

Date: 12.02.2024

O.A. No.818 of 2023

Dr. D.A. ShengalApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned PO presents printout of the 'File Movement' indicating that G.A.D. has directly submitted file on 09.02.2024 along with their revised 'Opinion/ Views' regarding implementation of the (i)G.A.D. Circular dated 11.07.2000 and (ii)G.A.D. G.R. dated 06.08.2022 through 'Chief Secretary, Government of Maharashtra' for due consideration and appropriate orders of 'Hon'ble Chief Minister of Maharashtra State'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that expeditious decision is still expected about transfer of Applicant ^{on} regular basis to vacant post of 'Live Stock Development Officer, Veterinary Polyclinic, Nashik', of which he has been given 'Additional Charge' by way of 'Interim Relief' granted on 11.01.2024.
4. Learned PO thus to again inform 'Principal Secretary, Agriculture, Animal Husbandry, Dairy Development and Fisheries Department' to decide expeditiously within 'Two Weeks', about transfer of Applicant on regular basis to vacant post of 'Live Stock Development Officer, Veterinary Polyclinic, Nashik'.
5. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.
6. S.O. to 27.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.02.2024

O.A. No.63 of 2024

N.M. ManeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant had earlier requested to be posted as 'Police Inspector' in the establishment of 'SP, Kolhapur District'.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that Applicant joined on the post of 'Assistant Commissioner of Police' in 'S.I.D.' However after joining as 'Assistant Commissioner of Police' in 'S.I.D.' she has submitted another representation to 'DGP Maharashtra State' on 10.10.2023 requesting to be posted in establishment of 'SP, Kolhapur District'.
4. Learned Advocate highlights the fact that Applicant has been working on 'Non-Executive Posts' for more than 17 years. Therefore, in this context, 'DGP, Maharashtra State' must also consider the fact that she is now relatively senior in cadre of 'Police Inspector' and thus be given posting as 'Police Inspector' on some 'Executive Post' in establishment of 'SP, Kolhapur District', during the ongoing exercise relating transfers of 'Police Inspectors' for implementation of directions in 'Election Commissioner of India' letter dated 21.12.2023.
5. Learned PO seeks time of 'Two Weeks' to obtain information from 'DGP, Maharashtra State'.
6. S.O. to 27.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.130 of 2024</p> <p>G.P. SatputeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO presents copy of letter dated 09.02.2024 from 'Joint Director Vocational Education and Training, Pune' wherein it is mentioned that 'Principal' of 'ITI, Shirala, District Sangli' has recommended transfer of Applicant to 'ITI, Karad, District Satara', provided that replacement of 'Sr. Clerk' is given for him to work in 'ITI, Shirala, District Sangli'.</p> <p>3. Learned PO to inform 'Joint Director Vocational Education and Training, Pune' to urgently review the earlier decision of not considering request of Applicant to be posted as 'Senior Clerk' in ITI, Karad, District Satara' given the fact that 'Daughter' of Applicant is suffering from 'Fibro sarcoma' a type of 'Cancer' for which she had to undergo 'Wide Excision' on 21.11.2018 in 'Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai'. She is now undergoing regular treatment in "Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences Deemed University, Karad".</p> <p>4. The case of the Applicant deserves to be sympathetically considered as per precedence at 'Sr. No. 6' of 'Annexure-2' under 'Statement -1' of GAD GR dated 09.04.2018. Therefore, 'Joint Director Vocational</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Education and Training, Pune' must act with alacrity within 'Two Weeks' to provide replacement in place of Applicant as requested by 'Principal' of 'ITI, Shirala, District Sangli' and simultaneously transfer Applicant to vacant post of 'Senior Clerk', in 'ITI Karad, District Satara'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.02.2024

O.A.No.1232/2023 (D.B.)

L.M. Koli & Ors.Applicants
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. ~~S.S. Dere~~ ^{B.A. Bandiwadkar}, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A.S. Gaikwad with Mr. Anirudh Kote, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.

3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.

4. Adjourned to 26.02.2024 for rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 12.02.2024

O.A. No.1590 of 2023

Dr. S.R. Dode

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that so far no decision has been taken by 'Additional Chief Public Health Department'. The 'Additional Chief Public Health Department' was directed to consider the 5 Options already submitted by Applicant at the time of 'General Transfer: 2023' within 'Four Weeks' after review of 'Transfer Order' dated 01.12.2023 by which Applicant was transferred to vacant post of 'Medical Superintendent, Rural Hospital, Manor, District Palghar' on 19.12.2023.

3. Learned PO seeks some more time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Additional Chief Public Health Department'. Learned PO to also include in the 'Affidavit-in-Reply' about what course of action is contemplated about direction given in 'Para 9' of the order dated 19.12.2023 to consider the 5 options submitted by the Applicant through 'Online form' during April 2023 before 'General Transfer: 2023' which included posts of (i) 'Additional Civil Surgeon' in Central Hospital, Ulhasnagar District Thane, (ii) 'Medical Health Officer' in Municipal Corporation, Kalyan-Dombivli' (iii) 'Medical Health Officer', in Municipal Corporation, Ulhasnagar'.

4. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

5. S.O. to 26.02.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.56 of 2024</p> <p>S.G. ThopateApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for 'Applicant' states that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' is required to be filed without further delay on behalf of Respondent No. 1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for 'Respondent No. 4' also states sometime is required to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply'. Time as prayed for is granted to learned Advocate.</p> <p>4. Learned PO thereupon states that she has received 'Parawise Comments' and will soon file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent No. 1 to 3.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.188 of 2024</p> <p>B. T. DurgeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt.Purva Pradhav holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant challenges the Merit List dated 05.01.2024 published by Respondent No.2 as Respondent No.2 has failed to consider the objections raised by him in respect of (i) Question ID-630680312451 and (ii) Question ID 630680311423.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the Advertisement for recruitment for the post of 'Talathi' was published by Respondent No.1 on 26.06.2023. In the said Advertisement, 11 posts are shown to be reserved for EWS category and 46 posts are reserved for 'Open' category. Thereafter, Government of Maharashtra sent revised requisition according to which, Respondent No.2 published revised requisition. According to said requisition, 9 posts are reserved for 'EWS' category and 47 posts are reserved for 'Open' category. Pursuant to Advertisement, Applicant applied for the said post on 01.07.2023 and examination was conducted on 27.09.2023.</p> <p>4. Learned Counsel further submits that 1st 'Response Sheet' was published on 28.08.2023. Respondent No.2 issued a publication on 27.09.2023 thereby stating that the answer key will be published on 28.09.2023 and thereby inviting objections for the answer key. The Applicant raised objections for Question ID-630680312451 and Question ID-630680311423. The questions read as under :-</p> <p>(A) Question ID - 630680312451- Q.No.15 भारतीय राज्यघटनेतील खालीलपैकी कोणता अनुच्छेद पाकिस्तानातून आलेल्या ठराविक स्थलांतरितांच्या नागरिकत्वाच्या हक्कांबाबतच्या तरतुदीशी संबंधित आहे ?</p> <p>Ans १. अनुच्छेद ७ २. अनुच्छेद ८ ३. अनुच्छेद ६ ४. अनुच्छेद ५</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

(B) Question ID = 630680311423- Q.No.15 एक नाव ही प्रवाहाच्या विरुद्ध दिशेने १८० मिनिटांत ४ किमी अंतर कापते आणि प्रवाहाच्या दिशेने ८ km अंतर कापते ती प्रवाहाच्या दिशेने २४० मिनिटात ६ km अंतर कापते आणि प्रवाहाच्या विरुद्ध दिशेने ८ km अंतर कापते. स्थिर पाण्यातील नावेचा वेग किती आहे ?

Ans १. ५
२. ४
३. २
४. ३

5. Learned Counsel for Applicant pointed out that as regards Question ID-630680312451- Q.No.15 , the correct answer is option no.3 i.e 'Article 6' of "The Constitution of India, 1950" which states about 'Rights of Citizenship of certain persons who have migrated to India from Pakistan". As against this, Respondent No.2 has given marks for the wrong answer i.e Option No.1-Article 7 of the Constitution of India which states about "Rights of Citizenship of certain migrants to Pakistan".

6. Learned Counsel further pointed out that in Question ID – 630680311423- Q.No.15, two different speeds have been mentioned of a boat which travels against the flow i.e upstream and with the flow i.e downstream. The Applicant submits that, two different speeds have been mentioned as under :-

Upstream – (i) 4kms in 180 minutes (ii) 8 kms in 240 minutes

Downstream – (i) 8 kms in 180 minutes (ii) 6 kms in 240 minutes.

Therefore, if the speeds vary, in both the directions, then it is impossible to calculate speed of the boat in the steady water. As the Applicant had no option than to choose one of the answers , he chose Option 4 – 3' for which he was given '0' marks. The Applicant claims that question itself was wrongly framed and hence, he ought not to have been given '0' marks.

7. Learned Counsel then submitted that Respondent No.1 published objection redressal summary on 6.12.2023, thereby stating that objections were received by 16.205 candidates for 2831 question out of which, Respondent No.1 held objections of 146 questions as valid and after correcting said 146 questions, published objection redressal summary. He submits that Respondent No.2 again published objection redressal summary on 22.12.2023, in said summary also the objection raised by the Applicant were not considered. Learned Counsel submits that there were three instances of objection redressal but Respondents did not considered the objections raised by Applicant in respect of (i) Question ID-630680312451 and (ii) Question ID 630680311423.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. We, therefore, direct learned CPO to get the response in this regard from the 'Settlement Commissioner & Director of Land Records, Pune' and produce the copies of 'Experts Opinion'.</p> <p>9. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.02.2024.</p> <p>11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>14. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>15. S.O. to 16.02.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O. A. 820 of 2023 with O.A.No.1395 of 2024</p> <p>S. K. Doifode & Ors. D. M. Bhoir & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Raktate and Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that in Sur-Rejoinder dated 07.02.2024 filed by Respondent No.3 through Shri Bhausahab Rata., Jaware, Range Forest Officer, Murbad, they have falsely counted 'Daily Wagers' working on the point of completing 240 days in each year for period of 5 years continuously or discontinuously.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instructions from Respondents submits that physical test starts from 16.02.2024 to 26.02.2024.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.02.2024.</p> <p>sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 12 .02.2024</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.87 of 2024 In O.A.No.174 of 2024</p> <p>M. S. MhasaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. This M.A.No.87/2024 is moved by Applicant for condonation of delay of 5 years and 2 months or 3 years and 2 months. 3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that said 'Delay Application' be condoned on the grounds that (i) 'Outbreak of Covid-19', (ii) 'Appeal pending before State Government for last 4 Years', (iii) 'No remedy was available to the Applicant but to file OA', (iv) Latest decision rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in <i>(2014) 2 SCC (L & S) 595 (Esha Bhattacharjee Vs. Managing Committee of Raghunathpur Nafar Academy & Ors.)</i>" 4. The learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that if delay is not condoned, the Applicant would suffer irreparable loss, harm and prejudice. 5. Taking into consideration above facts, the Application for 'Delay Condonation' is allowed and stands disposed of accordingly. <p align="center">sd/- sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p align="center">Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.194 of 2024</p> <p>A.S. Lambe,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant was working as 'Police Inspector' in 'Control Room' under establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'. The Applicant came to be transferred to 'Gadchiroli' by 'D.G.P, Maharashtra State' Order dated 30.01.2024. However as of today Applicant continues to work on the post of 'Police Inspector' in 'Control Room' under establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Thane'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant emphasized that the issues raised in present O.A. No.194 of 2024 is similar to those in batch of 'O.A. No.144 of 2024 to O.A. No.155 of 2024 with O.A. No.156 of 2024 with O.A. No.161 of 2024' as they all relate to implementation of directions in 'Election Commissioner of India' letter dated 21.12.2023. Thereafter she reiterated the arguments made earlier on 01.01.2024 at the time of hearing of 'O.A. No.114 of 2024, O.A. No.115 of 2024 with O.A. No.122 of 2024' which are in respect to transfer of 'Police Inspectors' under establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Pimpri-Chinchwad'.</p> <p>4. The 'Home Department' had sought clarifications on 16.01.2024 with regard to directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' from 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State'. Subsequently appropriate clarifications came to be</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

issued by 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 19.01.2024 to 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' in the context of the earlier letter of 'Deputy Secretary' & 'Joint Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' dated 09.01.2024 in which separate 'Annexure' was attached to indicate the directions in 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023' and presumption made by office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. In this 'Annexure' at 'Serial No.5' the presumption made by office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' was as to whether directions with regard to period of posting of 'Three Years' out of last 'Four Years' were also applicable to 'Police Officers' posted in 'Specialized Agencies' such as 'State Intelligence Department, Crime Intelligence Department, Anti-Terrorist Squad, Protection of Civil Rights, Police Training Centres, Highway Safety Patrols etc.' The presumption of the office of 'D.G.P. Maharashtra State' therefore was about the extent of interpretation to be made about the phrase 'Functional Departments' used in the context of 'Computerization, Special Branch Training' etc. in 'Para 5.3' of 'Election Commission of India letter dated 21.12.2023'.

5. The 'Election Commission of India letter dated 19.01.2024' addressed to 'Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' clarifies about these presumptions made by office of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State, Mumbai' by directing that entire tenure in 'Revenue District' should be counted irrespective of establishments of 'Police Commissioners' or 'Superintendents of Police' or even 'Branch of Posting'. Hence, from the nature of clarifications subsequently issued by 'Election Commission of India' on 19.01.2024 and again on 24.01.2024 regarding the presumption made by office of 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' it became necessary to seek the presence of 'Deputy Secretary' & 'Joint Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' to understand as to how these revised directions are to be understood and implemented by 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' as well as 'Heads of Specialized Agencies' and by all field establishments of (i) 'Commissioners of Police' (ii) 'Special IGP's' and (iii) 'Superintendents of Police'.

6. The 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 contains set of standard instructions regarding transfers of (i) District Officers (ii) Police

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Officers (iii) Prohibition and Excise Officers' who will be directly connected with the conduct of 'General Election of Lok Sabha: 2024'. The transfers of all incoming officers have to be invariably done after consultation with the 'Chief Electoral Officer' as per directions in 'Para 8' of the 'Election Commission of India', letter dated 21.03.2024. The 'Transfer Order' of 'Police Inspector' issued by 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State, dated 30.01.2024' does not seem to have been issued in full compliance of the directions in 'Para 8' of 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023. No averment in this regard were specifically made by learned P.Os as they could not ascertain about it from 'Joint Chief Electoral Officer, Maharashtra State' & 'Deputy Secretary'.</p> <p>7. The arguments of learned Advocate do raise concern about the implementation of directions in 'Election Commission of India' letter dated 21.12.2023 read with clarifications issued by 'Election Commission of India' letters dated 19.01.2024 and 24.01.2024 notwithstanding the fact that such action invariably results in challenge to the 'Transfer Orders' from perspective of law under 'Section 22(N) of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' which are then required to be heard under provisions of 'Section 19' of 'Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985'.</p> <p>8. The provisions of 'Section 22N of 'Maharashtra Police Act, 1951' do not become redundant, during the limited period of implementation of various directions of the 'Election Commission of India'. However, as the directions of 'Election Commission of India' have been issued in exercise of its inherent powers under 'Article 324' of the 'Constitution of India', the 'Transfer Orders' of 'Police Inspector' issued by 'D.G.P., Maharashtra</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>State, dated 30.01.2024' in the interim period be held in abeyance 'Qua the Applicants'. The Applicant in the interim period will not be directed to forthwith join at the place of his new postings at Gadchiroli.</p> <p>9. The O.A. No.194/2024 being also about 'Transfer Orders' of 'Police Inspector' issued by 'D.G.P., Maharashtra State' on 30.01.2024, may it be tagged along with 'Group I to III' of O.A. No.114/2024 & Others.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 14.02.2024.</p> <p>11. Hamdast Granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12 .02.2024

O.A.No.174 of 2024

M. S. MhasaneApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 26.02.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 26.02.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12 .02.2024</p> <p>M. A. No.87 of 2024 In O.A.No.174 of 2024</p> <p>M. S. MhasaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This M.A.No.87/2024 is moved by Applicant for condonation of delay of 5 years and 2 months or 3 years and 2 months.</p> <p>3. The learned Advocate for Applicant submits that said 'Delay Application' be condoned on the grounds that (i) 'Outbreak of Covid-19', (ii) 'Appeal pending before State Government for last 4 Years', (iii) 'No remedy was available to the Applicant but to file OA', (iv) Latest decision rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in (2014) 2 SCC (L & S) 595 (Esha Bhattacharjee Vs. Managing Committee of Raghunathpur Nafar Academy & Ors.)"</p> <p>4. The learned Advocate for Applicant further submits that if delay is not condoned, the Applicant would suffer irreparable loss, harm and prejudice.</p> <p>5. Taking into consideration above facts, the Application for 'Delay Condonation' is allowed and stands disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p align="right">O.A.No.58 of 2024</p> <p>N. S. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Counsels for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. granted time to file short 'Affidavit' and to make specific statement in view of G.R. dated 30.08.2018 especially 'Clause 2 (4) (B)'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.02.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M A/R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No:93 of 2024</p> <p>S. K. Mahanavar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. 'Status Quo' as on today in the appointment is maintained.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 12.02.2024
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.959 of 2023</p> <p>M.U. Waghmare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that she is yet to ascertain factual position regarding to 'Para 5' of Order dated 22.01.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 12.02.2024

O.A. No.1533 of 2023

S.A. Bagul,Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 is absent.
2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that 'Affidavit-in-Reply' to the amended O.A. No.1533/2023 has yet not been filed by Respondents.
3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that he will also file 'Affidavit-in-Service' of the amended O.A. No.1533/2023
4. Learned P.O. states that officer concerned is present on behalf of 'Joint Director, Vocational Education & Training Regional Office, Nashik' and requests for some time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in respect of amendment carried out on 29.01.2024 in O.A. No.1533/2023 by learned Advocate for Applicant.
5. S.O. to 26.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
--	--------------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1207 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of letter dated 05.02.2024 of Applicant requesting her to withdraw O.A. No.1207/2023. Therefore; O.A. No.1207/2023 is permitted to be withdrawn by Applicant. 'No Order as to Costs.'</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.25 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.N. Khade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Arehana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Awal Karkun seeks proper placement in the seniority list dated 5.1.2016 that he should be placed at Sr. No.176 ant not 238. He also challenges the seniority list dated 28.10.2022 and states that applicant be placed at Sr. No.134-A instead of 436.</p> <p>3. It is the contention of the applicant that when post of NT-D was vacant the respondent no.4 who belongs to NT-A was accommodated and given posting. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant joined as Clerk on 13.2.2006 in Sindhudurg. On 27.9.2010 he became Awal Karkun. He came to be reverted on 6.1.2011 to the post of Clerk as the roster of NT-A was not available. Again he was promoted on 1.2.2011 in NT-D category. He was reverted on 31.5.2014 and promoted on 2.6.2014 as Awal Karkun under Open category.</p> <p>4. Newly added private respondent no.4 Shri Umesh, Kisan Rathod is present in the Court and states that he is working as Awal Karkun in General Branch at Collector Office, Sindhdurg. He submits that he belongs to NT-A and applicant is NT-D. He was appointed on 25.9.2006. Respondent no.4 wants to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OAs No.361, 362, 505, 506 of 2022,</u> <u>OAs No.108, 109, 468, 469 of 2020,</u> <u>OAs No.569, 570 of 2022</u></p> <p>L.K. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 15.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>O.As. No.325 of 2018 with O.A. No.13 of 2020</p> <p>A.M. Jadhao R.S. Pawar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the applicant in OA No.13/2020 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 & 2.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, I.d. Advocate for the applicant in OA No.325/2017 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent no.3 are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.607 of 2022 in O.A. No.465 of 2020</u></p> <p>G.Y. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.2.2024.</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.862 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.J. Telvekar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicants and private respondents are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.368 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.H. Aangre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.503 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.M. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw his vakalatnama.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.4.2024. Issue notice to the applicant directing him to remain present personally in the Court on the next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar; J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.865 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.V. Gavhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 13.3.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.395 & 1369 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. Y. Shah & Ors. Dr. A.M. Naik & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicants is not present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1102 of 2017</u></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Yadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.2.2024 for dismissal. To be shown under the caption 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.413 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.B. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant and L.d. Advocate for private respondents no.2 & 3 are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.2.2024 for dismissal. To be shown under the caption 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1173 of 2017</u></p> <p>B.J. Borse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 20.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar. J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1414, 1436 & 1437 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Shirsat D.R. Karkhele S.D. Jadhav ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.1414/2023. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for MPSC and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 28.2.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.185 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. Raul & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Shubham Gurav holding for Shri Talekar & Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants filed short 'Affidavit'. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that Applicants in present O.A. are similarly situated with the Applicants in O.A. No.481/2023 and O.A.No.1391/2023 and in the said O.As, the Tribunal by order dated 18.01.2024 directed the Respondents to continue those Applicants till the final decision of those Original Applications. Learned Counsel prays for the same relief. 4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.02.2024. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 13.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.92 of 2024 In O.A.No.185 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. Raul & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Shubham Gurav holding for Shri Talekar & Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly. 3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately. 4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. 5. M. A. No.92 /2024 is allowed. No order as to costs. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.157 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.S. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks leave to add Settlement Commissioner as party respondent no.4 in the above OA. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>3. Four applicants who are War Disabled Ex-servicemen have applied to the post of Talathi as per advertisement dated 26.6.2023 are challenging the selection process. 15% posts are reserved for War Disabled Ex-servicemen. Ld. Advocate for the applicants contends that respondents have not maintained the quota. Ld. Advocate submits that in the application form the category was shown as Ex-serviceman and therefore all the applicants ticked marked in that column and no further reservation or preference was shown i.e. War Disabled or battle injured soldier. He pointed out clause 4.19.1(b) of the advertisement which reads as under:</p> <p align="center">"युद्ध कालात किंवा युद्ध नसताना सैनिकी सेवेत मृत झालेल्या किंवा अपंगत्व येऊन त्यामुळे नोकरीसाठी अयोग्य झालेल्या माजी सैनिकांच्या कुटूंबातील फक्त एका व्यक्तीला त्या नंतरच्या पसंती क्रमाने १५ टक्के आरक्षित पदांपैकी उपलब्ध पदावर नियुक्तीस पात्र राहिल. तथापि सदर उमेदवाराने तलाठी पदासाठी आवश्यक शैक्षणिक अर्हता धारण केलेली असणे आवश्यक आहे".</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. He further pointed out Exh.P (page 36) i.e. photocopy of application form of one of the applicants Shri Balu Shridhar Jadhav. He pointed out that in the said form the categories mentioned by way of details are 'Are you an Ex Servicemen?' where he has mentioned 'Yes'. Further details are not asked and therefore it could not be given. He also relied on the GR dated 2.9.1983.

5. Considering the language used in the GR dated 2.9.1983 it is necessary to go through earlier GR dated 10.11.1976. Two words 'priority' and 'preference' are required to be considered in view of the two GRs. Hence, we direct the respondents not to publish the list of selected candidates in Ex-servicemen category.

6. S.O. to 22.2.2024.

sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
12.2.2024

sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.2.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.139 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.A. Meshram ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant retired on 31.12.2017 and charge sheet is issued on 11.10.2023. The applicant challenges DE which is initiated against him by order dated 11.10.2023 for the alleged misconduct of the applicant between 1.7.2013 and 29.7.2017. The said initiation of enquiry is expressly barred by Rule 27(2)(b)(ii) of MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982. He submits that the alleged incident has occurred beyond four years from the date of retirement.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988; and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.




(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

12.2.2024

(sgj)



(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

12.2.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.88 of 2024 in O.A. No.157 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.S. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar. J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.2.2024 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1618 of 2023</p> <p>D.M. Kokare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1255 of 2023</p> <p>B.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 12.02.2024

O.A. No.1145 of 2023

V.S. LambhateApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 14.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	
--	--

.....

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	12.02.2024 Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A 41/2023 in O.A 297/2015</u></p> <p>U.N Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that today the file will be submitted to G.A.D as now all the information is received by the Department. It is necessary for the Department to bring to the notice of G.A.D with specific information that the matter is under Contempt.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.2.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>12.02.2024</p> <p><u>C.A 107/2023 in O.A 233/2023</u></p> <p>V.H Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the matter is being remanded back to the Tribunal by the Hon'ble High Court dated 7.2.2024 in W.P 15433/2023</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Contempt Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>4. Original Application No. 233/2023 is restored to the file of this Tribunal and the same be placed before the Single Bench-I on 22.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1564/2023</u></p> <p>R.T Kedari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter and file reply before next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.993/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>S.M. Kubal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.L. Adhe, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Reply is filed. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.03.2024 with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.979/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>S.M. Kubal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.925/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>V.K. BodadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 04.03.2024 for rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.802/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>A.S. JachakApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.R. Avachat along with Mr. S.H. Deshpande and Mr. S.M. Shaikh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 20.02.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1218/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>S.S. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A.S. Gaikwad with Mr. Anirudh Kote, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 04.03.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer..... Date : 12.02.2024

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	R.A.No.15/2023 in M.A.No.780/2023 in O.A.No.414/2021 (D.B.) Tribunal's orders Dr. N.S. PadmapaniApplicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents. 1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C. along with Mr. Gidh and Mr. Kartikeya Bahadur, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply in R.A. and M.A. 3. Time granted. Adjourned to 26.02.2024. Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1043/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>M.U. PandagaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 26.02.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>
--	--------------------------

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1207 of 2023</p> <p>R.B. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant presents copy of letter dated 05.02.2024 of Applicant requesting her to withdraw O.A. No.1207/2023. Therefore; O.A. No.1207/2023 is permitted to be withdrawn by Applicant. 'No Order as to Costs.'</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.25 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.N. Khade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Arehana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant who is working as Awal Karkun seeks proper placement in the seniority list dated 5.1.2016 that he should be placed at Sr. No.176 ant not 238. He also challenges the seniority list dated 28.10.2022 and states that applicant be placed at Sr. No.134-A instead of 436.</p> <p>3. It is the contention of the applicant that when post of NT-D was vacant the respondent no.4 who belongs to NT-A was accommodated and given posting. Ld. Advocate submits that applicant joined as Clerk on 13.2.2006 in Sindhudurg. On 27.9.2010 he became Awal Karkun. He came to be reverted on 6.1.2011 to the post of Clerk as the roster of NT-A was not available. Again he was promoted on 1.2.2011 in NT-D category. He was reverted on 31.5.2014 and promoted on 2.6.2014 as Awal Karkun under Open category.</p> <p>4. Newly added private respondent no.4 Shri Umesh, Kisan Rathod is present in the Court and states that he is working as Awal Karkun in General Branch at Collector Office, Sindhdurg. He submits that he belongs to NT-A and applicant is NT-D. He was appointed on 25.9.2006. Respondent no.4 wants to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 21.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>OAs No.361, 362, 505, 506 of 2022,</u> <u>OAs No.108, 109, 468, 469 of 2020,</u> <u>OAs No.569, 570 of 2022</u></p> <p>L.K. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 15.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>O.As. No.325 of 2018 with O.A. No.13 of 2020</p> <p>A.M. Jadhao R.S. Pawar ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, Ld. Advocate for the applicant in OA No.13/2020 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondent No.1 & 2.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, I.d. Advocate for the applicant in OA No.325/2017 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent no.3 are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.607 of 2022 in O.A. No.465 of 2020</u></p> <p>G.Y. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.2.2024.</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.862 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.J. Telvekar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicants and private respondents are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.368 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.H. Aangre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.503 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.M. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to withdraw his vakalatnama.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 1.4.2024. Issue notice to the applicant directing him to remain present personally in the Court on the next date.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar; J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.865 of 2022</u></p> <p>A.V. Gavhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 13.3.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.395 & 1369 of 2023</u></p> <p>Dr. Y. Shah & Ors. Dr. A.M. Naik & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicants is not present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sd/</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1102 of 2017</u></p> <p>Dr. A.S. Yadav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.2.2024 for dismissal. To be shown under the caption 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.413 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.B. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant and L.d. Advocate for private respondents no.2 & 3 are absent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.2.2024 for dismissal. To be shown under the caption 'For Dismissal'.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1173 of 2017</u></p> <p>B.J. Borse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned PO for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 20.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar. J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1414, 1436 & 1437 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.S. Shirsat D.R. Karkhele S.D. Jadhav ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in OA No.1414/2023. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for MPSC and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 28.2.2024. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.185 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. Raul & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Shubham Gurav holding for Shri Talekar & Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants filed short 'Affidavit'. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants submits that Applicants in present O.A. are similarly situated with the Applicants in O.A. No.481/2023 and O.A.No.1391/2023 and in the said O.As, the Tribunal by order dated 18.01.2024 directed the Respondents to continue those Applicants till the final decision of those Original Applications. Learned Counsel prays for the same relief. 4. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.02.2024. 6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

10. S.O. to 13.02.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.92 of 2024 In O.A.No.185 of 2024</p> <p>S. B. Raul & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shubham Gurav holding for Shri Talekar & Assoc., learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M. A. No.92 /2024 is allowed. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Debashish Chakrabarty) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.157 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.S. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks leave to add Settlement Commissioner as party respondent no.4 in the above OA. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out forthwith and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>3. Four applicants who are War Disabled Ex-servicemen have applied to the post of Talathi as per advertisement dated 26.6.2023 are challenging the selection process. 15% posts are reserved for War Disabled Ex-servicemen. Ld. Advocate for the applicants contends that respondents have not maintained the quota. Ld. Advocate submits that in the application form the category was shown as Ex-serviceman and therefore all the applicants ticked marked in that column and no further reservation or preference was shown i.e. War Disabled or battle injured soldier. He pointed out clause 4.19.1(b) of the advertisement which reads as under:</p> <p align="center">"युद्ध कालात किंवा युद्ध नसताना सैनिकी सेवेत मृत झालेल्या किंवा अपंगत्व येऊन त्यामुळे नोकरीसाठी अयोग्य झालेल्या माजी सैनिकांच्या कुटूंबातील फक्त एका व्यक्तीला त्या नंतरच्या पसंती क्रमाने १५ टक्के आरक्षित पदापैकी उपलब्ध पदावर नियुक्तीस पात्र राहिल. तथापि सदर उमेदवाराने तलाठी पदासाठी आवश्यक शैक्षणिक अर्हता धारण केलेली असणे आवश्यक आहे".</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. He further pointed out Exh.P (page 36) i.e. photocopy of application form of one of the applicants Shri Balu Shridhar Jadhav. He pointed out that in the said form the categories mentioned by way of details are 'Are you an Ex Servicemen?' where he has mentioned 'Yes'. Further details are not asked and therefore it could not be given. He also relied on the GR dated 2.9.1983.

5. Considering the language used in the GR dated 2.9.1983 it is necessary to go through earlier GR dated 10.11.1976. Two words 'priority' and 'preference' are required to be considered in view of the two GRs. Hence, we direct the respondents not to publish the list of selected candidates in Ex-servicemen category.

6. S.O. to 22.2.2024.

sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)
12.2.2024

sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
12.2.2024

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.


Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.139 of 2024</u></p> <p>C.A. Meshram ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant retired on 31.12.2017 and charge sheet is issued on 11.10.2023. The applicant challenges DE which is initiated against him by order dated 11.10.2023 for the alleged misconduct of the applicant between 1.7.2013 and 29.7.2017. The said initiation of enquiry is expressly barred by Rule 27(2)(b)(ii) of MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982. He submits that the alleged incident has occurred beyond four years from the date of retirement.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.2.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply. To be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988; and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.




(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

12.2.2024

(sgj)



(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)

Chairperson

12.2.2024

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.88 of 2024 in O.A. No.157 of 2024</u></p> <p>B.S. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>sd/-</i> (Debashish Chakrabarty) <i>sd/-</i> (Mridula Bhatkar. J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.2.2024 12.2.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1618 of 2023</p> <p>D.M. Kokare,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.02.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1255 of 2023</p> <p>B.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant has filed 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder' on behalf of Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.03.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 12.02.2024

O.A. No.1145 of 2023

V.S. LambhateApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.

3. S.O. to 14.02.2024.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	
---	--

.....

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	<u>12.02.2024</u> Tribunal's orders
	<u>C.A 41/2023 in O.A 297/2015</u>
	U.N Yadav ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. Learned C.P.O submits that today the file will be submitted to G.A.D as now all the information is received by the Department. It is necessary for the Department to bring to the notice of G.A.D with specific information that the matter is under Contempt.
	3. S.O to 20.2.2024.
	Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>12.02.2024</p> <p><u>C.A 107/2023 in O.A 233/2023</u></p> <p>V.H Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the matter is being remanded back to the Tribunal by the Hon'ble High Court dated 7.2.2024 in W.P 15433/2023</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Contempt Application stands disposed of.</p> <p>4. Original Application No. 233/2023 is restored to the file of this Tribunal and the same be placed before the Single Bench-I on 22.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.02.2024</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1564/2023</u></p> <p>R.T Kedari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions in the matter and file reply before next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.2.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.993/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>S.M. Kubal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. R.L. Adhe, learned Counsel for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Reply is filed. Admit. Place for Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 28.03.2024 with liberty to file rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.979/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>S.M. Kubal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concern.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.02.2024.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.925/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>V.K. BodadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 04.03.2024 for rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.802/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>A.S. JachakApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.R. Avachat along with Mr. S.H. Deshpande and Mr. S.M. Shaikh, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 20.02.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1218/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>S.S. PatilApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. S.S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. A.S. Gaikwad with Mr. Anirudh Kote, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 04.03.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....) **Date : 12.02.2024**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>R.A.No.15/2023 in M.A.No.780/2023 in O.A.No.414/2021 (D.B.) Tribunal's orders</p> <p>Dr. N.S. PadmapaniApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Kranti L.C. along with Mr. Gidh and Mr. Kartikeya Bahadur, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file reply in R.A. and M.A.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Adjourned to 26.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>
--	--

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.02.2024</p> <p>O.A.No.1043/2023 (D.B.)</p> <p>M.U. PandagaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. adjourned to 26.02.2024 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>