C.P. ST. 1961/2017 IN O.A. 57/2016 (Shri Ravindra D. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.1.2020 for reporting compliance on the line of order dtd. 4.9.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

C.P. 49/2019 IN O.A. 560/2018

(Dr. Omprakash G. Kanade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present C.P. Time granted.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 24.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 788 OF 2017 (Shri Ashok T. Ghobale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 24.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 119 OF 2018 (Smt. Jyoti L. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Santosh N. Patne, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 22.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 650 OF 2018 (Shri Shivaji R. Phalegaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.1.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 843 OF 2018 (Shri Suresh D. Machal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.1.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 313 OF 2019 (Dr. Mahendra A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Chaintanya C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 24.1.2020 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 417 OF 2019 (Shri Shashikant D. Guntoorkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Jahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 23.1.2020 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 612 OF 2019 (Smt. Harshal M. Nagare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Yogesh B. Bolkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 24.1.2020 for filing affidavit in reply.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 794 OF 2019 (Shri Shrihari R. Davargave & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

### CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.H. Jagisi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.D. Gunale, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.1.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 35/2019 IN O.A. ST. 47/2019 (Shri Balaji S. Lanjile V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 22.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 69/2019 IN O.A. ST. 323/2019 (Shri Sarika B. Patole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE: 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.M. Maney, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 3 in the present M.A. It is taken on record. He undertook to serve copy thereof upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 16.1.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

M.A. 369/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1471/2019 (Shri Somnath A. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.1.2020 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 352 OF 2019 (Shri Sharad M. Panchange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Sudarshan J. Salunke, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. None present for the Applicant. It seems that the Applicant is not interested in conducting the matter. Hence, the matter is dismissed in default.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.986 OF 2019 (Shri Somnath B. Nivare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Sandeep G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 19.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.2143 OF 2019 (Dr. Deelip R. Tandale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prayed to grant one week's time to file application for condonation of delay. Time as prayed for is granted. The matter is removed from board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.895 OF 2018

(Shri Murlidhar E. Sakhare & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.
- 3. On perusal of record it reveals that already ample opportunities have been given to the Respondent to file affidavit-in-reply. But the Respondents have failed to file the same within time. However, in the interest of justice, time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit-in-reply as a most last chance subject to payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/-.
- 4. The amount of costs be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal on or before next date.
- 5. S.O. to 21.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.88 OF 2019 (Shri Kashinath G. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file affidavit-in-rejoinder. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 08.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.308 OF 2019 (Shri Laxman B. Parandkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri C.V. Bodkhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.J. Pahilwan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 06.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.690 OF 2019 (Shri Shivdas P. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri M.N. Kolhe, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 & 5. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 01.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.911 OF 2019 (Shri Raosaheb B. Nikam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri C.V. Bodkhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ravindra V. Gore, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. Shri A.K. Muley, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 (**Absent**).

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 & 2. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 21.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.926 OF 2019 (Shri Nitin R. Gajre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE: 11.12.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priay R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has produced the copy of written communication received to him from the Applicant for withdrawal of the Original Application along with the order dated 07.12.2019 and other documents. The same are taken on record.
- 3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has also produced the copy of order dated 07.12.2019. It is taken on record.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant does not want to proceed with the Original Application as the purpose of filing the O.A. has been served and therefore, he wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, he sought leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the Original Application.
- 5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has prayed to pass the necessary order.

Since the Applicant does not want to proceed 6. with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, leave as prayed for is granted. The Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.954 OF 2019 (Shri Rameshwar R. Chandak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 21.1.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.965 OF 2019 (Shri Ashok S. Birajdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to
- 3. The same is taken on record.
- 3. S.O. to 29.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO.240 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.1055 OF 2018 (Shri Ashok M. Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Kiran M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. The applicant has filed the present M.A. for condonation of delay caused for filing the O.A.
- 3. For the reasons mentioned in paragraph Nos. 2 &3, the delay deserves to be condoned.
- 4. In view of above, the Misc. Application No.240/2018 is allowed. The delay caused for filing the Original Application is hereby condoned. The registry is directed to scrutinize the Original Application.
- 5. Accordingly, the M.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO.454 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.1892 OF 2019 (Shri Dnyaneshwar B. Sanap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents in M.A. Time granted as a last chance.
- 3. S.O. to 15.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

M.A.NO.459 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.618 OF 2019 (Shri Dhondiram G. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.A. Bharat, learned Advocate holding for Shri Uddhav L. Momale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 20.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.600 OF 2016 (Shri Sadashiv J. Daware V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Kiran M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 12.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.388 OF 2018 (Shri Namdeo P. Gawali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Prashant Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 08.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.658 OF 2018 (Shri Raosaheb S. Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

**CORAM**: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

**DATE** : 11.12.2019.

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ravi R. Bangar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3, S.O. to 01.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.887 TO 890 ALL OF 2019 (Asim K. Rassol Attar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the

Division Bench)

DATE : 11.12.2019.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Madhavi K. Kulkarni. learned Advocate holding for Shri Nitin P. Wangikar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in all the O.As. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned C.P.O., Shri V.R. Bhumkar, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents in respective O.As.

At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicants, S.O. to 24.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1063 OF 2019 (Shri Navnath U. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

DATE: 11.12.2019.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.01.2020.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, O.A. shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to the record.
- 8. S.O. to 20.01.2020.
- 9. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the sides.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.189 OF 2018 (Shri Dhiraj A. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

DATE: 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.M. Kamble, learned Advocate holding for Shri Rahul O. Awsarmal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 to 8 (**Absent**).

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 23.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.476 OF 2018 (Aasha S. Khairnar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

DATE: 11.12.2019.

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Sunil S. Bhagare, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandesh R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 20.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.395 OF 2019 (Shri Ramdas E. Misal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

DATE: 11.12.2019.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri D.R. Irale-Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri P.P. Dama, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 (**Absent**).

Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

2. As none appeared for the Applicant, the matter is fixed for passing dismissal order on 07.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 26 OF 2019 (Shri Jairajsingh P. Hajari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kalyan Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant.

- 2. Vide order dated 19.11.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 16.10.2019 the office has raised the following office objections:-
  - 1) Synopsis and list of documents not filed;
  - 2) R-1 is wrongly mentioned;
  - 3) Detailed addresses of R-3 & R-4 not given;
  - 4) Delay is not explained in limitation clause;
  - 5) In view of prayer clause 'C' O.A. appears to be barred by limitation; and
  - 6) Date of impugned is wrong in the limitation clause.
- 3. Vide office note dated 16.11.2019 Registrar of this Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench noted that nobody appeared for the applicant and office objections not removed.
- 4. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections within reasonable period.

### CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 26/2019

5. Technically, the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The fact that the O.A. is filed seeking benefit of G.R. / deemed date of promotion and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate. Hence, the following order:

#### ORDER

- (i) The Chamber Appeal No. 26/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections within a reasonable period.
- (iii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 11.12.2019-HDD

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 27 OF 2019 (Shri Subhash R. Magre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kalyan Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant.

- 2. Vide order dated 19.11.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 15.10.2019 the office has raised the following office objections:-
  - 1) List of documents not filed:
  - 2) Detailed addresses of R-3 & R-4 not given;
  - 3) R-1 wrongly mentioned;
  - 4) In view of prayer clause 'C' O.A. appears to be barred by limitation; and
  - 5) Delay is not explained in limitation clause;
- 3. Vide office note dated 16.11.2019 Registrar of this Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench noted that nobody appeared for the applicant and office objections not removed.
- 4. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections within reasonable period.

### CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 27/2019

5. Technically, the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The fact that the O.A. is filed seeking benefit of G.R. / deemed date of promotion and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate. Hence, the following order:

#### ORDER

- (i) The Chamber Appeal No. 27/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections within a reasonable period.
- (iii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 11.12.2019-HDD

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 28 OF 2019 (Shri Pralhad L. Wani Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

**DATE** : 11.12.2019

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kalyan Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant.

- 2. Vide order dated 19.11.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 15.10.2019 the office has raised the following office objections:-
  - 1) List of documents not filed:
  - 2) Detailed addresses of R-3 & R-4 not given;
  - 3) R-1 is wrongly mentioned;
  - 4) In view of prayer clause 'C' O.A. appears to be barred by limitation; and
  - 5) Delay is not explained in limitation clause;
- 3. Vide office note dated 16.11.2019 Registrar of this Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench noted that nobody appeared for the applicant and office objections not removed.
- 4. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections within reasonable period.

### CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 28/2019

5. Technically, the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The fact that the O.A. is filed seeking benefit of G.R. / deemed date of promotion and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate. Hence, the following order:

#### ORDER

- (i) The Chamber Appeal No. 28/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections within a reasonable period.
- (iii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN** 

ORAL ORDERS 11.12.2019-HDD

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 29 OF 2019 (Shri Gokul G. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.12.2019

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kalyan Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant.

- 2. Vide order dated 19.11.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 15.10.2019 the office has raised the following office objections:-
  - 1) List of documents not filed;
  - 2) Detailed addresses of R-3 & R-4 not given;
  - 3) In view of prayer clause 'C' O.A. appears to be barred by limitation; and
  - 4) R-1 is wrongly mentioned;
  - 5) Delay is not explained in limitation clause; and
  - 6) Representations are not mentioned in index.
- 3. Vide office note dated 16.11.2019 Registrar of this Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench noted that nobody appeared for the applicant and office objections not removed.

### CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 29/2019

- 4. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections within reasonable period.
- 5. Technically, the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The fact that the O.A. is filed seeking benefit of G.R. / deemed date of promotion and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate. Hence, the following order:

### ORDER

- (i) The Chamber Appeal No. 29/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections within a reasonable period.
- (iii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

### **ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 11.12.2019-HDD