, the matter is placed on Board on 16.9.2022 for urgent admission.</p> <p>4. S.O to 16.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 853/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Prakash S. Ujgare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2022.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O 17.10.2022.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) )

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 809/2022 with M.A 488/2022</u></b></p> <p>Shri Nikhil D. Tasgaonkar                      ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors              ... Respondents</p> <p>1.        None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2.        The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3.        Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2022.</p> <p>4.        Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5.        This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6.        By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7.        In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8.        S.O 10.10.2022.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 714/2021 with M.A 370/2021</u></b></p> <p>A.H.A.K Pathan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O states that there is a delay of 9 years in filing the Original Application. In the Original Application, the process of selection of the year 2012 is challenged. She further submits that a similar matter has already been decide and hence she opposes the Misc Application seeking condonation of delay.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</li> <li>9. S.O 10.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>12.09.2022</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 714/2021 with M.A 370/2021</u></b></p> <p>A.H.A.K Pathan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. None present for the applicants. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O states that there is a delay of 9 years in filing the Original Application. In the Original Application, the process of selection of the year 2012 is challenged. She further submits that a similar matter has already been decide and hence she opposes the Misc Application seeking condonation of delay.</li> <li>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2022.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within <b>seven</b> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <b>three</b> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<b>for Dismissal</b>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</li> <li>9. S.O 10.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p>R.A. No.18 of 2022 in O.A. No.188 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. Kalgutkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.P. Kalgutkar, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.10.2022.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.512 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>B.D. Chaubhe</b> .....Applicant Versus <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent his leave note.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note, adjourned for hearing of O.A. at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.763 of 2021</p> <p>Y.R. Hansekar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Sonali Humane, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ranjana Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.543 of 2022</p> <p>V.Y. Khandebharad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since, pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for Final Hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.595 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. Misal .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. One week time is granted to Respondents to file Affidavit-in-Reply to the amended O.A. as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.607 of 2022 with O.A. No.608 of 2022</p> <p>S.L. Sawant P.B. Kadam .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in both the O.As on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. These two O.As be kept along with connected O.A. No.620 of 2022.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 10.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.612 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. Shamane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.624 of 2022</p> <p>V.R. Date .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.694 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N \_\_\_\_\_

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.728 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. Jagdale &amp; Ors.   .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.   .....Respondents.</p> <p>1.       Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2.       On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3.       S.O. to 29.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.731 of 2022</p> <p>P.N. Dekhane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.760 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.09.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.798 of 2022</p> <p>A.B. Londhe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 12.09.2022</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.752 of 2022</b></p> <p>N.R. Garje .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files affidavit-in-reply dated 12.09.2022 on behalf of Respondent No.2 through Dr. Madhav V. Veer, working as Joint Secretary in the office of Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forests Department, Mantralaya in view of the order dated 05.09.2022 wherein a query was raised by this Tribunal as to,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"When the last order of regular promotion of the officers from the cadre of Tahsildar was issued".</i></p> <p>3. We went through the affidavit and did not get the answer to the query made by us. Hence, we call Dr. Madhav Veer, Joint Secretary to remain present today at 01.00 p.m.</p> <p>4. Matter kept back.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</span> Member(A) <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p>prk</p>