

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/7/2019</u></p> <p>ORAM:</p> <p>Mem No Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Mem No Shri M. J. Deshpande (Member) A</p> <p>Mem No Shri P. N. Dixit (VIC-A)</p> <p>Shri/Smt.: <u>Uday Warunjikar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt.: <u>S. P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>30/7/2019</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.307 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. V.P. Deshpande Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Uday Warunjikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This case was heard. Judgment was dictated, but it could not be transcribed and made ready. Today being last day of working of Chairman, the judgment cannot be kept pending. Hence, O.A. be heard again. Not to be treated as part heard.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.07.2019.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <u>(P.N. Dixit)</u> Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi, JP)</u> Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 10px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.597 of 2018
---	--

V.B. Panari & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. P.J. Gavhane, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri R.L. Chavan, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4 to 19 & 21 to 24.

2. Ld. Advocate for private respondents states that copy of the OA is not served on him. Ld. Advocate for the applicants mentions that she would serve the Ld. Advocate for private respondents.

3. Ld. CPO and Ld. Advocate for private respondents seeks four weeks time to file reply.

4. By consent S.O. to 20.8.2019.

12/07/2019

Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A

Humble Shri P.N. Dixit (V/C)

Shri P. J. Gavhane


Advocate for Applicant

Shri S. P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3 and Shri R.L. Chavan for 4 to 19 and 21 to 24

Adv. To 20/8/2019

(sgl)

Sd/- 
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
12.7.2019



IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.836 of 2018
<p>DATE: 11/7/2019</p> <p>CO. No. _____</p> <p>Hon. JUDGE Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. JUDGE Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>Hon. JUDGE Shri P. N. Dixit (V/C)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri O. M. Kulkarni</p> <p>Advocate for Applicants</p> <p>Shri K. P. Manchekar</p> <p>Advocate for Respondents</p> <p>Adv. To: 22/8/2019</p> <p>8</p>	<p>U.K. Survade & Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri O.M. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicants submits to show the proposed amendment in the OA on 22.8.2019.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 22.8.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 12.7.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.As. No.312 & 355 of 2019
<p>DATE: <u>12/7/2019</u></p> <p>SEBAM:</p> <p>Member Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Member Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p>Honble Shri. D.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Shri. <u>D. R. Irale-Patil</u></p> <p>Shri. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>Shri. _____</p> <p>DATE: <u>12/8/2019</u></p>	<p>D.P. Koli N.D. Apte & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicants adjourned to 8.8.2019 to bring the details of the expert committee report.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.8.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 12.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.334 of 2019
<p>12/7/19</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri. A. K. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. M. Rameshwar (Member)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. P.N. Dixit (V/CA)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri. K.R. Jagdale</p> <p>Shri. B.P. Manchekar</p> <p>18/07/2019</p>	<p>K.K. Gosavi ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces a Government order dated 11.7.2019 which has promoted the applicant and the posting orders are going to be issued separately. A copy of the same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO confirms the same and informs that the file is pending with the Hon'ble Minister for approving the posting. She seeks adjournment to 18.7.2019.</p> <p>4. The respondents are directed to intimate the progress by 18.7.2019. S.O. to 18.7.2019.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 12.7.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.389 of 2019

S.A. Jaykar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri H.H. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record. She seeks one weeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent no.1.

3. At her request adjourned to 23.7.2019.

DATE: 12/07/2019

Justice Shri A. H. Jochi (Chairman)

M. Ramchitambar (Member) A

Honble Shri. P.N. Dixit (VICA)

H.H. Jagtap.

Advocate

S. P. Manchekar

for the Respondent's

Ad. To 23/07/2019

(P)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
12.7.2019

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.07.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.664 of 2019</p> <p>B.D. KambleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is working as Attendant (Class-IV) employee at District Veterinary Polyclinic, Aundh, District Pune. By order dated 15.06.2019 he was transferred to Taluka Mini Polyclinic, Kavethemahankal, District Sangli on administrative ground. However, by another order dated 15.06.2019 one Shri Gorakh Shankar Chougule who was posted in village Arag, Taluka Miraj, District Sangli, was also transferred at place where the Applicant has been transferred. Thus the Applicant as well as Shri Gorakh Shankar Chougule were transferred and posted on same post by the different orders passed on the same day.</p> <p>3. In pursuance of transfer, Shri Gorakh Shankar Chougule had already joined at Kaute, Mahal. The Applicant had brought his aspect to the notice of Respondent No.2, Commissioner, Animal Husbandary by his representation dated 20.06.2019. However, no steps are taken by the Respondent to rectify the mistake.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. sought to contend that there is complaint against the Applicant and therefore his transfer was necessitated.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. At present the issue is now two employees are posted on the same post. As another employee Smt Gorakh Shankar Chougule has already joined the Applicant is left in abeyance.</p> <p>6. At this juncture, learned P.O. submitted that the Department will consider the transfer of the Applicant to some other post which he has demanded in his representation dated 20.06.2019.</p> <p>7. It seems that the post sought by the Applicant is vacant. In view of the above, Respondents are directed to take appropriate decision about the posting of the Applicant and apprise the Tribunal on next date.</p> <p>8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 16.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.07.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.671 of 2019</p> <p>R.B. RandiveApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. the challenged is to the transfer order dated 30.05.2019. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale fairly concede that the applicant is already relieved but sought to challenge the impugned order on the ground that the Applicant has not completed the tenure of three years and there is no compliance of Section 4(4(ii) and 4(5) of the Transfer Act 2005.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant further seeks permission to amend the title clause of O.A. to add Respondent No.4 as The Divisional Forest Officer, and Respondent No.5 as Shri D.K. Kadam (Private Respondent). He has pointed out that he has already made pleading to that effect in O.A. but inadvertently Respondents No.4 and 5 were not added in the title clause.</p> <p>4. Allowed to amend the O.A. Amendment be carried out within three days. After amendment of O.A. issue notice before admission returnable on 06.08.2019.</p> <p>5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 06.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>ork</p>

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.670 OF 2019

Dr. A.B. Jagtap

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

Shri A. Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 12.07.2019

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A. Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A. the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 04.07.2019 on the ground that the same is not in consonance with Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Government Servants Regulation of Transfer and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as Act 2005). The Applicant was due to transfer but no transfer order has been issued in April or May and, therefore, the Applicant has assailed the impugned transfer order.

3. The perusal of record reveals that the Civil Services Board has earlier recommended the name of the Applicant for posting at Purandar, District Pune, but by the impugned order dated 04.07.2019 he was transferred to Malharpeth, District Satara.

Wadhwa

4. It further transpires that earlier the power for general transfer was delegated to the Secretary but it was withdrawn and the Hon'ble Minister himself took over the power. In this behalf it is important to note by the circular dated 01.07.2019 the power of regular transfer was only assigned to Hon'ble Minister.

5. Whereas in the present case, the transfer orders being issued on 04.07.2019, prima-facie, it is mid-term transfers and, therefore, there has to be compliance of approval of preceding next Competent Authority. However, perusal of file reveals that the Hon'ble Chief Minister had only approved the general / regular transfers and as regard to mid-term transfer. the Hon'ble Minister was directed to take decision at his level.

6. Thus, the position culminates that there is no approval of Hon'ble Chief Minister. Besides, after cancellation of earlier order of transfer, the matter was not placed before the CSB for approval. The posting given to the Applicant in pursuance of earlier recommendation was totally changed. No special reasons are forthcoming to justify such mid-term transfers.

7. In view of above, I am satisfied that prima-facie, the impugned transfer order dated 04.07.2019 qua the Applicant is not sustainable and the Applicant is entitled to interim relief.

8. In view of above, implementation of the transfer order dated 04.07.2019 qua the Applicant is stayed.

9. As the Applicant has made out strong case of breach of mandatory requirement of law. the Applicant be reposted on the same post, if relieved earlier.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.07.2019.
11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
14. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
15. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
16. S.O. to 30.07.2019.

Sd/-

✓
(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.564 of 2019 with O.A.No.565 of 2019 (Subject : Transfer)</p> <p>Dr. S.B. Adagale (O.A.564/2019) Dr. T.S. Sarvade (O.A.565/2019) Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In both the Original Applications, the challenge is to general transfer orders. Admittedly, the Applicants had completed normal tenure. However, the grievance seems to be that there is no compliance of Government circular dated 09.04.2018. In fact this Government Circular dated 09.04.2018 which pertains to the transfer through counseling is not applicable to the applicant being Group A officer, therefore, the question of submission of option and giving posting as per the option in terms of circular dated 09.04.2018 does not survive.</p> <p>3. The applications seem to have been filed without any cause of action.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate however submitted that the representation made by the Applicants are not considered and direction be given to the Respondents to consider their representation, and O.A. be disposed of.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, both the Original Applications are disposed of. Respondents may consider the representation made by the Applicants, if any, in accordance to rules.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.760 of 2018</p> <p>I.M. MogalApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., challenge is to the transfer order dated 31.05.2017. Learned C.P.O. had pointed out that the impugned transfer order has been passed on the request made by wife of the Applicant and, therefore, the Applicant cannot be said to be aggrieved by the transfer order.</p> <p>3. However, learned Advocate Shri R.G. Panchal for the Applicant has filed additional affidavit-in-rejoinder stating that his wife had made the application for his transfer without his knowledge or consent and therefore It is not acceptable to him. Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 19.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.18 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. PatilApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A. Patil, Applicant in person and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, Shri S.A. Patil, Applicant in person has filed the affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned with connected matter i.e. O.A.No.1013 of 2019 to 26.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1013 of 2018</p> <p>S.A. PatilApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.A. Patil, Applicant in person and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, Shri S.A. Patil has filed written notes of arguments. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents seeks time for arguments.</p> <p>3. In this matter on previous dates oral directions were given to learned P.O. to produce correct xerox copies of the log book, having noticed certain discrepancies pointed out by the Applicant in person</p> <p>4. However, there is no compliance of the oral directions given by the Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O sought time for hearing and to produce the original log book before the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. Adjourned for hearing to 26.07.2019. To be placed along with O.A.No.18 of 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.07.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.665 of 2019</p> <p>D.D. KambleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.08.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 07.08.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="797 327 992 353">Date : 12.07.2019</p> <p data-bbox="997 394 1214 421">O.A.No.666 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="797 454 1365 495">S.P. HadakeApplicant.</p> <p data-bbox="797 488 870 510">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="797 517 1382 557">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="797 584 1414 689">1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. <li data-bbox="797 730 1414 797">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.08.2019. <li data-bbox="797 837 1414 943">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. <li data-bbox="797 983 1414 1200">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <li data-bbox="797 1240 1414 1391">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <li data-bbox="797 1431 1414 1615">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. <li data-bbox="797 1655 1414 1839">7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. <li data-bbox="797 1879 1052 1906">8. S.O. to 07.08.2019. <p data-bbox="1159 1962 1219 1989" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1105 2040 1273 2101" style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="764 2096 800 2123">prk</p>