

**M.A.NO.392/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1257/2019
(Ramdas Gangadhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P.Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 09-02-2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

M.A.NO.392/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1257/2019

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 09-02-2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.628/2021
(Swati Swami Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.P.Urgunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16-02-2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

O.A.NO.628/2021

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 16-02-2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.390/2021
(Govind Mane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V.Thombre learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S.Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.P.Urgunde learned Advocate for respondent no.3, are present.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16-02-2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure)

=2=

O.A.NO.390/2021

Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 16-02-2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

**M.A.NO.60/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.2451/2019
(Priti Sangolkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.V.Thombre learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S.Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 18-02-2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

M.A.NO.60/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.2451/2019

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 18-02-2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.205/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.2467/2019
(Vijaykumar B. Rathi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.M.Bhokarikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 18-02-2022.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

M.A.NO.205/2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.2467/2019

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. S.O. to 18-02-2022.
8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.04/2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1705/2021
(Sanjay Pathrut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R.Kulkarni learned Advocate holding for Shri M.D.Narwadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 19-01-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1387/2000
(Prayagbai Ghule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D.Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-02-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO.15/2014 IN O.A.NO.1128/1999
(Revannath R. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.V.Adwant learned Special Counsel for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 07-02-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

C.P.NO.48/2019 IN O.A.NO.933/2018
(Gajanan M. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri L.S.Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned P.O. has filed communication dated 06-02-2022 received from Commissioner, Soil and Water Conservation, Aurangabad addressed to the applicant. It is taken on record. Copy thereof be served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 27-01-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P.NO.29/2020 IN O.A.NO.1014/2019
(Bade R. Shridhar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri C.V.Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 13-01-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

YUK ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

C.P.NO.09/2021 IN O.A.NO.70/2018
(Dadabhau Parte Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ku. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 14-01-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.32/2018
(Shahadeo Bangar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 22-02-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.362/2018
(Ganesh Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 07-02-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**Review Application No.07/2019 IN M.A.No.530/2019 IN
O.A.St.NO.2098/2019
(Bharat Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 07-02-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.232/2020
(Rohini Charole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.K.Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, Shri B.S.Chondhekar learned Advocate for respondent no.4 and Shri N.U.Gaware learned Advocate for respondent no.5, are present.

2. S.O. to 28-01-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.294/2020
(Ramakant Padale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D.Sonkawade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 11-02-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.426/2020
(Sunil Pagare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S.Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 10-02-2022. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.218/2021
(Mohammad H.T.S. Inamdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.01.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vinod Y. Bhide & Shri V.S.Kakde, learned Advocates for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Shri Vinod Y. Bhide/V.S.Kakde learned Advocates have filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 18-02-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.401/2021
(Ramreddy Aitwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.B.Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 04-02-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.693/2021
(Shreyas Varule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Smt. Vaishali Suryavanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S.Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. S.O. to 13-01-2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 308/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1319/2019
(Nathu N. Khartade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022.

O R D E R

1. The present Misc. Application is made seeking condonation of delay of about six years caused in filing the accompanying Original Application.

2. The accompanying Original Application together with the present Misc. Application for condonation of delay is filed seeking deemed date of promotion on the post of Police Naik w.e.f. 06.04.1993, on which date his juniors were promoted to the said post.

3. The applicant was initially appointed on 25.07.1984 (Annexure A-1 collectively) on the post of Police Constable. He was granted promotion on the post of Police Naik w.e.f. 07.03.1996. However, before that by the order dated 06.04.1993 junior persons to the applicant were promoted to the post of Police Naik. The respondent No. 4 published the seniority list for the post of Head Constable as on December, 2010 and name of the applicant was shown at Sr. 116 in the said seniority list. It is the contention of the applicant that the applicant made oral request to the respondent

//2//

M.A. 308/2019 in
O.A. St. 1319/2019

No. 4 for seeking deemed date of promotion after publication of seniority list of Police Head Constable. He also made representations in the year 2010-11. In the year 2012, his name was under consideration for deemed date in the post of Police Naik. However, he was not given deemed date of promotion. Ultimately, by the order dated 17.05.2013 (Annexure A-6), the respondent No. 4 rejected the representation dated 17.04.2012 (Annexure A-4 collectively) made by the applicant seeking deemed date of promotion. The applicant made representations dated 17.07.2013 and 22.06.2016 (Annexure A-7 collectively) and requested to consider his case. But those representations were not considered by the respondents. Again in the year 2019, the applicant made similar representations (Annexure A-8 collectively). The applicant has not been informed anything about the same. In view of the same, there is delay of about six years in filing the accompanying Original Application. The said delay is not intentional and deliberate. Therefore, the applicant seeks condonation of delay.

4. The affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 is filed by one Shri Bharat Babaya Mudiraj

//3//

M.A. 308/2019 in
O.A. St. 1319/2019

working as the Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Bhokar, Dist. Nanded, thereby he has denied the adverse contentions raised in the M.A. and contended that no sufficient cause has been shown by the applicant for condonation of inordinate delay in filing the accompanying O.A. In fact, as per the confidential reports, the applicant was not found fit for the promotion to the post of Police Naik in the year 1993 and therefore, the applicant was promoted at that point and the applicant is also not entitled for deemed date of promotion. Therefore, the present M.A. is liable to be dismissed.

5. I have heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

6. After having considered the Original Application and its annexures and the present Misc. Application and its annexures, same would show that at least till 12/13.03.2012 (Annexure A-3 of M.A.) the applicant's case for deemed date of promotion for the post of Police Naik was under consideration. Considering the affidavit in reply, it appears that the ACRs of the

//4//

M.A. 308/2019 in
O.A. St. 1319/2019

applicant for the years 1987 and 1988 were not available and ACRs of the year 1989, 1990 and 199 were shown unfit (Not yet). It appears that the claim of the applicant about deemed date of promotion in the cadre of Police Naik was rejected as per the letter dated 17.05.2013 (Annexure A-6 of M.A.). It appears that the applicant made representations thereafter in July 2013 contending that his sheet remarks for the years 1992, 1993 and 1994 were good. He also made subsequent representations dated 17.07.2013, 22.06.2016, 27.03.2019 and 15.05.2019 (Annexure A-7 and A-8 collectively), those are pending.

7. No doubt, there is delay of about 6 years for filing the accompanying Original Application. The applicant is seeking relief of deemed date of promotion and consequential monetary benefits. Thereby he is not claiming any rights, which will be detrimental to other Government servants. Prima-facie, it seems that the applicant has a viable case, which can be considered on merits. In the circumstances, refusing to give indulgence in the matter is likely to defeat the cause of justice at the threshold. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be

//5//

M.A. 308/2019 in
O.A. St. 1319/2019

construed liberally. In the circumstances, this is a fit case to condone the delay by imposing the costs of Rs. 2000/- on the applicant. In the result, I proceed to pass following order :-

ORDER

The Misc. Application No. 308/2019 is allowed in following terms:-

- (i) The delay of 6 years caused for filing the accompanying O.A. under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is hereby condoned subject to payment of costs of Rs. 2,000/- by the applicant. The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal by the applicant within a period of one month from the date of this order.
- (ii) Upon satisfaction of the costs as above, the accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 14/2020 in O.A. No. 1059/2019
(Kerba Nagoji Jetewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022.

O R D E R

1. By this Misc. Application, the applicant is seeking condonation of delay of 140 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application seeking relief of salary / remuneration for additional service rendered by the applicant at various places as per his communications / applications and particularly application dated 13.07.2018 (Annexure A-1 collectively of O.A.)

2. The applicant was appointed as Surveyor in the year 2013 at Tahsil Office, Osmanabad as Pot Hissa Surveyor. In the year 1988, he was promoted as Nimtandar (Supervisor). After issuance of the Caste Validity Certificate in the year 1992, he was promoted as Head Quarters Assistant and in the year 2004, he was promoted as TILR. Later on, the designation of TILR was changed as Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, on which post he was serving till his retirement on 31.07.2018.

//2//

M.A. 14/2020 in
O.A. 1059/2019

3. It is the contention of the applicant that while working on the post of Dy. Superintendent of Land Records / TILR, he was holding additional charge at various places as Dy. Superintendent of Land Records/ TILR from time to time from the year 2006 till his retirement as specified in his letter dated 13.07.2018 (Annexure A-1 of O.A.) addressed to the respondent No. 3 i.e. the Dy. Director of Land Records, Aurangabad. However, he was not paid salary / remuneration for the said additional charge held by him. Subsequently, the applicant made various representations to the respondent No. 3. The respondent No. 2 i.e. the Settlement Commissioner and Director of Land Records, Pune vide letter dated 20.03.2019 (Annexure A-3 of O.A.) directed the respondent No. 3 to consider the claim of the applicant. However, no action is taken. Therefore, the applicant has filed accompanying Original Application seeking necessary relief. There is delay of 140 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application. The said delay is not intentional and deliberate. The representations made by the applicant were pending with the respondents and therefore, he has sought condonation of delay.

//3//

M.A. 14/2020 in
O.A. 1059/2019

4. The affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5 is filed by one Shri Ravindra S/o Mankali Narmala working as the Deputy Superintendent of Land Records, Mukhed, Dist. Nanded, thereby he has denied the adverse contentions raised in the M.A. and contended that no sufficient cause has been shown by the applicant for condonation of inordinate delay caused in filing the accompanying O.A. Therefore, the present M.A. is liable to be dismissed.

5. I have heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

6. Perusal of the record would show that the applicant has made claim of salary / remuneration for additional charge vide his application dated 13.07.2018 (Annexure A-1 collectively of O.A.). Thereafter from 23.02.2019 (Annexure A-2 collectively of O.A.) onwards he made various representations. There was positive response from the respondent No. 2 to consider the claim of the applicant. However, thereafter, no action is taken from the office of respondent No. 3 for redressal of his grievance.

//4//

M.A. 14/2020 in
O.A. 1059/2019

7. The accompanying O.A. along with the present Misc. Application for condonation of delay is presented on or about 30.11.2019. The prescribed period of one year for filing the grievance expired on or about 13.07.2019. In view of the same, there is delay of about 140 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. It appears that during the said period, the applicant had made representation and there was positive response from the office of respondent No. 2 vide letter dated 20.03.2021 (Annexure A-3 of O.A.) directing the respondent No. 3 to take necessary steps. In such circumstances, this is a fit case to condone the delay, which is marginal. It is a settled principle of law that the expression "sufficient cause" is to be construed liberally. The applicant is seeking redressal of his grievance about the monetary claim and thereby he is not encroaching upon rights of any other Government servants. In such circumstances, I proceed to pass following order:-

ORDER

1. The Misc. Application No. 14/2020 is allowed.

//5//

M.A. 14/2020 in
O.A. 1059/2019

2. The delay of 140 days caused in filing the accompanying Original Application is hereby condoned.
3. Accordingly, the M.A. stands disposed of. The Registry is directed to register and number the accompanying O.A. in accordance with law by taking into account other office objection/s, if any.
4. No order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 18 OF 2022

(Ashok S. Nikam Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 07.02.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **07.02.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 19 OF 2022

(Bharat B. Gujar Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 07.02.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **07.02.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2022

(Dnyaneshwar B. Sanap Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 08.02.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **08.02.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 22 OF 2022

(Abaji B. Amrute Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 08.02.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on **08.02.2022**.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 899 OF 2019
(Vijaykumar G. Birajdar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Udaykumar E. Hude, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-rejoinder is filed on behalf of the applicant.

3. S.O. to 17.02.2022 for admission.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 56 OF 2020
(Anil S. Barkul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kishor D. Khade, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 25.01.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 336 OF 2020
(Balasaheb T. Ambade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.P. Dawalkar, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondents.
3. As none present on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 28.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 448 OF 2020
(Sopan P. Teple Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Anari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 01.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 453 OF 2020
(Bhatu R. Mahale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present on behalf of the applicant, S.O. to 01.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 41 OF 2021
(Bharat B. Sangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining to Time Bound Promotion.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 25.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 199 OF 2021
(Ravindra N. Kshirsagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.J. Pahilwan, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavits-in-reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 4 and respondents Nos.2 and 3 separately are taken on record.

3. S.O. to 03.03.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 328 OF 2021
(Vijaykumar G. Biradar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Uday Hude, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-rejoinder is filed on behalf of the applicant.
3. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder.
4. S.O. to 17.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 421 OF 2021
(Manohar M. Bharane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per the Circular No. MAT/MUM/ESTT/732/2021, dated 25/28.05.2021 issued by the Hon'ble Chairperson of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, the matters regarding time bound promotion and ACPS are to be dealt with by the Division Bench. The Original Application is pertaining to Time Bound Promotion.

3. In view of the same, the present matter be placed before the Division Bench for further hearing.

4. S.O. to 18.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425 OF 2021
(Kirtimala M. Sonwale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2. None present on behalf of the respondent No.5, though duly served.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent Nos.3 and 4.

3. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2.

4. S.O. to 01.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 536 OF 2021
(Dnyaneshwar S. Andhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 4 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 17.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 583 OF 2021
(Jitendra M. Panje Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.
3. S.O. to 02.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 651 OF 2021
(Dr. Shivaji D. Birare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service of notice on the respondent No.1.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 28.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 732 OF 2021
(Dashrath D. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 24.02.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 737 OF 2021
(Arun S. Kapadane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 03.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 752 OF 2021
(Ramesh N. Swami Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 08.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 778 OF 2021
(Dr. Laxmikant M. Shende Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Swapnil B. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 15.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

**M.A.NO.556 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1846 OF 2019
(Sambhaji R. Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply in M.A.
3. S.O. to 18.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

**M.A.NO.558 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1845 OF 2019
(Datta L. Sangvikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.
3. S.O. to 18.02.2022 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

**M.A.NO.244 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.980 OF 2021
(Prakash B. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondents, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 17.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

**M.A.NO.345 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.1475 OF 2021
(Anantrao V. Soudagar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Mujahed Hussain, learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 and 4.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3 & 4, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. S.O. to 17.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

**M.A.NO.410 OF 2021 IN O.A.NO.675 OF 2021
(Dr. Balaji M. Mirkute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the respondent No.5/. None present on behalf of the respondent No.4, though duly served.

2. Affidavits-in-reply filed on behalf of respondent No.5 in M.A. as well as in O.A. are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 to 3.

4. S.O. to 19.01.2022. Interim relief granted earlier in M.A. to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.6 OF 2022 IN O.A.ST.NO.1721 OF 2021
(Shaikh Hameed Shaikh Hyder Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This Misc. Application is made seeking condonation of delay of 1 year 3 months and 10 days for filing the accompanying Original Application under Section 19 of Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 seeking the relief of deemed date of promotion from 31.03.2010 by way of quashing and setting aside the impugned order dated 29.08.2019 issued by the respondent No.1 whereby the deemed date of promotion was refused.

3. The applicant was initially appointed on 18.05.1982 on the post of Clerk cum Typist in the office of Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department, Nanded. Lastly he was promoted on the post of Additional Treasury Officer at Hingoli by order dated 31.03.2017. He retired on superannuation on 31.05.2017.

//2// M.A.6/2022 In
O.A.St.1721/2021

4. It is the contention of the applicant that the persons junior to him were promoted to the post of Additional Treasury Officer in the year 2010 and more particularly on 31.03.2010. At that time promotion was denied to the applicant for no any fault on his part.

5. The applicant made various representations from the year 2011 to 2016 seeking deemed date of promotion. However, by impugned order dated 29.08.2019 (Annex. 'A-13' in O.A.) issued by the respondent No.1, the applicant was informed that he was not entitled for deemed date of promotion. The applicant made representation dated 01.11.2019 (Annex. 'A-14' in O.A.) seeking clarification of the impugned order as well as for granting of deemed date of promotion. The said representation is still pending. In view of same, this Misc. Application with accompanying Original Application for condonation of delay is filed on 10.12.2021.

6. Considering the dates involved in the matter, it is evident that there is delay of about 1 year 3 months and 10 days in filing the Original Application.

//3// M.A.6/2022 In
O.A.St.1721/2021

7. Learned Advocate for the applicant submitted that the prescribed period of limitation for filing the Original Application has expired on or about 31.10.2020 which is period of Covid-19 pandemic. He placed on record the copy of order dated 10.01.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court. As per this order, the period from 15.03.2020 till 28.02.2022 is excluded for the purposes of limitation as may be prescribed under any general or special laws in respect of all judicial or quasi-judicial proceedings.

8. In view of the abovesaid dictum of the Hon'ble Apex Court, in my opinion, this is a fit case to condone the delay caused in filing the Original Application without going into much detail of the O.A. Hence, I proceed to pass following order:-

ORDER

- (A) Misc. Application No.6/2022 in Original Application St.No.1721/2021 is allowed.
- (B) The delay of about 1 year 3 months and 10 days caused in filing the accompanying O.A. is hereby condoned.

//4// M.A.6/2022 In
O.A.St.1721/2021

- (C) Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered by taking in to account other office objection/s, if any.
- (D) No order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.1721 OF 2021
(Shaikh Hameed Shaikh Hyder Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 25.2.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2// O.A.St.1721/2021

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 25.02.2022.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1082 OF 2019
(Naresh W. Sakpal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri L.V. Sangit, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is already part heard.
3. Short affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the respondents explaining the status as directed by this Tribunal on 17.11.2021 is it taken on record.
4. S.O. to 25.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 835 OF 2019
(Sanjay M. Churmurkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Viday Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No.1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. Record shows that the affidavit-in-reply is already filed on behalf of the respondent No.1 and the same is adopted by the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

3. The matter is pertaining to interest on delayed payment. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 24.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 230 OF 2020
(Ashvini D. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 5 OF 2021

(Dr. Kalimoddin Ajit Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the applicant, time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 24.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134 OF 2021
(Rupali R. Chougule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

None present on behalf of the applicant.

Heard Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate,
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the pleadings upto affidavit-in-rejoinder are complete.
3. The matter is pertaining to posting. It is admitted and fixed for final hearing.
4. S.O. to 04.03.2022.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO.36 OF 2020 IN O.A.NO.940 OF 2019
(Ashok D. Phadnis Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 28.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 532 OF 2021
(Kaviraj J. Kucche Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri N.B. Narwade, learned Advocate for the respondent No.3.

2. Record shows that the learned Advocate for the applicant has completed his arguments yesterday i.e. on 11.01.2022 and today the case was listed for advancing the arguments on behalf of the respondents.

3. Learned P.O. for the respondent Nos.1 & 2 seeks two days time as the arguments will be advanced by the learned C.P.O. Time is granted.

4. In view of above, S.O. to 14.01.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 351 OF 2019
(Sangita M. Kalbhane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is closed for order.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 97 OF 2020
(Tukaram V. Sanap Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Tukaram V. Sanap, applicant in person and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents are present.

2. By consent adjourned to 08.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 66 OF 2021
(Gajendra T. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 08.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 144 OF 2021
(Vithal T. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 14.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 297 OF 2021
(Manjusha M. Mutha Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter be treated as part heard.
3. By consent of both the sides, S.O. to 15.02.2022.

MEMBER (J)

SAS ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 336/2019
(Anil S. Narvale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.1.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ashok R. Tapse, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel for the applicant, S.O. to 8.2.2022 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

REV. 6/2019 IN O.A. 100/2018
(Ramrao T. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. List the matter for hearing on 8.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 445/2019

(Smt. Mangal P. Musande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Sachin Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 3 & 4, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 17.2.2022 for filing affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 and 2.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 446/2019
(Smt. Shridevi G. Dama & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Counsel for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Sachin Deshmukh, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 4 & 5, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 17.2.2022 for filing affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 3.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 639/2019
(Ajit V. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.1.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandit, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for respondent nos. 1 & 2 and learned Counsel for the respondent no 3, S.O. to 9.2.2022 for filing affidavit in reply of the respective respondents.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 774/2019
(Chandrakant S. Shinde & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Counsel for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 9.2.2022 for filing affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 2 & 3.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

O.A. NO. 779 AND 780/2019

(Dr. Shashikant S. Dange & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicants in both the matters and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters, are present.

2. At the request of learned Counsel P.O., S.O. to 9.2.2022 for filing affidavit in reply of the respective respondents in both the matters.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 456/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1919/2018
(Lakshman K. Nirmal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Swraj Tandale, learned Counsel holding for Shri S.R. Kedar, learned Counsel for respondent no. 3, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.2.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 476/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1752/2019
(Nasiroddin A. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.2.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. 51/2021 IN O.A. ST. 184/2021
(Sangameshwar M. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.2.2022 for hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**O.A. NOS. 279, 280 AND 281 ALL OF 1998
(Suresh B. Sathe & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B. Shirsath, learned Counsel for the applicants in all the matters and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all the matters, are present.

2. With the consent for both the sides, S.O. to 19.1.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 76/2016
(Promod S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 4.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 738/2016
(Amol D. Bedse Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant, V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri N.L. Choudhary, learned Counsel for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 4.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 142/2017
(Bajirao V. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Counsel for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1 and Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Counsel for respondent nos. 2 to 4, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 4.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**O.A. NOS. 368 AND 369 BOTH OF 2017
(Bapu R. Lad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicants in both the matters and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the matters, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 663/2017
(Subhash M. Pakhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 7.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 810/2017
(Shaigram M. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 7.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213/2018
(Balaji N. Sontakke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.1.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 3.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762/2018
(Sahebrao D. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 8.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141/2019
(Pandharinath S. Gawali & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Counsel for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 8.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 385/2019
(Suresh U. Nande Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 320/2020
(Santosh N. Dhongde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 292/2021
(Kashinath H. Devtule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.1.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.D. Munde, learned Counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 9.2.2022 for final hearing.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

Date :12.01.2022

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.730 OF 2021
(Imranoddin E. Shaikh & Anr. V/s The State of
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri K.B. Jadhav, ld. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, ld. C.P.O. for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 08.02.2022. The case be listed for admission hearing on 08.02.2022.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1010 OF 2019
(Yusufkhan Gulsherkhan Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.R. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 10.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 427 OF 2020
(Rahul B. Chaudhare Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Await service.

3. S.O. to 10.2.2022. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 233 OF 2021
(Balasaheb Kachru Jadhav Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, are present. None appears for respondent Nos. 4 to 25.

2. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions, submits that respondent No. 2 wants to adopt the affidavit in reply filed by respondent No. 3.

3. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 10.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 234 OF 2021
(Rameshwar E. Chadidar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions, submits that respondent No. 2 wants to adopt the affidavit in reply filed by respondent No. 3.

3. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 10.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 518 OF 2021
(Asmita M. Kekani & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri S.S. Thombre, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 551 OF 2021
(Ram D. Anerao & 3 Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 678 OF 2021
(Suresh G. Tandale Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri B.R. Kedar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 15.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 679 OF 2021
(Mahesh G. Satkar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of all the respondents and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the learned counsel for the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 15.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 706 OF 2021
(Devendra B. Bacchav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : **Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)**
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : **12.01.2022**

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, are present. None appears for respondent Nos. 5 to 9.

2. Shri H.D. Gaikwad, learned counsel appeared today and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 4. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, as well as, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 16.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 707 OF 2021
(Chetan P. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, are present. None appears for respondent Nos. 4 to 8.

2. Shri H.D. Gaikwad, learned counsel appeared today and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 3. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2, as well as, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 16.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 708 OF 2021
(Rahul Dilip Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, are present. None appears for respondent Nos. 5 to 9.

2. Shri H.D. Gaikwad, learned counsel appeared today and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 4. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, as well as, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 16.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 709 OF 2021
(Manoj Dilip Bagul Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Ms. Angha Pandi, learned counsel holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, are present. None appears for respondent Nos. 5 to 9.

2. Shri H.D. Gaikwad, learned counsel appeared today and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 4. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, as well as, learned counsel for respondent No. 4 sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 731 OF 2021
(Sunil Laxman Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 758 OF 2021
(Sahas Anand Pathak Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Rahul O. Awasarmal, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 769 OF 2021
(Vaishali S. Landge & Ors. Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Rahul O. Awasarmal, learned counsel for the applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 17.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 780 OF 2021
(Dr. Gajanan A. Surwade Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned counsel for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 792 OF 2021
(Rajendra B. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO. 249/2021 IN O.A.NO. 463/2019
(Ravikant R. Hadoltikar Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri P.R. Bora, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 12.01.2022

ORAL ORDER :

Shri V.G. Pingle, learned counsel for the applicant, Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 4, 5 & 7 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2, 3 & 6, are present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.2.2022.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 12.01.2022 – HDD