

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p>M. A. No.709 of 2022 in O.A.No.1151 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Preyana Agvekar holding for Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay caused in filing O.A. challenging communication dated 25.01.2020 and 20.02.2020 thereby denying the claim for compassionate appointment.</p> <p>3. The Respondents have not filed reply to M.A. though more than one month time is granted.</p> <p>4. In M.A. though there is no specific mentioned about the period of delay, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that delay is ^{about} from seven months. In this behalf, she referred to the directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Suo-motu W.P. No.03/2020 on 10.01.2022 about extension of period.</p> <p>5. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in order dated 10.01.2022 held that in cases where limitation would have expired during the period between 15.03.2020 to 28.02.2022 notwithstanding the actual balance period of limitation remaining, all persons shall have a limitation period of 90 days from 01.03.2022.</p> <p>6. In present case, the limitation expired during the said pandemic period. The O.A. ought to have been filed on or before 01.06.2022. However, it is filed on 18.11.2022. Thus, the delay comes to near about five months. Since the matter pertains to compassionate appointment, in the interest of justice, I am inclined to condone the delay so as to decide the O.A. on merit</p> <p>7. In view of above, M.A.No.709/2022 is allowed and delay is condoned.</p> <p>8. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1151 of 2022</p> <p>P. S. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. <u>Prerana</u> Agvekar holding for Shri A. S. <u>Gaikwad</u>, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed along with M.A.709/2022 for condonation of delay which is allowed today and, therefore, O.A. is required to be decided on merit.</p> <p>3. Two weeks time is granted to file reply to O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.02.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1232 of 2022</p> <p>I. K. AttarApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short affidavit along with copy of charge sheet. It is taken on record. 3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 19.01.2023. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.22 of 2023</u></p> <p>M.P. Bagul ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2.</p> <p>2. Ms. Kanchan T. Pawar, learned Advocate wants to file Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent no.3.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO on instructions from Smt. R.H. Mhatre, Desk Officer, MPSC submits that Home Department has sent file to Higher and Technical Education Department as per procedure followed by respondent-State as per equivalence in all fields. She further submits that Higher & Technical Education Department is not a party.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that name of respondent no.3 is not to be recommended and such directions are to be given to the MPSC. Ld. CPO submits that on 4.1.2023 name of respondent no.3 is already recommended to the Home Department. Ld. Advocate for applicant prays that Home Department be directed not to issue appointment order of respondent no.3 as applicant and respondent no.3 have secured equal marks and applicant has challenged equivalence and the same is not yet decided by the State.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions of Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ld. CPO, the Home Department shall not proceed in issuing order of appointment to Respondent No.3 on the post of Scientific Officer as recommended by MPSC under S.T. category till 31.1.2023.</p> <p>6. Ld. CPO seeks time.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 27.1.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.1.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.11 of 2023 in O.A. No.6 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.Y. Shinde & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- / Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 12.1.2023 12.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.6 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.Y. Shinde & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.V. Waghmare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that as per recommendation letter dated 13.6.2022 the respondents be directed to issue appointment letter/order in favour of the applicants as they fall in physically disabled category and also seeks directions for grant of deemed date.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.1.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.1.2023</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1036 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.M. Mirkale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Though the matter is circulated today, Ld. Advocate for the applicant is not present.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.1.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>12.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>12.1.2023</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.732 of 2022 in O.A. No.1272 of 2022</u></p> <p>R.R. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there is delay of 49 days in filing this OA. Ld. CPO to take instructions in respect of merit of the matter.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.1.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.1.2023</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.755 of 2022 in O.A. No.1307 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.D. Mahamuni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there is delay of 56 days in filing this OA. Ld. CPO to take instructions in respect of merit of the matter.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.1.2023 (sgj)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.1.2023</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.756 of 2022 in O.A. No.1308 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.S. Sutar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there is delay of 55 days in filing this OA. Ld. CPO to take instructions in respect of merit of the matter.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>12.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</p> <p>Chairperson</p> <p>12.1.2023</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.33 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.1.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gajgil) Member (A) 12.1.2023 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.1.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>12.01.2023</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 280/2022</u></p> <p>Shri G.G Daga & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.T Yaseen, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned counsel for pvt Respondents no 23, 24 & 25.</p> <p>2. M.A 526/2022 in O.A 280/2022 for amendment was allowed on 12.9.2022 and accordingly amendment was carried out on 18.11.2022 and 14 applicants were added as party Respondents. So the Caveators and intervenors were added as pvt Respondents and marked as Respondent Nos 3 to 22.</p> <p>2. By order dated 15.11.2022, M.A 613/2022 was allowed thereby directing the learned counsel for the applicants to add three applicants in the array of Respondents. However, the amendment which was allowed in M.A 613/2022 was not carried out inadvertently. Therefore, now learned counsel Yaseen seeks permission to carry out the amendment. He is allowed to carry out the amendment today itself and these applicants are added in the array of the Respondents and numbered as private Respondents no 23, 24 and 25.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="center">Attn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.15 of 2023 in O.A. No.33 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.S. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Kango, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that this MA is filed inadvertently and seeks leave to withdraw the same.</p> <p>3. MA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 12.1.2023</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 12.1.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.35 of 2023</u></p> <p>Kuntinath R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.T. Yaseen, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has applied for the post of Talathi in District Satara. He states that he is waitlisted at Sr. No.3 and there is one post reserved for Part-time Graduate from EWS category. He states that since no candidate was available from Part-time Graduate he should have been given appointment.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that since he is at Sr. No.3 in the waiting list, he has no locus in the said case and Sr. No.1 & 2 have better claim for appointment.</p> <p>4. In view of this, <u>respondent no.3-Collector, Satara to look into the matter and take decision as to whether nos.1 and 2 in the waiting list are interested in joining as per claim of the applicant and verify the claim of the applicant and inform the Tribunal accordingly.</u></p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 2.2.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/Misc. Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original/Misc. Applications shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> Member (A) Chairperson </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> 12.1.2023 12.1.2023 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 12.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.44 of 2023</p> <p>P.B. SureApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 07.12.2022 <i>inter-alia</i> contending that he is only following the directions of Respondent No.4 and has not committed any kind of mis-conduct. When the Tribunal raised query about those orders passed by Respondent No.4., learned Advocate for the Applicant sought one week time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 12.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.13 of 2023</p> <p>D.P. VarpeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to take necessary steps for releasing retiral benefits of the Applicant and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.06 of 2023 in O.A.No.1016 of 2018</p> <p>Mr. C. S. AtkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. G. Ranjane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed to incorporate subsequent development on the ground that after retirement total sum of Rs.9,32,090/- is deducted towards penal rent. Initially in O.A., the claim was for refund of Rs.6,56,579/-. According to Applicant, after filing of O.A. some more amount has been recovered, therefore, M.A. is filed for amendment.</p> <p>3. The proposed amendment seems to be necessitated in view of subsequent recovery made after filing of O.A. The claim is, therefore, required to be decided on its own merit.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application for amendment is accordingly allowed.</p> <p>5. Amendment be carried out in O.A. within three days.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1016 of 2018</p> <p>Mr. C. S. AtkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. G. Ranjane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The Applicant has also filed M.A.No.06/2023 for amendment which is allowed today. The Respondents shall file reply to amendment in O.A. by next date.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="right"> [^] Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>O.A.413/2020</u></p> <p>Shri V.V. Tamhankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing to 03.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 12.01.2023</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.01.2023

M. A.No.18 of 2023 in O.A.No.39 of 2023

R.B. Misal

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. This M.A. is filed to condone the delay caused in filing O.A. which pertains to compassionate appointment.
3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.02.2023.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the ~~Original~~/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the ~~Original~~/ Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 09.02.2023.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.610 of 2022 in O.A.No.182 of 2022</p> <p>A. E. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing of M.A. and O.A., learned P.O. has pointed out one subsequent important development, He submits that recently there is vacancy on Group -D post and if the Applicant No.1 Asha Patil is ready to join the matter can be disposed of.</p> <p>3. The perusal of record reveals that name of Applicant No.1 Asha Patil is already on waiting list but thereafter he made an application for taking name of her son (Applicant No.2) in the waiting list in her place which is rejected on the ground that substitution is not permissible. Thus, this is not a case where Applicant No.1 crosses the age limit. Her name is still subsisting in the waiting list and now the vacancy is there to accommodate her.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant is directed to take instructions from the Applicants about their willingness.</p> <p>5. O.A. be kept at 228 P-22 ^{on 16/1/2023}</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) [P.T.O.]</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.397 of 2022 in O.A.No.189 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V. S. Abnave ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. The matter was earlier before the Division Bench but recently transfer to this Bench.</p> <p>3. Perusal of record reveals that submission was advanced by learned Counsel for the Applicant to hear M.A. and O.A. together since the issue of continuous cause of action is involved. Enough time is granted to Respondents.</p> <p>4. However, in the interest of justice, two weeks time is granted to file reply to M.A. as well as O.A. as a last chance and no further time will be granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.46 of 2023</p> <p>P P. Gharat & Ors. ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 08.03.2018 and 05.8.2021 whereby her claim for compassionate appointment has been rejected. According to Applicant, these orders were never served upon him but she got it under RTI Act on 02.05.2022 and, therefore, the Applicants claim in this O.A is within limitation. 3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.02.2023. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed. 9. S.O. to 09.02.2023. n Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p>O.A.No.1270 of 2022</p> <p>K. S. ChandanshiveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 27.07.2022 whereby his claim for compassionate appointment has been rejected on the ground of delay.</p> <p>3. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.02.2023.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 09.02.2023</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1100 of 2023</p> <p>D. L. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 100px;"> [^] Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1243 of 2022</p> <p>U. H. BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is subjected to prolong suspension and, therefore, the O.A. deserves to be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., one week time is granted to file reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1247 of 2022</p> <p>S. R. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to filer reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.01.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1279 of 2022</p> <p>K. T. GhorpadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.01.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders