..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE : 12/8/16 CORAM : Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri. M.A.H. (Member)~~ APPEARANCE : ~~Shri/Smt.~~ K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant ~~Shri/Smt.~~ : Archana B.K. ~~CPO~~ P.O. for the Respondents Holding Post N.K. Rajpurohit. Rejoinder Filed by Applicant. ~~Adj. to~~ O.A. is admitted. S.O. to 26/8/16. FH sba	**Date : 12.08.2016.** **O.A.No.489 of 2016** **Shri A.H. Chormale ...Applicant** **Vs.** **The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents** 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. Jagdale files affidavit-in-rejoinder. 3. O.A. is admitted. 4. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder if need be. 5. S.O. to 26.08.2016. Sd/- **(Rajiv Agarwal)** **Vice-Chairman**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE : 12/8/16 CORAM : Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) ~~Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)~~ ~~APPEARANCE :~~ ~~Shri/Smt.~~ C.T. Chandratre ~~Advocate for the Applicant~~ ~~Shri/Smt.~~ Archana B.K. ~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents~~ Rejoinder filed by Applicant. ~~Adj. to~~ S.O. to 30/8/16. FH	**Date : 12.08.2016.** **O.A.No.544 of 2016** **Shri D.V. Chowgule ...Applicant** **Vs.** **The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents** 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.R. Jagdale files affidavit-in-rejoinder. 3. O.A. is admitted. 4. Respondents may file sur-rejoinder if need be. 5. Original file of the Police Establishment Board should be brought by the Respondent on that date. 6. S.O. to 30.08.2016 for final hearing: Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman

sba

O.A.639/2016

Shri H.J. Nazirkar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-rejoinder taken on record. Admit. To come up in due course. Liberty to mention.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

Sur-rejoinder is to be filed, it must be filed on the day, it appears before the Bench and not thereafter.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
12.08.2016

(skw)

O.A.789/2016

Shri D.M. Kasarale ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. requests for adjournment to file the reply. More than sufficient opportunity has been given and even last chance was granted earlier. The OA proceeds without Affidavit-in-reply, making it clear that on the next date, when the OA appears for hearing, if the Affidavit-in-reply is tendered, it will be taken on record, but no adjournment will be given. Admit. To come up in due course.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
12.08.2016

(skw)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

MUMBAI

CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO.41 OF 2016

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1225 OF 2010

Dr. Suresh Chunilal Gupta,)
Retired Additional Director, Health Services,)
from the establishment of Director of Health Services,)
Maharashtra State, Mumbai)
R/at B-2, Kumar Angan, Yerwada, Pune 411006)..Applicant

Versus

Smt. Sujata Saunik,)
The Principal Secretary,)
Public Health and Family Welfare Department,)
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400032)
having office at 10th floor, G.T. Hospital Complex Annex)
Mumbai 400001)..Respondent/
Contemnor

Miss S.P. Manchekar – Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 12th August, 2016

O R D E R

1. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This case was on board on 4.5.2016 when this Tribunal recorded in para 6 and 7 as follows:

"6. Hence, Respondent has not only committed contempt but has aggravated.

7. Applicant has made out the case for taking cognizance."

And case was kept on next day without taking cognizance at the request of Ld. PO for the respondents as to find out compliance or stage of compliance could be reported.

3. On 6.5.2016 this Tribunal recorded as follows:

"2. Learned PO for the Respondents states as follows:

That the GAD has approved the proposal of the Health Department for granting to the Applicant Deemed Date of Promotion and for financial approval, now the matter is submitted before the Finance Department and week's time is required.

3. Adjourned to 5.7.2016. It is clarified that longer adjournment would not be a licence for delaying the compliance."

4. On 5.7.2016 this Tribunal recorded as follows:

"2. Ld. PO states that the order is complied with.

3. In view of the inordinate delay in complying with the order, the matter can be considered only if apology is filed.

4. S.O. to 11.7.2016."

5. On 11.7.2016 this Tribunal has recorded as follows:

"2. Learned PO Shri K.B. Bhise for the Respondents states as follows:

Order is complied with, however, time may be granted for tendering apology for delay in compliance.

3. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 22.7.2016."

6. Apology was not filed on 22.7.2016 and at the request of Ld. PO the case was adjourned to 3.8.2016. Ld. PO tendered affidavit of apology affirmed by Smt. Sujata Manoj Saunik on 2.8.2016.

7. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prayed for time to consider as to whether it would be necessary to pursue contempt.

8. On 8.8.2016 Miss Manchekar, the Ld. Advocate for the applicant, pointed out as regards the language of affidavit of contemnor Smt. Sujata Manoj Saunik as follows:

"(b) The language employed in para 4 and 5 of the affidavit does not contain:

(i) Day to day explanation as to why the delay in compliance of order passed in OA was caused;

(ii) What are the reasons due to which it was impossible to comply within time frame;

(iii) Eloquent apology for the delay in compliance;

(iv) Statement that disobedience is not willful.

(v) The language of apology exhibits that it has not come from heart but looks like a 'cut & paste' ritual."

9. Ld. PO was called to respond to the deficiencies after noting his submissions in para 6 and 7 of order passed on 8.8.2016 which reads as follows:

"*6.* Ld. PO states that:-

(a) The affidavit in reply filed by the contemnor was not drafted in consultation with the learned Chief Presenting Officer's office or any of the PO.

(b) It has been drafted by contemnor herself.

(c) The Ld. PO shall render suitable advise to the contemnor.

(d) Hearing be adjourned to wait for the response of the contemnor.

7. It is noticed that this particular contemnor had in many cases adopted attitude of slip shod reply. This continued conduct prima facie, may, aggravate the contempt and hence it is hoped that the wisdom would bestow upon the contemnor."

10. Thereafter the case was adjourned to 11.8.2016. The contemnor was absent. However, contemnor's representative Shri V.P. Ghodke, Under Secretary was present. Shri Ghodke made oral request for granting two days time for filing affidavit. Shri Ghodke was called to state as to whether contemnor is going to appear. Shri Ghodke expressed inability to make a statement. Hoping that there may be lack of communication, the Tribunal thought it proper to pass over the case for enabling Shri Ghodke to inform the contemnor that contemnor could appear later on, on the same day. Thereafter the case was called out at the last sequence when the contemnor or Shri Ghodke both had failed to appear.

11. It has to be recorded that the affidavit dated 2.8.2016 contained slip shod, improper language and did not contain an expression of remorse and heartfelt apology. The cause or reason due to which the order was not complied with/implemented within time and despite of notice was not emerging from the affidavit.

12. Specific objection to the text of affidavit was raised by Miss S.P. Manchekar, the Ld. Advocate appearing for the applicant as recorded. In the order dated 8.8.2016. In the said background fresh affidavit ought to

have come from contemnor, accompanied by contemnor's personal appearance. Today when the case was called out, learned PO had nothing to say, because contemnor or any representative were absent. Any affidavit too had not come forward. Later on when the case was called out learned PO has tendered an affidavit. It is taken on record. The affidavit which is tendered today does not disclose any reasons to be beyond control of the contemnor. On the other hand this affidavit contains a statement as follows:

> "K) 4.5.2016 - Hon'ble Tribunal directed to issue order of deemed date of Dr. Gupta up to 6.5.2016, this department again forwarded the proposal of deemed date to GAD."

13. All these facts and conduct of applicant prima facie indicates that the contemnor is not at all serious even in reading the text of the order passed by this Tribunal.

14. The record shows that this Tribunal had recorded a finding in the order passed on 4.5.2016 that this is a fit case for taking cognizance, however, refrained from taking action and issuing warrant because of the representation that the order is being complied with etc and copies of orders were communicated to the contemnor through Ld. PO and her representative. The contemnor has shown least respect to the proceedings and the order of this Tribunal.

15. Though contemnor is a civil servant in the rank of Principal Secretary and a civil servant who has previous experience of appearing before this Tribunal as a contemnor, the contemnor has chosen to abstain from appearances. The contemnor may be relying on her legal knowledge that she needs to be called by a device of notice or service of warrant on her, and it appears from the contemnor's conduct that the contemnor has ardent desire of being called with due and proper procedure.

16. Therefore, now it has become necessary to act on the finding that present is a fit case to take cognizance of contempt and issue proper process. Conduct of the contemnor has aggravated the contempt. Considering the past record of the contemnor, that the contemnor is already facing a contempt proceeding which is tried and being heard by another Division Bench of this Tribunal, the following order is passed.

17. Issue bailable warrant to contemnor Smt. Sujata Manoj Saunik, Principal Secretary to appear before this Tribunal on 24.8.2016, when the case would be listed before Division Bench for final hearing.

18. In addition to personal appearance the contemnor shall furnish personal bond in a sum of Rs.25,000/- with cash security to be deposited by cheque to be drawn from her personal account and shall furnish surety bond in a sum of Rs.100/- by at least one officer in her own rank.

19. At this stage the Ld. PO states and prays that he may be given a chance to communicate this order to the respondents for enabling her to comply with the directions even without issue and service of process, if the contemnor chooses to do so.

20. In view of the prayer of Ld. PO, office should not issue bailable warrant till 20.8.2016, which may be issued to the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai on 20.8.2016, with a request to supervise serving thereof and make a report of service on or before the due date.

21. S.O. to 24.8.2016.

sd/—

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2016.

O.A.298 of 2016

Shri S.R. Koli **...Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. **...Respondents**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for three week time for taking decision on Applicant's case by the Respondent No.5.

3. The judgment of acquittal is dated 15.05.2015. It is not shown that it was impossible to consider the matter of reinstatement ofapplicant punctually.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that in view of the gravity of the matter she will not take further adjournment.

5. Respondents are put to notice that if action required to be taken till next date and if further time is sought heavy and exemplary costs would be ordered.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

7. Adjourned to 22.08.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE: 12/8/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

Advocate: Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 22/8/16.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

M.A.215/2016 in O.A.326/2016

Dr. Y.M. Kakadwar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

I have perused the record and proceedings. It appears that as per the order of 11th May, 2016 made by the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman, this MA was directed to be heard along with the OA. The pleadings having been completed, the OA is admitted and is fixed for final hearing before the appropriate Bench. I am informed at the Bar that another OA being OA No.611/2016 which is of substantive nature and challenges the transfer of the Applicant from Arthur Road Jail to Primary Health Centre, Nandgaon, Dist : ~~Pune~~ Nanded. That is pending before the Bench of the Hon'ble Vice-Chairman. It seems that both the OAs may have to be clubbed together and placed before anyone Bench. The matter be placed before the Hon'ble Chairman for directions.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
12.08.2016

(skw)

DATE : 12/8/2016

CORAM :

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R.B.Malik (Member) (J)

APPEARANCE :

~~Shri~~/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri~~/Smt. : K.S.Gaikwad

~~CPO~~ / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. The matter be Placed before the Hon'ble Chairman

[PTO

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.93/2016

Shri D.D. Sherkhane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad holding for Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The Applicant is a Class-IV employee and he has brought this OA challenging his transfer by the order dated 29th March, 2014 on several grounds and has asked for setting it aside and vide Prayer Clause (b), he has sought a direction for the Respondent No.3 to consider the applications of the Applicant and/or his mother dated 6.6.2014, 10.12.2014 and 13.1.2015.

Submissions were heard on the last occasion except for arguments of Mr. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Ms. Gohad, the learned P.O. was heard.

Mr. Kolge makes a statement at the Bar that as of now in this OA, he restricts his prayer to Prayer Clause (b) and leave be reserved for him to challenge the transfer as well as the issue with regard to the GPF, Salary, if need be to be agitated by subsequent action.

In my view, since the matter relates to the transfer of Class-IV employee which cannot be a common place ~~transfer~~ order and since the representations above referred to have remained pending even after the filing of this OA, the course of action urged by the learned Advocate Shri Kolge can very safely be adopted while laying down an outer time limit for compliance. This OA is, therefore, disposed with a direction that the representations dated 6.6.2014, 10.12.2014 and 13.1.2015 referred to in Prayer Clause (b) hereof be decided by the 3rd Respondent within six weeks from today and the fate thereof be informed to the Applicant within one week thereafter. The Applicant is granted leave to withdraw the rest of the Prayers with liberty to file a fresh OA, if need be on the same cause of action including that related to GPF, Salary. etc. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (A)
12.08.2016

(skw)

DATE : 12/8/2016
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri R.B. Malik ~~M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)(A)~~
APPEARANCE :
~~Shri~~/Smt. : R.M. Kolge
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri~~/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad holding
~~C.P.O~~ / P.O. for the Respondent/s for N.G. Gohad
Adj. To..... O.A is disposed of.
8.16

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.173/2016

Shri P.T. Murudkar & Ors. ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Shri Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants places on record a copy of the order dated 1st August, 2016 in Writ Petition No.214/2009 (Shri R.N. Dhanashetty and others Vs. State of Maharashtra and others). Shri Jagdale informs that the present Applicants were the Respondents therein. By the order above referred to, the Hon'ble High Court while dismissing the said Writ Petition for want of prosecution recorded that the Petitioners therein were already promoted, and therefore, they were not keen in pursuing the matter. The same promotion related matter is the present one. In my view, it will be appropriate to ask the Respondents to place on record the present state of affairs with regard to whether the present Applicants have been ~~or~~ have not been promoted, so that an appropriate course of action can be worked out. Compliance within three weeks.

S.O. to 2nd September, 2016.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 12-8-16
Member (J)
12.08.2016

(skw)

DATE: 12/8/2016

(Shri ... Judge (Chairman)
R.B. Malik (Member) (A)

K.R. Jagdale
On the Applicant/s
Savita Suryawanshi
Shri ...
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv. To 2/9/2016

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A.830/2016

Shri C.R. Rajput ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 09.09.2016.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 9th September, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
12.08.2016

(skw)

DATE : 12/8/2016
CORAM :
~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri ~~M. Ramesh Kumar~~ R.B. Malik (Member)(A)
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale
Advocate for the Applicant
~~P.O.~~ Savita Suryawanshi
~~Advocate for the Respondent/s~~ P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj. To 9/9/2016
(RJ)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.406 of 2016

Shri S.K. Sawant ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that let the OAs be kept on 18.8.2016 which will enable the Secretary, Transport to secure the exact requirements.

3. S.O. to 18.8.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE : 12.8.2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : A.A. Gharte
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /Smt. : A.J. Chougule
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 18/8/2016
(B)

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) (Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.As. No.312 & 313 of 2016

Shri R.A. Kulkarni
Shri P.B. Avhad ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that let the OAs be kept on 18.8.2016 which will enable the Secretary, Transport to secure the exact requirements.

3. S.O. to 18.8.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 12.8.2016
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble [illegible] (Member) A
Shri/Smt. Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents
Adj. To 18/8/2016

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

MA.270/16 in CA.42/15 in OA.339/12

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

Shri S.C. Bhosale ..Respondent

Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants–original Respondents and Respondent-original Applicant in person.

2. Ld. PO states that he wants time to examine the case.

3. S.O. to 30.8.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE :

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/~~Smt.~~ : K.B. Bhise, P.O.

~~Advocate for the Applicant~~ Corp. Reply

Shri/~~Smt.~~ : Appl. in person

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s Corp. Appl.)

Adj. To 30/8/16.

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

Advocate .....)

versus

• The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2016.

C.A.No.60 of 2015 in O.A.No.1013 of 2014

Smt. M.V. Deshmukh ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

For entire compliance about 45 days time is required.

3. Let the steps be processed and affidavit be field.

4. Compliance would be reported on the next date.

5. Affidavit be field on or before 21.08.2016.

6. S.O. to 23.08.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 12.8.2016

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad To 23.8.2016

PJ

[PTO]

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.124 of 2016

Shri M.W. Ghante ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.M. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is seen that this Tribunal has ordered notice returnable today. The office report does not indicate as to whether notice was collected, service etc.

3. Registrar is directed to warn the officer concerned against furnishing such vague report. Proper report be furnished on the next date.

4. Returnable date is extended on humanitarian grounds. However, if notice is not collected within two weeks, OA shall be dismissed without further reference to Tribunal.

5. S.O. to 4.10.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 12.8.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri A. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : A.M. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. : K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 4.10.2016

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.123 of 2016

Shri B.A. Popat ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.M. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is seen that this Tribunal has ordered notice returnable today. The office report does not indicate as to whether notice was collected, service etc.

3. Registrar is directed to warn the officer concerned against furnishing such vague report. Proper report be furnished on the next date.

4. Returnable date is extended on humanitarian grounds. However, if notice is not collected within two weeks, OA shall be dismissed without further reference to Tribunal.

5. S.O. to 4.10.2016.

Sd/-Joshi

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 12.8.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: Adv. A.M. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /S~~M~~t.: K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 4.10.2016

(C.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.304 of 2016

Shri P.N. Dalal ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Dere, Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he has received copy of reply and wants time to file rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 4.10.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 12/8/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE:
Shri M. D. Lonkar
Advocate for the Applicant
C.P.O. K.B. Bhise
P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj. To. 4/10/16.

(C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2016.

O.A.834 of 2015

Shri K.A. Gani **...Applicant**

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. **...Respondents**

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

(a) The record is to be reconstructed and for that purpose record/ copies are called from various offices.

(b) Some of the records are received and some record is awaited.

3. For reporting the reconstruction of file, S.O. to 26.08.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 12/8/16

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh kumar (Member) A~~

ORDER BALANCE :

Shri/Smt. : None for the Appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 26/8/16.

[P.T.O.

(C.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2016.

O.A.357 of 2016

Shri C.A. Ghodke ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri M. Phalake, the learned Advocte holding for Shri A.A. Desi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

(a) The affidavit is received.

(b) He would like to scrutinize the affidavit.

(c) Two weeks time may be granted.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 23.08.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE : 12/8/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachkumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : M. Phalake holding for A.A. Desai
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 23/8/16.

B.E. spa

IPTO.

(C.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2016.

O.A.757 of 2016

Shri N.P. Pawar ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

The record pertaining to the Applicant in the Home Department is not traceable and it would be traced/reconstructed expeditiously.

3. No sooner the record is constructed/ traced learned P.O. would make statement as to the time frame within which the Applicant's representation would be decided.

4. In view of the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 8.09.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 12/8/16
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Paresh kumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : M.D. Lonkar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 8/9/16.

[P.T.O.

G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.08.2016.

C.A.No.53 of 2014 in O.A.No.44 of 2009 with
C.A.No.54 of 2014 in O.A.No.173 of 2009 with
C.A.No.55 of 2014 in O.A.No.54 of 2010

Shri R.G. Joshi
S.B. Mahadik
S.N. Pitke & Ors. ...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.U. Sherkhane, the learned Advocate holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri V.U. Sherkhane holding for Shri R.M. Kolge prays for time because Advocate Shri Kolge is busy in High Court.

3. S.O. to 8.09.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 12.8.2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : V.U. Sherkhane holding for Shri R.M. Kolge
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj. To 08/9/2016

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.102 of 2016

Dr. T.A. Jadhav ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Shri Lonkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for time to prepare and address.

3. S.O. to 4.10.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, V.C.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE : 12/8/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh kumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
Shri/~~Smt.~~ : M.D. Lonkar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /~~Smt.~~ : A.J. Chougule
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 4/10/16.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.403 of 2016

Smt. S.A. Kulkarni ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO has tendered affidavit. It is taken on record.

3. Shri Dere, Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for time to consider the affidavit and find out as to whether any claim survives.

4. S.O. to 23.9.2016. It is hoped that if no grievance remains the applicant would act suitably and if some grievance remains the applicant would represent directly to the authorities with copy to the Ld. CPO.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 12/8/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. : S.S. Dere
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : B.K. Archana
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 23/9/16.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.517 of 2016

Shri B.S. Suryawanshi ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO prays for time for making a statement within which dues, which have to be paid to the applicant in the situation as existed, would be paid.

3. By way of last chance adjourned to 21.9.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 12/8/16
CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. : M.D. Lonkar
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri /Smt. : B.K. Archana
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 21/9/16 by way of last Chance

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) (Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	M.A. No.312 of 2016 in O.A. No.616 of 2011 (Nagpur) Shri V.P. Narwade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. At the request of Shri Chandratre, Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 18.8.2016. Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 12.8.2016 (sgj)

DATE : 12/8/16
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~
APPEARANCE :
Shri/~~Smt.~~ : C.T. Chandratre
Advocate for the Applicant
~~Shri~~ /Smt. : Savita Suryawanshi
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 18/8/16

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.693 of 2016

Smt. P.a. Kudanar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO tenders affidavit. It is taken on record.

3. Shri Pathak, Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for time to consider the contents of the affidavit and the rejoinder, only if necessary.

4. S.O. to 25.8.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE : 12/8/16

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishnan (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : P.S. Pathak

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Aryan BK

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 25/8/16.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.784 of 2016

Shri S.R. Sapate ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. Shraddha Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that the impugned order has been cancelled.

3. Ms. Pawar, Ld. Advocate prays for time to take instructions.

3. S.O. to 24.8.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 12.8.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE

Mrs/Ms. Ms. Shraddha Pawar holding for Shri. S.T. Bhosale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O. / A.P.O. for the Respondent

Adj. To..... 24.8.2016

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.801 of 2016

Shri T.N. Munde ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO prays for time for filing reply.

3. S.O. to 18.8.2016.

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
12.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE : 12.8.2016
CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A
APPEARANCE :
Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. : A.J. Chougule
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s
Adj. To.... 18.8.2016

(G.C.P.) J 2260 (A) (50,000—2-2015) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
DATE : 12/8/16. CORAM : Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. R.B. MALIK (Member) K R Jagdale A J Chougule 26/8/16.	**O.A.404/2015** **Dr. S.V. Patil & Ors. ... Applicant** **Vs.** **The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents** Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Heard. The learned P.O. is hereby directed to furnish to the Applicants' Advocate the names and addresses of the selected candidates to the post herein involved. S.O. to 26th August, 2016. Sd/- Sd/- **(R.B. Malik) (Rajiv Agarwal)** **Member (J) Vice-Chairman** **12.08.2016 12.08.2016** (skw)

[P.T.O.

O.A.730/2015

Shri P.S. Kokare ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri V.V. Joshi, APP, Director of Prosecution, Mumbai. As the hearing progresses, it appears to us that certain clarificatory points are absolutely imperative for a proper decision of this OA. From amongst others, a clarification must be placed on record as to whether the posts of Junior Clerk in the office of the Director of Prosecutions is District Cadre, Divisional Cadre or State Cadre. Further, if there are Recruitment Rules or any such instrument in so far as the appointment to the post of Senior Clerk is concerned, the same should be produced for our perusal and in the event, such Rules are not there, the clarification should be made as to in what way so far the appointments have been made and are being made. If there is a common seniority list, then the source for the authority to have such a list should also be placed on record. Number of posts in different Districts of Junior Clerks and Senior Clerks can also be placed on record.

S.O. to 2nd September, 2016.

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
12.08.2016

Sd/-
(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
12.08.2016

(skw)