

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.830 of 2024</p> <p>K.F. KharodeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has been reinstated and posted as 'Forest Guard' at 'Amboli' in 'Chakan Range' under 'Deputy Conservator Forest, Junnar Forest Division' and thus grievance of Applicant stands redressed. However; expeditious decision needs to be taken with respect to treatment of 'Suspension Period' and grant of consequential 'Services Benefits' to Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned PO submits 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 12.08.2024 to place on record 'Order' dated 30.07.2024 of 'Deputy Conservator Forest, Junnar, Forest Division'.</p> <p>4. The 'Deputy Conservator Forest, Junnar Division' informed to also decide about treatment of 'Suspension Period' and grant of consequential 'Service Benefits' to Applicant within period of '6 Weeks'.</p> <p>5. The grievance of Applicant in O.A. No.830 of 2024 thus stands completely redressed. Hence O.A. No.830 of 2024 is thus disposed off. No Order as to Cost.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.253 of 2024 with O.A. No. 254 of 2024 with O.A. No. 255 of 2024 with O.A. No. 356 of 2024 to O. A. No. 358 of 2024</p> <p>A.R. Jadhav Dr. S.B.A. Mokashi A.R. Waghmare A.M. Kumbhar LG. Gawade M.S. MadgulkarApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants, Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO based on information received by Under Secretary Rural Development Department letter dated 08.08.2024 states that after consultations with office of 'Principal Secretary GAD & Chief Electoral Officer Maharashtra State' it has been re-confirmed that transfers of Applicants in O.A. No.253 of 2024 with O.A. No. 254 of 2024 with O.A. No. 255 of 2024 with O.A. No. 356 of 2024 to O. A. No. 358 of 2024 effected on 16.02.2024 & 23.02.2024 have been done as per directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.</p> <p>3. The Applicants in O.A. No.253 of 2024 with O.A. No. 254 of 2024 with O.A. No. 255 of 2024 with O.A. No. 356 of 2024 to O. A. No. 358 of 2024 have challenged their transfers effected on 16.02.2024 and 23.02.2024 on grounds that these have not only been done selectively in respect of only few 'Dy. CEOs; BDO's &</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Assistant BDO's particularly in districts like Satara and Kolhapur but that transfers of Applicants who are from cadre of 'Dy. CEOs; BDO's & Assistant BDO's' were not necessitated at all as per directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2024. The directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2024 were to be applicable to only those Government Servants who were directly deployed for election work by respective 'District Collectors and District Election Officers' during 'General Elections Lok Sabha: 2024'.

4. The information received vide Under Secretary, Rural Development Department on 08.08.2024 do not divulge any information with respect to the specific issues highlighted in 'Para 5' of 'Order' dated 15.07.2024. Hence with a view to understand how transfers of Applicant effected on 16.02.2024 & 23.02.2024 have been re-confirmed as conveyed by Under Secretary, Rural Development Department letter dated 08.08.2024; it would be necessary to seek presence of officers from offices of (i) ACS Rural Development Department & (ii) Principal Secretary GAD & Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State.

5. S.O. to 26.08.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.08.2024

O.A. No.281of 2024

K.P. ManeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri S.S. Thorat, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 is Not Present.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant reiterates that proposal for change of transfer of Applicant in O.A. No. 281/2024 has been pending consideration of 'Competent Transferring Authority' and next 'Superior Transferring Authority'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then emphasizes that Applicant in O.A. No. 281/2024 was transferred by 'Government Order' dated 23.02.2024 of 'Rural Development Department' to post of 'Deputy CEO (EGS)' in office of 'Divisional Commissioner Pune' but he could not join there as it was not vacant on 23.02.2024. Hence, Applicant was required to be transferred to any other available post and appropriate decision in this regard had to be taken expeditiously by 'Competent Transferring Authority' and next 'Superior Transferring Authority'.

4. Learned PO on query states that proposal for change of transfer of Applicant in O.A. No. 281/2024 is now under final consideration for next 'Superior Transferring Authority'.

5. The 'Additional Chief Secretary Rural Development Department' is thus informed to forthwith ascertain present status of proposal for change of transfer of Applicant in O.A. No. 281/2024 from post of 'Deputy CEO (EGS)' in office of 'Divisional Commissioner Pune' to any other available post and ensure that appropriate decision is taken without further delay, preferably within 'One Week' by next 'Superior Transferring Authority'.

6. S.O. to 20.08.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 12.08.2024

O.A. No.1538 of 2023

S.S. MoreApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 08.08.2024 with reference to specific directions in 'Para 6' of Order dated 15.07.2024 with respect to 'General Transfer: 2023' of 'Assistant Inspector Motor Vehicle' who had been transferred to 'Solapur District'.

3. S.O. to 26.08.2024

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 12.08.2024

O.A. No.1285 of 2023

Dr. S.B. ChavanApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 02.09.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 12.08.2024
---	---------------------------------------

O.A. No.1451 of 2023

D.D. SatputeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states as he has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' dated 31.07.2024 and as pleadings are now complete, this O.A. No. 1451/2023 may be kept for 'Final Hearing'.

3. Learned PO states that liberty be granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder'.

4. S.O. to 29.08.2024

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.479 of 2024 in O.A. No.806 of 2024</p> <p>The Collector, PuneApplicant Versus Mr. Jogendra P. KatyareRespondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Applicant and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. mentions that M.A. No.479/2024 in O.A. No.806/2024 has been filed 'District Collector, Pune' seeking to be impleaded as 'Respondent No.2'; as certain personal allegations have been made against him by Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant opposes M.A. No.479/2024 by relying on contents of 'Suspension Order' dated 28.06.2024 which does not mention anything about personal allegations made by Applicant against 'District Collector, Pune'; but instead refers to Applicant having written letters directly to various higher authorities such as (i) Chief Election Commissioner, Election Commission of India (ii) Principal Secretary, G.A.D. & Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State (iii) Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department.</p> <p>4. The 'File Notings' relating to 'Suspension Order dated 28.06.2024' of Applicant will therefore have been perused to ascertain if his 'Suspension Order' was passed based on contents of both letter dated 27.05.2024 and letter dated 29.05.2024; as Applicant has repeatedly named 'District Collector, Pune'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.984 of 2024</p> <p>Ms. A.R. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant belongs to 'VJ (A)' category and aspires to join the post of 'Police Constable' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'. 3. Learned P.O. based on instructions from officers present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' states that it is fact that 'Height of Applicant' has been recorded as 154.4 cm. 4. Learned P.O. further states that based on her 'Oral Appeal'; the 'Height of Applicant' was re-measured twice and found to be 154.6 cm to 154.9 cm. However, Applicant has been categorized as 'PST Non-Qualified' by considering her height to be 154.4 cm. 5. The 'Height of Applicant' though recorded as 154.4 cm which categorized her as 'PET Not-Qualified' on 07.04.2024; yet on re-measurement it had been found to be '154.6 cm and 154.9 cm'; Thus, there is evidence that 'Height of Applicant' has varied significantly over short period of time. Further it does appears that 'Height of Applicant' was recorded as '154.6 cm and 154.9 cm' when re-measurement was carried-out under overall supervision of 'A.C.P'.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The Applicant earlier on 22.02.2023 had taken part in recruitment drive of 'Police Constables' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' during 'MSPR: 2021'. She was then found to have met the height requirement of 155 cm as there is 'Stamp' of 'P.E.S.T.-Q' on 'Admit Card' placed as 'Exhibit F'. Hence, in all fairness Applicant needs to be given one more opportunity to be re-measured as her height last recorded is 154.9 cm which is very close to qualifying requirement of 155 cm. The 'Height of Applicant' is thus directed to be re-measured again at 08.00 am tomorrow ie on 13.08.2024 under direct supervision of 'D.C.P'.

7. The grievance of Applicant thus stands redressed. Hence, as nothing survives in the O.A. No.984/2024 it is disposed off. 'No Order as Costs'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 12.08.2024

O.A. No.1562 of 2023

D.B. Tamhane & Ors.,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
3. S.O. to 03.09.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 12.08.2024

O.A. No.690 of 2024

S.B. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 27.08.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 12.08.2024

O.A. No.1552 of 2023

A.D. MahakalApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate and his Applicant is not present.
3. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 4. It is taken on record.
4. S.O. to 30.08.2024

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 488/2017</u></p> <p>K.A Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sonali Pawar i/b Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan learned counsel for Respondents No 24, 26, 41, 42 & 90 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned counsel for private Respondent.</p> <p>2. By consent, matter adjourned to 22.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 213 & 214/2018</u></p> <p>A.A Walvekar V.S Suryawashi ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents No 1 & 2 and Shri K.K Khamere, learned counsel for Respondent No.3, present through Video Conference.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the matters are remanded back by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and prayed for urgent hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.966 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.V. More ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant aspires to be an Administrative Officer, General State Services, Group-B and seeks orders that respondent no.1 be directed to recommend the name of the applicant for the said post in Open General category. He also challenges order dated 3.4.2024 under which respondent no.2 informed respondent no.1 that Grade Pay Rs.2400/- in ZP are not supervisory post and therefore experience on these posts cannot be considered for the post of Administrative Officer. He also challenges the recommendation dated 12.6.2024 recommending respondent no.3 as eligible and also appointment order dated 1.8.2024 of respondent no.3. He states that applicant is fulfilling the conditions however he is not recommended.</p> <p>3. Considering the date of communication, one weeks' time is given to the Ld. PO to take instructions and file short reply. MPSC to give information of respondent no.3 by email to the applicant.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
12.8.2024
(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.8.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.982 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.D. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the show cause notice dated 25.7.2024 to show cause why his services should not be dismissed. He states that the notice was received by him on 29.7.2024 and he has file reply to the notice within 15 days. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief. However, it is necessary to give at least three to four days to take instructions. We fail to understand why applicant has not approached this Tribunal when the notice was received on 29.7.2024.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.981 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Mane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that applicants prays for setting aside the recommendation list, waiting list, cut-off marks and list of non-eligible candidates published on 31.7.2024 and 1.8.2024 for the post of Tracer, Group-C in pursuance of advertisement dated 1.11.2023 disqualifying the applicants on the ground that applicants does not fulfill required educational qualification. He states that applicants are eligible and qualified as per Recruitment Rules of 1986.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO states that educational qualification is fixed as per Recruitment Rules of 2.4.2016.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
12.8.2024
(sgj)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.8.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.970 of 2024

B.C. Revatkar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.8.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
12.8.2024

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.8.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.491 of 2024 in O.A. No.981 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.A. Mane & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.212 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.D. Sinkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.8.2024 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.320 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.D. Panem ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.8.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.8.2024 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.322 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. D.B. Owhal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.530 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.B. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.600 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent no.2 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Respondents No.5 to 10.</p> <p>2. The government is directed to make a positive statement about salary of respondents no.6 to 9 as the issue of EWS is involved. This was already directed on 10.6.2024. It appears that the concerned officers of the State have not read the directions of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.619 & 620 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.K. Gutte S.S. Bhat ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.A. Wable, Ld. Advocate for the applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants state that order dated 28.6.2024 of this Tribunal is not complied with by the respondents. Respondents to make a statement on oath whether it is complied with or not.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.9.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>Os.A. No.629, 635, 645, 646 & 731 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.R. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for applicants in OAs No.645, 646 & 731/2024 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 14.8.2024 for compliance of order dated 8.7.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.8.2024 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.740 of 2024</u></p> <p>R.V. Chopde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.756 of 2024</u></p> <p>N.P. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.920 of 2024</u></p> <p>G.S. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.952 of 2024</u></p> <p>K.R. Landge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.8.2024/</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.65 of 2024 in O.A. No.465 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.B. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.49 of 2024 in O.A. No.1115 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.S. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply. Reply is to be filed within one week, otherwise this is a fit case to issue contempt notice.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A.55 of 2024 in OAs.758/2019 & 404/2022</u></p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.61 of 2024 in O.A. No.64 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.M. Kadam & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar h/f Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.63 of 2024 in O.A. No.1008 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.R. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024 by way of last chance.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</td></tr></table>	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024
Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.257 of 2023 in O.A. No.212 of 2023</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. S.V. Kadari ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant</p> <p>2. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.594 of 2023 in O.A. No.574 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.I. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 26.9.2024. HOB.</p> <table data-bbox="730 1244 1396 1426" style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</td></tr></table>	Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024
Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.719 of 2023 in O.A. No.1462 of 2023</u></p> <p>G.S. Ahankari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.8.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1309 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.S. Raskar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Mancheka, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.9.2024 for final hearing with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.25 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. A.R. Madkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.27 of 2024</u></p> <p>Dr. R.P. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 12.8.2024 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2024</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.815 of 2024</p> <p>K.S. BhaleraoApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Chagan Thakar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.2 – Principal Secretary (A & S), Home Department' dated 12.08.2024. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.08.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.984 of 2024</p> <p>Ms. A.R. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant belongs to 'VJ (A)' category and aspires to join the post of 'Police Constable' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. based on instructions from officers present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' states that it is fact that 'Height of Applicant' has been recorded as 154.4 cm.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. further states that based on her 'Oral Appeal'; the 'Height of Applicant' was re-measured twice and found to be 154.6 cm to 154.9 cm. However, Applicant has been categorized as 'PST Non-Qualified' by considering her height to be 154.4 cm.</p> <p>5. The 'Height of Applicant' though recorded as 154.4 cm which categorized her as 'PET Not-Qualified' on 07.04.2024; yet on re-measurement it had been found to be '154.6 cm and 154.9 cm'; Thus, there is evidence that 'Height of Applicant' has varied significantly over short period of time. Further it does appears that 'Height of Applicant' was recorded as '154.6 cm and 154.9 cm' when re-measurement was carried-out under overall supervision of 'A.C.P'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. The Applicant earlier on 22.02.2023 had taken part in recruitment drive of 'Police Constables' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Mumbai' during 'MSPR: 2021'. She was then found to have met the height requirement of 155 cm as there is 'Stamp' of 'P.E.S.T.-Q' on 'Admit Card' placed as 'Exhibit F'. Hence, in all fairness Applicant needs to be given one more opportunity to be re-measured as her height last recorded is 154.9 cm which is very close to qualifying requirement of 155 cm. The 'Height of Applicant' is thus directed to be re-measured again at 08.00 am tomorrow ie on 13.08.2024 under direct supervision of 'D.C.P'.

7. The grievance of Applicant thus stands redressed. Hence, as nothing survives in the O.A. No.954/2024 it is disposed off. 'No Order as Costs'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1174/2024

A.Y. Jagtap ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day.
3. S.O. to 22nd August, 2024. 'Interim Relief' to continue till next date.

Sd/-
(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1313/2024

S.K. Naiya ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for Applicant on 'Video Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed.

3. S.O. to 26th August, 2024.

Sd/-
(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.811/2024

S.N. jadhav ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 4th November, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.792/2024

S.N. Jadhav ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 2nd November, 2024.

Sd/-
(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.789/2024

D.R. Thete ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits that he has not received instructions and therefore seeks time. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 2nd November, 2024.

Sd/-
(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.384/2024

S.G. Dhumal ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he will file Rejoinder during the course of the day.
4. Liberty is granted to file Sur-Rejoinder, if necessary.
5. S.O. to 28th August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As.484 & 485/2024</u></p> <p>V.H. Bhoi R.S. Dhepe ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that provisional pension have already been granted for which he wants to file documents on record and therefore seeks time. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21st August, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member-J 12.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.733/2024

P.S. Ujgare ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO submits that the proposal regarding 'Provisional Pension' has been forwarded to the concerned authority. He seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply in detail. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 28th August, 2024.

Sd/-
(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.751/2024

N.J. Sarode ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed by all Respondents.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he ~~do~~ not want to file Rejoinder.
4. Admit.
5. S.O. to 10th August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.81/2024</u></p> <p>R.C Adakul ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. In the interest of justice, time is granted by way of final chance. No further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27th August, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member-J 12.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.As.1558 & 1559/2023 with O.A.1561/2023

V.A. David
P.P. Kandalgaonkar
D.S. Rokade ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicants is filing Rejoinder during the course of the day.
3. S.O. to 29th August, 2024 for final hearing.

Sd/-
(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1446/2023

B.N. Masule ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO submits that she has not received instructions and therefore seeks time. Time Granted by way of final chance. No further time will be granted.

3. S.O. to 20th August, 2024.

Sd/-
(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1127/2024

A.S. Nimbalkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits that she has received instructions and seeks some more time to file Affidavit-in-Reply.
3. S.O. to 5th September, 2024.

Sd/-
(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.As.427 to 436/2023 with O.As.472 & 473/2023</u></p> <p>N.R. Shinde & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is pending since April, 2023.</p> <p>3. The learned PO seeks time to take necessary instructions. Time Granted as last chance. No further change will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26th August, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member-J 12.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A.614/2023 in O.A.1230/2023 with M.A.615/2023 in O.A.1231/2023 with M.A.673/2023 in O.A.1229/2023</u></p> <p>M.R. Ghadge S.R. Jamadar R.B. Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.L. Maindad, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned PO submits that she is filing Affidavit-in-Reply in MA Nos.614 & 615/2023 and seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply in MA No.673/2023. Time Granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26th August, 2024.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member-J 12.08.2024</p>

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.70/2023 in O.A.123/2023

G.G. Dasarwad ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned PO for Respondents.
2. In spite of orders passed in this matter on 28.11.2023, 02.01.2024, 23.04.2024, 15.07.2024 and on 23.01.2024, the Applicant has not complied the orders.
3. In order to give opportunity, matter be kept for 'Dismissal Orders' on 19th August, 2024.
4. S.O. to 19th August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.978/2024

C.S. Sangamath & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 26.08.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. S.O. to 26th August, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

R.A.07/2024 in O.As.412 & 413/2020

V.V. Tamhankar ... **Applicant**
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... **Respondents**

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 02.09.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit is not filed **three** days before returnable date, the O.A. shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "**for Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the O.A. shall stand dismissed.
7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery/Speed Post/Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. S.O. to 2nd September, 2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.393/2023</u></p> <p>P.C. Bagul ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents. 2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed. 3. The Applicants has been made available of the Order of office of 'Commissioner of Police' dated 01.12.2023. The copy of said Order is given to learned PO who shall inform on next date about the applicability of the said Order, if any. 4. S.O. to 21st August, 2024. <p align="right">sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member-J 12.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1152/2023

B.M. Gosavi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant files 'Rejoinder'. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 10th September, 2024 for hearing.

sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1197/2023

J.G. Gaikwad ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Samiksha Siddhi Gawde, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Dhanawat, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks liberty to file Sur-rejoinder, if any. Liberty Granted.

3. S.O. to 5th September, 2024.

sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1313/2023

S.P. Khajone ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.
2. The learned PO submits that file is not traceable and therefore seeks time. Time Granted.
3. S.O. to 19th August, 2024.

sd/-

(A.N. Katmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.495/2024

V.V. Tamhankar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit-in-Reply of Respondent No.1. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 29th August, 2024.

sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.45/2022

R.B. Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for Applicant requests for time. Time Granted.

3. S.O. to 3rd November, 2024.

sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.250/2024</u></p> <p>D.D. Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.2. Affidavit-in-Reply is filed.3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that he do not want to file Rejoinder.4. Admit.5. S.O. to 10th September, 2024. <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member-J 12.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.196/2024 in O.A.399/2024

A.R. Kachare ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 19th August, 2024.

sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A.1338 & 1339/2023 with</u> <u>O.A.1341/2023</u></p> <p>A.G. Ghadi P.R. Vichare P.G. Bhosle ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 27th August, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member-J 12.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.1400/2023

V.V. Thombre ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 2nd September, 2024.
sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.181/2024

A.N. Kotangle ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.R. Koli, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.G. Kathane, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned PO for Respondents.

2. S.O. to 2nd September, 2024.

sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)
Member-J
12.08.2024

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.284/2022</u></p> <p>Y.A. Inamdar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Tanvi Phatak, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned PO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23rd August, 2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member-J 12.08.2024</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 298/2022</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>M.M Mhetre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Not on Board. Taken on Board at the request of the learned counsel for the applicant. 3. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions submits that the applicant wants to withdraw the Original Application. 4. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn. <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.08.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 937/2023</u> <u>(Through Video Conference)</u></p> <p>K.M Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel submits that the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal in O.A No. 171/2022 dated 12.12.2023 and O.A No 899/20222 in March 2024 and O.A 576/2018 dated 9.1.2019 has decided the same issue regarding granting of one more chance to the S.C/S.T candidates, which is raised in the present Original Application.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. Matter is preponed and kept on 2.9.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2024

M.A.No.489/2024 in O.A.No.873/2024 (S.B.)

M.A. Gohil

.Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. M.A. is filed seeking leave to amend to place additional documents on record which were issued subsequent to the filing of O.A.

3. Learned Counsel seeks leave to file additional documents on record and make respective changes in the O.A.

4. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served upon the concern forthwith.

5. Learned Counsel has submitted that the transfers orders are likely to be issued and therefore the Respondent-Government be directed to consider the representation of the applicant in view of G.R. dated 29.11.1997 which is regarding husband and wife posting at same place.

6. Respondents are directed to consider the G.R. dated 29.11.1997 while deciding the representation made by the Applicant.

7. Adjourned to 02.09.2024.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2024

M.A.No.488/2024 in O.A.No.871/2024 (S.B.)

V.U. Vengurlekar .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. M.A. is filed seeking leave to amend to place additional documents on record which were issued subsequent to the filing of O.A.

3. Learned Counsel seeks leave to file additional documents on record and make respective changes in the O.A.

4. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and amended copy be served upon the concern forthwith.

5. Learned Counsel has submitted that the transfers orders are likely to be issued and therefore the Respondent-Government be directed to consider the representation of the applicant in view of G.R. dated 29.11.1997 which is regarding husband and wife posting at same place.

6. Respondents are directed to consider the G.R. dated 29.11.1997 while deciding the representation made by the Applicant.

7. Adjourned to 02.09.2024.

Sd/-

/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2024

O.A.No.876/2024 (S.B.)

S.W. Khadse .Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
3. Time granted by way of last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply.
4. Adjourned to 21.08.2024.

Sd/-

/(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2024

M.A.No.490/2024 in O.A.No.909/2024 (S.B.)

K.A. Kumbhar & Ors.

Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. M.A. is filed seeking leave to withdraw the O.As since the Applicants have been relieved by Respondent No.3 and have joined Special Protection Unit (Respondent no.4)

3. Learned Counsel seeks leave to withdraw the present M.A. and O.A.

4. Allowed to withdraw.

5. In view of above, M.A. and O.A. both stands disposed of as withdraw.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.979 OF 2024 (S.B.)

R.R. Chavan ...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...**Respondents**

Mr. Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant
Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

DATE : **12.08.2024**

ORDER

1. Applicant who is working as Forester in Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Borivali, Mumbai challenges order dated 06.08.2024 passed by the Respondent No.1 transferring him from the post of Forester, Maghathane, Forest Range Krushnagiri Upwan Range, Borivali, Mumbai Suburban District to the post of Forester, Sudkoli Forest, Fansad (Wild Life), Thane.

2. Learned Counsel has submitted that Applicant was transferred earlier to Maghathane, Forest Range Krushnagiri Upwan Range, Borivali by order dated 29.07.2020. Though he has completed three years the reason for transfer mentioned in order dated 06.08.2024 (page 13) is not sustainable under the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation and Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'the ROTA 2005' for brevity). Learned Counsel has submitted that it is mid-term transfer and under Section 4(4)(ii) of the ROTA 2005 special reasons and exceptional circumstances are required to be recorded and in the present case, there is neither exceptional circumstance nor special reasons are recorded. Learned Counsel has further challenged

the competency of Respondent No.1, the Chief Conservator of Forest and Director, Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Borivali on the following grounds :

- (i) Respondent No.1 cannot use his powers for transferring the employee out of his jurisdiction.
- (ii) Respondent No.3, the Chief Conservator of Forest (Territorial), Thane Forest Circle, Thane is the competent authority to issue transfer order of the Applicant.
- (iii) As per Section 7 of the ROTA 2005 the State Government is the competent authority to issue transfer order of the Applicant from one jurisdiction to another jurisdiction.
- (iv) As Applicant is working on Group-C post hence his case falls under clause (c) of Section 6 of the ROTA 2005.
- (v) No CSB meeting was conducted while passing the order of transfer.
- (vi) Applicant is due to retire in December, 2025. By letter dated 27.05.2024, Exhibit-B Applicant made request giving two options for his transfer (i) Forester, Ghodbunder (Yeur Forest Range) & (ii) Forester, Superintendent, Sinhvihar. However, none of the options were considered.
- (v) Applicant's wife is suffering from migraine.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that CSB meeting was conducted on 30.04.2024. He has submitted that applicant is working in Sanjay Gandhi National Park, Borivali since last 23 years. He has completed his tenure. There are also complaints made against the Applicant. Learned P.O. has submitted that Respondents had valid reasons and special circumstances are made out to transfer the applicant mid-term by invoking the powers under Section 4(4)(ii) of the ROTA 2005. Learned P.O. has submitted that the problem of migraine suffered by the applicant's wife is not a serious illness. Learned P.O. has submitted on instructions that in place of Applicant, one Mr. Vishal Sawant has joined on 09.08.2024 on promotion. Learned P.O. has submitted that the Department has served the transfer and relieving order to the Applicant. However the Applicant has refused to accept the same and instead submitted leave application.



4. By counter submissions learned Counsel has submitted that as per Clause (b) of the Table appended to Section 6 of the ROTA 2005 it is the Hon'ble Forest Minister in consultation with the Secretary of the said Department who is the immediate superior authority to effect such special transfer. Learned Counsel has also submitted that order dated 06.08.2020 passed by Respondent No.1 is not served on the applicant. He has pointed out that under Rules 29 and 30 of the Maharashtra Civil Services, (General Conditions of Services) Rules, 1981, wherein in Rule 29 it is stated that relieving Government servant to intimate the probable date of joining to the Government servant to be relieved and Rule 30 states that how the date of handing over charge is determined.
5. Learned Counsel seeks leave to amend the O.A. by adding Mr. Vishal Sawant, who is promoted on applicant's post and has joined on 09.08.2024, as Party Respondent No.4 in the array of Respondents.
6. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and copy be served upon the concern forthwith.
7. Considering the facts of the case which are placed before me, by the learned Counsel for the Applicant and learned P.O. for the Respondents, I am of the view that some time is required to be given to the Respondents to place the relevant facts on record. Applicant who is at present not working anywhere is directed to join the new place of posting temporarily, because the person cannot be without work when he or she is in Government service. Considering that there is word against word made by both the parties, both the parties are directed to state the same on affidavit.
8. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.08.2024.



10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Applications shall stand dismissed.

14. Adjourned to 26.08.2024.


Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson