

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.44 of 2022 in O.A. No.879 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.R. Apte & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We would like to place on record that this Tribunal has passed order on 22.3.2022 in OA No.879 of 2018 thereby setting aside termination order passed by respondents in respect of applicants and further directions were given about continuation of service, seniority and their entitlement to salary during the termination period. The orders were directed to be complied with till 10.4.2022. However, orders were not complied and MA was filed for extension of time to comply the order. However, the said prayer was rejected by this Tribunal by order dated 13.6.2022. We are informed by Ld. PO that writ petition is filed in the Hon'ble High Court by the State Government day before yesterday.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, issue contempt notice against respondents no.1 to 3 returnable on 2.9.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.9.2022.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2022</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2022</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2022
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2022	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2022				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.707 of 2022</p> <p>B. S. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered Affidavit in Reply of Shri Nand Kumar, Additional Chief Secretary, EGS, Planning Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Original Applicant is filed for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits which were held up because of punishment in Departmental Enquiries (D.E.).</p> <p>4. Perusal of record and hearing of learned Counsel, it is noticed that the Applicant stands retired from the post of Assistant Director on 31.05.2014. However, three departmental enquires were pending against him at the time of retirement. In one D.E., by order dated 11.06.2018, punishment of 12% deduction in pension per month permanently is imposed. The Applicant has filed appeal against it and it is subjudice.</p> <p>5. However, no final order is passed in remaining two departmental enquiries. Therefore, the Secretary was directed to file Affidavit to explain the delay caused in taking decision D.E. In Affidavit in Reply, the Secretary tried to explain that there were several queries in the matter and it was referred back to Divisional Commissioner. In another matter, the proposal was forwarded to M.P.S.C. recently on 26.07.2022 and the concurrence of M.P.S.C. is awaiting in respect of proposed punishment. In respect of 3rd inquiry, in Affidavit, the Secretary stated that it is now at final stage and the decision will be taken and final order will be passed soon. He stated that due to insufficient staff, the matter could not be processed earliest.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The reasons mentioned for delay is not at all acceptable in terms of various Circulars and G.Rs issued by the Government. It is nothing but lame excuses. The enquiry ought to have been completed within six months or belatedly in a year. However, in present case, for eight years no final order is passed in D.E. which <i>ex-facie</i> shows total negligence, lethargy and inaction on the part of concerned. Rather, this shows bad and sorry state of affairs of the administration. The Additional Chief Secretary, EGS, Planning Department is, therefore, required to look into the matter and to fix the responsibility on the concerned person for such inordinate and huge delay.</p> <p>7. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondents to pass final order in pending two departmental enquires within six weeks from today in accordance to law and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>8. The Additional Chief Secretary, EGS, Planning Department is also directed to cause inquiry and to fix the responsibility on the concerned person responsible for such huge and inordinate delay for not passing final order in D.E. within reasonable time and compliance report be submitted to the Registrar of this office within three months from today.</p> <p>9. It is because of inordinate and huge and unexplainable delay, the Applicant is deprived of gratuity. Needless to mention, the gratuity cannot be withheld for such a long time of eight years. The blame certainly lies upon the Respondents for not deciding departmental enquires expeditiously, and therefore, if they failed to pass final order in D.E. within six weeks from today, they will have to release gratuity.</p> <p>10. If the final order in D.E. is not passed within six weeks from today, in that event, the Respondents should not withhold gratuity and it should be paid within two weeks thereafter without fail.</p> <p>11. The Applicant can redress his grievance for interest on the delayed payment by availing remedy independently.</p> <p>12. Learned Counsel for the Applicant also raised grievance about the arrears of Pay & Allowances in terms of Pay Fixation order dated 30.11.2016 passed by the Deputy Director, Social Forestry Division, Sangali. Insofar as this issue is concerned, the Respondents are directed to consider the applicant's claim as sanctioned by order dated 30.11.2016 and shall release monetary benefits as per his entitlement, if not paid.</p> <p>13. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.465 of 2022 in O.A. No.13 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.S. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri C.T, Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed MA No.465 of 2022 in OA No.13 of 2020 for amendment.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. MA for amendment is allowed. Amendment be carried out within one week and copy of amended OA be served on all concerned.</p> <p>5. Ld. CPO and Ld. Advocate for Respondent no.3 seek time to file reply.</p> <p>6. OA adjourned to 22.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.8.2022 12.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.44 of 2022 in O.A. No.879 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.R. Apte & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We would like to place on record that this Tribunal has passed order on 22.3.2022 in OA No.879 of 2018 thereby setting aside termination order passed by respondents in respect of applicants and further directions were given about continuation of service, seniority and their entitlement to salary during the termination period. The orders were directed to be complied with till 10.4.2022. However, orders were not complied and MA was filed for extention of time to comply the order. However, the said prayer was rejected by this Tribunal by order dated 13.6.2022. We are informed by Ld. PO that writ petition is filed in the Hon'ble High Court by the State Government day before yesterday.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, issue contempt notice against respondents no.1 to 3 returnable on 16.9.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 2.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.8.2022 12.8.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.53 of 2022 in O.A. No.960 of 2021</u></p> <p>Sayed S.A.N. Ali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO informs that pursuant to order dated 14.6.2022 passed by this Tribunal in MA No.270 of 2022 in the above OA, DPC was held on 28.7.2022. Ld. CPO submits that there is one more senior person above the applicant and therefore his case was also to be considered by the DPC. Ld. CPO submits that for one post the CRs of 5 persons are called. Therefore it took time to get the CRs ready. The senior person to the applicant and one more person among these 5 have secured the benefit of reservation. Hence, again the seniority was examined and revised as per the provisions of GR dated 7.5.2021 and therefore there was delay in holding the DPC. The report was submitted to GAD on 29.7.2022 and now approval from GAD is awaited.</p> <p>3. Though GAD is not a party before us, we reproduce paras 8 to 11 of the order dated 14.6.2022 passed by this Tribunal and GAD to take note of it as file is before them since 29.7.2022, which reads as under:</p> <p align="center"><i>"8. Since apparently the process of review is delayed due to sheer callousness and inordinate delay on the part of respondents now further steps of holding DPC and passing further orders of promotion is required to be undertaken without loss of further time.</i></p> <p>9. In view of above, this MA and OA are disposed of with following directions.</p> <p align="center"></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>10. <i>The respondents are directed to convene the special DPC to consider the issue of promotion of the applicant and then to pass appropriate order.</i></p> <p>11. <i>Four weeks time is granted for holding DPC from today and further three weeks time is granted for passing final order in accordance to law. No further time will be granted."</i></p> <p>4. We expect the order of the Tribunal is to be complied with till 18.8.2022.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) / Chairperson 12.8.2022 / 12.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.110 of 2020</p> <p>A.S.A.S. NadeemApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. Todankar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply along with Annexure which according to her are necessary for the decision of O.A.. In the interest of justice production is allowed. 3. The matter is already proceeded without Reply since Respondents failed to file Affidavit-in-Reply despite enough chance. Today also no Reply is filed. 4. O.A. therefore be kept for Final Hearing. 5. S.O. to 26.08.2022. <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p>M. A. No.73 of 2022 in M.A.No.225 of 2022 in O.A.No.738 of 2018</p> <p>C. V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. O.A.No.738/2018 is filed by retired Accountant who stands retired on 31.05.2015 seeking directions to the Respondents to release his gratuity of Rs.3,29,670/-. 3. During the pendency of this O.A., the Applicant has filed these two M.As. M.A.No.73/2022 is filed for condonation of delay and also filed M.A.No.225/2022 for amendment in O.A. 4. By amendment, the Applicant sought to challenge the order dated 05.01.2016 (Page No.35 of O.A.) whereby directions were given for recovery of Rs.2,07,977/- towards excess payment made to the Applicant during the period of service. Initially in O.A., no such relief was claimed and simpliciter direction was prayed to release gratuity. 5. The Applicant has filed O.A. on 10.08.2018 but not challenged order dated 05.01.2016. He ought to have filed O.A. within one year up to 05.01.2017. However, O.A. was filed on 10.08.2018. As such, the delay is near about one year and eight months and not two years & two months as calculated in M.A. 6. Be that as it may, since the Applicant is retired Government servant and litigating for directions to release gratuity, I am inclined to condone the delay so as to decide the matter on merit. Non-payment of gratuity, if he found entitled to it in law can be termed recurring and continuous cause of action. 7. The amendment to challenge communication dated 05.01.2016 is also allowed as without deciding legality of recovery order, entitlement of the Applicant to gratuity cannot be determined since gratuity seems to have been withheld on account of recovery. 8. In view of above, both the Misc. Applications are allowed. Amendment in O.A. be carried out within a week. The Respondents shall file Affidavit in Reply to the amendment in next one week thereafter. 9. S.O. to 26.08.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.519 of 2022 with M.A. No.372 of 2022</p> <p>M.P. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.513 of 2021 in O.A. No.383 of 2021 with M.A. No.514 of 2021 in O.A. No.385 of 2021 with M.A. No.515 of 2021 in O.A. No.393 of 2021 with M.A. No.516 of 2021 in O.A. No.434 of 2021 with M.A. No.517 of 2021 in O.A. No.440 of 2021 with M.A. No.518 of 2021 in O.A. No.441 of 2021 with M.A. No.519 of 2021 in O.A. No.445 of 2021 with M.A. No.520 of 2021 in O.A. No.446 of 2021 with M.A. No.545 of 2021 in O.A. No.391 of 2021 with M.A. No.546 of 2021 in O.A. No.386 of 2021 with M.A. No.497 of 2021 in O.A. No.388 of 2021 with M.A. No.498 of 2021 in O.A. No.389 of 2021 with M.A. No.499 of 2021 in O.A. No.390 of 2021</p> <p>G.J. Mhatre G.V. Sawant R.D. Sawant & Ors. M.L. Langi N.N. Kadam & Ors. V.B. Chafekar & Ors. S.N. Rane & Ors. S.S. Salunkhe & Ors. S.S. Dixit & Ors. S.S. Manjrekar A.B. Kohtekar & Ors. A.C. Mantri D.M. VishwasraoApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Common order in all M.As made for condonation of delay caused in filling O.A.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. The Applicants have filed O.As challenging recovery orders passed by the Respondents. Since O.A. is not filed within the limitation of one year they have filed M.A. for condonation of delay. Some of the Applicants are retired Government servant and others are still in service. The impugned orders of recovery are</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

of year 2016, 2017 & 2018 therefore delay is from 10 months upto 3 years. Only in one O.A. i.e. O.A. No.386/2021 it is four years.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicants are group 'C' employees and Department wrongly fixed their pay in implementation of 6th Pay Commission in 2006. However, recovery is sought after more than 10 years. He therefore submits that recovery itself is impermissible in view of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *(2015) 4 SCC 334 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer))*. On this line of submission he prayed to condone the delay so as to decide the O.A. on merit.

5. When specific query is raised to learned Advocate for the Applicant as to whether he is challenging recovery only he fairly concedes that challenge is to the extent of recovery orders only and he is not challenging re-fixation of pay and allowance.

6. Per contra, learned P.O. submits that delay is not properly explained and the Applicants though were aware about wrong fixation have not file the O.A. within time.

7. Needles to mention that while deciding the Application of condonation of delay Tribunal should adopt justice orient approach instead on harping on technical thing particularly in the matter of pay and allowance and recovery from retired Government servant. Whether recovery is permissible or otherwise has to be decided on merit.

8. In view of above, in the facts and circumstance all these matters I am inclined to condone delay caused in filling O.A. All M.As are accordingly allowed with no order as to costs.

9. Respondents are at liberty to file Reply to O.A. by next date.

10. O.A. is adjourned to 30.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.289 of 2022 in O.A. No.454 of 2022</p> <p>H.A. AnsariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.S. Ansari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay for 228 days caused in filing O.A. for direction to Respondent to release his retiral benefits with interest. Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2016.</p> <p>3. Delay seems to have been counted from receipt of communication dated 23.09.2021 issued by Respondent No.2. In this communication the Applicant was informed that he was absent from duty for 1703 days unauthorizedly and chargesheet was also issued to him. As per letter, the Applicant accepted his unauthorized absence. In that said letter it is further stated that the Applicant himself did not attended the office to file necessary forms for retiral benefits and he was asked to remain present within 15 days.</p> <p>4. The Applicant then attended the office and submitted necessary documents as seen from his letter dated 28.09.2021. However nothing happened thereafter, and therefore the Applicant has approached this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Since, the Applicant is retired Government servant and his retiral benefits are not paid, this is a case of recurring and continuous cause of action. Indeed, the Respondents at their own should have processed the matter and granted his benefits as per his entitlement.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Learned P.O. on instruction submit that in D.E. punishment was imposed for unauthorized absence but there were no leave at the credit of the Applicant, and therefore matter was referred to the Government by letter dated 29.06.2021 but still pending with the Government.

7. In view of above, it being case of recurring cause of action, delay caused in filing M.A. is condoned. Accordingly, M.A. No.289/2020 is allowed with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.454 of 2022</p> <p>H.A. AnsariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H.S. Ansari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed along with M.A. No.289/2022 for condonation of delay. M.A. is allowed today and separate order is passed in M.A.</p> <p>3. In sofaras this O.A. is concerned, it is for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits. The Applicant stands retired on 30.09.2016 but because of his absence, Department has not sanctioned retiral benefits. Department has referred the matter to the Government for necessary orders by letter dated 29.07.2022.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instruction what is the present status of the matter and appraise the Tribunal by afternoon session.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">NMN</p> <p align="right"><u>P.T.O.</u></p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

L.O.

5. In the afternoon session, learned P.O. submits that still no final decision is taken in the matter and requested to file Affidavit in Reply.

6. Two weeks time is granted to file reply and in the meantime, Respondent No.3 should take all necessary steps for passing appropriate orders in respect of proposal dated 29.07.2022.

7. Since, learned P.O. appeared, issuance of notices are dispensed with.

8. S.O. to 26.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.765 of 2022</p> <p>A. A. KumbharApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. When specific query raised about the maintainability of the O.A., learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to pursue remedy before the Government or in accordance to law.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. as prayed for.</p> <p>4. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.598 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant one week time is granted to file Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 22.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.747 of 2022</p> <p>V. H. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., four days' time is granted to file reply on behalf of remaining Respondents, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.494 of 2022</p> <p>✓ P. V. NikamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that Affidavit-in-Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.674 of 2022</p> <p>V.D. SuryawanhiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. one week week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.658 of 2022</p> <p>D.R. Pawar,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.637 of 2022</p> <p>A.B. Sonake,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 26.08.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.607 of 2022 with O.A. No.608 of 2022</p> <p>S.L. Sawant P.B. KadamApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Advaita Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2022 along with connected O.A. No.620/2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.574 of 2022</p> <p>R.A. LokhandeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.566 of 2022</p> <p>S.A. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.550 of 2022</p> <p>O.S. YadavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.543 of 2022</p> <p>V.Y. KhandebharadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.763 of 2021</p> <p>Y. R. HansekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Sonali Humane holding for Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Since learned P.O. appearing on behalf of the Respondents, the issuance of notices are dispensed with.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.509 of 2022 with O.A. No.510 of 2022</p> <p>N.K. Kurade S.D. KhomaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.901 of 2017</p> <p>S. S. SalunkheApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. stating that the Applicant is now no more.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw the O.A..</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.220 of 2017</p> <p>S. S. HingmireApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for final hearing by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.902 of 2015</p> <p>N. G. KondhalkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel as well as learned P.O., short time is granted for final hearing. No further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.422 of 2022</p> <p>K.M. DhanshetiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.797 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. KambleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed for direction to the Respondent to release retiral benefits which are withheld for long time. The Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2019 and period of more than three years is over but his retiral benefits are not paid on account on pendency of D.E. Indeed, D.E ought to have been completed within six months or latest by one year but it seems to be still pending which resulted in deprival of retiral benefits for which serious note needs to be taken.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is therefore directed to take instruction and to apprise the Tribunal about the status of D.E. so that O.A. can be disposed of by suitable direction.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant have also raised grievance of nonpayment of provisional pension which was initially granted upto Jan-21 only and thereafter stopped. Even if D.E. is pending the provisional pension was required to be paid regularly.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) [P.T.O. Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.322 of 2022</p> <p>J.S. SurveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.235 of 2022</p> <p>R.P. Patil & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Mr. Avinash, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder by the Applicant but it is not filed.3. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing.4. S.O. to 06.09.2022. <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1042 of 2021</p> <p>N.L. Paithankar,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance..</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.77 of 2018</p> <p>D.S. Khatal & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is further directed to appraise the Tribunal about the decision on the representations made by the Applicant for grant of Deemed Date of Promotion on next date without fail.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.236 of 2022 in O.A. No.260 of 2022</p> <p>S.J. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- ✓✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.261 of 2022 in O.A. No.404 of 2022</p> <p>R.B. Bhosale & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.508 of 2021 in O.A. No.849 of 2021</p> <p>S.N. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt. Anjali Kolapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. In M.A. Respondent Nos.2 & 3 have already filed their Affidavit-in-Reply but no Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.4 who is added on previous date.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.4 by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.677 of 2022</p> <p>N.K. Pashte & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants applied for the post of Assistant Commissioner of Drugs by way of direct recruitment pursuant to advertisement dated 19.03.2022. Applicants pray that advertisement dated 19.03.2022 published by the Respondent No.1, M.P.S.C. is to be quashed and set aside.</p> <p>3. By way of interim relief learned Advocate prays that the entire recruitment process pursuant to advertisement is to be stayed for the following reason :</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Learned Advocate submits that Clauses 8.1 and 8.2 of the advertisement are inconsistent with the Rules 4(B) and 4(B) (b) of the Recruitment Rules dated 27.09.2022.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. files affidavit on behalf of M.P.S.C.. We direct that officer from the Mantralaya to remain present along with English copy of the Recruitment Rules and affidavit-in-reply, also officer from the office of Finance Department to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. Matter adjourned 02.09.2022. We want to tally the educational qualification and the Recruitment Rules if at all amended. Learned Advocate has already argued the matter.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 02.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.752 of 2022</p> <p>N.R. GarjeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has ready filed affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Matter fixed on 17.08.2022 at 12.30 p.m. P.H.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.08.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.435 of 2022 with O.A.No.436 of 2022 WITH O.A.No.437 of 2022 with O.A.No.438 of 2022 WITH O.A.No.439 of 2022 with O.A.No.440 of 2022</p> <p>A.H. Keer (O.A.435/2022) B.S. Patil (O.A.436/2022) V.K. Sarpotdar (O.A.437/2022) S.A. Varekar (O.A.438/2022) S.A. Keer (O.A.439/2022) M.R. Khadpe (O.A.400/2022)Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicants. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Office for the Respondents</p> <p>2. By way of last chance adjourned to 07.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1069 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere & Assoc., learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Ms. S.C. Mangle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Mangle files affidavit-in-reply. Taken on record. Copy to be served to the concerned.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. to file reply on behalf of other Respondents.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 30.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.690 of 2021</p> <p>R.M. Desale & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply. Taken on record. Copy is served upon learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 30.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 12.08.2022</p>
	<p>O.A.No.620 of 2021</p> <p>H.B. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief to be continued till next date. Adjourned to 30.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Date : 12.08.2022

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	O.A. No. 86 of 2022 Tribunal's orders
	<p>S.S. Tambekar & Ors.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Purva Pradhan, learned Advocate holding for Mr. E.D. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Office for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder. Adjourned to 07.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.211 of 2022</p> <p>G.R. WalundraApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ansari Faiyaz, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder. Adjourned to 30.09.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil)/ Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.331 of 2022</p> <p>V.G. GawaiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Office for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. Taken on record. Copy is served upon learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.09.2022 for rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.08.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.470 of 2022 in O.A.No.1026 of 2021 with M.A.No.114 of 2021 in O.A.No.982 of 2019 with O.A.No.753 of 2021</p> <p>R.K. BhosleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.470/2022 is filed seeking amendment in O.A.</p> <p>3. Amendment is allowed. Amendment to be carried out on or before 19.08.2022 and amended copy to be served upon the concerned forthwith.</p> <p>4. Thereafter, learned C.P.O. seeks three weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply to the amended copy.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. to serve the copy of affidavit-in-reply to the learned Advocate two days in advance.</p> <p>6. M.A.No.470/2022 is allowed. Adjourned to 16.09.2022. To be placed under the caption of 'Due Admission.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Tribunal's orders Date : 12.08.2022</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.672 of 2022</p> <p>F.H. Shaikh & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and NLT Law Asso. for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that during the course of the day reply will be filed. Advocate appearing for Respondent No.3 has already filed affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.49 of 2022 in O.A. No.992 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. P.N. Chaudhari ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that proposal is sent to the Government and she seeks four weeks time for compliance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.29 of 2022 in O.A. No.624 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.M. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that affidavit in reply is already filed on 11.7.2022. In the affidavit it is mentioned that proposal for promotion of the applicant to the post of Tahsildar is approved by GAD on 4.7.2022 and promotion order is issued on 13.7.2022.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant points out that applicant is not given posting till today.</p> <p>4. We direct the respondents to give posting to the applicant on or before 24.8.2022. If the posting is not given the Tribunal will have to take it up as a suo moto contempt. CA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.08.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.479 of 2022</p> <p>V.B. TungarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Learned P.O. to serve the copy of affidavit-in-reply to the learned Advocate two days in advance.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.09.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.767 of 2022 with M.A. No.463 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.P. Gage ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sonali Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The subject-matter of this OA is deemed date of promotion which pertains to Single Bench. Hence, place the above matter before Single Bench.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.40 of 2019 in O.A. No.392 of 2016</u></p> <p>D.D. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that in the order dated 28.3.2019 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA, the applicant was granted service benefits in terms of GR dated 3.9.2015 for the purpose of pensionary benefits. However, this GR has been quashed and set aside by the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No.5402 of 2018 by order dated 20.3.2020.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, CA has become infructuous and is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____


I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.795 of 2022</u></p> <p>Balasaheb B. Thite ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is aspiring for IAS (Appointment by Selection) Regulation, 1997. He challenges the GR dated 2.9.2021 which has changed the short listing criteria for Non SCS for the IAS.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant takes objection to the letter dated 21.7.2022 of GAD wherein in para 5 it is stated that in the online examination answers to descriptive questions are compulsorily to be typed using keyboard. The examination is scheduled on 20.8.2022. He seeks permission to answer the descriptive questions in writing instead of typing on keyboard.</p> <p>4. <u>We grant permission to the applicant to answer the descriptive questions in writing instead of typing on keyboard.</u></p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: center;">12.8.2022 12.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.771 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.T. Kale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.9.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- / Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.8.2022 12.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders