IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.273 OF 2021

Shri Dayanand B Nayak,)**.. Applicant**

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.) **..Respondents**

Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 12.05.2021.

ORDER

1) Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2) The Applicant is working as Police Inspector (P.I.) attached to A.T.S., has challenged his transfer order dated 06.05.2021, whereby he was transferred from Mumbai to District Caste Scrutiny Committee, Gondia invoking section 22 (N) of Maharashtra Police Act. He was posted as P.I. A.T.S. by order dated 30.09.2019. His normal tenure is three years in terms of Maharashtra Police Act.

3) Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant seek interim relief contending that no case is made out for mid-term transfer of the Applicant as contemplated under section 22 (N) of Maharashtra Police Act. He has pointed out that on promotion in the cadre of P.I. the Applicant was allotted Kokan Division and despite this position, by impugned order he is transferred to different division which is in contravention of divisional allotment for appointment of nomination and promotion to the post of Group 'A' and Group 'B' of Government of Maharashtra Rules, 2015. He has further pointed out that in 2014 the Applicant was transferred from Mumbai to Nagpur,

but Government had accepted his representation in view of threat to his life from underworld and he was reposted in Mumbai. He has further pointed out that thereafter the Applicant was not only promoted to the post of P.I. but his suspension period was also regularized as well as he was given deemed date of promotion. On this line of submission learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that there is no immediate and convincing ground so as to invoke section 22 (N) of Maharashtra Police Act to transfer the Applicant mid-tenure and therefore the transfer being colourable exercise of powers and the same is required to be stayed. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has also pointed out that the Applicant is rewarded by the Department for courage and bravery in curbing the gang-war in Mumbai and known as encounter specialist.

4) Per contra, learned C.P.O. has tendered a file containing the minutes of Police Establishment Board (P.E.B.)-II as well as also tendered file containing report of the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai dated 31.12.2013 addressed to the Director General of Police which is referred in minutes of P.E.B.-II. Learned C.P.O. submits that in view of report of the Commissioner of Police dated 31.12.2013 the transfer of the Applicant was necessitated and therefore even if the action of transfer is belated it should not be interfered with. As regard in breach of Cadre Allotment Rules. Learned C.P.O. submits that it is Maharashtra Police Act, 2015 which should prevail over the Cadre Allotment Rules, 2015.

5) True, perusal of O.A. as well as files tendered by C.P.O. reveals that the Applicant was serving in Mumbai for near about twenty years. He was appointed as P.S.I. in 1995 and was posted in Mumbai, later in 2014 he was transferred from Mumbai to Nagpur but Government has accepted has representation and reposted him at Mumbai. In this behalf, perusal of letter dated 16.05.2018 issued by the Director General of Police reveals that the Applicant had perceived threat to his life from underworld because of his performance in Mumbai Police and had requested to post him at Mumbai. The Government had accepted his representation and reposted him in Mumbai. Thereafter on promotion to the post of P.I. he was given Kokan-II Division by letter dated 25.10.2018 and was continued in Mumbai. The record further reveals that

in meantime the Applicant was also granted Time Bound Promotion and his earlier period of suspension was also regularized with grant of full pay and allowance. It is on this above ground one need to consider whether the impugned transfer order which is totally based upon letter of the Police Commissioner dated 31.12.2013 is in consonance with the provision containing in section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act, 2015 in later and spirit.

6) Section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act, 2015 provides that in exceptional cases, in public interest and on account of administrative exigencies, the Competent Authority shall make mid-term transfer of any Police Personnel of the Police Force. As such public interest or administrative exigencies is *sine qua non* for such mid-term transfer.

7) The impugned order is passed solely on the basis of letter of Commissioner dated 31.12.2013 in which the then Commissioner of Police stated as under:-

> "Distinct enquiry above facts indicate that several of these allegation are true. However, in open enquiry no digestive evidence is likely to come with various exceptional reasons.
>
> In view of above, chequered past of the officer and the persons reported activities, I feel it would be best if he is transferred out of Mumbai city to some other district city having minimum interference with the members of public.
>
> I therefore request you to kindly transfer the above officer so that his activity and his nexuses with Mumbai associate could effectively be snapped."

8) It appears that the then Commissioner of Police has received compliant against the Applicant that he is keeping relation with criminal and also threatened one Mohd. Nabi Khan for withdrawing report lodged against him.

9) In P.E.B. minutes all that it is stated that in view of letter of Commissioner dated 31.12.2013 the continuation of the Applicant in A.T.S. would not be appropriate from the point of administration and accordingly P.E.B. had recommended transfer of the Applicant invoking section 22 (N-2) of Maharashtra Police Act.

10) The perusal of minutes of P.E.B.-II which was taken in circulation reveals that the Respondent No.2 – Director General of Police dug out report of Commissioner dated 31.12.2013 and solely on the basis of it recommended for the transfer of the Applicant. What is striking to note that except this old and stale report of the Commissioner dated 31.12.2013 there is absolutely no fresh material as to what prompted the Director General of Police to transfer the Applicant suddenly after the lapse of more than eight years from the receipt of letter dated 31.12.2013.

11) Indeed, if there was any such exigencies the then Director General of Police ought to have initiated prompt action so as to transfer the Applicant or to initiate D.E. against him. However, record indicate that no such action was taken for eight years. On the contrary in meantime he was promoted from the post of A.P.I. to P.I. and also given Deemed Date of Promotion and suspension period was also regularized. As such these two aspects are totally irreconcilable.

12) Except letter of Commissioner dated 31.12.2013 there is absolutely no other material to effect mid-term transfer of the Applicant. In absence of any such fresh material against the Applicant old and stale report dated 31.12.2013 which seems to have lost its efficacy can hardly be used for mid-term transfer invoking section 22 N (2) of the Maharashtra Police Act. Suffice to say prima-facie there is no case of public interest or administrative exigency to warrant mid-term & mid-tenure transfer.

13) The submission advanced by learned C.P.O. that Provision of Maharashtra Police Act would prevail over Cadre Allotment Rules, 2015 framed in exercise of powers under Article 309 of the Constitution is misconceived. It is only in conflict in between Provision of Act and Rules framed under Article 309 of the Constitution, the Provision of Act would prevail. In present case there is no such repugnancy in the Provision of Transfer Act, 2005 and Cadre Allotment Rules, 2015.

14) True, as pointed out by learned C.P.O. Hon'ble ***Supreme Court in Appeal (Civil) 1010-1011 of 2004 in Union of India and Ors. v/s. Sri Janardhan Debanath and Anr. decided on 13.02.2004*** held that for transfer of the Government servant, there should not be insistence upon holding elaborate enquiry and the Government servant can be transferred in the public interest and exigencies of administration to enforce decorum and to ensure probity. However, in present case no such action was taken by the Respondents on receipt of letter of Commissioner dated 13.12.2013. In other words the report dated 31.12.2013 was simply kept in cold storage rather completely ignored which is apparent in view of promotion given to the Applicant with all consequential benefits. Such old letter dated 13.12.2013 do not give fresh cause of action after eight years. It is prima-facie colourable exercise of power.

15) Admittedly, nobody is posted in place of the Applicant and only Additional charge is kept with someone else.

16) At this juncture it would be apposite to mention that Government of Maharashtra by G.R. dated 10.05.2021 has taken conscious decision not to effect any transfer including mid-term or mid-tenure till June 2021 in view of COVID-19 Pandemic situation. The said G.R. was issued hardly four days of impugned transfer order. The said decision seems to have been taken to restrict movement and shifting of family on account of Transfer in view of grave situation prevailing now because of COVID-19 Pandemic situation and second wave. The benefit of this G.R. also can be extended to the Applicant though impugned transfer order was issued four days before the issuance of G.R. in the light of continuing Pandemic situation.

17) In view of above, *prima-facie* the impugned transfer order is not in consonance with section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act and required to be stayed.

18) For the aforesaid reason, interim relief in terms para of 10 (b) is granted.

19) Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.06.2021.

20) Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

21) Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

22) This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

23) The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

24) In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed seven days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

25) Steno copy is granted.

26) S.O. to 15.06.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

Place: Mumbai
Date: 12.05.2021
Dictation taken by: N.M. Naik.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

~~(Through Video Conferencing)~~

O.As. No.236 of 2021 with M.A.149 of 2021,
O.A. No.237 of 2021,

K. Suryakrishnamurty
A.N. Padwal ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

With

MA.145/2021 in TA.1/2021 (WP.4908/21-A'bad),
MA.146/2021 in TA.2/2021 (WP.2612/21-A'bad)

The State of Maharashtra ..Applicant

Vs.

Shivaji T. Shinde & Anr.
Samiksha R. Chandrakar & Anr. Respondents

Heard Shri Rajadhyaksha and Shri Shah, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for respondents no.5, 7, 8, 9 & 11 in OA No.237/2021, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for respondent no.11 in OA No.237/2021. Shri R.S. Apte, learned Senior Counsel with Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents-State. Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondents in MA.145/2021 in TA No.1/2021 and Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondents in MA.146/2021 in TA.2/2021.

2. Ld. CPO files reply dated 26.4.2021 on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 in OAs No.236 and 237 of 2021 and the same is taken on record.

3. Shri B.S. Shinde, Ld. Advocate files reply dated 10.5.2021 on behalf of respondent no.5 in OA No.237 of 2021 and the same is taken on record.

4. Shri Deshmukh, Ld. Advocate informs that Respondent-State has not served copy of MA No.145/21 in TA No.1/2021 which is filed against Shivaji T. Shinde & Anr. Hence there is no proper service. On query Ld. CPO after obtaining instructions from officers of respondent-State who are present physically in the Court concedes and submits that they will rectify the mistake today itself. Respondent-State is directed to serve copy of MA 145/2021 on Applicant Shri Shivaji T. Shinde and his counsel Shri Avinash Deshmukh today itself.

[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

5. Reply dated 26.4.2021 though it is filed physically in the Tribunal, Ld. Advocate Shri Rajadhakshay submits that said reply is not served either to him or his client.

6. Shri Vogesh Mishra appearing for Law Counsellors submits that they have not received email. However, Ld. CPO produces report of email sent on 26.4.2021. Under such circumstances Sr. Counsel Shri Rajadhakshya submits that he will send person to collect the copy of reply before 4.00 p.m. today.

7. Shri Ujwal Patil, Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.5 to 10 in MA No.146 of 2021 submits that interim relief which was till 3.5.2021 was got extended by circulation by the applicants at Aurangabad. However, he was not given notice about the circulation. Shri Deshpande, Ld. Advocate concedes as the stay was required to be extended he did not give notice to Shri Patil. Now it is extended upto 8.6.2021.

8. We have noted down all the objections regarding service and extension of stay. However, all the above matters are fixed on 18.5.2021. It is necessary to hear and decide MAs in TAs and therefore respondents to file reply. Thereafter after taking affidavit in reply of all the respondents, the interim relief will be heard.

9. Ld. CPO submits that she has not received instructions to file reply on behalf of respondent no.3 in OA No.237/2021.

10. On query Ld. Advocate Shri Mishra for Law Counsellors submits that they have served respondent no.3 in OA No.237/2021. None for Mahesh Patil-respondent no.4. They have to serve respondents no.4, 6 and 10. Respondent no.11 wants to file reply to the extent of interim relief.

11. Ld. CPO states that she has not received instructions to file reply on behalf of Respondents no.1 & 2 in OA No.236/2021. Applicant to show service to respondents no.3 to 7.

12. Ld. CPO submits that reply dated 26.4.2021 is to be considered as reply of respondents no.1 & 2 and accordingly she wants to make the correction. Amendment be carried out forthwith.

13. Counsel for all the applicants to file affidavit of service with proof of service on all the respondents.

14. S.O. to 18.5.2021.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) |Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

12.05.2021

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

C.A 25/2020 in O.A 28/2018 with O.A 938/2018

Shri D.L Awate ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O points out that review is filed in this matter and D.B of Shri Bhagwan Vice-Chairman (A) and Shri A.P Kurhekar, Member (J) is to be constituted and they have to hear the matter and therefore the Respondents could not implement the order, which is against the dismissal of the applicant.

3. S.O to 22.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

12.05.2021

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

C.A 44/2019 in O.A 1134/2016

Shri B.R Nalawade & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O points out the in the SLP No. 45904/2019, in the order dated 24.1.2020 the learned counsel for the Respondents submits that he will not press the contempt proceeding in the meanwhile.

3. Learned counsel Mr Jagdale submits that some of the applicants have retired and some are on the verge of retirement and still they are not given the financial benefits of time bound promotion, which were granted by the Tribunal and also the same order was upheld by the Hon. High Court.

4. Learned P.O seeks time of three weeks.

5. S.O to 9.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

12.05.2021

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

O.A 203/2019

Shri N.V Sawant & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicants who are Ophthalmic Officer, Group-C, prays for direction that the communication dated 16.12.2017 about their fixation of grade pay to be quashed and set aside and further seek direction that Respondents be directed to grant pay scale of 9300-34800 + GP Rs. 4200/-.

3. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks' time.

4. S.O to 27.5.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

12.05.2021

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

O.A 217/2021

Dr D.R Deore & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B Deore, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are Medical Officers, who have secured the BAMS Degree. They are working under Public Health Department in the employment of State Government. They seek directions to the Respondents to condone the technical breaks in ad hoc service rendered by the applicants prior to their absorption. As per the pleading some of the applicants have joined the service on ad hoc basis in 2006. They also pray for further consequential benefits.

3. Learned counsel Mr Deore in support of his submissions relies on the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors Vs. Arvind Kumar Srivastav & Ors C.A 9849/2014 arising out of SLP (C) No. 18639/2012, State of Maharashtra & Ors Vs. Sangita in SLP 18902-18915/2010. He also relies on the judgment of Bombay High Court, Aurangabad Bench in W.P 53484/2005 and also decision of the M.A.T, Aurangabad Bench dated 2.5.2016 in O.A 242/2009 & Ors,

4. Issue notice returnable on 25.5.2021.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. Learned P.O to take instructions in the matter.

9. S.O to 25.5.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

12.05.2021

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

M.A 117/2021 in O.A 217/2021

Dr D.R Deore & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B Deore, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Misc Application to seek sue jointly is allowed subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

12.05.2021

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

M.A 142/2021 in O.A 255/2021

Shri N.K Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The matter was called out and though the link is provided to the learned counsel for the applicant, he has not joined the V.C. Hence adjourned

3. Learned C.P.O submits that Mr Prakash Jadhav, Motor Vehical Prosecutor from the office of Respondent no. 2, is present.

4. S.O to 24.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.As. No.563, 564, 565 & 566 of 2020
(Through Video Conferencing)

M.A. Divekar & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 6.6.2021.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.216 of 2020
(Through Video Conferencing)

S.W. Thakare ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri P.K. Sahane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is filed on behalf of respondent no.4. Reply is to be filed on behalf of respondents no.2 and 5. Registry to take note that respondent no.3 is deleted and hereafter the name of Union of India is not to be shown

3. S.O. to 29.6.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.346 of 2020
(Through Video Conferencing)

G.S. Karande ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply is already filed. Shri Bandiwadekar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 15.6.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.364 of 2020
(Through Video Conferencing)

R.D. Kandekar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 29.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.154 of 2021
(Through Video Conferencing)

S.S. Samant ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri D.B. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant is not appearing on Video Conferencing. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 29.6.2021 for filing reply.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.177 of 2021
(Through Video Conferencing)

J.M. Mulani & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Datta Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 22.6.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.As. No.173 & 174 of 2021
(Through Video Conferencing)

M.A. Tapase
R.M. Kalaskar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 22.6.2021.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.150 of 2021
(Through Video Conferencing)

V.B. Wakchaure ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks three weeks time to file reply.

3. Ld. PO seeks time to take instructions regarding pending representation dated 2.1.2021.

4. S.O. to 28.5.2021.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.As. No.117, 726, 727 & 731 of 2021
(Through Video Conferencing)

H.B. Shaikh & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply in OA No.117 of 2021. Ld. PO states that reply in remaining matter is already filed.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that reply of respondent no.1 is necessary. Ld. PO submits that after taking instructions she may file reply of respondent no.1. It is made clear that if reply of respondent no.1 is not filed then it will be considered that respondent no.1 does not want to file reply and the matter will be proceeded.

4. S.O. to 22.6.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

12.05.2021

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

O.A 969/2018

Shri T.A Diwan ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.

3. S.O 6.7.2021

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.84 of 2021
(Through Video Conferencing)

V.V. Shinde ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 27.5.2021.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.As. No.73 & 74 of 2021
(Through Video Conferencing)

R.M. Rathod
S.B. Mahale ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri A.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant is not on Video Conferencing. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 6.7.2021 for filing reply.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.780 of 2020
(Through Video Conferencing)

S.M. Bhagwat ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar with Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to press the prayer for interim relief.

4. S.O. to 21.5.2021 for rejoinder.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.772 of 2020
With
M.A. No.22 of 2021
(Through Video Conferencing)

S.N. Narsude ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO makes a statement that respondents have already issued order dated 16.4.2021 and hence grievance of the applicant is redressed.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that promotion order is accepted with rider that the due deemed date of promotion i.e. from 2016-2017 is not considered and matter can be disposed off with liberty to make a representation in respect of deemed date.

4. OA is disposed off with liberty to the applicant to make a representation in respect of deemed date.

5. In view of disposal of OA, MA No.22 of 2021 does not survive and is disposed off as such.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-
(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.705 of 2020
(Through Video Conferencing)

A.T. Sakore ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Balasaheb Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Time granted to file reply.

3. S.O. to 29.6.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.666 of 2020 (Through Video Conferencing) S.T. Kakade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Shri Y.S. Khochare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply. 3. S.O. to 27.5.2021. Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 12.5.2021 Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.5.2021 (sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.606 of 2020
(Through Video Conferencing)

D.K. Landge ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Reply dated 9.3.2021 is filed on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 8.6.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
12.5.2021

Sd/-

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.5.2021

(sgj)

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000—4-2019)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

12.05.2021

THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE

O.A 251/2021

Dr B.V Jichakar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.G Dhanure, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicant who is working as a Live Stock Development Officer in Department of Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development, Fisheries, Mantralaya, Mumbai, prays that his name is to be included in the final seniority list dated 30.3.2021 maintained by the Respondents.

3. The seniority number of the applicant is 460. The provisional seniority list was published on 14.12.2020 where the name of the applicant who was at serial no 460 was listed at serial no. 68. However, his name is not included in the final seniority list. Hence the present application.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant points out to the earlier seniority list dated 9.11.2020, where the name of the applicant was at serial no. 68 and same seniority list was maintained till the provisional seniority list. He submits that therefore the applicant did not raise any objection after publication of provisional seniority list dated 14.12.2020. However, when the final seniority list was published on 30.3.2021, which is under challenge, his name which stands at Sr. No. 460 is excluded, though name of Dr. Kamble S. Ranganath, at serial no. 459 and his other junior Dr. Kulkarni Vijaya Sudhaka at serial no. 462 are shown at serial nos. 60 & 61 in the final seniority list.

5. Mr. Chougule, learned P.O points out to Exh. D dated 17.3.2020, which is a letter written by Joint Commissioner, Respondent no. 2, wherein the Department has asked the Caste Validity Certificate of the Backward Class Employees and the applicant belongs to OBC and so it was applicable to him. Learned P.O further points out to Exh. G, dated 22.7.2020, which is a reminder, wherein the name of the applicant is appearing at serial no. 18, calling upon him to produce Caste Validity Certificate.

6. Learned counsel for the applicant in reply relies on the email dated 29.3.2021, Exh. J, wherein the

[P.T.O.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

applicant has sent email the attached copy of Caste Validity Certificate dated 22.3.2021.

7. Heard submissions. It is clear that there was delay in submitting the Caste Validity Certificate and so on the next day the seniority list was published, wherein the name of the applicant was not included. This is a curable grievance and it can be restored. Learned P.O to take instructions from the Respondents.

8. Issue notice returnable on 25.5.2021.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. S.O 25.5.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.274 of 2021

(Through Video Conference)

Sunil A. Koli)..... **Applicant**

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.)...**Respondents**

Smt. Punam Mahajan, Counsel for the Applicant
Ms S.P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3
Shri Dinesh Adsule, Counsel for Respondent No.4

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 12.05.2021

ORDER

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri Dinesh Adsule, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4.

2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 10.05.2021 whereby he was transferred from the post of Tahsildar, Haveli, Pune to Tahsildar, Collector Office, Palghar invoking Section 4(4) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005').

3. Smt. Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant prayed for interim relief *inter-alia* contending that impugned transfer order is mid-term and mid-tenure without any administrative exigency or special case and secondly in

view of G.R. issued by the Government to G.A. D. dated 10.05.2021 whereby cautious decision was taken to not effect the transfer of any Government servant till 30.06.201 in view of prevailing pandemic situation on account of Covid-19.

4. Per contra, learned C.P.O. has pointed out that the Applicant was allotted Kokan Division in view of Division Cadre Allotment Rules but on his request he was posted as Tahsildar, Haveli by order dated 01.10.2018. However, later it was transpired that the Applicant was required to be transferred in Kokan Division, and therefore, invoking Section 4(5) of 'Act 2005', the Applicant was transferred at Palghar.

5. Whereas Shri D.P. Adsule, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4 submits that his client has already taken charge as Tahsildar, Haveli, Pune in view of his posting in place of the Applicant and opposed interim relief.

6. Perusal of record reveals that two orders i.e. transfer order of the Applicant as well as G.R. was issued on the same date i.e. on 10.05.2021. However, perusal of file reveals that the procedure for transfer of the Applicant was initiated much earlier by placing the matter before Civil Services Board (CSB) in view of the decision rendered by the Tribunal Bench Aurangabad in O.A.No.401/2020 and 406/2020 decided on 17.12.2020 whereby the directions were issued to repatriate and give posting to a Government servants as per their initial Division allotted to them in view of Cadre Allotment Rules. Thus, it is on the basis of that decision the PEB had recommended the transfer of the Applicant at Palghar. The matter was then placed before the Hon'ble Minister of the department as well as Hon'ble Chief Minister who accorded their approval invoking Rule 4(4) and 4(5) of 'Act 2005'. The Hon'ble Minister (Revenue) has signed the file noting on 16.04.2021. There is no date below the signature of Hon'ble C.M. However, the file reveals that after signature of Hon'ble C.M., the file was received by the concerned Additional Chief Secretary

(Revenue) on 10.05.2021. That means it was approved before issuance of G.R. dated 10.05.2021.

7. Thus, prima-facie there is compliance of Section 4(4) as well as 4(5) of 'Act 2005'. Admittedly, the Applicant was allotted Kokan Division. On his request, he was accommodated as Tahsildar, Haveli, Pune. However, later in view of the decision of M.A.T. Aurangabad, the Government has initiated action to repatriate the concerned Government servants in their original division.

8. In so far as G.R. dated 10.05.2021 is concerned, true, by the said G.R. the Government had taken decision to not effect any transfer till 30.06.2021 in view of present Covid-19 pandemic situation. However, in so far as present impugned order is concerned, the action was initiated much earlier and process was finalized and completed which culminated in issuance of formal order dated 10.05.2021. AS such, it cannot be said that transfer order was issued after issuance of G.R.

9. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.06.2021.

11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

14. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

16. S.O. to 15.06.2021

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O.A.No. 275 of 2021

B. M. Kakad ....Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 13.01.2021 whereby he was suspended in view of the registration of crime against him under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

3. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is retiring at the end of May, 2021 and prayed for direction to the Respondents to take review of the suspension in terms of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***(2015) 7SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Chowdhary V/s Union of India & Ors.)***.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.05.2021.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

10. In the meantime, the Respondents may take review of the suspension of the Applicant in accordance to law.

11. S.O. to 28.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.117 of 2016

D. B. Jadhav **....Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents.**

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It seems that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Advocate have not attended the tribunal.

3. Original Application is, therefore, adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 09.06.2021.

Sd/- Sd/-

(M.A.Gadgil) **(A.P. Kurhekar)**
Member (A) **Member(J)**

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.45 of 2016

D.S. Pohnerkar **....Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents.**

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It seems that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Advocate have not attended the tribunal.

3. Original Application is, therefore, adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 09.06.2021.

Sd/- Sd/-

(M.A.Gadgil) **(A.P. Kurhekar)**
Member (A) **Member(J)**

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.02 of 2016

N.A. Polani ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It seems that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Advocate have not attended the tribunal.

3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondents about the status of further proceeding initiated, if any in pursuance of the notice dated 18.09.2015 for initiating disciplinary action and to apprise the Tribunal on next date.

4. S.O. to 09.06.2021.

Sd/- Sd/-

(M.A.Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (A) Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) |Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.594 of 2015

M. V. Gawas **....Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents.**

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It seems that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Advocate have not attended the tribunal.

3. Original Application is, therefore, adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2021.

Sd/- Sd/-

(M.A.Gadgil) **(A.P. Kurhekar)**
Member (A) **Member(J)**

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.293 of 2015

S.T. Shinde **....Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents.**

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It seems that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Advocate have not attended the tribunal.

3. Original Application is, therefore, adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2021.

Sd/- Sd/-

(M.A.Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (A) Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.278 of 2015

M.R. Sonawane ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It seems that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Advocate have not attended the tribunal.

3. Original Application is, therefore, adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2021.

Sd/-
(M.A.Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.253 of 2015

D.S. Pohnerkar ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It seems that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Advocate have not attended the tribunal.

3. Original Application is, therefore, adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2021.

Sd/-
(M.A.Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.914 of 2016

A. A. Desale .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It seems that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Advocate have not attended the tribunal.

3. Original Application is, therefore, adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 09.06.2021.

Sd/- Sd/-

(M.A.Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (A) Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.123 of 2016
With
O.A.No.124 of 2016

A. B. Bhoje & Anr. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicants and their Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It seems that due to Covid-19 Pandemic situation and lockdown, the Applicant and his Advocate have not attended the tribunal.

3. Original Applications are, therefore, adjourned for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 09.06.2021.

Sd/-
(M.A.Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.748 of 2020

A. M. Patil ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This O.A. is filed for direction to release retirement benefits which are withheld on account of initiation of D.E.

3. Perusal of record reveals that the D.E. was initiated in 2018, ~~and~~ learned P.O. is therefore, directed to take instructions about the status of D.E. and to apprise the Tribunal on next date.

4. S.O. to 27.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.761 of 2020

D. R. Nalwad ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.

3. S.O. to 28.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.260 of 2021

M. M. Mahale ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing rejoinder but the same is not filed.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 14.06.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O.A.No.257 of 2021

I. H. Nadaf ....Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere holding for Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 03.11.2020 whereby his period of suspension from 01.06.2016 to 01.12.2018 has been treated As Such.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.06.2021.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 14.06.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

M.A.No.68 of 2021
in
M.A.No.69 of 2021
In
O.A.No.112 of 2018

J. P. Madye ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A.J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.

3. S.O. to 25.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.139 of 2020

K. A. Bhosale ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.

3. S.O. to 07.06.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.301 of 2020

S. S. Kangane ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing rejoinder but the same is not filed.

3. Pleadings are complete.

4. The matter is adjourned for final hearing on 10.06.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.422 of 2020

D. R. Bhosle ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned for filing Rejoinder, if any.

4. S.O. to 08.06.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[P.T.O.

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 12.05.2021 **O. A. No.424 of 2020** **Dr. K. B. Batte ....Applicant** **Versus** **The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.** 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 3. S.O. to 09.06.2021. Sd/- **(A.P. Kurhekar)** **Member(J)** vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.490 of 2020

S. R. Patil **....Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **...Respondents.**

1. Heard Smt. Vaishali Jagdale holding for Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 27.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.509 of 2020

M. A. Damale ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 27.05.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019) [Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.05.2021

O. A. No.744 of 2020

S. M. Gade ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, the matter is fixed for filing rejoinder but the same is not filed.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 14.06.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[*P.T.O.*