

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION 847 OF 2016**

**DISTRICT : MUMBAI**

Shri S.B Morye ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant

Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

**CORAM** : **Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**  
**Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)**

**DATE** : **12.6.2017**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant  
Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. In the present case learned C.P.O states as follows:-

“The Commissioner of Police, Mumbai, has requested the A.C.B to expedite the action on their part so that the decision as regards continuation or withdrawal of suspension of applicant can be taken early.”



3. Statement of learned C.P.O contains an indirect expression that the A.C.B is an independent investigating agency and is not to be supervised by Commissioner of Police or any other law enforcing agency and all the Commissioner of Police can do is to request and wait. Therefore, the work of pursuing A.C.B has to be done by D.G.P and by the Government.

4. The stance of Commissioner of Police appears to be real.

5. Learned C.P.O was called to state as to what steps Government would take, to overcome the tangel which is not displayed before us. We have noted that many cases come before us where the investigation at the level of A.C.B is delayed inordinately. May be that A.C.B has some or many excuse for that, however, every possible effort has to be made for eliminating delay at each stage and step.

6. Learned C.P.O states that he needs to take instruction from the D.G.P as well from Home Department.

7. We expect that the instructions be taken by learned C.P.O from Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, at the request of learned C.P.O


8. We expect that learned C.P.O shall have a meeting with the Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai for working out modalities to eliminate the delay caused at the stages of investigation, sanction of filing of case as well as delay in deciding as to continuation/revocation of suspension of various Police Officer who are suspended. Hearing is adjourned.



9. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

10. S.O to 19.7.2017.

Sd/-  
(Rajiv Aggarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

  
Sd/-  
(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

H:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2017\June 2017\O.A 847.16 Int order 12.6.17.doc

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>12/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Keshavnagar (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.G. Ambekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant (L.O.A. 309/17) Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Gaikwad Adv. in person.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s (L.O.A. 369/17)</p> <p><b>Adj. To:</b> <u>13/6/2017.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Y.E.</i></p>	<p><b>12.06.2017</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 309/2017 &amp; 369/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.B Wankhede ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.G Ambekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 13.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi/J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions und Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>12/6/17</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri / Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./D.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>13/6/2017</u></p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.499 of 2017</p> <p>P.Y. Bhurke ....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Secretary who has issued the impugned communication would be free to remain present and argue the case himself.</p> <p>3. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.06.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>12/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>Appl in person</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.R.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><b>Ad. To:</b> <u>12/6/2017</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.244 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri V.R. Koli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 13.6.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 12.6.2017 (sg)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 12.6.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>12/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (VLC) <del>Hon'ble Smt. M. Nageshkumar (Member) A.</del></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>R.G. Panchal</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>12/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1196 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.V. Sonkamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 13.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p>(Rajiv Agarwal) <span style="float: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></span> Vice-Chairman <span style="float: right;">(A.H. Joshi, J.)</span> 12.6.2017 <span style="float: right;">Chairman</span> <span style="float: right;">12.6.2017</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>13/6/2017</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>Head App. in person.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><b>Ad. To</b> <u>14/6/17.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>CBT</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.34 of 2017 in O.A. No.1053 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri K.A. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Applicant in person and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 14.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 13.6.2017 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, Chairman) 13.6.2017</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>12/6/17</u></p> <p>CEILING:</p> <p><del>MR. RAVI AGARWAL</del> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p><del>MR. R. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p>Miss. <u>Arti Dube</u> holding for Mrs. S.S. Kadam</p> <p>Shri. <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>110000</u></p> <p>order passed by Tribunal column admit.</p> <p>SO to 317/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">R 84</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.1187 of 2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Smt. M.V. Abnave &amp; Anr. ... Applicants</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p>Heard Ms. Arti Dube holding for Mrs. S.S. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned Advocate for the Applicants informs that the Applicants do not want to file Affidavit-in-Rejoinder.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 03.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 12-6-17 12.06.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Circum,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.06.2017.

O.A.No.218 of 2016

R.S. Jikare .....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Shri S.K. Hande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4, both lawyers pray for adjournment by consent.

3. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 24.07.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

12/6/2017  
Comm - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi  
Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal  
Adv. S.K. Hande for the app.  
Smt K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for  
the Resps.  
Adv. V.R. Jagdale for R.4.  
Adj to 24/7/2017.

*MAJ*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA/RA/CA No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram - Hm. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (C) Hm. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (V) Adv. R.M. Kolge for the app. Smt. Archana B.K. P.O. for the Resp S.O. to 4/7/2017.  B.K.</p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.31 of 2017 in O.A.No.503 of 2015</b></p> <p><b>P.M. Shinde</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the midst of hearing, Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) He would like to re-examine the matter and find out as to whether appropriate legal remedy for the applicant is pursuing present C.A., or some other recourse is available.</p> <p>(b) A week's time may be granted to study on the aforesaid point.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.07.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

LN \_\_\_\_\_

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions, and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram - Hm. Justice shri A.H. Joshi (1) Hm. shri Rajiv Agarwal (2/12) Adv. B.A. Bandiwadkar for the appl. Ms. H.G. Gohad, p.o. for the Resp. S.O. to 19/6/2017.</p> <p align="right">prk</p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.79 of 2016 in O.A.No.677 of 2012</b></p> <p>Dr. V.R. Tidke .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time for completing further compliance.</li> <li>3. Time as prays for is granted.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 19.06.2017.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN \_\_\_\_\_

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Comm. Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (V) (C) Adv. K.R. Jagdale for the app. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, P.O. for the Rsp. C.A. is withdrawn. ME D/E</p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p align="center"><b>C.A.No.32 of 2017 in O.A.No.45 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>Mrs. U.C. Bangar</b> .....Applicants.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the midst of hearing, Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate prays for permission to withdraw the Contempt Application with liberty to take suitable action which may include making representation or filing Original Application, as advised.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, C.A. is withdrawn with liberty as indicated.</p> <p align="right">D</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA/RA/CA No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal Adv. K.R. Jagdale for me Sd/- Ms. S. Suryawanshi, p.o. for the Refs. S.O. to 22/6/2017. prk</p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.314 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>J.J. Patil</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :- Instructions are received and two week's time is necessary for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA/R.A./CA. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

LN \_\_\_\_\_

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi CG Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal C/KG Adv. R.M. Kolge for the appl. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit for the Resps So. to 14/6/2017.</p> <p align="right">prk</p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.53 of 2014 in O.A.No.44 of 2009 with C.A.No.54 of 2014 in O.A.No.173 of 2009 with C.A.No.55 of 2014 in O.A.No.54 of 2010</p> <p>R.G. Joshi (C.A.No.53/2014 in O.A.No.44/2009) S.B. Mahadik (C.A.No.54/2014 in O.A.No.173/2009) S.N. Pitke &amp; Ors. (C.A.No.55/2014 in O.A.No.54/2010) .....Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents adjourned to 14.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

MA/R A/C.A.No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>12/6/2017</u>                      Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi                      Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (CJ)                      Adv. M. D. Lonkar for the app.                      Smt. Archana B.K. P.O. for the Resps                      S.O. to 14/6/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.19 of 2017 in O.A.No.637 of 2016</p> <p>R.B. Bhosale .....Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time.</li> <li>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</li> <li>4. Adjourned to 14.06.2017.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">X</p> <p>Sd/-                      (Rajiv Agarwal)                      Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/-                      (A.H. Joshi)                      Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi CC) Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal Adv. A.D. Sonkawade for the app. Ms. H.G. Gohad, Ld. P.O. for the Resp. S.O. to 18/7/2017.  <u>FOB</u> BTK</p>	<p align="center"><b><u>12.06.2017</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 509/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri P.G Kolapte ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D Sonkawade, learned advocate for the Applicant, Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 to 3 and Shri Chadha, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 18.7.2017. First on final hearing Board.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi/J.) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.06.2017

O.A No 42/2017

Shri P.J Pawar ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O states that his record shows that the matter was adjourned to 22.6.2017 and hence request that hearing be fixed on that date.,

3. Hence S.O to 22.6.2017.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)

Chairman

Akn

12/6/2017

~~Coram - Hon. Shri J.~~

Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi

CCJ  
Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal  
CVLJ

Adv. K.R. Jagdale for the app.

Shri K.B Bhise, P.O. for the Resps.

S.O. to 22/6/2017.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

LN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram-Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (CC) Adv. B.A. Bandiwadkar for the app. Ms. H.G. Gohad, P.O. for R-3 P-3 S.O. to 5/7/2017. MTE</p>	<p align="center"><b><u>12.06.2017</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 231/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.T Awate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadkar for the Applicants prays for leave to amend the O.A for bringing on record certain averments which have become necessary in view of reply of MPSC.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that if leave is granted, he would carry out the amendment within ten days.</p> <p>4. Leave to amend as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. For further orders, O.A shall come up for <del>further orders</del> hearing on 22.6.2017.</p> <p>6. S.O to 5.7.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram - Hm. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi Hm. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (CC) Adv. B.A. Bandiwadkar for the appl. Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Resps. S.O. to 19/6/2017.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.366 of 2017</p> <p>R.S. Kadam ....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for a week's time for reporting further action.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><i>12/6/2017</i>  <i>Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi</i>  <i>Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal C.J.</i></p> <p><i>Adv. A.S. Gaikwad for the app.</i>  <i>Ms. S. Suryawanshi, P.O. for the R.O.s.</i>  <i>S.O. to 20/6/2017.</i></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 12.06.2017.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.82 of 2017 with M.A.No.200 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>D.K. Khairnar</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Let affidavit-in-reply be filed. O.A. be listed for admission / hearing on 20.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Q</i></p> <p>Sd/-  <b>(Rajiv Agarwal)</b>  Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.H. Joshi J.)</b>  Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>12/6/2017</u> Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (C) Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal CUG Adv. B.S. Shinde for appl. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for R.O.s. S.O. to 20/6/2017. RTE</p>	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.76 of 2016 in O.A. No.242 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri V.D. Kulkarni &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 20.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 12.6.2017 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi (J.)) Chairman 12.6.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>12/6/2017</u> Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal CJ CJ/CJ</p> <p>Heard Appl. in person. Smt. Archana B.K. P.O. with Shri Avinash Deshmukh Ld. Spl. Counsel for R.1 to 3. Adv. B.A. Bandiwadkar for R. No. 4. Adj to 6/7/2017.</p> <p align="right">B.K.</p>	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.507 of 2016 in O.A. No.1104 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.S. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer with Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Special Counsel for Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply for respondent No.3 in OA. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. By consent adjourned to 6.7.2016. <i>R</i></p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 12.6.2017 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman 12.6.2017</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>12/6/2017</u> Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal Adv. B.A. Bandiwadkar for the app. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for R.A.s. S.O. to 23/6/2017.</p> <p align="right">12/6 Rajiv</p>	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.7 of 2017 in</u> <u>O.A. No.956, 957 &amp; 958 of 2014 with</u> <u>R.A. No.2 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.T. Tiwari &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p align="center">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that part of the amount payable to the applicants is paid and details of amount paid to respective applicants are now furnished to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants states that entire particulars be furnished to him.</p> <p>4. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 23.6.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 12.6.2017 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman 12.6.2017</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>12/6/2017</u> Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (CJ) Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal (VJ)</p> <p>Adv. C. T. Chandratre for the app. Smt K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Rops S.O. to 5/7/2017.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b><u>12.06.2017</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A No 410/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.S Singatkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O prays for four weeks' time to file reply. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 5.7.2017.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal Adv. J.H. Kamble for the appl. Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Resps S.O. to 10/8/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.245 of 2017</p> <p>R.R. Rathod .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for a week's time for filing affidavit-in-reply.</li> <li>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 10.08.2017.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20.

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrur's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (C) Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarkar (C) Adv. C.T. Chandratre for the app. holding for adv. A.D. Aghav for the app. Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the Resps. S.O. to 5/7/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">prk</p>	<p>Date : 12.06.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.271 of 2017</p> <p>M.R. Deshmukh .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.D. Aghav, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that instructions are received and three week's time may be granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.07.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarkar) Vice-Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**12.06.2017**

**O.A No 825/2016**

Shri D.B Kalokhe ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O prays for one week's time.

3. Time granted. S.O to 19.6.2017.

2

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, P.J.)  
Chairman

Akn

12/6/2017  
Coram - Hm. ~~shri~~ Justice shri A.H. Joshi  
Hon. shri Rajiv Agarwal (CJ)  
Adv. C.T. Chandratre for  
App.  
Ms. H.G. Gohad, P.O. for  
R/S.  
S.O. to 19/6/2017.

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>12/6/2017</u> Coram - Hon. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi Hon. Shri Rajiv Agarwal Adv. M.D. Lonkar for app. Shri K.B. Bhise P.O. for RSPS. S.O. to 14/6/2017. <i>RTE</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>12.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 462/2017</u></p> <p>Shri B.A Patil. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions from Shri S.R Joshi, Under Secretary, G.A.D, Mantralaya, Mumbai states that the meeting of the Establishment Board was held and its minutes would be finalized tomorrow and decision of the Establishment Board would be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Hence adjourned to 14.6.2017.</p> <p align="right"><i>R</i></p> <p>Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>12/6/2017 Coram - Hm. Justice Shri A.H. Joshi Hm. Shri Rajiv Agarwal Shri Soham Panse holding for Shri A.M. Joshi adv. for the app. Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Rys S.O. to 12/7/2017.</p>	<p align="center"><u>12.06.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 146/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Telang ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Soham Panse, holding for Shri A.M Joshi, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for one week's time to file affidavit of service.</p> <p>3. Learned Presenting Officer prays for four weeks' time to file affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. S.O to 12.7.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, P.O.) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION 56 OF 2017**

**DISTRICT : SOLAPUR**

Shri A.S Chavan ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

Shri B. A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant

Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

**CORAM : Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)  
Shri Rajiv Agarwal (Vice-Chairman)**

**DATE : 12.6.2017**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer states that affidavit in reply of present Commissioner of Police answering the question posed for the earlier Commissioner of Police in the order dated 3.4.2017 as well as affidavit in reply answering the Original Application is ready.

3. We have perused the file of the office of Commissioner of Police and we find that though status of case was tracked by the



office of Commissioner of Police, the copies of orders passed by this Tribunal were not down loaded. It appear that because of this failure, the order dated 3.4.2017 had remain unattended. Whatever be the cause, failure is not justified.


4. Shri M.B Tambade, Commissioner of Police, Solapur is directed to file affidavit in reply apart from other points on the following points.


a) What steps and measures he would take to ensure that the intimation about the O.A received from the learned Advocate/learned P.O and/or this Tribunal do not remain unattended and arrangements to attend to the case is done only after full application of mind?

b) What arrangements he shall make for punctual attending to the notices of the court matters?

5. Learned P.O prays for one week's time. Time granted.

6. S. O to 21.6.2017. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed.

  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman

  
(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/6/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p><del>Hon'ble Shri. R. B. Malik</del> (Member)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. Malik (Member) J</p> <p><u>ADVOCATE:</u> Shri C.T. Chandratre Advocate for Applicant</p> <p><u>PRO/P.O. for the Respondents:</u> Smt. Kranti Gaikwad</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Heard</u></p> <p><i>m.a. is allowed</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>R</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A. No.232 of 2017</u></b> <b><u>in</u></b> <b><u>O.A. No.494 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri Y.S. Garude</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 12.06.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**O.A. No.405 of 2017**

**Shri D.R. Rajmane ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 03.07.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 03.07.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) \ /  
Member (J)  
12.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 12/6/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman) P

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Miss. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To Head

order passed in  
tribunal column  
5020 3/2/17.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 12/6/17</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b></p> <p><del>16th Bench: SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL</del> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b></p> <p>Shri N.S. Vaidya Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri A.J. Chougule C.P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj To: Admit 5020 10/7/17 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">R FH.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A. No.997 of 2016</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri C.R. Netke</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.S. Vaidya, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-Rejoinder is taken on record. The Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 10.07.2017.</p> <p>Sur-Rejoinder, if any, must be filed on that day and not thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) 12-6-17 Member (J) 12.06.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

22

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.495 of 2017**

**Smt. A. M. Malge** ... Applicant  
**V/s.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The Applicant is a widow and working as the Director of Physical Education. She has been transferred from Mumbai to Kolhapur. It is no doubt true that the Applicant has completed her tenure. However, from Exb. 'A-10', Page 27 of the Paper-Book, it would appear quite clearly that there are several other professors in different faculties who have put in much more than the Applicant has done. The Applicant has completed 5 years while the other professors in the list have completed 28 and 25 years etc. The Applicant has to take care of mother of her diseased husband who is also about 80 years old and suffering from illness. These points were raised in the representation made by the Applicant vide Exb. 'A-9', dated 02.06.2017. No decision has been taken thereon till date. Keeping the right of the Applicant intact to renew her request of interim relief, I direct the Respondents to take the decision on the said representation sympathetically bearing in mind the difficulties express by her within two weeks from today.

S.O. to 27.06.2017. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 12.06.17  
 Member (J)  
 12.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 12/6/17  
CORAM:  
 Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
 (Vice-Chairman)  
 Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J  
APPEARANCE:  
 Shri/Smt. Miss S.P. Manchekar  
 Advocate for the Applicant  
 Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.  
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. P. Heard  
 order passed in  
 Tribunal @ 4pm  
 So to 27/6/17.  
 Hamdast  
 J

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.182 of 2017**

**Shri S.K. Naik ... Applicant**

**V/s.**

**The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K.Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

The application for further adjournment to file the Affidavit-in-Reply is rejected because the last chance was already given. It is, however, made clear that on the next date when the matter is called out for hearing, if the reply is filed, it will be taken on record but no adjournment shall be given for that purpose.

Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 12.07.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 12-6-17  
Member (J)  
12.06.2017

(vsm)

DATE: 12/6/17

CORAM:

~~Mr. Justice R.B. MALIK (Chairman)~~

Mr. Justice R.B. MALIK (Member) ✓

Advocate for Applicant: K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for Respondents: N.K. Rajpurohit

C.P.O. for the Respondents: [Signature]

AGT: Heard

order passed in Tribunal column admit.

SOB 12/6/17.

G.H.

[Signature]

4

G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 12/6/17</p> <p><b>COSAM:</b></p> <p><del>Hon'ble SHRI RAVI AGARWAL</del> (Vice-Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble SHRI R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b></p> <p>Shri/Smt.: A.S. Deshpande</p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Miss N.G. Gohad</p> <p>C.P.O./D.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: Head</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal Colony.</p> <p>S.O. 7/17/17.</p> <p>copy filed in M.A.</p>	<p><b><u>O.A. No.635 of 2016</u></b> <b><u>With</u></b> <b><u>M.A. No.196 of 2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri S.D. Jagtap</b> ... Applicant</p> <p><b>V/s.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The Misc. Applications for recalling the order of cost is also retained along with the OA and regard being had to the fact including the fact that the claim is of pensionary benefits it cannot brook any delay and the Original Application is expedited and appointed for final hearing on 07.07.2017.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(R.B. Malik) 12-06-17 Member (J) 12.06.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>12/6/17.</u></p> <p><b>COLAM:</b>  <del>Hon'ble Shri. RAHV AGARWAL</del>  (Vice-Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b>  Shri/Smt. <u>G.A. Bandiwadekar</u>  Advocate for the Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>A.B. Kololgi</u>  C.F.O./P.S. for the Respondents  Adj. To..... <u>Head</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal Column S/o 19/6/17. Hawda e</p>	<p><b><u>O.A.487/2017</u></b></p> <p><b>Mr. G.D. Deshmukh ... Applicant</b>  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; Ors. ... Respondents</b></p> <p><b><u>SPEAKING TO MINUTES</u></b></p> <p>Heard Mr. G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The OA is placed before me for Speaking to Minutes in relation to the order dated 9.6.2017. There is admittedly and clearly a Typing mistake in the 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph, and I therefore, in exercise of my powers for Speaking to Minutes direct that, in the unnumbered Paragraph No.2 after the words, "he may be reposted within three weeks from today" the words, "he may be reposted within three working days from today" be read. The certified copies, if issued, be surrendered and the Office is directed to furnish a duly corrected certified copy without any cost. The matter remains adjourned to 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2017 as before. Hamdast.</p> <p>  (R.B. Malik)  Member (J) <u>12.6.17</u>  12.06.2017</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.494 of 2017

Shri Y.S. Garude

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 21.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 21.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

DATE: 12/6/17

CORAM:

Shri. RAHVASARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)

Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri. C.T. Chandratre

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Kranti Gaikwad

C/O. P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: Head

order passed in

Tribunal column.

S.O. to 21/6/17

ⓧ

(R.B. Malik)

Member (J)

12.06.2017

(vsm)