

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.349/2022</p> <p>P.D. ThoratApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.2 Medical Education and Drugs Department is directed to file short affidavit on the point of requisition.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 26.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<p align="center">Tribunal's orders Date : 12.04.2023</p>
	<p align="center">O.A.No.926/2021</p> <p>V.S. PadhyeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has submitted that as per order of this Tribunal dated 26.11.2021 the Respondents were directed to allow the Applicant to appear for the interview and to keep his result in the sealed envelope. Accordingly, the Applicant appeared for the interview for placement in Academic Level 14. Learned Advocate seeks direction that the said sealed cover be opened.</p> <p>3. We direct the Respondents to come to the Tribunal with the sealed envelope today.</p> <p>4. Later on the Respondent has come up with the sealed envelope and the same was opened by us and it shows the applicant has secured 22.61 points and the requisite are 15 posts and the remarks states that he is recommended.</p> <p>5. Under such circumstances, further process of benefit of placement in Academic Level 14 is to be carried out within a period of six months.</p> <p>6. With this direction, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.10 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Bhusal & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the file is still pending with the Hon'ble Deputy Chief Minister since 6.3.2023 and further action is awaited.</p> <p>3. Deputy Secretary, Finance is directed to remain present on 18.4.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.4.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.4.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.4.2023</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.512/2022</p> <p>H.B. YeldeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.B. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. informs that in the vacancies for the post upto 31.12.2020 were considered and the two vacancies which are shown by the learned Advocate are of 31.12.2022. Therefore as in impugned Recruitment Process of 2020 as on 31.12.2020 no vacancies in NT(B) category was available. Anticipated NT (B) vacancies which were occurred on 31.12.2020 cannot be added while preparing the select list.</p> <p>3. In view of above, we find no merit in the case, hence, O.A. stands dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.1005/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.G. Parab ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. today filed short Affidavit along with necessary record. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing 05.06.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05th June, 2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.03/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.P. Muthe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Sur-rejoinder on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. be kept for final hearing to 03.05.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3rd May, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.As.26, 428, 696 to 698, 952, 306, 1089 and 1096/2022 with O.A.07/2023</u></p> <p>Shri A.K. Pawar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and some of the Applicants is present. Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants is absent.</p> <p>2. On previous date, the matter was adjourned for hearing since Hon'ble High Court seized of the matter and it was kept before Hon'ble High Court on 11.04.2023 for hearing. Therefore, this group of O.As was adjourned to 12.04.2023.</p> <p>3. Today, Shri Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants as well as learned P.O. submits that Hon'ble High Court adjourned the Writ Petitions to 19.04.2023 for hearing.</p> <p>4. In view of above, it would be desirable to wait for some time, since Hon'ble High Court seized of the matter.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 4th May, 2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.04.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.04.2023

M.A. No.89 of 2023 in O.A. No.158 of 2023

Dr. D.G. BiradarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay of 343 days caused in filing O.A.
3. In M.A. notice were issued on 10.02.2023 and since then enough time has been availed to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. but not filed. Even, today also Affidavit-in-Reply is not filed. Learned P.O. again sought time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Since, enough time is granted, I am not inclined to grant further time.
4. O.A. is filed by Medical Officer who stands retired recently in March 2023 claiming to condone the technical break in Ad-hoc service and for counting Ad-hoc services for the purpose of Annual increment and Earned leave and the Applicant is relying on the decision rendered by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Aurangabad Bench in O.A. No.678/2014. Thus, the Applicant claims to be similarly situated person.
5. Since, the Applicant is retired Government servant and claiming retiral benefits on the point of parity, I am inclined to condone delay so as to decide the matter on its own merit.
6. In view of above, delay is condone and M.A. No.89/2023 is allowed.
7. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No.253 of 2023 in O.A. No.63 of 2023</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus T.U. Kolte (Patil) (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant). 2. This M.A. is filed for extension of four week time for filing Writ Petition before Hon'ble High Court challenging the order dated 28.03.2023 passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.63 of 2023. 3. Tribunal by order dated 28.03.2023 passed in O.A. No.63 of 2023 quashed and set aside the suspension order of the Applicant with direction to reinstate her on the post she was holding at the time of Suspension. 4. Now this M.A. is filed for extension of time since the period of two weeks are expiring today. Respondents intend to file Writ Petition before Hon'ble High Court. 5. Learned P.O. submits that file is under process with L&JD and further steps would be taken after receipt of opinion. 6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant opposed the M.A. stating that fifteen days time was enough to the Respondents either to approach the Hon'ble High Court or to implement the order. 7. In view of above, in my considered opinion two weeks time from today needs to be granted to the Respondents for the implementation of the order passed by the Tribunal on 28.03.2023. In the mean time they are at liberty to file Writ Petition if so desire. It is made clear that no further time will be granted for the implementation of the order passed by the Tribunal. 8. M.A. No.253 of 2023 is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Vr (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.374 of 2023</p> <p>K.M. SuravaseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is offshoot of the decision rendered by the Tribunal in O.A. No.63/2023 on 28.03.2023. Tribunal quashed and set aside suspension order dated 09.12.2022 with direction to reinstate the Applicant therein on the post he was holding at the time of suspension.</p> <p>3. The Applicant in this O.A. was posted in place of the Applicant (Smt T.U. Kolte (Patil)) after her suspension. Since suspension order is quashed and set aside and Tribunal has given direction to reinstate the Applicant in her Original post now the Applicant is apprehending his displacement for the compliance of the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that though the Applicant in O.A. No.63/2023 was very much aware about posting of his client in her place after Suspension his client was not impleaded in the O.A. and purposely left out Shri M.D. Lonkar therefore submits that his client is aggrieved by the order passed by the Tribunal and entitled to interim relief till the decision of O.A. on merit.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar learned Advocate for the Applicant opposes the interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> contending that O.A. itself is not maintainable and Government is bound to implement the order passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>6. Notably, Government had also filed M.A. No.253/2023 for extension of time on the ground that it intends to file Writ Petition before Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>7. M.A. No.253 of 2023 is also disposed of today by giving two weeks time to the Government either for implementation of the order passed by the Tribunal or to avail necessary orders from Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>8. Since, the Tribunal has already granted two weeks time to the Government to implement the order passed by the Tribunal or to file Writ Petition it would be appropriate to wait for two weeks and to maintain status qua.</p> <p>9. In view of above, the Applicant (in O.A. No.374/2023) should not be displaced for two weeks from today.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 26.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.269/2023 with M.A.No.156/2023 in M.A.No.249/2023</p> <p>P.K. BavkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Rachana Harpale learned Advocate holding for Mr. N.P. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that she wants to withdraw M.A.No.249/2023.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw. M.A.No.249/2023 disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.07.2023.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>10. Adjourned to 05.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">/ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2023

O.A.No.230/2023

M.K. MohiteApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. R.A. Sakhre, along with Mr. K. Gaikwad, learned Advocate Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.07.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.
8. Adjourned to 05.07.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.04.2023

O.A 679 with O.A 734/2022

Shri S.D Satpute & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 19.4.2023.
H.O.B.

Sd/-

(*Medha Gadgil*)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(*Mridula Bhatkar, J.*)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.04.2023

O.A 942/2022

Shri M.G Chaudhari ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs Vaishali Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O seeks two weeks' time to file reply.

3. S.O to 26.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/- *M. Bhatkar*

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.04.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 183 & 184/2023</u></p> <p>S.C Dhone G.D Vishe Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p align="right">... Applicants ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R Pitale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that D.P.C meeting was held in March, 2021 and 11 persons were considered for promotion and the applicants are amongst them. Out of 11 persons, 7 were granted promotion to the post of Circle Officer. The remaining 4 posts were kept vacant and the applicants' promotions were kept in abeyance, even though 5 vacancies were available. The applicants have submitted representation on 3.6.2022, which is not decided till today.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicants has further submitted that in December, 2022, the Collector issued the consolidated seniority list dated 23.12.2022. In that seniority list the applicants who were earlier shown at Sr. Nos 21 & 22 were pushed down to Sr. Nos 48 & 60 respectively. The said provisional seniority list is also challenged.</p> <p>4. The Respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 3.6.2022 and also file short affidavit in reply as why the applicants were treated differently than the other 7 candidates.</p> <p>5. S.O to 7.6.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.04.2023

O.A 197/2023

Shri V.V Jadhav & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. The applicants challenge the memo dated 18.1.2023 passed by the Respondent under which the services of the applicants are intended to be terminated from the post of Health Worker for the reasons stated therein.

3. The advertisement dated 17.10.2007 was issued to fill up 76 posts of Health Worker. However, 123 posts were filled up and therefore 47 candidates who were appointed in excess, departmental enquiry is initiated against them. The Respondents have issued show cause notice to the applicants as to why their services should not be terminated. The applicants have submitted their reply and they are still in service.

4. We do not find any reason to entertain the present application as it is premature.

5. In view of the above, Original Application stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.04.2023

M.A 682/2022 in O.A 760/2017

Shri S.R Yadav ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. By this Misc Application, the applicant prays that the Respondents no 1 & 2 be directed to consider the case of the applicant for temporary promotion to the post of Additional Collector.

3. At the request of learned C.P.O that she wants to know the stand taken by the Respondents about the promotion to be given to the applicant pending criminal case.

4. Learned C.P.O is granted last chance to take instructions in the matter.

5. S.O to 26.4.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2023

O.A.No.236/2023

S.G. PawarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar,
learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P.
Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply.

3. Adjourned to 19.07.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(*Medha Gadgil*)
Member (A)

(*Mridula Bhatkar, J.*)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 12.04.2023

**C.A.No.49/2020 in O.A.No.822/2019 with
C.A.No.50/2020 in O.A.No.823/2019 with
C.A.No.51/2020 in O.A.No.824/2019 with
C.A.No.52/2020 in O.A.No.825/2019 with
C.A.No.53/2020 in O.A.No.826/2019 with
C.A.No.43/2021 in O.A.No.400/2019 with
C.A.No.44/2021 in O.A.No.821/2019**

Dr. G.P. Jangid & Ors.Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that when the matter was placed before the Hon'ble Chief Minister on 24.03.2023, the Hon'ble Chief Minister directed to place the matter before the Cabinet. Learned C.P.O. has further submitted that there is specific procedure which is required to be followed to place the matter before the Cabinet. Thereafter the Cabinet note was prepared and the said note has been placed before the Chief Secretary for approval. Presently, it is placed before the Chief Secretary.
3. Learned C.P.O. on instructions has submitted that considering the procedure followed where the approval of Hon'ble three Ministers is required, including Hon'ble Chief Minister and thereafter the matter is to be placed before the Cabinet, four weeks' time may be granted.
4. Adjourned to 14.06.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.04.2023

M.A 65/2023 in O.A 24/2023

Shri C.S Khatke ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Priyanka Shaw holding for Shri R.K Mendadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ns Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 5.7.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within **seven** days or service report on affidavit in not filed **three** days before returnable date, the Original/Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption **'for Dismissal'** and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed."
8. S.O to 5.7.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.04.2023

M.A 65/2023 in O.A 24/2023

Shri C.S Khatke ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Priyanka Shaw holding for Shri R.K Mendadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ns Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned counsel for the applicant has filed this Misc Application seeking condonation of delay of 2 years, 7 months and 7 days.
3. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 29.1.2018 terminating his services. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has preferred appeal before a different Forum and therefore, there was delay in filing the Original Application before this Tribunal.
4. Learned C.P.O opposes the application seeking condonation of delay and files affidavit in reply.
5. After going through the grounds stated in the Misc Application, we allow the Misc Application and condone the delay in filing the Original Application after imposing cost of Rs. 3000/-, which is to be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal within two weeks.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.04.2023

O.A 100/2022

Shri J.S Pandit ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for time to file affidavit in rejoinder.

3. Time granted.

4. S.O to 12.7.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(^{vD}Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	--------------------------

12.04.2023

O.A 1069/2021

Shri S.R Patil ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit.

3. Place for final hearing on 26.4.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(**Medha Gadgil**)
Member (A)

(**Mridula Bhatkar, J.**)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

12.04.2023

O.A 568/2022 with 344 & 327/2022

Shri K.N Dombale & Ors ... Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit. Place for hearing on 25.4.2023. H.O.B.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.04.2023</u></p> <p><u>M.A 205/2023 in C.A 23/2023 in O.A 487/2020</u></p> <p>Shri Rajesh Raut ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Vaidehi Pradeep holding for Shri Vinod Sangvikar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to serve copy of the Misc Application to the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.4.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.04.2023
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.236/2021 with O.A.No.237/2021</p> <p>K. Suryakrishnamurty & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Atul Rajadhyaksha, learned Senior Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.No.236/2021, Mr. Akhilesh Kumar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.237/2021, Mr. R.S. Apte, learned Counsel along with Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Mr. B.S. Shinde and Mr. P.H. Gaikwad Patil, learned Advocates for the Respondents No.4, 6 and 7 in O.A.No.236/2021 and for Respondents No.5 to 10 in O.A.No.237/2021.</p> <p>2. We are informed by both the learned Senior Counsel that the order dated 26.08.2022 passed in T.A.No.1/2021 & 2/2021 passed by the M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad is challenged before the Hon'ble High Court, Aurangabad Bench vide Writ Petition No.9163/2022 & Ors. Learned Senior Counsel Mr. Apte has produced the copy of order dated 31.01.2023 and orders passed on various dates from time to time by the Hon'ble High Court, Aurangabad Bench. We are informed that the matter is tentatively posted for final hearing on 20.04.2023 by the Hon'ble High Court as the Hon'ble High Court is seized of the matter.</p> <p>3. In view of above, we adjourn the matter on 12.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.245 of 2023 in O.A.No.370 of 2019</p> <p>L. T. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. L. Rathod, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for clarification of order dated 13.10.2021 passed by the Tribunal in O.A.No.370/2019.</p> <p>3. O.A.No.370/2019 was filed for claiming pay and allowances from the deemed date of promotion to actual date of promotion for the post of Senior Assistant, Office Superintendent and Administrative Officer. The Tribunal decided the O.A. on merit and directed the Respondents to pay difference in pay and allowances for the promotional post as claimed in O.A.</p> <p>4. Later, the Applicant had filed contempt application No.01/2022 which was finally disposed of by the Tribunal on 03.04.2023 giving liberty to the Applicant to file appropriate application. It appears that after the decision of the Tribunal in O.A.No.370/2019, the Respondents by order dated 04.03.2022, 23.08.2023 and 17.01.2023 revised the pay and allowances of the Applicant. It is on this background, Contempt Application was disposed of with liberty to file fresh O.A. challenging the orders passed subsequently by the department. However, now Applicant has filed this M.A. for clarification of order dated 13.10.2021. Indeed, when the department has passed sum fresh orders of revising pay and allowances for one or other reason, the Applicant is required to challenge the same by filling fresh O.A. No such clarification can be sought in O.A.370/2019 which is already decided by the Tribunal on 13.10.2021.</p> <p>5. At this juncture, learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw this M.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p>6. Allowed to withdraw O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs. Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.660 of 2022</p> <p>Y. M. KokadwarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The court time is over and, therefore, matter is adjourned for hearing. 3. Interim relief to continue till next date. 4. S.O. to 19.04.2023 as a part-heard. <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- ^{v v} (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.825 of 2021</p> <p>G. S. KaranjeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt.Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has carried out amendment in O.A. on previous date and today, the Respondents were to file additional reply to amendment made in the O.A.</p> <p>3. However, learned P.O. sought time to file additional reply with annexures.</p> <p>4. One week time is granted to file reply as a last chance.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 19.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.230 of 2023 in O.A. No.786 of 2022</p> <p>T.D. PednekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.R. Gawas, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to amend O.A. so as to challenge communication dated 20.12.2014 and 21.11.2014. In O.A. there was no such prayer and now by way of amendment it is being brought to the notice. Notably, O.A. has been filed on 08.08.2022. As such, the limitation to challenge the communication dated 20.12.2014 and 21.11.2014 is already expired. When this aspect is brought to the notice of learned Advocate for the Applicant he sought time to file M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.73 of 2023 in M.A. No.74 of 2023 in O.A. No.130 of 2023</p> <p>S.Z. Patil & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of day. Statement is accepted. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.158 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. D.G. BiradarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed along with M.A. No.89/2023 for condonation of delay, which is allowed today. Therefore this O.A. needs to be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>3. Since Respondents already appeared in M.A., issuance of notices of O.A. is dispensed with.</p> <p>4. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.05.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.107 of 2023 in O.A. No.192 of 2023</p> <p>D.V. SawantApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.2</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2023.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- v* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.148 of 2023 in O.A. No.260 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. WankhedeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P.M. Chaudhari, learned Advocate holding for Shri K. Bhamre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.287 of 2023</p> <p>A.D. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 11.03.2022 thereby rejecting his request for leave encashment of 112 days. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.06.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 07.06.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.244 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. DahiphaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.319 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. SurvaseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Smt Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p>M.A. No.418 of 2022 in O.A. No.544 of 2022</p> <p>P.S. LakeshriApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in M.A on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record. 3. Indeed, as fairly submitted by learned Advocate for the Applicant during the pendency of this M.A. and O.A. Respondent No.1 granted 2nd benefit of ACPS by order dated 19.10.2022. 4. O.A. has been filed for direction to Respondent No.1 to grant 2nd benefit of ACPS with interest at the rate of 9% from the date of entitlement till payment. However, now the grievance of 2nd benefit of ACPS is already redressed and now the question would remain about interest only. 5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant however raised grievance that though order passed by the Government on 19.10.2022 till date payment is not made. 6. In view of above, O.A. deserves to be disposed of by giving liberty to the Applicant to redress his grievance of interest independently. 7. O.A. is disposed of with direction to the Respondent to ensure payment of 2nd benefit granted by order dated 19.10.2022 within four weeks from today. 8. The Applicant is at liberty to redress the grievance of his interest independently. 9. M.A. and O.A. are accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.349 of 2023</p> <p>S.P. KalgutkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has filed this O.A. for grant of interest on delayed payment on retiral benefits. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.06.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 05.06.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.44 of 2023</p> <p>P.B. SureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Sarang Gundajwar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Earlier enough chances were granted but last chance was again granted. 3. I am therefore not inclined to grant further time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission without Affidavit-in-Reply. 5. S.O. to 05.06.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.60 of 2023</p> <p>M.A. GhavateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.120 of 2023</p> <p>S.N. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.05.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.193 of 2023</p> <p>J.R. ChavanApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.05.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.213 of 2023</p> <p>P.S. GharatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.229 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate holding for Shri O.A. Wable, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.04.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.77 of 2018</p> <p>D.S. Khatal & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.3.</p> <p>4. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>5 S.O. to 03.05.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1291 of 2022</p> <p>K.A.H. Mehvi,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.05.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.41 of 2023</p> <p>N.S. Bhise & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. sought time to file Sur-Rejoinder which was to be filed today since Rejoinder is already filed on previous date.</p> <p>3. In view of above, O.A. be kept for hearing with liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.06.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>