

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.533/2018  
(Milind Tungar & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sambhaji Mundhe learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed on record a copy of representation filed by the applicant no.2 Sunil M. Godbole with the respondents, which is marked as documents "X". He shall serve copy of the same on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04-01-2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK            ORAL            ORDER            01-12-2018            mtj            vc

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.405/2018  
(Nilima Adsul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.G.Kanade learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Read order dated 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2018, on that day also nobody had appeared, interim relief, however was continued.

3. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 18-12-2018. Upon failure of the applicant to appear on the next, interim relief granted earlier will be automatically vacated without reference to the Tribunal.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK            ORAL            ORDER            01-12-2018            mtj            vc

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.628/2018  
(Sheelabai Bahirao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to go through the affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 04-12-2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

YUK            ORAL            ORDER            01-12-2018            mtj            vc

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.564/2018  
(Subhash Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Anagha Pedgaonkar learned Advocate holding for Shri Sudhir Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 15-01-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 15-01-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

YUK

ORAL

ORDER

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

01-12-2018

mtj

vc

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.432/2018  
(Ajabrao Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. Learned P.O. states that decision of the Tribunal at the Principal Seat at Mumbai was challenged by way of Writ Petitions and ad-interim stay to the execution of the transfer order was there.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant files on record copy of the decision of the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and submits that in fact now those Writ Petitions are dismissed on 17-07-2018. Copy of the same is taken on record and marked as document "X" and also supplied to the learned P.O. He further submits that after decision of the Hon'ble High Court this Tribunal had occasion to decide similar cases bearing

O.A.Nos.878/2016, 242/2017 and 648/2017 on 30-10-2018 taking notice of dismissal of the Writ Petition by the Hon'ble High Court. Copy thereof is taken on record and marked as document "X-1" and it is also supplied to the learned P.O.

4. In view of the statement made above, concerned respondents are directed to take corrective steps in present O.A. also and to file report of compliance on 02-01-2019.

5. Learned P.O. to act on the steno copy of the order.

6. S.O. to 02-01-2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**YUK ORAL ORDER 01-12-2018 mtj vc**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 861/2018  
(Shri Bharatsing V. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 17-01-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 17-01-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 893/2018  
(Smt. Ujwala N. Bhamare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 04-01-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks interim relief against next of the recruitment process. However, the entire recruitment process cannot be stayed. It is however directed that in case stage of appointment is reached, no appointment shall be made unless the specific direction is sought for.**

9. S.O. to 04-01-2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1702/2018  
(Smt. Rabiya B. Khajamiyan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that like salary, pension also continuous cause of action. In the circumstances, the objection regarding limitation is removed. Upon removal of other office objection/s, if any, the O.A. may be registered.

3. Upon removal of office objection/s, if any, within three days, issue notices to respondents, returnable on 22-01-2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that

the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2//

O.A. St. 1702/2018

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 22-01-2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDER 01-12-2018 vc

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. St. 1819/2018 in O.A. St. 1820/2018  
(Shri Balaji M. Shinde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.S. Manorkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing of learned Advocate for the applicant, it appears that the issue of limitation may arise, as the applicants are claiming time bound promotion after attaining the age of 45 years, while all they are already superannuated long back. In the circumstances, the applicants shall first make submission on the issue of limitation on the above line.

3. S.O. to 02.01.2019 for making submissions on the above line.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDER 01-12-2018 vc

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1963/2018  
(Shri Prabhakar A. Satdive V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude , learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Upon hearing of learned Advocate for the applicant, it appears that the present O.A. is within limitation. In the circumstances, the objection regarding limitation is removed. The office shall register the O.A.

3. Upon registration of O.A., issue notices to respondents, returnable on 20-01-2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2//

O.A. St. 1963/2018

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 20-01-2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDER 01-12-2018 vc



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 586/2018  
(Shri Adinath J. Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.12.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDER 01-12-2018 vc

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 321/2018  
(Shri Babasaheb R. Gatkal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.01.2019 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDER 01-12-2018 vc

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1703/2018  
(Smt. Mariyabee Sayed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 01.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that like salary, pension also continuous cause of action. In the circumstances, the objection regarding limitation is removed. Upon removal of other office objection/s, if any, the O.A. may be registered.

3. Upon removal of office objection/s, if any, within three days, issue notices to respondents, returnable on 22-01-2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that

the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

//2//

O.A. St. 1703/2018

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 22-01-2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDER 01-12-2018 vc

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NOS. 50 AND 255 BOTH OF 2017**

[Shri Balesh D. Bhendekar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Part heard.
3. S.O. to 6<sup>th</sup> December, 2018. The interim relief granted earlier in O.A. No. 50/2017 to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 01.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 50 OF 2015**

[Shri Rajendra B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that minutes of the DPC meeting held in the year 2008, 2009 & 2010 are not filed on record. However, upon query she submits that she would take instructions from the concerned respondents and would file the copies of those minutes of the DPC meeting.
3. S.O. to 09.01.2019 for filing the papers of the minutes of meeting of DPC held in the year 2008, 2009 & 2010.
4. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 01.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 393 OF 2007**

[Shri Himat B. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----  
OFFICE ORDER

-----  
TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri C.V. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed written notes of arguments and the same is taken on record.
3. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and learned Advocate for the respondent No. 3 made oral submissions.
4. In the circumstances, reserved for orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 01.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 149 OF 2018**

[Shri Dhananjay L. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri K.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
2. In view of leave note filed by the applicant, S.O. to 19.12.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 01.12.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 350 OF 2018**

[Dr. Balwant K. Choure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of both the sides, S.O. to 08.01.2019. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 01.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.466/18 IN C.P.ST.1672/18 IN O.A.650/07**

[Shri Dadarao G. Gaikwad & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A. No. 466/2018, returnable on 15.01.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**M.A.466/18       IN**  
**C.P.ST.1672/18   IN**  
**O.A.650/07**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
8. S.O. to 15.01.2019.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 464/2018 IN O.A.ST.1936/2018**

[Shri Ramesh U. Waghmare & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Manish P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. S.O. to 04.12.2018. The present case be kept along with M.A. No. 468/2018 In O.A. St. No. 1961/2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 01.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 872 OF 2018**

[Shri Arun M. Gir Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Ketan D. Pote, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.01.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 01.12.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 860 OF 2018**

[Shri Chotu S. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant adverts our attention towards Annexure 'A-13', page-58 and submits that since the respondent No. 2 has now rejected the claim of the applicant for grant of benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme, the present O.A. would be within limitation. In the circumstances, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 23.01.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

**O.A. NO. 860 OF 2018**

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.01.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 859 OF 2018**

[Shri Ramreddy R. Aitwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 01.12.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. The present case is not on board. Production is allowed.
3. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant wishes to withdraw the present Original Application, however, liberty to approach the Appellate Authority as per the rules may be granted. With liberty to apply for condonation of delay in view of the filing the present O.A., allowed to withdraw. Accordingly, the present O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs with liberty as prayed for by the applicant.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 01.12.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 713/2017  
(Venkat D. Mundhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 1.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The responsible officer namely Shri S.S. Shelke, Police Inspector and Shri S.S. Waval, Head Clerk from the office of Superintendent of Police, Parbhani are present. They submit that in the decision dtd. 31.8.2017 of review, para nos. 1 & 2 regarding pendency of the disciplinary enquiry are not applicable as regard the present applicant and the same are merely put in the said decision for quotation of rules in this regard only.

3. It appears that learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of the res. nos. 1 & 2 in the present matter. In the said reply there is no answer to the pleadings regarding para 9 of the O.A. that the adverse annual confidential reports, if any, are not communicated to the present applicant. It is unpleasant to note that half-hearted <sup>heated</sup> reply is filed by the respondents in the present matter.

In the judgment of the Tribunal delivered in earlier the O.A. of the applicant, it was also highlighted that there is no averment by the respondents to the specific plea taken by the applicant that he should have been considered for promotion to the post of Police Naik in the year 2000. This repeated negligence on the part of the respondent is highly deprecated.

4. In the circumstances, time to file amendment application for amending the reply of the res. nos. 2 & 3, if any, is hereby granted. In the circumstances, S.O. to 20.12.2018 either for filing application for amendment in the reply of res. nos. 2 & 3 or for hearing the matter without any amendment in the reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

62

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

T.A. 11/1999 (W.P. 3251/1993)  
T.A. 12/1999 (W.P. 653/1994)  
T.A. 19/1999 (W.P. 2311/1994)  
T.A. 26/1999 (W.P. 2772/1993)  
T.A. 47/1999 (W.P. 776/1993)  
T.A. 07/1999 (W.P. 3765/1997)  
T.A. 06/2006 (W.P. 4261/1995)  
T.A. 36/2002 (W.P. 3007/1991)  
M.A. 311/2008 in T.A. 42/1999 (W.P. 1274/1991)  
T.A. 01/2005 (W.P. 812/1993)  
T.A. 17/1999 (W.P. 2791/1994)  
T.A. 35/1998 (W.P. 296/1998)  
M.A. 312/2008 in T.A. 35/1999 (W.P. 1259/1991)  
T.A. 22/1999 (W.P. 3344/1997)  
T.A. 27/1999 (W.P. 3871/1995)  
M.A. 367/2008 in T.A. 25/1999 (W.P. 3569/1993)  
M.A. 309/2008 in T.A. 24/1999 (W.P. 3607/1993)  
M.A. 306/2008 in T.A. 36/1999 (W.P. 652/1994)  
T.A. 28/1999 (W.P. 1253/1991)  
T.A. 30/1999 (W.P. 617/1994)  
T.A. 33/1999 (W.P. 3796/1997)  
T.A. 34/1999 (W.P. 3620/1997)  
T.A. 37/1999 (W.P. 1382/1994)  
T.A. 14/1999 (W.P. 3770/1997)  
T.A. 16/1999 (W.P. 1226/1991)  
T.A. 09/1999 (W.P. 3345/1997)  
T.A. 08/1999 (W.P. 1364/1992)  
T.A. 13/1999 (W.P. 777/1995)  
T.A. 10/1999 (W.P. 5254/1995)  
T.A. 20/1999 (W.P. 882/1991)  
T.A. 38/1999 (W.P. 329/1992)

(Marathwada Serva Shramik Sanghatana through its Joint Secretary M.S.  
Sonwane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND**

**ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 1.12.2018**


**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate holding  
for Shri S.K. Shelke, learned Advocate for the  
applicants in respective matters. Shri S.R. Barlinge,


//2// T.A. 99/1999 in  
W.P. 3251/1993 & Ors.

Shri Subhash Mundhe and Shri B.N. Patil, learned Advocates for the applicants in respective matters **(Absent)**. S/shri M.S. Mahajan, N.U. Yadav, M.P. Gude, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Chief Presenting Officer and Presenting Officers for the for respondents in respective matters, present. Shri B.B. Yenge, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2/ 2 & 3 in all these matters, **absent**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time for filing chart and also for final hearing as per order dtd. 28.11.2018. It is to be noted that many chances are already granted to the learned Advocate in this regard. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 10.1.2019 for filing chart, if any, and also for oral submissions, in default, necessary orders would be passed in the matters.



**MEMBER (A)**



**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 594/2018  
(Dr. Kavita K. Shende V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 1.12.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.V. Mohekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that a detailed affidavit in reply of respondents is required as in answer to the query of the Tribunal from time to time some more documents are being placed on record by both the sides. In the circumstances, detailed reply shall be filed by the respondents on 20.12.2018. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

  
**MEMBER (A)**

  
**VICE CHAIRMAN**