

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.559 of 2022</p> <p>R.V. Kharat & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. The perusal of record reveals that Respondent Nos.1 to 3 have filed Affidavit-in-Reply long back. The Applicants have not filed Rejoinder thus the matter is ripe for Final Hearing. Today since the Applicants and their Advocate is absent, in the interest of justice one chance is granted to them. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing. S.O to 30.06.2023. <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.06.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.154 of 2023 in O.A.No.1329 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. G. A. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks' time is granted for filing reply to M. A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3030.06.2023.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.346/2023</u></p> <p>Smt. G.S. Shirke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V, Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, one day time is granted to file Affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.246 & 247/2023</u></p> <p>Shri K.B. Yadav Shri R.T. Gaikwad ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicants and Advocate absent. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, adjourned to tomorrow for filing reply as well as hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ~~~~~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As.343 & 344/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.S. Parate Shri C.B. Patil ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present. 2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply as last chance. 3. S.O. to 26th June, 2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.879/2022</u></p> <p>Smt. N.S. Naik ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the issue involved in the present matter as to whether O.A. is maintainable without filing appeal is also raised in group of O.As fixed on 03.07.2023. He, therefore, requested to hear this matter along with those matters on 03.07.2023.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3rd July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.220/2022</u></p> <p>Smt. S.G. Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by this Tribunal on 29.03.2023, hearing was adjourned awaiting decision of Division Bench in view of conflicted decisions of Single Bench as to when judicial proceeding shall deem to be instituted against a Government servant.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the issue is still pending before the Division Bench.</p> <p>4. In view of above, hearing of O.A. is adjourned to 27th July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">h Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.589/2023</u></p> <p>Smt. M.K. Gadekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.488/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.K. Sane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S. Upasani, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.352/2023</u></p> <p>Smt. N.A. Katti & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.351/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.P. Adivarekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">N Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: right;"><u>O.A.477/2023</u></p> <p>Shri G.S. Ghube ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.321/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.D. Ingale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Dr. P.G. Kayande, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On the request of learned P.O, two weeks' time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26th June, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">N Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.289/2023</u></p> <p>Shri Y.R. Gayakwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2 – S.P, Jalgaon. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. However, no reply is filed on behalf of C.F. Mumbai.</p> <p>4. Matter to proceed without reply of Respondent No.1 to 19th June, 2023.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19th June, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.284/2023</u></p> <p>Shri R.N. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri K.A. Shinde, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12th July, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.06.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A.262/2023

Smt. S.S. Kamble ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondent's is present.

2. On previous date, last chance was granted to file Affidavit-in-reply. Till date, no reply is filed. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant further time.

3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission on 11th July, 2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
12.06.2023

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

O.A.No.637 of 2023

R. R. Yadav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 19.05.2023 on the ground of non-consideration of his options at the time of transfer. He was serving as Inspector in Public Trust Registration Office, Mumbai and was due for transfer in general transfers. The Respondent No.2- Charity Commissioner, Worli, Mumbai transferred the Applicant from Mumbai to Solapur ignoring his options of Thane and Alibag. Apart, he had also sought extension in Mumbai on the ground that his wife is serving in Mumbai. It appears that the Applicant is at Mumbai from 2012 and, therefore, he was transferred from Mumbai.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant raised grievance of discrimination contending that some of the employees who are serving in Mumbai from 2009 were given benefit of the policy but his client is subjected to discrimination.
4. The Applicant has already joined at Solapur in terms of transfer order dated 19.05.2023.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.07.2023.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 10.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

M.A. No.239 of 2023 in O.A.No.378 of 2023

K. S. More ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.

3. M.A. is adjourned for hearing of order.

4. S.O. to 03.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.06.2023
	<p align="center">M.A. No.221 of 2023 in O.A.No.45 of 2022</p> <p>R. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay caused in challenging communication dated 28.02.2020 whereby Applicant's claim for compassionate appointment was rejected. Limitation to file O.A. was expired on 28.02.2021. However, O.A. is filed on 18.01.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the Hon'ble Supreme Court by order dated 10.01.2022, extended the period of limitation. As per order of Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Suo-Motu</i> W.P.No.03/2020, in cases where limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022 notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022 because of Covid-19 pandemic situation.</p> <p>4. Whereas in present case, the O.A. has been filed on 18.01.2022 i.e. in between the extended period of limitation. This being so, the delay deserved to be condoned.</p> <p>5. Accordingly, M.A. is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right"> ⁷ Sd/- ^W (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

O.A.No.45 of 2022

R. B. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The delay caused in filing O.A. is condoned as per order dated 12.06.2023 in M.A.221/2023. The O.A. is, therefore, required to be decided on its own merit. Since the Respondents are already appeared in M.A. issuance of notice is dispensed with.

3. Two weeks' time is granted to Respondents to file reply in O.A.

4. S.O. to 26.06.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 12.06.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.06.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.275 of 2023 in O. A. No. 132 of 2023</p> <p>G. B. Nerkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri I. I. Patel, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.275 /2023 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.06.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.76 of 2023 in O. A. No. 132 of 2023</p> <p>G. B. Nerkar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri I. I. Patel, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay calculated as 27 months caused in filing O.A. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.07.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 10.07.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

M. A. No.199 of 2023 in O.A.No.462 of 2020

D. R. Nalwad & Ors.

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., three days' time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.

3. S.O. to 16.06.2023.

Sd/-

W

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

O.A.No. 646 of 2023

S. R. Bhadange

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 11.05.2023 whereby he is transferred from Nashik to Ratnagiri. He was serving as Clerk-cum-Typist on the establishment of department of Archaeology, Nashik and transferred to Ratnagiri ~~and~~ completed normal tenure at Nashik.

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant raised grievance that the Respondents have not followed the procedure laid down in G.R. dated 09.04.2018 scrupulously and no options were called before issuance of transfer order.

4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.07.2023.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 11.07.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.06.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.647 of 2023</p> <p>P. M. ShewaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 13.06.2022 whereby third benefit of ACPS was refused on the ground that he retired on 31.07.2016 i.e. before the date of his entitlement to the said benefit. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.07.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 11.07.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

M.A. No.236 of 2023 in O.A.No.158 of 2022

S. B. KobalkarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Archana Yadav holding for Shri S. Rathod, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 19.06.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

**C.A.No.43/2023 in O.A.No.32/2023
With
M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A.No.619/2023**

A.D. Nargunde & OrsApplicants
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents
with	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Appli(Org. Respd.)
Versus	
R.D. Pardhi & Ors.Respondents(Org. Appli)

Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in C.A.No.43/2023 in O.A.No.32/2023.

Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent).

Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondents (Org. Applicant) in M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A.No.619/2023

CORAM : **Justice Mridula Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Mr. Medha Gadgil, Member (A)

DATE : **12.06.2023.**

O R D E R

1. Learned Presenting Officer Ms. K.G. Gaikwad for the Applicant (Org. Respondent) has submitted that M.A.No.364/2023 is filed for restraining the applicants from reverting them from the post of Awal Karkoon to the post of Revenue Assistant because they have competed 8 to 9 years. By virtue of the orders passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.32/2023, dated 11.01.2023 the concerned Respondent was



directed to decide the applications in the view of Full Bench decision of the Tribunal dated 02.02.2017 in O.A.No.354/2015, Mr. Mahesh M. Sapre Versus State of Maharashtra & Ors. Learned P.O. has further submitted that seniority of all the posts of Awal Karkoon is prepared as on 31.03.2018 during the period from 1997 to 2017. Thereafter there was shuffling in seniority list of the post of Awal Karkoon and this fact is also known to the applicants in O.A.No.619/2023. The applicants in O.A.No.32/2023 are Revenue Assistants / Clerks seeking promotion to the post of Awal karkoon on the basis of the judgment of Mr. Mahesh M. Sapre (supra).

2. Learned P.O. has further submitted that the Provisional list of this Seniority was published on 12.02.2017 and at that time 129 objections were dealt with. On the basis of that the Commissioner Officer, Pune issued Final Seniority list of that particular Division on 31.05.2018. Accordingly, the promotions were given to the post of Awal Karkoon with deemed date and the persons who are not entitled to promotions they were not given deemed date. This fact was known to the applicants in O.A.No.619/2023 and O.A.No.32/2023.

3. On 02.11.2018, 100 posts of Awal Karkoon earlier clubbed in both the Departments of Revenue as well as Supply were separated and therefore in the year 2018 no promotions were given in either Supply or Revenue Department. So the procedure of deemed date was not taken further and this fact was communicated to the applicants in O.A.No.619/2023 on 18.05.2023. Applicants in O.A.No.619/2023 have asked for the documents on 22.05.2023 and requested for 15 days time



but the documents were provided to them on the same day and thereafter learned Advocate submitted that on 05.06.2022 applicants have given reply to the show cause notice to the Department on 15.06.2023. The learned Advocate has submitted that the judgment of Mr. Mahesh M. Sapre (supra) is about seniority list of Raigad District and in present the O.A. the seniority list of Pune District is challenged.

4. In this C.A. learned P.O. has pointed out that the officers from the Pune Collectorate office Mrs. Sarika Chaudhari is present and she pointed out that the promotion orders of the applicant could not be issued in view of the status quo granted by the Tribunal in O.A.No.619/2023 dated 30.05.2023 therefore cannot be issued. In view of this it is found that there are two orders of the Tribunal which are clashing and therefore obviously it is difficult for the office of the Collector to implement the order of the Tribunal passed in O.A.No.32/2023. However, in order to find out the solution to that view to give finality to the issue the matter is fixed on 21.06.2023.

5. Respondent No.1 is directed to file reply. Status quo, if any, is to be continued till next date.

6. Adjourned to 21.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

O.A.No.1064/2016 with O.A.No.1065/2016 with O.A.No.1066/2016 with O.A.No.1067/2016 with O.A.No.1122/2019 with O.A.No.1123/2019 with O.A.No.1124/2019 with O.A.No.1027/2019 with O.A.No.1028/2019 with O.A.No.730/2021

E.J. Barshinge & Anr.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1064/2016 to 1067/2016 and O.A.No.1122/2019 with O.A.No.1123/2019 with O.A.No.1124/2019 with O.A.No.1027/2019 with O.A.No.1028/2019, Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.730/2021 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Four Department Enquires (D.Es) were initiated against the Applicant for which applicant filed are 4 O.As. i.e. O.A.No.1064/2016 to O.A.No.1067/2016. Applicant filed another 5 O.A.s challenging the punishment imposed on him i.e. O.A.No.1122/2019 to O.A.No.1128/2019.

3. On enquiry he submitted that the Enquiry Officer in O.A.No.1064/2016 was appointed on 28.06.2016. Applicant participated in the enquiry. The enquiry was concluded in the form of final punishment i.e. as on 20.03.2017.

4. Kept Back

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Later on :

1. Chart is now placed on record at 4.30 p.m. by the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

2. Adjourned to 13.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

O.A.No.33/2023

R.S. RathodApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Sanjay Patekar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.D. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.

3. Adjourned to 17.07.2023 for rejoinder.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

**O.A.No.945/2022 with O.A.No.1272/2022 with
O.A.No.1307/2022 with O.A.No.1308/2022**

Y.S. Jagtap & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Sanjay Patekar, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.D. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate has submitted that applicant wants to file rejoinder and hence matter be fixed on 17.07.2023.

3. Adjourned to 17.07.2023 for rejoinder.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.06.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.436/2017</p> <p>S.S. ManeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has applied in VJ (A) category pursuant to advertisement dated 23.02.2017 for 77 posts for the Police Constable. Out of 77 posts, 2 posts were reserved for bandsman category. Applicant has applied for bandsman category in VJ (A) category. Applicant secured 60 marks in physical test, 52 marks in written and 46 marks in band test (musical instrument). Thus, he secured total 159 marks.</p> <p>Respondent No.3 secured 82 marks in physical test, 45 marks in written and 42 marks in band test (musical instrument). Thus, he secured total 169 marks. Final list was published on 01.05.2017.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.06.2023 to find whether Respondent No.3 is appointment and whether he passed band test.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

O.A.No.803/2021

M.D. Walunj & Ors.

....Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhandari, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks one week's time to file affidavit on the basis of information collected by her.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 22.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------


Date : 12.06.2023

O.A.No.249/2022


S.S. KambleApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Adjourned to 19.06.2023. To be tagged along with O.A.No.94/2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

O.A.No.536/2023

R.M. More & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicants. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2023.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 03.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A))

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

O.A.No.483/2023

M.S. Jkaybhaye & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. None for the Applicants. Heard, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicants seek appointment to the post of Multi-Purpose Health workers. Applicants to make application for sue-jointly.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.07.2023.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

9. Adjourned to 03.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A))

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 12.06.2023
	<p align="center"><u>C.A 71/2022 in O.A 1095/2016</u></p> <p>Shri B.S Killedar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks compliance of the order of this Tribunal dated 14.6.2019, wherein three months' time was granted to the Respondents. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the Respondents by order dated 6.3.2023 regularized the services of the applicants.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that the Revenue & Forest Department has submitted proposal for granting sanction for payment to the applicants and now the proposal is sent for budgetary allocation.</p> <p>4. No extension of time is sought by the Respondents for compliance of the order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to give details of the movement of the file of regularization of the applicants' services date wise.</p> <p>6. S.O to 12.7.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 148/2022 in O.A 879/2021</u></p> <p>Smt R.T Jagtap ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondent</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 26.6.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 12.06.2023
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 107/2023</u></p> <p>Shri J.B Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.7.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 12.06.2023

C.A.No.46/2023 in O.A.No.931/2022

A.A.G. DastagirApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan has filed leave note.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 05.06.2023 file is already submitted to the Hon'ble Minister Agricultural Department on 07.06.2023. Hence, she seeks time to approval.

3. Matter adjourned to 19.06.2023 for compliance.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.06.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 239/2023</u></p> <p>Shri G.C Bella ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is retiring on 31.8.2023 and therefore request for urgent hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.7.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

12.06.2023

O.A 605/2023

Shri A.A Koske ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, holding for Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O request for time.

3. S.O to 3.7.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1259 of 2022</u></p> <p>G.R. Shelar & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants and their advocate are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.6.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- [^]</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>12.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- ⁷</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>12.6.2023</p> </div> </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.344 & 327 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.K. Gosavi & Ors. S.N. Solanki & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri C.T. Chandratre and Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the applicants respectively and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Closed for orders.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.6.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.6.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.568 of 2022</u></p> <p>K.N. Dombale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants and their advocate are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.6.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.6.2023</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.659 of 2022

Yogesh M. Savale ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Savita Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3, Shri S.B. Deore, learned Advocate for Respondents No.4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 14 & 15, Ms. Madhavi Ayyappan i/b. Talekar & Associates, Ld. Advocates for Respondents No.8, 10, 12, 17, 22, 25, 28, 29, 31, 34, 35 & 50, Shri K.J. Ghutepatil holding for Shri M. Tripathi, Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.51, 56 & 58 and holding for Shri H.S. Bali, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.62.

2. The applicant who is working as Education Extension Officer challenges the list of qualified candidates dated 1.4.2022 prepared by the MPSC and further prays that if the names of the ineligible candidates are included then respondent-3 MPSC be restricted from taking interview of such ineligible candidates. The applicant specifically prays that respondent no.3 be directed to delete the names of respondents no.4 to 63.

3. Ld. Advocate submits that respondents no.4, 5, 55 to 62 are candidates who have less than 5 years experience as per eligibility criteria as Education Extension Officer and minimum 5 years experience as Education Extension Officer is requisite criteria for selection to the post of Deputy Education Officer (Administrative Branch), Group-B. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that private respondents are divided in two groups on the ground ineligibility. In the said group option opted is Maharashtra Development Services (MDS) and requisite 5 years experience as Education Extension Officer is not completed. Some respondents have chosen MDS option and not Maharashtra Education Services (MES) when they were appointed.

4. Ld. PO on instructions from MPSC informs that interviews for the post of Dy. Education Officer are going to take place in July, 2023

5. At the time of hearing it is found that first set of affidavit of Bharat V. Wankhede, Panchayat Samiti, Jalna is not on record and pagination is not done. Hence, we direct all the counsel to put the record in order and do the pagination properly.

6. S.O. to 19.6.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
12.6.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.6.2023


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.371 of 2023 in O.A. No.638 of 2023</u> <u>(OA St. No.621 of 2023-Aurangabad)</u></p> <p>Prashant S. Auti ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Karlekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is filed for transferring the present OA to Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant is at present working at Nashik and he is incharge of 5 districts out of which 4 fall in Aurangabad Region. Further the instructing the department is mainly situated at Aurangabad. Hence, Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays that this OA be transferred to Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>5. Hence, the MA is allowed and present OA is transferred from Mumbai to Aurangabad Bench. Registry is directed to send the record and proceedings in the above matter to Aurangabad Bench for further disposal. Ld. Advocate for the applicant may collect the papers from Mumbai office and handover to Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.6.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 12.06.2023

O.A. No.346 of 2017

V.B. PawarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to file some documents and requested to keep the matter on 15.06.2023.

3. S.O to 15.06.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.06.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.630 of 2021</p> <p>A.A. Desai & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Already enough chances are granted to the Respondents but Affidavit-in-Reply is not filed for near about two years. Hence, we are not inclined to grant further time. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is under preparation. She is allowed to file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day, if it is filed during the course of the day, it be taken on record otherwise O.A. shall proceed without Affidavit-in-Reply. S.O to 30.06.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.06.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.31 of 2023 in O.A. No.478 of 2022</p> <p>U.N. FundeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has sent her leave note. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. Learned P.O. further submits that Respondents are in process to comply order passed by the Tribunal and for that purpose Affidavit-in-Reply is being filed. 4. Today since learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent matter be kept tomorrow for hearing. 5. S.O. to 13.06.2023. <p align="center"> Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 12.06.2023

M.A. No.521 of 2019 in O.A. No.962 of 2016

**M.P. KhadilkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is adjourned for tomorrow for Final Hearing.
3. S.O. to 13.06.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakraborty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date: 12.06.2023

M.A. No.657 of 2022 in O.A. No. 507 of 2022

**R.G. ShendgeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.**

1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.
2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.
3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Reply to M.A.
3. S.O. to 26.06.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN