M.A.NO.410/2019 IN O.A.NO.566/2019 (Shaikh Aslam Hasan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri S.R.Kedar learned Advocate for the Applicant is **absent**. and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. None appears for the applicant. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter. Hence, the M.A. stands dismissed in default. No costs.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.799/2016 (Bhura Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15-10-2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.922/2017 (Pandurang Chinchale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11-10-2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

O.A.NO.259/2019 WITH CAVEAT NO.22/2019 (Dr. Manik Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.A.Wakure learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri K.B.Bhise learned Advocate holding for Shri Suresh Rudrawar learned Advocate for respondent no.6.

- 2. Learned P.O. has produced communication dated 11-09-2019. It is taken on record. Learned P.O. has sought time to argue the matter. Time is granted.
- 3. Meanwhile, the Director of Health Services, Mumbai is directed to file his affidavit as to why the applicant was kept without posting and also to explain as to why the salary to be paid to the applicant during his waiting period shall not be recovered from his pay.
- 4. S.O. to 30-09-2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.754/2019 (Amit Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Ashish Rajkar learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, he has prayed to grant leave to the applicant to withdraw the O.A.
- 3. Learned P.O. has prayed to pass necessary order.
- 4. Leave as prayed for is granted. In view of the submission of the learned Advocate for the applicant, O.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.806/2019 (Suresh Thorat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16-09-2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.808/2019 (Vandana Nikumbh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.N.Bharaswadkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18-09-2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.798/2019 (Motiram Nikam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Subhash V. Munde learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, he has prayed for leave to withdraw the O.A.
- 3. Leave as prayed for is granted. O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.775/2019 (Shashikant Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(D.B. MATTER)

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri D.R.Irale Patil learned Advocate for the Applicant is **absent**. Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

- 2. None is present for the applicant. Case be placed for passing appropriate order on the next date.
- 3. S.O. to 18-09-2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.776/2019 (Gulchand Fulchand Gangawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN (D.B. MATTER)

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate holding for Shri K.G.Saluinke learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the Respondents, returnable on 16.10.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 16.10.2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.749 OF 2019 (Meena L. Kamarikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 16.10.2019 for producing circular, G.R. showing the extension of upper age limit.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.793 OF 2019 (Chandrakant N. Desale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 1. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable on 16.10.2019.
- 2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 5. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 6. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 7. S.O. to 16.10.2019.
- 8. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.484 OF 2019 (Dhanu V. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri B.R. Jaybhay, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 1. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable on 21.10.2019.
- 2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 3. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 4. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 5. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 6. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 7. S.O. to 21.10.2019.
- 8. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.799 OF 2019 (Dr. Raju N. Tompe T V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable on 3.10.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 3.10.2019.
- 9. Point of interim relief is kept open.
- 10. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.802 OF 2019 (Tushar R. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable on 15.10.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 15.10.2019.
- 9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A.ST.NO.1562 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1563 OF 2019 (Ashok S. Birajdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to the Respondents returnable on 16.10.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 16.10.2019.
- 9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.451 OF 2018 (Bhagwat N. Pujari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 14.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.894 OF 2018 (Shivaji B. Satote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.C. Sonone, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.R. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri S.B.

Mene, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present matter is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 21.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO.435 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.920 OF 2018 (Ravindra S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondent Nos.1 & 2). Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant) has filed **leave note**. Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Original Respondent No.3, absent.

2. In view of the leave note of the Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant), S.O. to 15.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.358 TO 361 OF 2018 (Haseb Ur. Rehman Khan & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicants in all the O.As. has filed **leave note**. Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in all the O.As., present.

2. In view of the leave note of the Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 22.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.784 OF 2018 (Yogesh B. Amrutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 21.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.877 OF 2018 (Sachin V. Tonde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

._____

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. By consent adjourned to 5.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.58 OF 2017 (Maruti M. Kakad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 22.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.704 OF 2017 WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.749 OF 2017 (Asman D. Garje & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Jayant B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the Applicants in both the O.As. and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in both the O.As.

2. By consent adjourned to 14.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO.47 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.387 OF 2016 (Dr. Nomani Muhammed Mufti Taher V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Vinaya Muley-Dharurkar, learned Advocates for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 14.10.2019 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.53 OF 2019 (Dilip R. Pande & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri Santosh B. Mene, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 4 to 9.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that he will file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 during the course of the day.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 to 9 states that the Respondent Nos.4 to 9 are going to adopt affidavit-in-reply of Respondent Nos.1 to 3.
- 4. S.O. to 14.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.71 OF 2019 (Anant N. Ballal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 8. Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.9, **absent**.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that he will file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 during the course of the day.
- 3. S.O. to 14.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.567 OF 2019 (Vinod K. Dhondge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri G.M. Ghongade, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.R. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 10.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.562 OF 2019 (Bharat A. Wani V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 7.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.477 OF 2019 (Irfan Khan Mohd Khan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks one week's time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.
- 3. Time as prayed for is granted as a most last chance.
- 4. S.O. to 27.9.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.323 OF 2019 (Jairam V. Mitke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to
- 4. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 11.9.2019 (BPP)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.310 OF 2019 (Durgesh M. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned Advocate for the Applicant, **absent**. Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 7.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 11.9.2019 (BPP)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.240 OF 2019 (Pandit M. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Bipinchandra K. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 are going to file affidavit-in-reply today itself.
- 3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that due to technical problem, the Respondents are not able to deposit the amount of costs. Therefore, he sought three days time to deposit the amount of costs.
- 4. Time as prayed for is granted. The Applicant shall deposit the amount of costs on or before 17.09.2019.
- 5. S.O. to 14.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.581 OF 2017 (Smt. Mangal S. Kathar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present matter is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 22.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 11.9.2019 (BPP)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.240 OF 2016 (Ramkisan B. Khajekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Sandeep D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.
- 3. On perusal of record it reveals that on 20.08.2019, time was granted to the Respondents for filing affidavit-in-reply subject to costs of Rs.5000/-. But till today, no affidavit-in-reply has been filed by the Respondents.
- 4. However, in the interest of justice, most last chance is granted to the Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents subject to costs of Rs.10000/- in addition to earlier costs of Rs.5000/-.
- 5. S.O. to 17.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.932 OF 2017 (Walmik L. Kande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 9.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 11.9.2019 (BPP)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.674 OF 2019 (Madhukar K. Brahmane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Avinash M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 21.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 11.9.2019 (BPP)

M.A.NO.135 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.527 OF 2019 (Rajkumar S. Padvi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. This is an application filed by the Applicant for condoning the delay caused for filing the Original Application.
- 3. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has prayed to condone the delay of 1 year, 6 months and 11 days caused for filing the Original Application on the ground that he was waiting for the decision of Review Application filed by him and after decision of Review Application, he has filed the Original Application. Therefore, the delay of 1 year 6 months and 11 days has been occurred for filing the Original Application.
- 4. On perusal of application it reveals that no sufficient and just cause has been given by the Applicant for condoning the delay caused for filing the Original Application. However, in the interest of justice, in my view it is just to condone the delay subject to costs of Rs.5000/- upon the Applicant as valuable right of the Applicant has involved in the Application.

5. In view of above, the Misc. Application is allowed subject to costs of Rs.5000/-. The Applicant shall deposit the amount of costs of Rs.5000/- in the registry of this Tribunal on or before 25.09.2019 and the Original Application be scrutinized thereafter. On failing to deposit the amount of costs imposed upon the Applicant, the Original Application will be disposed of without reference to the Tribunal.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 11.9.2019 (BPP)

M.A.NO.28 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.3070 OF 2018 (Shrikant V. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

<u>DATE</u> : 11.9.2019 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that the Respondent No.4 is going to adopt the affidavit-in-reply of Respondent Nos.1 to 3.
- 3. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the matter is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 23.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 11.9.2019 (BPP)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.629 OF 2018 WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.630 OF 2018 (Dr. Rahul C. Dadas & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.9.2019 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants in both the O.As. and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in both the O.As.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants on instructions received from the Applicants state that the Applicants do not want to proceed with the Original Applications and they want to withdraw the same. Therefore, learned Advocate sought leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the Original Applications.
- 3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has prayed to pass the necessary order.
- 4. In view of the submission of the learned Advocate for the Applicants, leave as prayed for is granted. Original Applications are disposed of as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.850 OF 2018 (Gautam D. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

._____

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division

Bench)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Manish V. Bhamre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Shri R.K. Temkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4., **absent**.

- 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant on instructions states that the Applicant Shri Gautam D. Sonawane has expired in last month and therefore, he has prayed to dispose of the Original Application.
- 3. Since the Applicant Shri Gautam D. Sonawane has expired, the Original Application abates and hence, it is disposed of. No order as to costs.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. 434/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1793/2019 (Dr. Santosh N. Mokale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.9.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

C.P. NO. 36/2018 IN O.A.NO. 846/2011

C.P. NO. 37/2018 IN O.A.NO. 843/2011

C.P. NO. 38/2018 IN O.A.NO. 842/2011

C.P. NO. 39/2018 IN O.A.NO. 278/2012

C.P. NO. 40/2018 IN O.A.NO. 634/2011

C.P. NO. 41/2018 IN O.A.NO. 277/2012

(Shri Vilas S. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and S/shri V.R. Bhumkar, D.R. Patil, N.U. Yadav, S.K. Shirse, M.P. Gude & Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective cases.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 5.11.2019 for reporting compliance of the line of order dated 22.7.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

C.P. 17/2018 IN O.A. 191/2015 (Smt. Surekha C. Hazari & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Adhip Ghosh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 14.10.2019 for taking instructions from the applicants on the line of order dated 23.8.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 291/2018 (Shri Sahebrao G. Tawade & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.P. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 3.10.2019 for compliance on the line of order dated 25.3.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 892 WITH 901 BOTH OF 2018 (Shri Dhananjay D. Chandodkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 10.10.2019 for filing rejoinder in both the cases. The interim relief granted by the Tribunal in O.A. no. 892/2018 to continue till then.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 339/2019 (Dr. Kishor S. Ubale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Already last chance has been granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply. However, in the interest of justice, most last chance is granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.9.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 340/2019 (Dr. Kishor S. Ubale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Already last chance has been granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply. However, in the interest of justice, most last chance is granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.9.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 318, 319 AND 320 ALL OF 2019 (Dr. Raman S. Dalvi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicants in all the three cases and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respective respondents in respective cases.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer files affidavit in replies of res. nos. 1 to 3 in all the three cases. The same are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicants.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.10.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 269/2019 (Shri Sunil S. Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1.

- 2. S/shri Rajendra N. Chavan & S.V. Kurandkar, learned Advocates files V.P. for res. no. 2. It is taken on record. They seek time to file affidavit in reply of res. no. 2.
- 3. Learned Presenting Officer also seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. no. 1. Time granted.
- 4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 639/2019 (Shri Ajit V. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Adhip Ghosh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 14.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 681/2019 (Shri Damodhar G. Thengde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. 154/2018 IN O.A. ST. 576/2018 (Smt. Samta R. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Shailesh S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and with the consent of both the parties, S.O. to 30.9.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 791/2018 (Shri Gajanan T. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Sunita D. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 3 and 4.

2. At the request of leaned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 18.9.2019 for taking instructions from the res. no. 2 on the line of Notification dtd. 11.5.2019 as referred in the order dtd. 7.8.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 621/2019 (Shri Hari N. Sasane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 15.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 182/2019 (Dr. Devidas L. Lavhate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 10,000/- by the respondents.
- 3. S.O. to 7.10.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 727/2019 (Shri Madhav V. Birajdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer, on instructions from Shri Sunil Rautmare, Join Commissioner, Commissionerate of Animal Husbandry, Pune, states that the respondents are not going to promote any Sr. Clerk to the post of Sr. Assistant till filing affidavit in reply by the respondents. Learned Chief Presenting Officer sought two weeks' time for filing affidavit in reply.
- 3. In view of the submissions made by the learned Chief Presenting Officer, two weeks' time is granted to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. In the meanwhile the respondents shall not promote any Sr. Clerk on the post of Sr. Assistant.
- 4. S.O. to 25.9.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

C.P. 57/2018 IN O.A. 210/2013 (Shri Madhukar R. Mapari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that at present no regular Joint Secretary is posted in the Public Health Department and the charge is kept with Shri Kiran Wahul, Deputy Secretary. He has produced on record copy of communication dtd. 11.9.2019 received to him from the concerned respondent. The said communication is taken on record. He has stated that he had informed the concerned respondents about the orders passed by the Tribunal dtd. 26.7.2019, 9.8.2019 & 3.9.2019, but the responsible Officer is not present today.
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that this Tribunal by order dtd. 29.3.2019 directed the res. no. 1 to explain about the use of submissions made in the letter dtd. 6.2.2019 addressed to the Director of Health Services by the responsible Officer of the rank of Joint Secretary in the reply to the Contempt Proceedings. In spite of the

::-2-:: C.P. 57/2018 IN O.A.210/2013

directions given to him the respondent no. 1 Dr. Pradipkumar Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai has failed to keep a responsible Officer present before the Tribunal. He has submitted that the res. no. 1 is intentionally and deliberately avoiding to comply the directions given by the Tribunal. Therefore, he prayed to take suitable action against the contemnors.

- 4. On perusal of order dtd. 29.3.2019 it reveals that this Tribunal has directed the res. no. 1 to explain para 8 and submissions made in the letter dtd. 6.2.2019 addressed to the Director of Health Services. In spite of the said directions the contemnor no. 1 Dr. Pradipkumar Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai failed to keep present a responsible Officer before the Tribunal.
- 5. In view of above, it reveals that the res. no. 1 Dr. Pradipkumar Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is deliberately and intentionally disobeying the directions given by the Tribunal. In the circumstances, it is just to direct the contemnor No. 1 Dr. Pradipkumar Vyas, Principal Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai to remain present before the Tribunal for explaining the above facts, on the next date.
- 6. S.O. to 19.9.2019.

::-3-:: C.P. 57/2018 IN O.A. 210/2013

- 7. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to communicate this order to the concerned respondents.
- 8. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned Presenting Officer.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

M.A. 424/2019 IN O.A. 128/2019 (Shri Ganesh T. Pagare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri S.P. Urgunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.9.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 435/2019 (Shri Sadhu K. Lohar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE: 11.9.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
- 3. S.O. to 26.9.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 792 OF 2019

(Shri Dattaprasad Hiraman Galphade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division

Bench.]

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Ashok R. Tapse, learned Advocate holding for Shri Prashant D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 16.10.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

O.A. NO. 792 OF 2019

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 16.10.2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 11.09.2019-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 800 OF 2019

(Shri Maheshkumar Pralahad Sathe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division

Bench.]

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Sandip R. Andhale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. Issue notices to respondents, returnable on 16.10.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

O.A. NO. 800 OF 2019

- 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
- 8. S.O. to 16.10.2019.
- 9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 11.09.2019-HDD

C.P.NO. 38/2019 IN O.A.NO. 703/2018 (Dr. Prithwiraj Kalyanrao Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench.]

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.09.2019.

ACTING CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 11.09.2019-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 634 OF 2019 (Shri Abhishek U. Kahalekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench.]

DATE : 11.09.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

- 2. The applicant has approached this Tribunal claiming the following relief: -
 - "B] By passing appropriate order or direction the respondents may kindly be directed to sanction and pay to the Applicant an amount of Rs. 35,00,000/- (Rupees Thirty Five Lakh Only) towards damages for causing loss of job opportunity and loss of valuable time and energy spent by the applicant in preparation for the examination to the post of 'Craft Instructor' which got quashed due to erroneous advertisement framed by the respondents."
- 3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is claiming damages on the ground of cancellation of recruitment process for the post of Craft Instructor. He has further submitted that this Tribunal has quashed and set aside the advertisement issued by the respondents for filling 153

O.A. ST. NO. 634 OF 2019

posts of Craft Instructor in the State in regular pay scale and 194 posts on consolidated monthly pay, by an order dated 6th May, 2016 in O.A. No. 566/2014, Annexure 'A-5', page-40, as the said advertisement was erroneous. The applicant had applied for the post of Craft Instructor in pursuance of the Because of the cancellation of the advertisement. recruitment process the applicant lost his energy and time and also lost job opportunity and, therefore, the applicant is entitled to the get relief as claimed in this Original Application. He has submitted that the claim of the applicant is based on the basis of the recruitment process and, therefore, it comes within definition of service matter as defined in Section 3 (q) clause (v) read with Section 15 & 28 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 and, therefore, he prayed to admit the Original Application.

- 4. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the present Original Application, as the applicant is claiming damages for which civil remedy is available before the appropriate forum. Therefore, he prayed to reject the Original Application.
- 5. On perusal of the relief sought by the applicant in the present O.A. it reveals that the applicant is claiming damages on account of loss of job opportunity and loss of valuable time and energy spent by him for preparation of the examination of the 'Craft Instructor'

O.A. ST. NO. 634 OF 2019

by filing the present Original Application.

6. The provision of Section 3 (q) defines "Service Matters", as under: -

3. Definitions.—In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires,—

- (q) "service matters", in relation to a person, means all matters relating to the conditions of his service in connection with the affairs of the Union or of any State or of any local or other authority within the territory of India or under the control of the Government of India, or, as the case may be, of any corporation [or society] owned or controlled by the Government, as respects—
- (i) remuneration (including allowances), pension and other retirement benefits;
- (ii) tenure including confirmation, seniority, promotion, reversion, premature retirement and superannuation;
 - (iii) leave of any kind;
- (iv) disciplinary matters; or
- (v) any other matter whatsoever;
- 7. On going through the aforesaid rule, it is crystal clear that the claim of the applicant is not coming

O.A. ST. NO. 634 OF 2019

under the purview of the said definition of 'service matters'. The said provision provides the service matters means in relation to the person who is under the employment of the State or any authority mentioned therein and matters relating to the conditions of his service. Therefore, in my view the present matter does not come within the meaning of "service matters" as defined under Section 3 (q) (v) of the Act.

- 8. Not only this, but the present matter is not in relation to the concerned recruitment and, therefore, this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the present O.A. in view of the provision of Section 15 of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985.
- 9. Since the subject matter does not come within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal, the present O.A. cannot be entertained by this Tribunal. Therefore, same deserves to be rejected. Hence, the present Original Application stands rejected with no order as to costs.

ACTING CHAIRMAN