

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.727/2024**  
**(Priyanka Santosh Aher Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Y.R.Shinde, learned Counsel holding for Shri R.R.Karpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. The applicant has participated in the selection process carried out by respondent no.2 Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar for recruitment to the post of Police Constable for the period of 2022-2023 in pursuance of the advertisement issued on 29-02-2024. On 26-06-2024 physical test has been conducted. As a part of the aforesaid selection process written test was conducted on 07-07-2024. The applicant appeared for the said examinations. It is the contention of the applicant that she has performed well in the examinations.

3. On the very next day i.e. on 08-07-2024 realizing that she had forgotten to mention Question

Set Code in the concerned column, applicant sent application by E-Mail to respondent no.2 and prayed for allowing her to mention/write Question Set Code in the concerned column in her answer-sheet. There was no response from the respondents to the said E-Mail communication.

4. On 10-07-2024, the result of the examination was declared and the applicant noticed that against her name in the total marks column, it has been mentioned that she has been disqualified. The notice was also published inviting objections, if any, from the candidates concerned. Accordingly, applicant preferred an application on 11-07-2024 and made the same prayer for allowing her to fill in Question Set Code in the concerned column. Said E-Mail has been replied by the respondents informing the applicant that her objection has been rejected. Applicant has, therefore, approached this Tribunal by filing the present O.A.

5. Shri Y.R.Shinde, learned Counsel appearing for the applicant submitted that the mistake has occurred inadvertently and *bona fide* at the hands of the applicant. Learned Counsel submitted that if the applicant is allowed to correct that mistake,

it will not have any impact on the recruitment process. Learned Counsel further brought to our notice that in the similar circumstances this Tribunal in O.A. 686/2024 has permitted the concerned candidate to fill in the Question Set Code in the concerned column in the answer-sheet. Learned Counsel further submitted that the order passed by this Tribunal is based on the order passed by the Principal Seat of the Tribunal at Mumbai in O.A.No.473/2024. Both the orders are placed on record by the applicant. Learned Counsel in the circumstances, prayed for allowing the O.A.

6. Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned P.O. sought time to take instructions and file affidavit in reply on record. For taking instructions from the respondents, matter was adjourned till afternoon session. In the afternoon session, learned P.O. submitted that entire record is sealed and the concerned officers are busy in conducting further selection process.

7. After having considered the submissions and after having gone through the documents placed on record by the parties, it appears to us that the present matter can be disposed of at the admission

stage itself and affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents is not mandatory in the present matter.

8. In the matter before the Principal Bench of the Tribunal at Mumbai i.e. in O.A.No.473/2024 in the identical fact situation, the Principal Bench directed respondent therein i.e. Maharashtra Public Service Commission (MPSC) to allow the applicant to write the question booklet series code and to blacken the circle provided for such purpose. On the similar lines, this Tribunal has also passed order in O.A.No.686/2024. Only difference in these matters is that in both the abovesaid matters the examinations were conducted by the MPSC and in the present matter the examination is conducted by respondent no.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar.

9. In the circumstances, it appears to us that, similar orders which we have passed in the earlier matters need to be passed in the present O.A. also. We, however, deem it appropriate to note that, in the earlier matters, there was specific evidence on record showing that the answer-sheets of the candidates therein were not assessed only on the ground that the column in respect of the question

booklet series code was not written and column in that regard was kept blank.

10. In the instant matter, the document which has been filed on record only evidences that the applicant has been disqualified. It is the contention of the applicant that she has been disqualified on the said ground of not writing Question Set Code on the answer-sheet. In view thereof, we are passing this order assuming that the applicant has been disqualified on that ground alone. Ultimately, if it is revealed that the disqualification was for some other reason, this order may not be of any help to the applicant.

11. In the circumstances, following order is passed:

ORDER

[i] Respondent no.2 is directed to allow the applicant to write Question Set Code in the concerned column and darken the circle provided for that purpose in the answer-sheet bearing no.1938.

[ii] Respondents are further directed to assess the answer-sheet of the applicant and declare the result thereof.

[iii] Respondents shall permit the applicant to participate in the further selection process, if she is declared passed in the written examination.

[iv] Applicant shall remain present in the office of respondent no.2 on Monday i.e. 15-07-2024 for carrying out necessary compliance.

[v] Learned P.O. shall intimate the present order to the respondents immediately by fastest mode of communication.

[vi] Steno copy may be provided to the learned P.O. on his request.

[vii] O.A. stands disposed of accordingly, without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 703 OF 2024**  
**(Sakharam B. Ghanghaw Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sujit Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Smt. Komal Shinde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that by filing the present Original Application, the applicant has made main prayer to decide the application made by the applicant dated 12.07.2021 and consequently to decide the application made by the applicant dated 27.03.2024. Learned counsel on instructions submits that the applicant seeks leave to delete the prayer clause to the extent of direction to decide the application of the applicant dated 12.07.2021.

3. Leave granted. The applicant's prayer to the extent of seeking direction to decide the application made by the applicant dated 12.07.2021 stands deleted.

//2//

O.A. No. 723/2024

4. The office objection is now not sustainable, as only prayer remaining in the Original Application is about the directions to the respondents to decide the application of the applicant dated 27.03.2024, which is well in limitation.

5. In view of above, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.09.2024.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



//3//

O.A. No. 723/2024

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. S.O. to 24.09.2024.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 299 OF 2024**  
**(Ramesh M. Ade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri V.B. Dhage, learned counsel for respondent No. 3, are present.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

3. List the matter for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any and admission hearing on 14.08.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32 OF 2023**  
**(Babamiya P. Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Kalyani Kakde, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that respondent No. 5 is adopting the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and if necessary, additional affidavit will be filed.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is not willing to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. List the matter for admission hearing on 03.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 596 OF 2024**  
**(Abdul Mushtahid Saudagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. List the matter for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any and admission hearing on 01.08.2024. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 231 OF 2024**  
**(Sana Parvin Aslam Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.N. Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 30.07.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**MA 305/2023 in MA 15/2021 in OA St. 1139/2020  
(Chunilal R. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sanket Suryawanshi, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.P. Pandit, learned counsel for respondent No. 1, are present.

2. By consent, S.O. to 26.07.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 306 OF 2024**  
(Prasanna L. Patil Alias Prasanna N. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.R. Bangar, learned counsel holding for Shri V.S. Panpatte, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, time is granted for filing rejoinder affidavit.

3. S.O. to 29.07.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 484/2023 in O.A. St. No. 1637/2023**  
**(Dr. Vaijanath K. Sasatte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. Shri S.D. Kamble, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 and 5, is **absent**.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 02.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 696 OF 2022**  
**(Sarjerao V. Thombre & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri Avishkar Shelke, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present. None present for respondent No. 2, though duly served.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

3. S.O. to 06.08.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 95 OF 2024**  
**(Kuldip B. Minde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.U. Rathod, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4. Same is taken on record along with spare copy for the applicant.

3. List the matter for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any and admission hearing on 26.08.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 550 OF 2024**  
**(Syed Mukarram Ali Hashmi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Kalyani Kakde, learned counsel holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. List the matter for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any and admission hearing on 09.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 931 OF 2023**  
**(Dasrao T. Deshpande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.K. Ashtekar, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2. Same is taken on record along with spare copy for the applicant.

3. List the matter for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any and admission hearing on 10.09.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 200 OF 2018**  
**(Dinkar G. Shahane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 24.07.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1148 OF 2022**  
**(Asef Aslam Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.R. Bangar, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions from the applicant, who is present in person, seeks leave to withdraw the present Original Application.

3. Leave granted. The Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 133/2023 in O.A. St. No. 264/2023**  
(Yashoda A. Rathod & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**WITH**

**M.A. No. 135/2023 in O.A. St. No. 266/2023**  
(Sahebjaan Gulab Babu Tadvi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**WITH**

**M.A. No. 137/2023 in O.A. St. No. 306/2023**  
(Chaya K. Avhad & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Rutuja Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Bayas, learned counsel for the applicants in all these cases and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these cases, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicants, S.O. to 20.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**M.A. No. 277/2024 in O.A. No. 690/2024**  
**(Vaishali B. Tote Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned counsel holding for Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 29.07.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024



**M.A. St. No. 365/2024 in O.A. St. No. 172/2024**  
**(Dr. Surekha S. Totala Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave to replace the M.A., as the office has raised various objections in the M.A.

3. Leave granted. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to other side.

4. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19.07.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 407 OF 2024**  
**(Vikram V. Kedare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Sonawane, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities for some time.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 24.09.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 495 OF 2024**  
**(Shashikant M. Ghoshir Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B. Jadhavar/M.D. Gite, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 28.08.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 657 OF 2024**  
**(Sudhir Ganesh Bendale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.S. Mutalik, learned counsel holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file application seeking condonation of delay. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 29.07.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 104 OF 2024**  
**(Vishnu S. Darade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Gajanan Kadam, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

2. Part heard.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer and learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 and 4, S.O. to 26.07.2024 for further hearing. Status quo granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575 OF 2024**  
**(Ajit B. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Dhananjay Mane, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 29.07.2024 for hearing **in urgent admission category**.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 510 OF 2022**  
**(Prabhakar S. Jatap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 03.09.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 57 OF 2023**  
**(Shaikh Mohd. Aslam Rahimshamiya Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. It is a part heard matter. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 19.07.2024 for further hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 595 OF 2024**  
**(Ramesh D. Navsupe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.N. Farooqui, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 15.07.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 660 OF 2024**  
**(Saraswati M. Gudmewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 05.09.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 719 OF 2024**  
**(Sohail Noor Mohammad Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.V. Kabade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. It appears that though by impugned order the applicant has been transferred from Udgir, however, no posting has been given. Furthermore as informed by learned counsel for the applicant, the applicant is not relieved yet.

3. In view of the same, considering this peculiar situation, the parties are directed to maintain the status quo as on today till the next date of hearing.

4. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 26.07.2024.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

//2//

O.A. No. 719/2024

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 26.07.2024.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 143/2022 in O.A. St. No. 75/2022**  
**(Sudhir S. Bramhne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.V. Thombre, learned counsel holding for Shri A.B. Kale, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 05.08.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**M.A. No. 48/2024 in O.A. St. No. 251/2024**  
**(Bibhishan M. Aher Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.J. Kore, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 18.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 209 OF 2020**  
(Akhil Ahmed Mukheed Ahmed Kazi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.B. Ade, learned counsel for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for respondent No. 7.

2. Though this is old matter of the year 2020, none appears for the applicant. The applicant and respondent No. 7 are real brothers. Though the department has given compassionate appointment to respondent No. 7 and respondent No. 7 has joined his appointment, however by filing the present Original Application, the applicant has challenged the said order of appointment of respondent No. 7 on compassionate ground.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No. 7 submits that respondent No. 7 is not responding and there are no further instructions.

4. It appears that the parties have amicably settled the matter between them. The Original Application is dismissed in default.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 41 OF 2021**  
**(Bharat B. Sangale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.N. Pagare, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 02.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1034 OF 2022**  
**(Sukha L. Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.N. Pagare, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has expressed her inability to make appropriate submissions for want of instructions from the respondents. Learned P.O. submits that though the communication in writing and oral contact, the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 are not responding.

3. In view of above, the respondent No. 3 is hereby directed to remain present before this Tribunal on the next date of hearing.

4. Learned Presenting Officer to communicate this order to respondent No. 3 forthwith.

5. S.O. to 29.07.2024 for hearing.

6. Steno copy allowed to learned Presenting Officer.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 152/2024 in O.A. St. No. 923/2024**  
**(Kantabai Arun Bhatpude Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. There is a delay of 204 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that though the applicant is a second wife of deceased Government employee, who died on 14.05.2021, however, deceased Government employee divorced to his first wife and during his life time, brought the same to the notice of department. Learned counsel submits that after death of her husband (Government employee), the applicant has submitted representation on 17.01.2022 along with heir-ship certificate and succession certificate issued by the competent Civil Court along with other documents. However, till today no decision has been taken by the department. Learned counsel submits that the delay is not intentional or deliberate one and the same may be condoned.

//2//

M.A. No. 152/2024 in  
O.A. St. No. 923/2024

4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that though the decision is not taken on the representation filed by the applicant, however, there is no satisfactory explanation about the delay caused in filing the accompanying Original Application. Learned P.O. submits that the application seeking condonation of delay may be rejected.

5. It appears that though the applicant has submitted representation on 17.01.2022 along with heir-ship certificate issued by the Civil Court, the department has not taken decision on it. The representation dated 17.01.2022 as it appears, is still pending. Thus, considering same, I am inclined to condone the delay caused in filing the accompanying Original Application, subject to payment of costs by the applicant. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

- (i) The Misc. Application No. 152/2024 is hereby allowed.
- (ii) The delay of 204 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the

//3//

M.A. No. 152/2024 in  
O.A. St. No. 923/2024

Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 100/- (One Hundred Only) to be paid by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be paid to the M.A.T. Bar Association within a period of one month from the date of this order.

- (iii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.
- (iv) The M.A. accordingly disposed of.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. St. No. 923/2024**

**(Kantabai Arun Bhatpude Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. After registration, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.08.2024.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 22.08.2024.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**M.A. No. 42/2023 in O.A. St. No. 3055/2022**  
**(Sudhakar S. Bendke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.U. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. There is a delay of 07 years, 11 months and 15 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that mother of the applicant was working as Cook with the respondents and she died while in service on 13.05.2013. Immediately after her death, the applicant on 04.06.2013 has filed an application with respondent No. 3 for appointment on compassionate ground. Further as directed, on 25.10.2013 the applicant has submitted application in format as per Scheduled B, Part 1 and 2. The respondent No. 3 in turn has forwarded proposal in three copies to respondent No. 2 on 25.10.2013. However, respondent No. 2 has informed to respondent No. 3 about certain flaws/defects/shortcoming in the report by communication dated

//2// M.A. No. 42/2023 in  
O.A. St. No. 3055/2022

09.11.2013. It further appears that though the defects were cleared, the respondent authorities have further directed to the applicant to submit the caste validity certificate. The applicant by filing an application dated 30.12.2013 (Annexure A-6 of O.A.) has informed to the respondent authorities that he is not in a position to obtain the caste certificate and caste validity certificate, he may be considered from Open category. However, as rightly pointed out by learned counsel for the applicant, there is no further communication from the respondent authorities to the applicant in this regard. It further appears from the documents submitted along with the present Misc. Application considering the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondents that no decision has been taken by the respondent authorities on the application submitted by the applicant seeking compassionate appointment till date. In view of the same, I am inclined to condone the delay caused in filing the accompanying Original Application for the reason that there is no intentional or deliberate delay as such.



//3// M.A. No. 42/2023 in  
O.A. St. No. 3055/2022

4. Though the learned Presenting Officer has vehemently submitted that the delay is inordinate one and the applicant has failed to tender satisfactory explanation, however the fact would remain that no decision has been taken by the respondent authorities on the application filed by the applicant way back in the year 2013 seeking appointment on compassionate ground.

5. In view of above, I am inclined to condone the delay caused in filing the accompanying Original Application, subject to payment of costs by the applicant. Hence, the following order :-

**ORDER**

- (i) The Misc. Application No. 42/2023 is hereby allowed.
- (ii) The delay of 07 years, 11 months and 15 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 500/- (Five Hundred Only) to be paid by the applicant. The amount of costs

//4// M.A. No. 42/2023 in  
O.A. St. No. 3055/2022

shall be paid to the M.A.T. Bar Association within a period of one month from the date of this order.

- (iii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.
- (iv) The M.A. accordingly disposed of.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. St. No. 3055/2022**  
**(Sudhakar S. Bendke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.U. Shaikh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. After registration, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 13.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 13.09.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**M.A. NO. 205/2023 IN O.A. NO. 698/2022**  
**(Ahmed Khan Inyat Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. There is delay of 2180 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that the applicant had joined the services as Badli Worker at Dr. Shankarrao Chavan Government Medical College and Hospital, Vishnupuri, Nanded - Respondent No. 3 and since then he is continuously working as a Badli Worker. He is being given appointment of 29 days every month. The applicant is persuading the respondents to regularize his services. Learned Counsel submits that as per the Government policy declared in this regard, Joint Director has called report from Dean, Dr. Shankarrao Chavan Government Medical College and Hospital, Vishnupuri, Nanded and called list of

//2//

M.A. 205/2023 IN  
O.A. 698/2022

Badli Workers like applicant and the same is pending before the Government.

4. Learned Counsel submits that the Government by issuing G.R. dated 07.12.2015 has constituted a committee for regularization of Badli Workers, however, the said process is still going on. Learned Counsel has placed on record the letter dated 14-02-2024 addressed by the Dean, Dr. Shankarrao Chavan Government Medical College and Hospital, Vishnupuri, Nanded to the Commissioner, Medical Education, Mumbai wherein the list of the employees is annexed and it has been informed in the said letter that there are Badli Workers working in the Hospital for more than 25 years and even they had worked effectively in the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic.

5. Learned Counsel submits that there is recurring cause of action, since issue is not finally decided. As such, learned Counsel submits that the delay deserves to be condoned, as there is no inaction on the part of the applicant and there is no deliberate or intentional delay.

//3//

M.A. 205/2023 IN  
O.A. 698/2022

6. Learned P.O. strongly opposed for condoning the delay on the ground that sufficient reasons are not mentioned and the delay has not been explained satisfactorily, and therefore, the application is liable to be rejected.

7. By filing the accompanying O.A., applicant is seeking directions to the respondents to regularize his services as Badli Worker in class-IV cadre. It is not disputed that the applicant is working as Badli Worker in the Respondent no. 3 Government Hospital for more than 25 years and same is reflected in the letter dated 14-02-2024 issued by the Dean, Dr. Shankarrao Chavan Government Medical College and Hospital, Vishnupuri, Nanded. I, thus, agree with the submissions made by the applicant that there is a recurring cause of action, as the issue is still pending.

8. There is no inaction on the part of the applicant, so also the delay is not intentional or deliberate. It appears that unless and until the decision is taken in this regard by the Government, which will be in consonance with the decision taken in respect of Badli Workers working in J.J.Hospital,

//4//

M.A. 205/2023 IN  
O.A. 698/2022

Mumbai, there would be a recurring cause of action. I am, thus, inclined to condone the delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A. subject to payment of costs by the applicant. Hence, the following order:

**ORDER**

- [i] M.A. No. 205/2023 is hereby allowed.
- [ii] Delay of 2180 days caused in filing the O.A. is condoned, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 1000/- (One Thousand Only) to be paid by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be paid to the M.A.T. Bar Association within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (iii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.
- (iv) The M.A. accordingly disposed of.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 698/2022**  
**(Ahmed Khan Inyat Khan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Resha Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 13.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 13.09.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A. No. 171/2024 in O.A. St. No. 356/2024**  
**(Dattatray M. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 5 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof is given to learned counsel for the applicant.

3. List the matter for hearing on 21.08.2024.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 492 OF 2023**  
**(Dr. Jagannath G. Kundkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 19.07.2024 for hearing.

3. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 521 OF 2023**  
**(Dr. Meena R. Latpate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for the respondent No.4 has filed a **leave note**.

2. In view of leave note of learned counsel for respondent No.4, S.O. to 09.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 737 OF 2023**  
**(Devidas S. Waghmare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Ghatol Patil, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned P.O. for some time.

3. It appears that though the applicant has rightly submitted an application for compassionate appointment in the Nanded Office where his father was working and died in harness, however, as appears from the affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5 in paragraph No. 12 due to typographical error the name of the applicant got added in the list forwarded to Collector, Beed.

4. At present realizing the said mistake, though the name of the applicant came to be deleted from the waiting list of Collector, Beed, however, during the said period, the applicant has lost his seniority in the waiting list maintained at Collector Office,

//2//

Nanded and now in the waiting list maintained by Collector, Nanded the name of applicant is at Sr. No. 80.

5. Learned P.O. is directed to take specific instructions in this regard and submit the short affidavit if so needed.

6. S.O. to 26.07.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**O.A.NO. 790/2023 WITH Caveat No. 29/2023  
(Dinesh C. Maliagir Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Bharat Waramma, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri P.B. Patil, learned counsel for respondent No.5/Caveator, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for respondent No.5/Caveator, S.O. to 19.07.2024 as a last chance for hearing.

3. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 796 OF 2023**  
**(Laxman N. Mandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Ms. P.R. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant.
3. S.O. to 09.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 840 OF 2023  
(Sandip J. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Bharat R. Waramma, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned counsel holding for Shri A.K. Tiwari, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. By consent of parties, S.O. to 19.07.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 856 OF 2023  
(Yankatrao D. Manale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Nitin L. Dhobale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 09.09.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 114 OF 2024**  
**(Gangasagar B. Kokate Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.V. Thorat, learned counsel for the applicant, Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri D.Y. Nandedkar, learned counsel for respondent No.4, are present.

2. At the request of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 16.07.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 247 OF 2024  
(Pooja C. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.J. Kore, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. None present for the applicant.
3. S.O. to 08.08.2024 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49 OF 2018**  
**(Ravindra V. Sapkale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P. Randhir, learned counsel for the applicant, is **absent**. Smt. R.S. Deshmukh, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 15.07.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 158 OF 2018**  
**(Dr. Yogesh U. Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri A.P. Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 01.08.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 12.07.2024

**M.A. 366 OF 2018 IN M.A.ST. 1591 OF 2018 IN  
O.A.ST. 1592/2018**

**(Dr. Ravindra A. Daware & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.No. 295 /2019 IN O.A.ST.No. 993/2019  
(Vaishali S. Sanap @ Vaishali A. Nagargoje Vs. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for  
Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned counsel for the  
applicants in both the matters and Shri A.P.  
Basarkar, learned Presenting Officer for the  
respondent authorities in both the matters, are  
present.

2. S.O. to 01.08.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**M.A.NO. 236 OF 2024 IN O.A.NO. 884 OF 2017**  
**(The Chief Officer, Municipal Council Vs. Prabhakar D.**  
**Mali & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO. 237 OF 2024 IN O.A.NO. 888 OF 2017**  
**(The Chief Officer, Municipal Council Vs. Pratap K.**  
**Kulkarni & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO. 238 OF 2024 IN O.A.NO. 892 OF 2017**  
**(The Chief Officer, Municipal Council Vs. Nagesh A. Joshi**  
**& Ors.)**

**WITH**

**M.A.NO. 239 OF 2024 IN O.A.NO. 893 OF 2017**  
**(The Chief Officer, Municipal Council Vs. Avinash Y.**  
**Deshmukh & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in all these M.As./respondent No.5 in O.As. and Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As., is **absent**.

2. In the Original Applications bearing No. 884 of 2017, 888 of 2017, 892 of 2017 and 893 of 2017, the present applicant in M.A. who is respondent No.5 in the O.As. i.e. Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Osmanabad has failed to file the



//2//

affidavit in reply and in consequences thereof this Tribunal has imposed the costs of Rs. 10,000/-.

3. Being aggrieved by the same, the respondent-Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Osmanabad has approached the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad by filing Writ Petition Nos. 8038 of 2019, 8039 of 2019, 8040 of 2019 and 8083 of 2019 respectively. The Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad by order dated 02.08.2019 has modified the quantum of costs directing thereby the respondent - Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Osmanabad to pay the costs of Rs.5,000/-.

4. By filing these Misc. Applications, the present applicant (respondent- Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Osmanabad in the Original Applications) has requested to accept the said amount.

5. Learned counsel appearing for Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Osmanabad submits that the said amount of costs of Rs. 5,000/- per O.As. will be

//3//

deposited within two weeks from the date of this order. Hence, the following order:-

**ORDER**

- (A) The M.A.No. 236/2024 in O.A.No. 884/2017, M.A.No. 237/2024 in O.A.No. 888/2017, M.A.No. 238/2024 in O.A.No. 892/2017 and M.A.No. 239/2024 in O.A.No. 893/2017 are hereby allowed.
- (B) The applicant in M.As. (respondent- Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Osmanabad in O.As.) is hereby permitted to deposit the amount of Rs. 5,000/- per O.As. as directed by the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad within two weeks from the date of this order and on depositing the amount of costs before this Tribunal, the reply filed by the applicant (respondent- Chief Officer, Municipal Council, Osmanabad) be accepted.
- (C) The Misc. Applications are accordingly disposed of.

**MEMBER (J)**

**O.A. 884/2017, O.A. 885/2017, O.A. 886/2017,  
O.A. 887/2017, O.A. 888/2017, O.A. 889/2017,  
O.A. 890/2017, O.A. 891/2017, O.A. 892/2017,  
O.A. 893/2017, O.A. 894/2017 and O.A. 895/ 2017  
(Prabhakar D. Mali & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri V.K. Jadhav, Member (J)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.M. Hange, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these O.As. and Shri Vivek Deshmukh, learned counsel for the respondent No. 5 in O.A. Nos. 884, 888, 892 & 893 all of 2017 are present.

Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicants in all these O.As. except O.A. No. 885/2017, party in person in O.A. No. 885/2017, Shri N.K. Tungar, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 in O.A. Nos. 886 & 895 both of 2017, Shri Abhijit More, learned counsel for respondent No. 5 in O.A. No. 887/2017 and Shri B.R. Sontakke Patil, learned counsel for respondent No.5 in O.A. No. 891/2017, are **absent**.

2. As none present for the applicants, S.O. to 01.08.2024 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P.NO. 39/2024 IN O.A.NO. 91/2020**  
**(Shyam K. Bhavsar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Jayashree Dongre, learned counsel holding for Smt. S.A. Dhongde, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submitted that during course of the day affidavit in reply will be filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 4. If affidavit in reply is filed the same be taken on record and copy thereof be supplied to the other side.

3. S.O. to 02.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 623 OF 2021**  
**(Mehboobali Yawarali Khan Pathan Vs. The State of Maharashtra**  
**& Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. S.O. to 15.07.2024. **Part heard.**

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 427 OF 2020**  
**(Rahul Bharat Chaudhare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 31.07.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 426 OF 2020**  
**(Sunil Sahebrao Pagare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 31.07.2024. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**REV. NO. 03/2024 IN O.A.NO. 312/2019**  
**(Maharashtra Public Service Commission Vs. Dr. Ashok P. Misal)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the review petitioner, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the State Authorities and Shri J.S .Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No. 2/ applicant in O.A, are present.

2. Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel appearing for the MPSC submits that in the present matter learned counsel Shri M.S. Kulkarni is appointed as a special counsel to argue the present review petition and today he is unable to appear before this Tribunal because of certain matters before the Hon'ble High Court. He has, therefore, prayed for time till Monday i.e. on 15.07.2024.

3. In view of the above, list the matter for hearing on 15.07.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**REV. NO. 04/2024 IN O.A.NO. 360/2019**  
**(Maharashtra Public Service Commission Vs. Dr. Atul A. Deshmukh)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the review petitioner, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the State Authorities and Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned counsel holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned counsel for respondent No. 5/ applicant in O.A, are present.

2. Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel appearing for the MPSC submits that in the present matter learned counsel Shri M.S. Kulkarni is appointed as a special counsel to argue the present review petition and today he is unable to appear before this Tribunal because of certain matters before the Hon'ble High Court. He has, therefore, prayed for time till Monday i.e. on 15.07.2024.

3. In view of the above, list the matter for hearing on 15.07.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**REV. NO. 05/2024 IN O.A.NO. 67/2018**  
**(Maharashtra Public Service Commission Vs. Dr. Mohd. Feroj Iqbal)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel for the review petitioner, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the State Authorities and Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for respondent No. 3/ applicant in O.A, are present.

2. Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned counsel appearing for the MPSC submits that in the present matter learned counsel Shri M.S. Kulkarni is appointed as a special counsel to argue the present review petition and today he is unable to appear before this Tribunal because of certain matters before the Hon'ble High Court. He has, therefore, prayed for time till Monday i.e. on 15.07.2024.

3. In view of the above, list the matter for hearing on 15.07.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**O.A.NOS. 886, 887 & 921 ALL OF 2022**  
**(Shrinivas P. Karve & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Suresh M. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicants (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in all these matters, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed additional affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3 in O.A. Nos. 886 & 921 both of 2022 and the same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 02.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 201 OF 2023**  
**(Sharad Sahebrao Borse Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Chate, learned counsel holding for Shri S.R. Andhale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 363 OF 2023**  
**(Bharat Waman Ware Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Chate, learned counsel holding for Shri S.R. Andhale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 201 OF 2023**  
**(Sharad Sahebrao Borse Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.S. Chate, learned counsel holding for Shri S.R. Andhale, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 23.08.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 517 OF 2024**  
**(Pradip Gokul Gandurde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Manish V. Bhamre, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In the present matter the following order was passed on the previous date i.e. on 03.07.2024 :-

“2. Learned counsel for the applicant is absent. On the previous date also nobody has caused appearance for the applicant. List the matter after one week. If nobody appears for the applicant on the next date, the necessary order would follow.

3. S.O. to 10.07.2024.”

3. Today, when the matter is called out, no one has caused appearance for the applicant. It appears that the applicant has lost the interest in prosecuting the matter further. Hence the following order is passed: -

**:: - 2 - ::**

**O.A. NO. 517/2024**

**ORDER**

The Original Application stands dismissed for want of prosecution. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 2247 OF 2023**  
**(Kaka Bhanudas Waghmare Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A. Wakure, learned counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 09.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**M.A.ST. 874/23 IN REV.ST.875/23 IN O.A.222/22  
(Khushalsinh R. Pardeshi Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman  
AND  
Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Mahesh B. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. S.O. to 27.08.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD

**C.P.NO. 65/2024 IN O.A.NO. 568/2019**  
**(Dnyanoba K. Ovhal Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri D.T. Devane, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 25.07.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD

**C.P.NO. 50/2024 IN O.A.NO. 229/2021**  
**(Balbir Singh Jagannath Prasad Tyagi Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**

**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Issue simple notice to the respondents, returnable on 04.09.2024.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 04.09.2024.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 591 OF 2024**  
**(sagar Popat Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.A. Raut, learned counsel holding for N.L. Choudhary, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to delete the name of respondent No. 5 from the array of respondents. Leave granted. The necessary amendment be carried out within a period of one week.

3. S.O. to 03.09.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P.NO. 59/2023 IN O.A.NO. 629/2021**  
**(Dr. Archana V. Bhosale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.08.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 12.07.2024-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 831 OF 2021**  
**(Anand Bhimrao Gayake Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Supriya R. Bhillegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Joshi, learned counsel for respondent No. 8, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. It appears from the proceeding that on the previous date time was granted by way of last chance. Since for effective hearing in the present matter the affidavit in reply of the said respondent is necessary, one more chance is granted. The learned P.O. to submit affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 on or before the next date.

3. S.O. to 14.08.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**C.P.NO. 27/2023 IN O.A.NO. 681/2019**  
**(Damodhar G. Thengde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Supriya R. Bhillegaonkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted communication dated 10.07.2024 received to the office of the Chief Presenting Officer, wherein it is contended that the respondents are complying the order passed by this Tribunal and the said matter is under process, however, some time may be required to complete the said process. Copy of the communication is taken on record and copy thereof is given to the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. In view of the communication so received, S.O. to 20.08.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 390 OF 2024**  
**(Sunita Vishwanath Pawal Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Vice Chairman**  
**AND**  
**Shri Vinay Kargaonkar, Member (A)**

**DATE : 12.07.2024**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.S. Bhosle, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. The request is strongly opposed by the learned counsel appearing for the applicant. However, in the interest of justice, two weeks' time is granted by way of last chance. It is made clear that if the affidavit in reply is not filed on or before the next date, the matter will be heard without affidavit in reply of the respondents.

3. S.O. to 30.07.2024.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**