ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.755/2018 (Abhay Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri D.A.Bide learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.
- 3. S.O. to 06-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.64/2018 IN O.A.St.No.240/2018 (Ramdas Palve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE: 01.11.2018
ORAL ORDER:

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. Case be placed for passing appropriate order on 17-12-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.141/2018 IN O.A.St.No.555/2018 (Bhaurao Gophane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

<u>CORAM</u>: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) DATE: 01.11.2018

OPAL OPDEP

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**.

Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. Case be placed for passing appropriate order on 17-12-2018.

MEMBER (J)

### M.A.No.280/2018 WITH M.A.St.No.1313/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1314/2018

(Urmila Thakur & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri R.B.Temak learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant. Case be placed for passing appropriate order on 06-12-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.332/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1178/2018 (Pradeep Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S.Kadam learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

M.A.No.410/2018 IN O.A.No.735/2018 (S.D.Chatuplae (Zalte) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record. Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04-12-2018.

MEMBER (J)

M.A.No.432/2018 IN O.A.No.63/2015

(The Superintending Engineer and Administrative, CADA V/s. S.P.Navod & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri G.N.Patil learned Advocate for the applicant in M.A. and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents. Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the original applicant in O.A. has filed **leave note** on record.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A. and wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, he has prayed to dispose of the O.A. accordingly.
- 3. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A. and wants to withdraw the same, the M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.825/2018 (Shivaji Dahiwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 03-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.766/2016 (Rambhau Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

<u>DATE</u> : 01.11.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has pleaded that he has not been given first and second time bound promotion considering his initial date of joining service in the year 1982. Respondents have wrongly granted first time bound promotion w.e.f. 01-11-2001 and benefit of assured career progression scheme from 01-11-2013 by the office order no.65 and 66 of 2016 dated 08-03-2016. He has submitted that in the prayer clause applicant has not specifically made prayer regarding quashing of the office order no. 65 and 66 of 2016 dated 08-03-2016. Therefore, he has prayed for grant of leave to amend pleadings as well as the prayer clause/s accordingly.

- 3. Learned P.O. has strongly objected to grant leave to amend the O.A. at this belated stage. He submits that at this stage, amendment is not permissible.
- 4. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that for proper adjudication of the case, amendment is necessary.
- 5. Considering submissions of both sides in the interest of justice, leave as prayed for is granted. Applicant shall amend the O.A. on or before the next date.
- 6. Respondents are at liberty to file additional affidavit in reply if they so desire.
- 7. S.O. to 29-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.04/2017 (Ramlal Brahmne V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 07-12-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.277/2017 (Shaikh Md. Abdul Kareem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.R.Shirsat learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S.Jadhavar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O.to 18-12-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.584/2018 (Md. Abdul Sami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE : 01.11.2018

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 17-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.741/2017 (Anita Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

<u>CORAM</u>: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J) <u>DATE</u>: 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.B.Narwade Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. As per direction of the Tribunal, learned CPO files a short affidavit of respondent no.4 i.e. Dr. Chandrakant Bhaskar Mhaske, Dean, Dr. Shankarrao Chavan Medical College and Hospital, Nanded, who is present in person before the Tribunal today. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. Respondent no.3 has not filed affidavit in respect of specific directions given by the Tribunal. Hence, issue show cause notice as to why action should not be taken against the respondent no.3 i.e. Dr. Pravin H. Shingare, Director, Medical Education and Drugs Department, Mumbai for flouting the direction given by the Tribunal.
- 4. S.O. to 28-11-2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.83/2018 (Vyankat More & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

.----

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri T.B.Bhosle learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request of learned Presenting Officer,
 S.O. to 05-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.249/2018 (Shabuddin Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)
DATE : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17-12-2018.

**MEMBER (J)** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 739 OF 2018 (Shri Sanjaykumar L. Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 04.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 719 OF 2018 (Shri Niwruttee K. Suradkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (Absent). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4, present. None present for respondent No. 3.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer filed has affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record.
- 3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 17.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681 OF 2018 (Shri Ganesh N. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 12.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 628 OF 2018 (Smt. Sheelabai B. Bahirao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.11.2018 for rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 563 OF 2018 (Shri Pandurang D. Lahoti V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri G.L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 05.12.2018 for rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 542 OF 2018 (Shri Tukaram B. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri A.D. Raut, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, are present.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side. He has submitted there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, S.O. to 05.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 460 OF 2018 (Shri Indurao B. Sarode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. None present for respondent No. 4.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 would be filed. He has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 & 3.
- 3. S.O. to 30.11.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 441 OF 2018 (Shri Manik B. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 30.11.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 263 OF 2018 (Shri Baban D. Dolzake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 and 4 would be filed. He has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 5.
- 3. S.O. to 17.12.2018.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 262 OF 2018 (Dr. Sanjiv K. Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave not filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.12.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 266 OF 2018 (Dr. Sandeep J. Kala V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave not filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.12.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 182 OF 2018 (Shri Vitthal B. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri V.G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 18.12.2018 for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 753 OF 2017 (Shri Vyankat N. Koralkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave not filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.12.2018.

MEMBER (J)

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 589 OF 2017 (Shri Kailas P. Waval V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 19.12.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 580 OF 2017 (Shri Subhmangal S. Bhakt sV/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivanit K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 07.12.2018 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 560 OF 2017 (Dr. Prafull V. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 & 5, present. Smt. Vaishali Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, absent.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 04.12.2018 for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 318 OF 2017 (Shri Shravan Y. Khairnar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri D.J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 03.12.2018 for passing necessary orders.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 442/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1722/2018 (Shri Kashinath G. Ghumre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 06.12.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 06-12-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 439/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1864/2018 (Sau. Sangita S. Patil @ Alka M. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 10.12.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 10-12-2018.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 830 OF 2018 (Shri Shailendra H. Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 19.11.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 424 OF 2017 (Shri Sheshrao R. Kharate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Amit Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit to the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent Nos. 2 and 3. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. At the request of learned Presenting Office, S.O. to 17.11.2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of newly added respondent No. 4.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 641 OF 2018 (Shri Balam A. Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.R. Bangar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 would be filed.
- 3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, as a last chance, S.O. to 18.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 645 OF 2018 (Shri Sambhaji N. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 6.

- 2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 6 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.11.2018 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 271 OF 2018 (Shri Sudhakar A. Goswami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 18.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 213 OF 2017 (Shri Kaniram M. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.12.2018.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 29 OF 2017 (Shri Shankar D. Chudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

.

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

# **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4. Shri Amit Dhongde, learned Advocate for holding for Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, S.O. to 17.12.2018.

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 835 OF 2018 (Shri Sunil K. Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the respondent No. 5 had not considered the fact that the applicant is heir of Police Patil, while selecting the respondent No. 3 as Police Patil. He has submitted that the respondent No. 5 has intentionally given less mark to the applicant and more marks to the respondent No. 3 in oral interview. He has submitted that the selection of the respondent No. 3 for the post of Police Patil is illegal. Therefore, he prayed to grant interim relief and to restraint the respondent No. 5 from passing appointment order in the name of res. No. 3.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the respondent No. 3 has secured highest marks in aggregate and therefore, he has been declared as selected candidate. He has submitted that the selection of the respondent No. 3 has been made as per the recruitment rules and therefore, he prayed to reject the interim relief as prayed by the applicant.

- 4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the respondent No. 3 has been selected as Police Patil of village Umarda (Pasa), Tq. Shripur, Dist. Dhule, as he has secured highest marks. Primafacie, there is no illegality in the recruitment process. Hence, in my opinion, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief. Hence, the prayer of the applicant to grant interim relief is rejected.
- 5. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 11.12.2018.
- 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of

compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 11. S.O.to 11-12-2018.
- 12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 441/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1874/2018 (Shri Atmaram N. Mahide V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

**DATE** : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.U. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that the applicants do not want to proceed with the M.A., as well as, O.A. and want to file separate O.As. Therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the M.A. as well as O.A. and to file separate O.As.
- 3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The M.A. and O.A. are disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file separate O.As. There shall be no order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1867 OF 2018 (Shri Anna G. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Savita N. Solunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 24.10.2018 by filing the present Original Application.
- 3. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has filed representation on 26.10.2018 challenging the impugned order before the higher authority. The said representation is not yet decided by the respondents. Without waiting for expiry of statutory period as provided under Section 20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, the applicant has filed the present Original Application. The applicant has availed the remedies available to him under service rules for redressal of his grievance. Therefore, the O.A. is not maintainable in view of Section 20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act 1985.
- 4. Consequently, the O.A. is rejected as premature in view of Section 20 of the Administrative Tribunals Act 1985. There shall be no order as to costs.

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 14 OF 2018 (Shri Subhash S. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

------

**CORAM**: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant intends to prefer an appeal challenging the impugned order before the appellate authority and therefore, he wants to withdraw the present Original Application. Therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the same with liberty to the applicant to prefer an appeal challenging the impugned order before the appellate authority.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has prayed to pass necessary orders.
- 4. As the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and wants to withdraw the same and he intends to challenge the impugned order before the appellate authority as per the rules, the O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to approach before the appellate authority. There shall be no order as to costs.

# ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 767 OF 2018

(Smt. Yogita D. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 01.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri D.A. Bide, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has produced a copy of communication dated 25.10.2018 received to him from the applicant along with the copy of the order dated 24.10.2018 passed by the Office Superintendent, Superintendent of Police, Ahmednagar, stating that the impugned order of transfer of the applicant has been cancelled and therefore, he does not want to proceed with the present O.A. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification. He has submitted that since the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. as his grievance has been redressed in view of the order dated 24.10.2018, he prayed to dispose of the O.A. accordingly.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer has prayed to pass necessary orders.
- 4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. as his grievance has been redressed, the O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

### O.A.NOS. 603 TO 609 & 780 ALL OF 2017

[Dr. Pankaj R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade for herself and holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and S/Shri S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, M.P. Gude, D.R. Patil, B.S. Deokar & Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate & Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases.
- 2. S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for final disposal. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 313 OF 2018

[Anusaya B. Kute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri B.S. Choure with Shri P.K. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officers for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4. Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 (absent). None appears for respondent No. 5.
- 2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the issue involved in the present Original Application is that the applicant, who according to respondent No. 3 though belongs to reserved category had applied from open category and, therefore, would not be entitled for consideration for horizontal reservation in open category on the ground that she is of female category.
- 3. S.O. to 19th November, 2018 along with other similar cases.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

### M.A.NO. 396/2018 IN O.A.NO. 661/2017

[Shailendra S. Kapase Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri Umesh Gite, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

## M.A.NO. 425/2018 IN O.A.NO. 567/2018

[Shubhangi M. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. This is an application filed by the applicant for restoration of Original Application No. 567/2018, which was dismissed in default by the Registrar of this Tribunal on 23.10.2018 on the ground that the applicant failed to file service affidavit within prescribed timeframe.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the present Misc. Application, the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs. The Original Application No. 567/2018 is restored to its original file.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 567 OF 2018

[Shubhangi M. Sonawane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE: 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Upon restoration of the present Original Application, issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 7<sup>th</sup> January, 2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

#### O.A. NO. 567 OF 2018

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> January, 2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

## M.A.NO. 428/2018 IN O.A.NO. 476/2018

[Smt. Aasha S. Khairnar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. This is an application filed by the applicant seeking permission to amend the Original Application No. 476/2018.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the present Misc. Application, the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs. Amendment be incorporated in the Original Application and the amended Original Application be filed within one week.
- 4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 28<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 for filing affidavit to the amended O.A.
- 5. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

### M.A.NO. 440/2018 IN O.A.NO. 541/2018

[Shri Sandip C. Vighne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE: 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.R. Sirsath, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. This is an application filed by the applicant for restoration of Original Application No. 541/2018.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the present Misc. Application, the same is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs. The Original Application No. 541/2018 is restored to its original file.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 541 OF 2018

[Shri Sandip C. Vighne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE: 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.R. Sirsath, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Upon restoration of the present Original Application, issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 7<sup>th</sup> January, 2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

#### O.A. NO. 541 OF 2018

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> January, 2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

#### **VICE CHAIRMAN**

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 559 OF 2014

[Dr. Ajit R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-

availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Shri V.B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
- 2. Since nobody appeared for the applicant and since Division Bench is not available, S.O. 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 556 OF 2014

[Shri Sanjay R. Patange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

.----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the

Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

### C.P.NO. 17/2017 IN O.A.NO. 63/2015

[Shri Saylu P. Nawod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed true copy of judgment delivered by the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Aurangabad on 11.10.2018 in W.P. No. 10262/2018 and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.
- 3. On perusal of the aforesaid judgment it would show that the matter is remanded by the Hon'ble High Court to this Tribunal for clarification of the order dated 11.4.2016.
- 4. In view of the above fact, it will have to be seen that the present matter is survived. In view of the absence of the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for hearing on the above line.

### C.P.NO. 15/2014 IN O.A.NO. 1128/1999

[Shri Revannath R. Landge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

-----

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.

DATE : 1.11.2018.

### **ORAL ORDER:**

- 1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed a copy of communication received to him from the concerned respondents dated 31.10.2018 and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.
- 3. The aforesaid communication would show that the concerned respondent has taken a decision to adopt three tier pay scale and for implementation the concerned respondent has sought four weeks' time.
- 4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for compliance on the above line.
- 5. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

C.P. 75/2018 IN O.A. 105/2013 (Dr. Arjun F. Quadri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present contempt petition, returnable on 1.1.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-11-2018

T.A. 10/2018 (W.P. 11439/2018) (Nirmala T. Rakh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The office is hereby directed that the present matter shall not be placed before the bench to which Jus. M.T. Joshi, Vice Chairman is a member.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-11-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 126/2018 (Dr. Shukracharya G. Dudhal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned special Counsel for all the respondent.

- 2. Learned special Counsel files on record affidavit in reply of the respondents. He submits that annex. R.4 is wrongly annexed to the said reply and therefore, he may be granted liberty to file on record the corrected annex. R.4. He further submits that the corrected annex. R. 4 is presently not with him and, if liberty is granted to him to substitute the annex. R. 4, he will substitute the same by tomorrow. In the circumstances, liberty as prayed for by the learned special Counsel for the respondents is granted. The corrected annex. R.4 be filed on record by tomorrow and copy thereof be served on other side.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder of the applicant to the reply of the respondents. At his request, S.O. to 17.12.2018 for filing rejoinder, if any, by the applicant and also for hearing.

M.A. 427/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1766/2018 (Dr. Vinod A. Kakade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.
- 3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.
- 4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

O.A. ST. 1766/2018 (Dr. Vinod A. Kakade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 14.12.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

### ::-2-:: O.A. ST. NO. 1766/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 14.12.2018.
- 9. Steno copy / hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-11-2018

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 627/2018 (Anil B. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**OFFICE ORDER** 

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. At the request of Shri Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notice to the respondent No. 1 only, returnable on 19.12.2018.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

### ::-2-:: O.A. NO. 627/2018

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 19.12.2018.
- 9. Steno copy / hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 230/2018 (Sushilkumar D. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

#### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 14.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of M.P.S.C.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 248 AND 251/2018 (Shripad C. Vaidya & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

None appear for the applicants in both the matters. S/shri M.S. Mahajan & S.K. Shirse, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officer for respondents in respective matters, are present.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O./P.O., S.O. to 20.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of M.P.S.C. in both the matters. Both the matters be placed together.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 769/2018 (Pralhad C. Shelke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

### **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri U.S. Sawji, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. submits that during the course of the day he would file affidavit in reply of res. no. 6. It be taken on record and copy thereof be served upon the learned Advocate for the applicant. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of other respondents. At his request, S.O. to 3.1.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 226/2017 (Baliram S. Kakade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Due to non availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 20.11.2018 for hearing

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P. 17/2018 IN O.A. 191/2015 (Surekha C. Hazari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Ms. Divya Tyagi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. submits that the writ petition filed by the concerned respondents before the Hon'ble High Court against the order of the Tribunal in O.A. is bearing W.P. st. no. 33691/2018, however, it is placed for hearing before the Hon'ble High Court on 19.11.2018. Referred the earlier order dtd. 15.10.2018, which would show that due to delay in all these exercise, this Tribunal warned the concerned respondents that the order of the Tribunal in O.A. be complied with in case no stay is granted by Hon'ble High Court in the writ petition.
- 3. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 3.12.2018 for compliance of the order in O.A.
- 4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

C.P. 49/2018 IN C.P. ST. 397/2017 IN O.A. 539/2016 (Amol K. Kakde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri Ramesh I. Wakade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 4.12.2018 for filing reply of the concerned respondents to the additional affidavit filed by the applicants.

VICE CHAIRMAN

T.A. 5/2017 (W.P. 6554/2017) (Swati V. Birajdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate has filed his V.P. for the applicant by taking no objection from the earlier Advocate. It is taken on record. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.

2. Both the sides seek time for hearing of the matter. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.12.2018 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 503/2017 (Panchamlal L. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder would be filed during the course of the day. It be accepted on record and copy thereof be served on learned P.O. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17.12.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 472/2018 (Kishor G. Lalsare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 2.1.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 657/2018 (Ayesha Feroz Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri M.K. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O., S.O. to 2.1.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the res. no. 1.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 666/2018 (Laxmi S. Gadge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 2.1.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 653 AND 654/2018 (Gorakshanath N. Londhe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both the matters.

2. At the request of Shri Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 20.12.2018.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 426/2015 (Rupali S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.M. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed written notes of arguments. In the circumstances, the present matter is reserved for orders and it be placed before the Division Bench for judgment.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 74/2018 (Bhagwan B. Chemate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **OFFICE ORDER**

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri S.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 7.1.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 443/2018 (Jagannath W. Vispute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri J.M. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.12.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 918/2010 (Gayabai G. Pokale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

.\_\_\_\_\_

-----

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri M.S. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 & 2. None appears for other respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 26.11.2018 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 590/2013

(Kaveri Vithalrao Chavan @ Smt. Kaveri Wd/o Santoshrao Bagal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

#### OFFICE ORDER

### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

DATE : 1.11.2018

## **ORAL ORDER:**

Heard Shri P.G. Gunale, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 5 and Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for respondent no. 8. Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for respondent no. 6 (**leave note**). None appears for respondent no. 7.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 4.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply of the concerned respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 25/2018 (Sachin R. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 1`3.12.2018 for hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN** 

C.P. 66/2018 IN O.A. 942/2017 (Nitin Vishwanath Vispute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### OFFICE ORDER

#### TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

\_\_\_\_\_

**CORAM: JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.** 

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench.)

**DATE** : 1.11.2018

**ORAL ORDER:** 

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

- 2. Shri Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that since the order of the Tribunal dtd. 12.4.2018 is complied with by the respondents, the present contempt petition may be disposed of.
- 3. Accordingly, in view of above statement of the learned Advocate, the present contempt petition is disposed of without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN