

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 433 OF 2020
(Ajay R. Lahot Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.8.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears on the face of the record that show-cause-notice has been issued to the applicant dated 20.7.2016 & 13.11.2019. However, no further action has been taken against the applicant by the respondents and the order of suspension had been issued with retrospective effect.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant sought time for filing rejoinder affidavit in response to the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 on 15.12.2020.

4. S.O. to 2.9.2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORAL ORDERS 11.8.2021-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 118 OF 2021
(Smt. Sunanda A. Pagare Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.8.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a copy of transfer order dated 9.8.2021 of one Shri Sandip Ramesh Bankar, Senior Clerk, thereby posting him from Mumbai to Aurangabad. As a result thereof, there will not be any vacancy left and basic purpose of filing Original Application may be frustrated. In view of the same, parties are directed to maintain status quo in respect of this transfer order till 17.8.2021. The copy of transfer order dated 9.8.2021 of one Shri Sandip Ramesh Bankar is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has been advised to bring this order to the notice of the concerned respondents.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has stated that there is no need to file sur-rejoinder.

5. S.O. to 12.8.2021 for hearing on the admission stage itself.

MEMBER (A)

**M.A.NO. 149/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 445/2018
(Prasad D. Mule Vs. the State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.8.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3 has already been filed on record on 9.9.2019.

3. On instructions, learned Presenting Officer submits that respondent No. 1 intends to adopt affidavit in reply filed by respondent Nos. 2 & 3 and, therefore, there is no necessity to file separate affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

5. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 submits that he would go through the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant and if necessary he may file sur-rejoinder and seeks time. Time granted.

:: - 2 - ::

**M.A.NO. 149/2018 IN
O.A.ST.NO. 445/2018**

6. Learned Presenting Officer has expressed that he may not be filing separate sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent No. 1.

7. S.O. to 9.9.2021.

MEMBER (A)

ORAL ORDERS 11.8.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 969 OF 2021
(Amol S. Sambhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that there is office objection in respect of jurisdiction of this Tribunal about entertaining the present Original Application.

3. The present Original Application is filed by the applicant challenging the order dated 03.08.2021 passed by the respondent No. 2 confirming the dismissal of first appeal of order dated 16.02.2021 (Annexure A-18) passed by the respondent No. 3 and the order dated 16.09.2020 (Annexure A-10) passed by the respondent No. 4, whereby the Sports Verification Certificate of the applicant was cancelled.

4. At this stage, learned Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw the present Original

//2//

O.A. St. 969/2021

Application in order to file it before the appropriate forum.

5. Permission is granted to the applicant to withdraw the presenting Original Application with liberty to file it before the appropriate forum. Accordingly, the O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. St. No. 684/2021 in O.A. St. No. 590/2021
(Jayant S. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.F. Pawar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. There is office objection about the jurisdiction. The jurisdiction clause in the Original Applicant does not specify anything. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to present some application as regards jurisdiction. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 02.09.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 439 OF 2019
(Prakash M. Ishware Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 3 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2. Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 to 7, **absent**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that prior notice of circulation is not given to the respondent Nos. 4 to 7.

3. At this stage, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that M.A. No. 108/2020 is filed by the applicant seeking amendment in the O.A. No. 439/2019. It reveals that in the said M.A., the notices were issued to the respondents. However, the notices were not collected by the applicant within stipulated period of 7 days and as such, said M.A. deemed to have been dismissed.

//2//

O.A. No. 439/2019

4. In view of the same, the applicant to take necessary steps in the matter.

5. S.O. to 09.09.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2021

**C.P. No. 29/2020 in O.A. No. 1014/2019
(Ratanhari S. Bade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in the present C.P., the respondent No. 1 i.e. Dr. Tatyrao P. Lahane is superannuated and in his place Dr. Dilip Govindrao Mhaisekar has been appointed. Therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to add Dr. Dilip Govindrao Mhaisekar as respondent No. 2 in the present C.P.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall amend the C.P. forthwith.

4. After amendment, issue notice to the newly added respondent No. 2 in C.P., returnable on 24.08.2021.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

//2//

C.P. 29/2020 in
O.A. 1014/2019

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 24.08.2021.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

11. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 375 OF 2020
(Amol M. Naikwade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondents. Time is granted.

4. Meanwhile, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present O.A. was not listed on board after 29.10.2020. Therefore, he has taken the present O.A. on board by Circulation. He submits that interim relief is granted in the present O.A. by order dated 5.10.2020. He seeks extension of the said interim

//2//

O.A. No. 375/2020

order. Para No. 9 of the order dated 05.10.2020 granting interim relief is as follows:-

"9. S.O. to 26.10.2020. Till then the respondents are directed not to take adverse action against the applicant on the basis of the impugned order."

5. As the present O.A. was not listed on board after 29.10.2020 due to Covid-19 pandemic situation and now, the applicant is mentioning for extension of interim relief, the same is extended till filing of the affidavit in reply by the other respondents.

6. S.O. to 21.09.2021.

7. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 376 OF 2020
(Govind K. Surnar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is file on behalf of respondent No. 4.
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 21.09.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till next date.
5. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 418 OF 2020
(Popat K. Gonde & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 21.09.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till next date.
4. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 428 OF 2020
(Bandu S. Bontalwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 21.09.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till next date.
5. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 434 OF 2020
(Mahesh D. Desai Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Time granted.
4. S.O. to 21.09.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till next date.
5. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. No. 202/2021 in O.A. No. 639/2019
(Ajit V. Pawar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that she would serve copies of the present M.A. to the respondents.

3. S.O. to 02.09.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 130 OF 2017
(Priyanka A. Dongre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri Abhijit S. Thombre, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5. None present on behalf of respondent No. 6, though duly served.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit to the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent No. 5. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 07.09.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

**M.A. 242/2021 with M.A. 183/2021 in O.A. 299/2019
(Rahul T. Pol & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)**

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present M.A. No. 242/2021 is filed seeking interim relief. The O.A. was filed on 25.03.2019. In the present M.A., the applicant has pleaded some subsequent events and has referred to fresh advertisement dated 06.08.2021 (Annexure A-1) and vacancy chart (Annexure A-2) published by the respondent No. 3.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that those subsequent events are not incorporated in the O.A. According to her, the facts and subsequent events pleaded in the present M.A. are not necessary to be pleaded in the O.A. and the interim relief is sought on the basis of subsequent events and developments. In view of this, in our considered

//2//

M.A. 242/2020 with
M.A. 183/2021 in
O.A. 299/2019

opinion, notices will have to be issued to the respondent in the present M.A. No. 242/2021.

4. Hence, issue notices to the respondents in M.A. No. 242/2021, returnable on 17.08.2021.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

//3//

M.A. 242/2020 with
M.A. 183/2021 in
O.A. 299/2019

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 17.08.2021.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

11. The present matter be placed on separate board.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 11.08.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.423 OF 2018
(Rameshwar S. Gopal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Anagha Pandit, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Smt. Nima R. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 **(absent)**.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 08.09.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.618 OF 2018
(Sharad D. Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent of both the parties, S.O. to 02.9.02021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.76 OF 2019
(Nilesh S. Badgujar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Nilesh S. Badgujar, Applicant in person and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent of both the parties, S.O. to 01.09.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.187 OF 2020
(Basanti J. Padavi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shrikrishna U. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 & 3 is already filed. Perusal of said affidavit-in-reply shows that it is filed by Shri Milind Shaligaram Kulthe working as Tahsildar (Revenue), District-Dhule. It is noticed that in the said affidavit-in-reply it is not mentioned that the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 have authorized him to file reply on their behalf.

3. At this stage, learned P.O. places on record communication dated 10.09.2020 received from Respondent No.2 i.e. Deputy Commissioner, Revenue whereby he had authorized Tahsildar, Revenue to file affidavit-in-reply on his behalf.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that during pendency of this application, new examination date is declared. He places on record notification dated 03.08.2021. It is marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

//2//

O.A.187/2020

5. As per the same, new Revenue Qualifying Test for the promotional post is declared during the period of 30.08.2021 to 02.09.2021. As per this document, the last date for making application for appearing for this examination is 12.08.2021.

6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that there is no provision for retaining the result permanently and as such, the Respondents should be directed to declare the result of the Applicant of earlier examination.

7. Perusal of the prayer clause would show that interim relief was sought for not taking disciplinary action against the Applicant and not specifically for declaration of the result. In view of same, at this stage, no prayer for any relief finally as regards declaration of result can be granted without hearing of matter which is fixed for hearing. The Applicant is at liberty to make application for the next examination within stipulated period, if she desires.

8. In view of same, matter is fixed for final hearing on 03.09.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.716 OF 2019
(Bhaskar S. Rengade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Indraneel Godse, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that he has received telephonic instructions from one Shri Pandit Jadhav, Deputy Secretary, Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai that the options of the Applicant are received and they would require about three weeks time for taking the decision.

3. S.O. to 09.09.2021. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.256 OF 2021
(Priya A. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Indraneel Godse, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the Applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the other side.

3. S.O. to 14.09.2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.23 OF 2021
(Nagnath P. Telgane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-rejoinder filed on behalf of the Applicant is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. S.O. to 14.09.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.79 OF 2021
(Anil S. Puranik Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit-in-reply is filed only on behalf of the Respondent No.3.

3. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of other Respondents. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 15.09.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.181 OF 2021
(Ravindra B. Kanade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 Shri Avinash D. Aghav, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and Shri P.K. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Deepali D. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the Respondent No.3 is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other sides.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. Time is granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 02.09.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.592 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.2196 OF 2019
(Arvind A. Joshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.

3. S.O. to 06.09.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.31 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.62 OF 2021
(Ramkrisan J. Nampale & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 jointly is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the other side.

3. S.O. to 02.09.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**M.A.NO.39 OF 2021 IN O.A.ST.NO.37 OF 2021
(Azizkhan Yusufkhan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra &
Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.D. Sonavane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.

3. S.O. to 08.09.2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.955 OF 2018
(Madhukar K. Sarpdhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 11.08.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri D.R. Irale Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 & 5.

2. The present case be treated as part heard.
3. S.O. to 30.08.2021.

MEMBER (J)

Date :11.08.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.421 OF 2021

(Shri Manohar Mahadu Bharane V/s The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

1. Shri V.G. Pingle, Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, Id. P.O. for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16.09.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 16.09.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

Date : 11.8.2021

M.A. 244/2021 IN O.A. ST. 980/2021

(Prakash B. Kamble V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson, M.A.T., Mumbai

1. Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned P.O. for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notice to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 16.9.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 16.9.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR