

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.04.2024

O.A. No.870 of 2023

S.S. Umap

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO states that 'District Collector, Pune' has conveyed that as Applicant has already joined on post of 'Talathi', at 'Valhe', Tahsil Purandar; therefore request of Applicant to transfer him to vacant post of 'Talathi' at 'Dive', Tahsil Purandar cannot be considered ever though he is an 'Ex-Serviceman'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that post of 'Talathi, at 'Dive'; Tahsil Purandar even at present is vacant and thus being held as 'Additional Charge'.

4. The 'District Collector, Pune' is accordingly directed that since Applicant as 'Ex-Serviceman' was entitled to precedence over others in cadre of 'Talathi' for matters relating to transfers as per provisions of G.A.D. GR dated 21.11.2022 read with G.A.D. GR dated 09.04.2018 and whereas post of 'Talathi' at 'Dive', Tahsil Purandar' was the '1st Option' submitted by Applicant on 05.04.2023 at time of 'General Transfer: 2023' and whereas, inspite of these facts request of Applicant was not considered and instead Applicant was posted as 'Talathi' at 'Valhe', Tahsil Purandar; therefore as same status exists even now in respect of post of 'Talathi', at 'Dive'; Tahsil Purandar the Applicant should now be transferred forthwith without any further delay on vacant post of 'Talathi' at 'Dive', Tahsil Purandar.

5. The 'District Collector, Pune' directed to complete this exercise to transfer Applicant on vacant post of 'Talathi' at 'Dive', Tahsil Purandar within 'Two Weeks'.

6. S.O. to 29.04.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.286 of 2024 with O.A. No. 331 of 2024</p> <p>R.U. Gumane H.S. KenjaleApplicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant mentions that in O.A. No. 286 of 2024 the 'Wife' of Applicant has 'Locomotor Disability' and suffers from 'CVA' with 'Right Hemiparesis' to extent of 48 %. Hence, the 'Applicant' who is serving as 'Assistant Police Inspector' being 'Care Giver' is governed by provisions of 'Section 2(d)' of 'The Right to Persons the Disability Act, 2016'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further mentions that in O.A. No. 286 of 2024; the 'Joint Chief Electoral Office, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' by letter dated 07.03.2024 had forwarded the request of Applicant for due consideration of 'Additional Chief Secretary Home Department'. Subsequently it had also been forwarded to 'DGP, Maharashtra State' by 'Home Department' letter dated 09.03.2024.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states with respect to O.A. No. 286 of 2024 that due consideration in similar cases had been given by 'District Collector & DEO Pune' to cases of officers belonging to cadres of 'Additional Collector, Deputy Collectors & Tahsildars' to exempt them from work relating to 'General Elections Lok Sabha: 2024'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The 'D.G.P, Maharashtra State' therefore would be expected to act forthwith in case of Applicant in O.A, No. 286 of 2024 who is 'Care Giver' under 'Section 2(d)' to his 'Wife' suffering from 'Locomotor Disability' to extent of 48% as she has legal rights under 'The Right of Persons in Disability Act 2016'.</p> <p>6. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No. 331 of 2024, states that Applicant has been transferred to establishment of 'SP, Solapur' from establishment on 'Commissioner of Police, Pune' However, the Applicant had never been posted to 'Military Camp Police Station' as he was never relieved from 'Control Room' by 'Commissioner of Police, Pune'. The Applicant at time of issue of directions in Election Commission of India letter dated 21.02.2023, thus was working in 'Control Room' and therefore was not required to be transferred as per guidelines of 'Para 5.3' since he was serving in 'Functional Department'.</p> <p>7. The 'DGP, Maharashtra State' in respect of O.A. No. 331 of 2024 to provide information as to whether 'Transfer Orders' dated 26.02.2024 of Applicant to establishment of 'SP, Solapur' came to be issued only because factual information about Applicant working in 'Control Room' was not submitted by office of 'Commissioner of Police, Pune'.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.90 of 2024</p> <p>D.T. BachhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.L. Dhone, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of 'Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department' letter dated 22.03.2022 addressed to 'Controller of Legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' which is regarding appropriate action to be taken to forthwith get '3 Employees' including Applicant who were working on temporarily basis in establishment of 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal, Maharashtra, Mumbai' relieved, so that they could join back on establishment of 'Controller of Legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then stated that 'Deputy Controller' in office of 'Controller of Legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' thereafter wrote letter on 07.07.2022 to 'Registrar (Administration) of 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal, Maharashtra, Mumbai' informing them to forthwith relieve these '3 Employees'; so as to enable them to join back as per their options in establishment of 'Controller of legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 19.03.2024 of 'Joint Controller' in office of 'Controller of Legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 26.03.2024 of 'Registrar (Administration)' of 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Mumbai'.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Learned PO states that 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal, Maharashtra, Mumbai' is unable to relieve Applicant to join back on establishment of 'Controller of Legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai', primarily because they have still not been provided with adequate 'Ministerial Staff' by the 'Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department'.

6. The 'Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 19.03.2024 filed by the 'Joint Controller' in office of 'Controller of Legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' mentions that as per provisions of 'Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department' G.R. dated 16.11.2013, options had been sought from employees to choose between the organizations of (a) 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal, Maharashtra, Mumbai' and (b) 'Controller of legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. The Applicant had earlier submitted option on 18.12.2012 and even later on 20.03.2014 to serve in establishment of 'Controller of legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.

7. The Applicant was however permitted to join on temporary basis in establishment of 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Mumbai', as no post of 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' was then available in establishment of 'Controller of legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. The Applicant was thus placed on 'Waiting List'. However, 'Controller of Legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' issued Order dated 07.07.2021 to fill up the post of 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' due to vacancy arising out of 'Voluntary Retirement' and sought the repatriation of Applicant to join in establishment of 'Controller of Legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. However, 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Mumbai' has since then not relieved Applicant, who is presently working at 'Thane District Consumer Redressal Forum'.

8. The reasons mentioned in 'Short Affidavit-in-Reply' dated 26.03.2024 filed by 'Registrar (Administration)' on behalf of 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Mumbai' are not justiciable enough to continue retaining Applicant on their establishment especially when appropriate directions

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>have been issued by 'Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department' letter dated 22.03.2022 as their 'Administrative Department', notwithstanding the fact that there was dearth of 'Ministerial Staff' in 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal, Maharashtra, Mumbai'.</p> <p>9. The Applicant had given option earlier on 18.12.2012 and subsequently on 20.03.2014 to join in establishment of 'Controller of Legal Metrology, of Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and thus entitled now to join back forthwith on post of 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' as per Order dated 07.07.2021 of 'Controller of Legal Metrology, of Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Hence, considering all facts and circumstances of the case of Applicant the 'Registrar (Administration)' of 'State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Mumbai' is directed to relieve the Applicant within 'One Week' to join back on 'Vacant Post' of 'Stenographer (Lower Grade)' in establishment of 'Controller of Legal Metrology, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>10. The O.A. No.90/2024 is disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.04.2024

O.A.No.493 of 2024
(DB-II)

K. N. Tadvi

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Sandesh Patil holding for Shri Rahul Khot, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.04.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 18.04.2024.

sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 134/2019 with M.A 466/2023 with O.A 1076/2019 with M.A 467/2023 with O.A 1077/2019 with O.A 1078/2019 with M.A 468/2023</u></p> <p>V.L Kardile & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the applicants will make representation to the concerned department regarding the issue of regularization as Muster Assistant in view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in W.P 2946/1999.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks time to take instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 400/2024</u></p> <p>A.N Devade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Ashmita Goradia i/b V.R Katariya, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medhā Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 217/2024</u></p> <p>S.R Thale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant in person absent. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 12.04.2024
---	--

O.A 202/2024

S.R Revatkar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Gaurav Patole i/b Shri N
Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt
K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O to 3.5.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>12.04.2024</u>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 172/2024</u></p> <p>R.V Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A Pawar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.5.2024. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed." 8. S.O to 3.5.2024. <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p>Tribunal's orders O.A 168/2024</p>
	<p>C.S Aarge ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Deokar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders O.A 167/2024</p>
	<p>P.B Gatkul ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

12.04.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A 117/2021

S.S Thakur ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle holding for Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O request for time.

3. S.O to 3.5.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 94/2024</u></p>
	<p>S.S Gangurde ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 81/2024</u></p>
	<p>R.C Adakul ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to file affidavit in reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center">12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 28/2024</u></p>
	<p>L.S Mali & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	12.04.2024 Tribunal's orders O.A 19/2024
---	---

A.S Harijan ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.S Jadhav i/b Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

3. Place for final hearing on 21.6.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 08/2024</u></p>
	<p>D.R Khade ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Place for final hearing on 21.6.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>12.04.2024</u> Tribunal's orders O.A 1537/2023
	<p>C.N Ghadage ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.K Chavan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that affidavit in reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center">12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders O.A 1478/2023</p>
	<p>S.N Gherde ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.V Kate, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders O.A 1342 & 1342/2023</p>
	<p>B.M Thete & Ors G.T Patil & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R Patil, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the 94 applicants in O.A 1343/2023 have been considered for grant of old pension by the Respondents and the case of 45 applicants remain to be decided.</p> <p>3. The Respondent No. 4 is directed to take decision on the representation of the 45 applicants for grant of old pension scheme.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>5. S.O to 26.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders O.A 1200/2023</p>
	<p>R.T Shelar ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, holding for Shri P.H Hendre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders O.A 1143/2023</p>
	<p>S.V Swami & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. A responsible officer from the Department is directed to remain present on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>12.04.2024</u> Tribunal's orders <u>O.A 982/2023</u>
---	---

J.D Bhambure & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S. Upasni, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.
3. Learned P.O is directed to file reply by way of last chance.
4. S.O to 3.5.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha'Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>12.04.2024</u> Tribunal's orders O.A Nos 914, 921, 922, 947, 956 & 1347/2023
---	--

A.E Kerure & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Matter is peremptorily fixed on 19.4.2024. It is a serious matter as no officer from the Department is present despite order passed by this Tribunal on the last date.

3. Deputy Secretary is directed to remain present on the next date.

4. S.O to 19.4.2024. Hamdast.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center">12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">Tribunal's orders M.A 182/2024 in O.A 398/2024</p>
---	--

P.G Nilje ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Anand Gugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks time to reply.

3. S.O to 23.4.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>12.04.2024</u> Tribunal's orders <u>M.A 117/2024 in O.A 238/2024</u>
---	---

P.S Joshi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O to 19.4.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

12.04.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
M.A 64/2024 in M.A 65/2024 in O.A 815/2021

S.G Adate ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O to 3.5.2024.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 64/2024 in O.A 910/2023</u></p> <p>H.R Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha/Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 774/2023 in O.A 1557/2023</u></p> <p>H.R Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medhã Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 773/2023 in O.A 1556/2023</u></p> <p>H.R Bhosale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri K.R Jagdale, learned counsel for the applicant has filed leave note. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>M.A 614/2023 in O.A 1230/2023 with M.A 615/2023 in O.A 1231/2023 with M.A 673/2023 in O A 1229/2023</u></p> <p>M.R Ghadge S.R Jamadar R.B Jadhav ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Mansi Pawar holding for Shri V.L Maindad, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 ____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 ____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 119/2023 in O.A 206/2023</u></p> <p>R.H Phadtare & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Gaikwad, holding for Shri P.H Hendre, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 11.6.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1196/2023</u></p> <p>S.M Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.S Jadhav, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant on instructions from the applicant submits that the applicant wants to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to file fresh Original Application.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh Original Application.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 507/2024 with M.A 238/2024</u></p> <p>R.B Bhanase ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Anand Gugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.6.2024.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>7. S.O to 11.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 505/2024</u></p> <p>R.N Bhanase ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Anand Gugle, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.4.2024.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>7. S.O to 26.4.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gádgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 492/2024</u></p> <p>S.S Ghosarwade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to file reply with regard to para 6.11 of the O.A, wherein the learned counsel for the applicant has raised the issue of competency.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.6.2024.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>8. S.O to 11.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A 481/2024 <u>Through Video Conference</u></p> <p>V.R Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 3.5.2024.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit in not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption 'for Dismissal' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>7. S.O to 3.5.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 495/2024</u></p> <p>V.V Tamhankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.6.2024.</p> <p>3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>5. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>6. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit in not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption '<u>for Dismissal</u>' and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."</p> <p>7. S.O to 20.6.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (Medha Gaugil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 20px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.04.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 435/2024</u></p> <p>S.S Chandorkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant pointed out that there are number of objections raised by the Pay Verification Unit regarding Service Book of the applicant wherein Column No. 1 to 8 are not completed and in Column No. 9, the signature of the competent authority has not been taken.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O request for time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 19.4.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.479 of 2024</p> <p>A.M. KhobragadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that there are instances of 'Sanitary Inspector' who have been transferred by 'Commissioner of Medical Education' and Research, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' and specifically cites Order dated 31.10.2023 by which other 'Sanitary Inspector' has been transferred from 'B.J. Medical College, Pune' to 'Urban Health Centre' at 'Bandra; Mumbai Suburban District'. 3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that in another instance of 'Sanitary Inspector'; she was transferred from 'B.J. Medical College, Pune' to 'Government Medical College, Nagpur' by 'Commissioner of Medical Education' and Research, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. 4. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that 'Father of Applicant' has undergone 'Heart By-pass Surgery' and hence request of Applicant to be transferred to 'Government Medical College, Nagpur' must be considered as per 'Annexure - 2' of 'Statement 1' of G..A.D. G.R. dated 09.04.2018. 5. Learned P.O. states that no information has yet been received from 'Commissioner of Medical Education' and Research, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' about request made by Applicant to be transferred from post of 'Sanitary Inspector' in 'B.J. Medical College, Pune' to 'Government Medical College, Nagpur'. 6. Learned P.O. thus seeks 'Three Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Medical Education' and Research, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O. 7. S.O. to 03.05.2024. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.463 of 2023 (DB – II)</p> <p>S. M. Dabade & Ors Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. S.O. to 15.04.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.41 of 2024 with O.A. No.42 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.G. Bharsakle Dr. S.A. SyedApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video-Conference and Shri A.J. Chougule along with Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.04.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.340 of 2024</p> <p>T.M. AdhaooApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of order passed in O.A. No.240/2024 by the M.A.T. Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>4. 'Interim Relief to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.319 of 2024</p> <p>P.A. KshirsagarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that due to 'Typographical Error', she wants to amend O.A. No.319/2024. Permission granted to amend O.A. No.319/2024.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has filed 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Respondent No.2 – Spl. I.G.P., Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur on 08.04.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.318 of 2024</p> <p>J.P. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of learned P.O. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.236 of 2024</p> <p>V.S. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has filed 'Short Affidavit' on behalf of Respondent No.03. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.226 of 2024</p> <p>Dr. S.B. BahiratApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant through Video Conference and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. seeks time of another 'Two Weeks' to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent as per 'Para 3' of the order dated 26.03.2024. Time as prayed for is granted to learned P.O. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.764 of 2023</p> <p>G.N. BadadareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant has retired on 31.03.2024 from the post of 'Chief Administrative Officer, Medical Education, B.J. Medical College, Pune' on account of relief granted by 'Interim Order' dated 05.07.2023.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that O.A. No.764/2023 can thus be disposed off as it become infructuous on 31.03.2024.</p> <p>4. The O.A. No.764/2023 is accordingly disposed off. 'No Order as to Costs'.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1488 of 2023</p> <p>S.B. KalewadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video-Conference' and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO again seeks 'One Week' time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Secretary (Revenue), Revenue and Forest Department' with regard to directions in 'Para 2' and 'Para 3' of Order dated 28.03.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.04.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2024

O.A.No.1530/2023 (D.B.)

V.B. KendreApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Counsel adjourned to 26.04.2024.

SD/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2024

O.A.No.1493/2023 (D.B.)

B.D. ShelarApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 26.04.2024 for filing reply.

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2024

O.A.No.1401/2023 (D.B.)

P.P. KambleApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Mr. V.V. Gujar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Mr. A. Raktade, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5 and Mr. Mr. S. Mane, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.to 4 are absent.

3. Reply is filed.

4. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.

5. Adjourned to 11.06.2024, with liberty to file rejoinder.

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2024

O.A.No.699/2023 (D.B.)

Y.A. Ahire & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to
03.05.2024.

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2024

O.A.No.350/2023 (D.B.)

N.K. PatilApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents

2. Mr. S.K. Hande, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.

3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 26.04.2024.

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.908/2019 (D.B.)</p> <p>A.K. Gogavle & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Mr. M.M. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 11.06.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.255/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>A.S. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel has submitted that today matter was placed directing the concern Respondents to appear.</p> <p>4. However, no Officer is present today.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 16.04.2024. Concern Respondents are directed to remain present on next date. HOB.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">SD/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2024

M.A.No.215/2024 in O.A.No.1500/2023 (D.B.)

S.G. DeokarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.

2. M.A. is filed seeking amendment. Learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan has submitted that she needs to add the name of Respondent No.4 in amendment application.

3. Permission granted. M.A. seeking amendment after adding the name of Respondent No.4 is allowed.

4. M.A. is allowed. O.A. Adjourned to 29.04.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2024

C.A.No.13/2024 in O.A.No.595/2022 (D.B.)

S.R. MisalApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. Mr. D.B. Khiare, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.
3. Learned P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.
4. Adjourned to 19.04.2024.

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2024</p> <p>C.A.No.43/2023 in O.A.No.32/2023 with M.A.No.364/2023 in O.A.No.619/2023 with O.A.No.619/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>A.D. Nargude & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants in C.A.43/2023, Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.619/2023 and in M.A.No.364/2023, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer with Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Counsel Ms. Mahajan, matters are adjourned to 23.04.2024. Interim relief, if any, to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">SD/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2024

C.A.No.41/2023 in O.A.No.297/2015 (D.B.)

U.N. YadavApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that file is pending with the Finance Department.

3. In view of above, C.A. stands adjourned to 26.04.2024.

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2024

C.A.No.30/2023 in O.A.No.774/2022 (D.B.)

V.K. Adsul & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view that order passed in O.A. is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the said Writ Petition is pending, C.A. stands adjourned to 26.04.2024.

SD/-

**(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)**

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.45/2022 in O.A.No.65/2020 (D.B.)</p> <p>S.P. KapdneApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.B. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. C.A. stands dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">SD/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>prk</p>