

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.223 of 2022 in O.A. No.1020 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.G. Naik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this MA for amending the OA as per schedule attached to the MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits to the order of the Court.</p> <p>4. MA for amendment is allowed. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that amendment will be carried out during the course of the day and amended copy be will be served on all concerned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.4.2022</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.445 of 2021 in O.A. No.833 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is filed for condoning the delay of 3 years 10 months and 9 days in filing OA. The cause of action arose on 26.10.2016 and OA is filed on 20.10.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he was unable to file the OA in time because his mother, mother-in-law, wife and aunt of wife all were sick and he was looking after them. Ld. Advocate further submits that he is similarly situated like applicant in OA No.1105 of 2016 decided by this Tribunal on 21.9.2021 (Shri Arvind C. Rane & 3 Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Anr.).</p> <p>4. Ld. PO opposes condonation of delay.</p> <p>5. As the applicant has approached praying that he is similarly situated person as in OA No.1105 of 2016 and for the reasons mentioned therein MA is allowed and delay is condoned by imposing cost of Rs.1000/-.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.4.2022 12.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.833 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Joshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.T. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.4.2022 12.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.1 of 2022 in O.A. No.4 of 2022</u></p> <p>C.M. Kadam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed this MA for staying the Departmental Enquiry started against him. Ld. CPO submits that the reply filed in the OA may also be treated as reply to the MA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that charges no.1, 7, 8 & 9 are the charges related to the period four years prior to his retirement and therefore a fresh charge sheet be issued by the department. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for interim relief on the ground that some of the charges are four years prior to the retirement and some of the charges are within the stipulated period.</p> <p>4. We have verified the charge sheet and charges no.1, 7, 8 & 9 are four years preceeding the date of retirement. This is pursuant to our order dated 20.1.2022 passed in the above matter. The enquiry officer has power to decide and curtail the charges if they are beyond preceeding four years of his retirement. On this ground, we reject the prayer for interim relief i.e. staying the departmental enquiry. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. OA is adjourned to 8.6.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.4.2022 12.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.69 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ThakreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A. the challenge is to the legality of the suspension order on the ground of competency amongst other. The same issue is involved in O.A. No.1034/2021 and O.A. N.872/2021, which are also on today's board but the record of these two O.As are not traceable, <i>in office</i>.</p> <p>3. Board section is directed to trace the record of these two O.As and be listed with this O.A. on 18.04.2022.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.325 of 2022</p> <p>R.N. ChaudhariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged order dated 18.02.2020 whereby his representation to treat suspension period as duty period has been rejected. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.05.2022. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 06.05.2022. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓✓✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.142 of 2021</p> <p>S.K. DavarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Perusal of record reveals that they are frequently absent. 4. Thus Applicant and his Advocate appears not interested in the matter. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.61 of 2020 with M.A. No.325 of 2020</p> <p>N.D. Bhosale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted for Final Hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.201 of 2022 in O.A. No.26 of 2022</p> <p>A.K. Pawar & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for Hearing</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.701 of 2016</p> <p>D.R. DigholeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the applicant is compulsorily retired on 05.10.2013, on the ground of 1206 days of the absence within intimation. Learned Advocate further submits that the Appeal was rejected on 10.03.2015. Hence, this O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate further submits that the case of the Applicant be considered under Section 37 of the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995 as the Applicant is suffering from mental illness.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 19.04.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="font-size: 1.2em; margin-top: 20px;">12/4/22 Reply Filed by R. No. 2 and R. No. 3.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.133 of 2022</p> <p>S.P. BombeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Gaurav Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.3, Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar submits that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 will be filed during the course of the day, if possible or by tomorrow in Office. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>4. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.207 of 2022 in O.A. No.323 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.D. Veer & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.4.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.4.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.323 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.D. Veer & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 7.6.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>12.4.2022</p> <p>(sgi)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>12.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.As. No.173 & 174 of 2021</u></p> <p>M.A. Tapase R.M. Kalaskar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p align="right">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicants has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. The respondents-State to give list of the earlier appointed persons as Deputy Superintendent of Police, Motor Transport in respect of their experience as to what criteria was applied.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.4.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Gadgil) Member (A) 12.4.2022</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA.41/2021 in OA.1052/2016 with MA.700/2019 in RA.23/2019 in OA.1052/2016</u></p> <p>V.S. Patil V.S. Kalekar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicants ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Advocate both are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that writ petition filed in the Hon'ble High Court is part heard.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.6.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.4.2022 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.4.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.2 of 2022 in O.A. No.1047 of 2016</u></p> <p>P.P. Birajdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that only 215 candidates have been selected for the post of Assistant Motor Vehicle Inspector. However, Ld. Advocate submits that he has received the figure of 218 under RTI.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO is directed to file affidavit in this regard.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.4.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.4.2022 12.4.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.25 of 2022</p> <p>V.G. MekaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that the copy of O.A. is not served upon to the Respondents Department.</p> <p>3. Applicant is directed to serve the copy of O.A. to the Respondents Department at the earliest.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">/ Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.25 of 2022</p> <p>V.G. MekaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that the copy of O.A. is not served upon to the Respondents Department.</p> <p>3. Applicant is directed to serve the copy of O.A. to the Respondents Department at the earliest.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 07.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.817 of 2016</p> <p>A.F. KambleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. S.S. Chandhari, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant and Applicant to take note that we keep this matter after one week to enable learned Advocate to appear before the Tribunal. If none of them appear before this Tribunal then we will have to dismiss the matter for want of prosecution.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 19.04.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1062 of 2021</p> <p>M.P. Devade & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned C.P.O. submits that the Department may consider the case of the Applicant positively.</p> <p>3. In view of the submissions we fixed the matter on 14.06.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.519 of 2021</p> <p>Y.B. ChavanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>4. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 07.05 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.912 of 2015</p> <p>S.G. DeshpandeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.</p> <p>2. By letter dated 03.05.2022 learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan has informed that she has withdrawn her appearance in the present matter.</p> <p>3. We call upon learned Advocate Ms. Punam Mahajan to submit the copy RPAD receipt along with notice that she has withdrawn her appearance.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (<i>Medha Gadgil</i>) Member(A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.976 of 2021</p> <p>R.B. WadileApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 05.07 2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.94 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. ZadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. submits that affidavit-in-reply is already filed.</p> <p>4. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 02.05.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.32 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Palve & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondents No.1 and 2 has filed affidavit-in-reply, however, reply of Respondent No.3 is awaited.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 26.04.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) ✓ Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.224 of 2022 in O.A.No.782 of 2019 with O.A.No.782 of 2019</p> <p>B.S. YeoleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Ansari Faiyaz i/b. Mr. Amit Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.224/2022 is filed for restoration of O.A.No.782/2019.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that on 03.11.2020 matter was adjourned to 11.12.2020. Somehow due to oversight he missed the date and then it was not followed. Learned Advocate further submits that he was not aware of the order of dismissal which was passed on 25.02.2021. Learned Advocate submits that pleadings are complete.</p> <p>4. On 22.02.2021 matter was listed on the board and reply has been filed by the Respondents and thereafter it was dismissed after hearing.</p> <p>5. In view of above we restore the matter. M.A.No.224/2022 is allowed.</p> <p>6. O.A.No.782/2019 is Adjourned to 26.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.329 of 2022</p> <p>D.P. DeoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has applied for common examination pursuant to advertisement dated 02.01.2022 in Group-A and Group-B for the post of Deputy Collector in reserved category of OBC sports.</p> <p>3. M.P.S.C. has fixed the cut-off at 157.125 marks for OBC sports category. The Applicant has secured less marks i.e. 151 marks than the fixed cut-off marks and therefore as per the submissions of M.P.S.C. the Applicant is not eligible to appear for the main examination which is going to take place on 07.05.2022.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant belongs to OBC and has participated in the 'World Schools Championship Athletics Wuhan, 2015' held at Wuhan, China and has secured Gold Medal in the event of running tournament of 1500 meters and she has acquired the Certificate to that effect and provided the said copy to M.P.S.C. for verification. Learned Advocate submits that as per Rule 8 of M.P.S.C. Rules of Procedure, 2014, M.P.S.C. fixed the cut-off marks considering 12 candidates for one post. There were three posts reserved for Group-A and Group-B, OBC sports category. Therefore 36 candidates were short-listed and who have secured less marks, than the cut-off marks i.e. 157-125 fixed by the M.P.S.C.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate Mr. Dere submits that the Applicant has played International Championship and as per G.R. dated 01.07.2016, Class 2 for the purpose of Group-A post eligibility of the sports is fixed that candidates should be a player at International Level. As per the submissions of</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

learned Advocate Mr. Dere there are 12 candidates from OBC sports who have played International Level to fix the criterion as per G.R. of 2016 in Group-A category.

6. In view of the submissions made by learned Advocate and learned C.P.O. we call upon the Respondent-M.P.S.C. to furnish the data that how many candidates are fulfilling the criterion mentioned in G.R. of 2016 for Group-A for the post of Deputy Collector as per the claims made by the candidates.

7. Learned C.P.O. submits that it is very difficult to go through so many applications of 33 persons and to furnish the information in short period.

8. We make it clear, as per the advertisement there are 7 posts of Group-A in various Departments. Out of these 7 posts only for one post of Deputy Collector the reservation in OBC sports is provided and the said reservation is claimed by the Applicant. Thus, if at all the candidates who are found in OBC Internationally played candidates who have secured above cut-off marks the applicant is not entitled to get any relief. Therefore as the information is not available and last date of filling the application form is 14.04.2022, we hereby allow the applicant to fill-up the application form.

9. Learned C.P.O. submits that M.P.S.C. will be able to furnish this information on affidavit on 02.05.2022.

10. Adjourned to 02.05.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.182 of 2022</p> <p>A.E. PatilApplicant VersusRespondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.04.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.314 of 2022</p> <p>K. R. RautApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. On request of learned P.O. time is granted till 18.04.2022 to file affidavit and to explain why retiral benefits of the Applicant are withheld for near about four years. The Applicant stands retired on 31.08.2018 but his retiral dues are not paid. 3. On previous date, learned P.O. on instructions made a statement that the service book is not updated and now the steps are being taken. This cannot be the ground to delay retiral benefits. Indeed, the process for preparation of pension papers is required to be initiated much in advance and all the papers are required to be kept ready before six months of the retirement as seen from Rule 120 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. Thus, the laxity and negligence on the part of Respondents is obvious. 4. However, on request of learned P.O. time is granted till 18.04.2022 to explain this inordinate and huge delay in the matter of grant of retiral benefits. 5. S.O. to 18.04.2022. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1063 of 2021</p> <p>B.V. ChauguleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Tripathy, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.4 to 6. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3, 7 & 8.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.846 of 2021</p> <p>Late Mrs. K.D. Likhar since deceased through her heir & L.R. Mr. D.D. LikherApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of leaned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.04.2022

M.A. No.199 of 2022 in O.A. No.974 of 2021

S.B. ZawareApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Shantanu Raktate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. M.A. is filed to amend prayer clause in respect of grant of interest on the arrears of commutation of pension.
3. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A.
4. In view of above, M.A. is allowed. Amendment be carried out within 3 days.
5. Today matter is for hearing without Reply since O.A. is already proceeded without Reply.
6. Learned P.O. however sought permission to file Affidavit-in-Reply to O.A.
7. Since, one more prayer is added by way of amendment today, it would be appropriate to grant reasonable time to P.O. to file Reply to O.A. so as to decide it on merit.
8. Two weeks time is granted as last chance.
9. S.O. to 02.05.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.172 of 2022 in O.A. No.436 of 2021</p> <p>A.R. Borkar & OrsApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This M.A. is filed for condonation of delay in pending O.A. No.436/2021. 3. Since, Respondents already appeared in O.A., notice of M.A. is dispensed with. 4. Two weeks time is granted to file Reply to M.A. 5. This matter be placed along with connected M.A. No.513/2021 in O.A. No.383/2021. 6. S.O. to 22.04.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.162 of 2022 in O.A. No.179 of 2022</p> <p>A.V. SwamiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. 4. S.O. to 18.04.2022. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p>M.A. No.75 of 2022 in O.A. No.125 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. N.S. BambaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A. by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.196 of 2021 in O.A. No.41 of 2021</p> <p>E.B. SayyedApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. submits that matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B.K, learned P.O. but she is unable to remain present due to demise of her father and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.309 of 2022</p> <p>V.S. AbnaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Learned Advocate Smt. Punam Mahajan has sent her leave note though circulation is taken for today.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 1133 of 2019</p> <p>A.J. DangeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. submits that the matter is being handled by Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. but she is unable to remain present due to demise of her father and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1046 of 2019</p> <p>S.P. BhaweApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is on leave note.</p> <p>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. In view of leave note adjourned for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.04.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.202 of 2022</p> <p>Dr.V.S. LahadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today Leaned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 5 & 7. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Respondent No.6 is served but absent.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 02.05.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.04.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.151 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. Lendave & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>