ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 264 OF 2019 (Shri Supadu V. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

<u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 185/2019 in O.A. St. No. 695/2019 (Shri Ramchandra L. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 136/2019 in O.A. St. No. 346/2019 (Shri Yayati T. Ghorband V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 143/2019 in O.A. St. No. 397/2019 (Shri Raghoji R. Bele V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 159/2019 in O.A. St. No. 429/2019 (Shri Baburao G. Ghikalkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 217/2019 in O.A. St. No. 678/2019 (Shri Sugam B. Rathod & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

<u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 7 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 218/2019 in O.A. St. No. 770/2019 (Shri Punjaji S. Yelne & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. No. 219/2019 in O.A. St. No. 807/2019 (Shri Kisan M. Ubale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 7 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 23.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 52 OF 2019 (Smt. Shabana Begum Amjad Khan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri Shaikh Wajeed Ahmed, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 484 OF 2019 (Shri Dhanu V. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Shri B.R. Jaybhay, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 01.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 548 OF 2019 (Smt. Meenabai P. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

<u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is going to file an application for condonation of delay caused for filing the accompanying O.A. and therefore, he sought one week's time. Time granted.

3. Hence, the present matter is removed from the board and it be kept after filing of application for condonation of delay.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 583 OF 2019 (Shri Bhaskar D. Baviskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, the present matter is removed from the board.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 602 OF 2019 (Shri Bhaskar D. Baviskar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, the present matter is removed from the board.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 608 OF 2019 (Shri Anil B. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN. <u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suhas P. Urgunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 09.08.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 09.08.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.07.2019

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 619 OF 2019 (Shri Arjun R. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN. <u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 19.08.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 19.08.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.07.2019

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 620 OF 2019 (Shri Rajendra S. Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN. <u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 19.08.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 19.08.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.07.2019

M.A. 243/2019 in M.A. St. 1028/2019 in O.A. St. 1029/2019 (Shri Balasaheb G. Agashe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

<u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. M.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 11.07.2019

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. St. No. 1028/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1029/2019 (Shri Balasaheb G. Agashe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN. **<u>DATE</u>** : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 23.08.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.08.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.07.2019

M.A. 244/2019 in M.A. St. 1031/2019 in O.A. St. 1032/2019 (Shri Anil K. Jondhale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM: B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.DATE: 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. M.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 11.07.2019

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. St. No. 1031/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1032/2019 (Shri Anil K. Jondhale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN. <u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 23.08.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.08.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

VICE CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 11.07.2019

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832 OF 2017 (Shri Ramkrishn K. Mhaske & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 09.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 907 OF 2017 (Shri Balaji G. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

<u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that presently the affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. is not necessary.

3. Hence, S.O. to 25.07.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2019 (Shri Vishvas S. Thore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that presently the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 is not necessary.

3. Hence, S.O. to 07.08.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 198 OF 2019 (Shri Achyut R. Kuklkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2, 4 and 5. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 208 OF 2019 (Shri Bhaskar P. Dole & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 209 OF 2019 (Shri Ramesh G. Verule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 438 OF 2018 (Smt. Kavita N. Gitte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri S.G. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent no. 5 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 08.08.2019.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 11.07.2019

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 835 OF 2018 (Shri Sunil K. Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

<u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, short time is granted for keeping the concerned S.D.O. present, before this Tribunal.

3. S.O. to 25.07.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 21 OF 2019 (Smt. Nandini S. Holkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri A.R. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request and by consent of both the parties,
 S.O. to 13.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2019 (Smt. Nita B. Magare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri A.R. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

At the request and by consent of both the parties,
 S.O. to 13.08.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 250 OF 2019 (Shri Navnath N. Hazare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

<u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side. He has submitted that presently the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 is not necessary.

3. S.O. to 13.08.2019.

kpb ORAL ORDERS 11.07.2019

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773 OF 2018 (Shri Shivaji B. Satote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B.P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN.

<u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondent 1 & 3.

2. Learned Advocate Shri S.B. Mene, has submitted that he has received instruction from respondent No. 2 to appear on his behalf. He has also submitted that on the next date, he will file VAKALATNAMA on behalf of respondent No. 2.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 09.07.2019 and seeks time for filing affidavit in reply.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that already most last chance was given to the respondent Nos. 1 and 3 for filing affidavit in reply. But they have failed to file affidavit in reply in time. There is no just ground to grant further time. However, in the interest of justice, short time is granted to the respondent Nos. 1 and 3 for filing affidavit in reply subject to payment of costs of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand Only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal.

5. S.O. to 25.07.2019.

M.A.NO.302/2019 IN M.A.NO.361/2018 O.A.ST.NO.1922/2019 (Kaushalyabai Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 11.07.2019. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri C.V.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has prayed for leave to amend the prayer clause in the M.A. suitably. Leave as prayed for is granted. Applicant shall amend the M.A. suitably on or before 22-07-2019.

3. S.O. to 22-07-2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO.310/2019 IN M.A.NO.414/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.236/2018 (Bhagwan Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

$\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$: B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{DATE}}$: 11.07.2019. ORAL ORDER :

Shri M.K.Deshpande learned Advocate for the

applicant is **absent**. Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned

Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None is present for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 16-08-2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.496/2019 (Namdeo Pable V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri P.B.Rakhunde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents. Perused documents placed on record by the parties.

2. Learned Advocate for the submits that Hon'ble the Apex Court had decided the SLP filed by the applicant on 02-11-2018 and dismissed it with liberty to the petitioner to apply for compassionate allowances in respect of services rendered for 9 years. On the basis of the said decision, the applicant has respondent no.4 approached to the by filing representation dated 10-02-2019. But the respondent has not decided the same. Hence, the applicant has approached this Tribunal.

3. Documents on record shows that the applicant has availed the alternate remedy available to him.

In view of the provisions of S.20(2)(b) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985. O.A. has to be filed after expiry 6 months period after filing the representation. Before expiry of statutory period, provided in the said Act, the applicant has filed the present O.A.

=2=

4. In view thereof, O.A. is premature, hence, it is not maintainable. Accordingly, O.A. stands dismissed in limine as it is premature. There shall be no order as to costs.

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.07.2019 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.576/2019 (Rajudas Sitaram Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri O.D.Mane learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the impugned suspension order dated 02-03-2019 by filing the present O.A.

3. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant has challenged the said order by filing the representation dated 09-05-2019 before the competent authority. The applicant has availed remedy of appeal provided under the service rules. Appeal/representation filed by the applicant is still pending. Statutory period as provided under section 20(2)(b) of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985 is not expired. Therefore, O.A. is premature and it is not maintainable.

4. In view thereof, O.A. stands dismissed in limine as it is premature. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.611/2019 (Dr. Pranita Sureshrao Pendkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri J.B.Choudhary learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 09-08-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

=2=

8. S.O. to 09.08.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.07.2019 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.490/2018 (Bhaudas Dhannodas Viashnav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

$\frac{\text{CORAM}}{\text{DATE}}$: B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN $\frac{\text{DATE}}{\text{DATE}}$: 11.07.2019. ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ku. Rebekah Daniel learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks one day's time to deposit costs and file reply. He shall deposit costs on tomorrow i.e. on 12-07-2019.

3. S.O. to 02-08-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.07.2019 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.799/2016 (Bhura Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 11.07.2019. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Shri H.A.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant

is absent. Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer

for respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Case be placed

for passing dismissal order on 02-08-2019.

3. S.O. to 02-08-2019.

YUK ORAL ORDER 11.07.2019 bpp

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.355/2017 (Rajendra Dawange V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 11.07.2019. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned

Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned

Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19-07-2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.151/2018 (Rajendra Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 11.07.2019. <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri S.B.Pawar learned Advocate for the

applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting

Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer

S.O. to 22-07-2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO.305/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1236/2019 (Smt. Sultana Naikwade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 11.07.2019. <u>ORAL ORDER</u> :

Heard Shri B.V.Thombre learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions states that the applicant wants to withdraw M.A. and O.A. Therefore, he sought leave of the Tribunal to withdraw the M.A. and O.A. with liberty to file fresh petition.

3. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A. and O.A., M.A. as well as O.A. both are disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh petition. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.256 OF 2019 (Shri Dashrath R. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN DATE : 11.7.2019 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder to the affidavit-in-reply of the Respondent Nos.2 & 3. The same is taken on record.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 15.7.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.585 OF 2019 (Shri Dashrath R. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019 ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 15.7.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO.366 OF 2018 IN M.A.ST.NO.1591 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1592 OF 2018 (Dr. Ravindra A. Daware & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER TH

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u>: B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN <u>DATE</u>: 11.7.2019 <u>ORAL ORDER</u>:

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicants, S.O. to 7.8.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 609/2019 (Shri Satish R. Ghumare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of the Tribunal to amend the present O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.7.2019. In the meantime the learned Advocate for the applicant to amend the O.A. suitably and serve amended copy of O.A. upon the learned P.O.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 615/2019 (Shri Shafi Kassimsab Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.P. Avhad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the concerned respondents as to how 3% reservation for persons with disability is meant in the advertisement. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.7.2019 for making submissions by the learned C.P.O. on the above line.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 621/2019 (Shri Hari N. Sasane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 25.7.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 25.7.2019.

9. In view of urgency, the concerned respondents are directed to positively file short affidavit in reply by the next date.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1174/2019 (Shri Sakharam S. Thube V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has circulated the present case, which was allowed. Registry has raised the objection that as the applicant is not a Government servant he has no locus standi and, therefore, the present case is not maintainable before the Tribunal. However, the learned Advocate is failed to peruse the objection raised by the registry regarding maintainability of the case.

3. In the circumstances, the present case is removed from the board with liberty to learned Advocate for the applicant to ask for circulation for satisfying the Tribunal on the point of locus standi of the applicant to file the present case before the Tribunal.

MEMBER (A)

T.A. 8/2018 (W.P. 11576/2014) (Shri Kiran C. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**leave note**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.7.2019.

MEMBER (A)

T.A. 10/2018 (W.P. 11439/2018) (Smt. Nirmala T. Rakh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. It is to noted that, the present case is of the year 2018, however, after repeated adjournments affidavit in reply of the respondents is still awaited.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 24.7.2019 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents, as a last chance.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 939/2017 (Shri Dattatraya S. Bargaje V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar-Parlikar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Smt. Palekar-Parlikar, learned Advocate submits that Shri Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant is busy in the Hon'ble High Court. She, therefore, seeks adjournment. Adjournment granted.

3. S.O. to 12.7.2019.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 834/2018 (Smt. Jamila N. Shah V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.8.2019.

MEMBER (A)

C.P. ST. 832/2019 IN O.A. 801/2012 (Shri Baliram B. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the order of the Tribunal dtd. 10.12.2014 passed in O.A. no. 801/2012 has been complied with by the respondents.

3. However, the learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant as to whether the order in question is fully complied with or not by the respondents. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 16.7.2019 for passing necessary orders in the present matter.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 850/2018 (Shri Gautam D. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. Res. nos. 3 & 4 have already filed their affidavit in reply in the present case. However, learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 24.7.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 & 2.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 534/2019 (Shri Mahesh H. Badake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. It may be noted here that in the order dtd. 26.6.23019, the point of interim relief was kept open however, affidavit in reply of the respondents is yet to be filed, though adjournments were taken.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 17.7.2019 for filing affidavit in reply by the respondents, as a last chance, failing which the issue of interim relief will be decided in absence of reply of the respondents.

MEMBER (A)

M.A. 456/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1919/2018 (Shri Lakshman K. Nirmal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.D. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 (**absent**).

Reply of private respondent no. 3 is awaited.
 Res. no. 2 has also not filed affidavit in reply.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 19.7.2019 for filing reply by res. nos. 2 & 3, as a last chance.

MEMBER (A)

M.A. 309/2019 IN O.A. 511/2019 (Shri Sambhaji I. Bhandare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amol A. Kokad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Present misc. application has been filed by the applicant for restoration of O.A., which was dismissed in default for non compliance of directions of the Tribunal by the applicant contained in para 7 of the order dtd. 26.6.2019.

3. Perused the misc. application. Considered the contentions.

4. For the reasons mentioned in the present misc. application, it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and O.A. no. 511/2019 is restored to its original file.

MEMBER (A)

O.A. 511/2019

(Shri Sambhaji I. Bhandare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) _____

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amol A. Kokad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Today by passing separate order in M.A. no. 309/2019, the present O.A. is restored to its original file.

3. In the circumstances, issue fresh notices to the respondents, returnable on 22.8.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 6. of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 22.8.2019.

10. In view of urgency, the concerned respondents are directed to positively file short affidavit in reply by the next date.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 304/2014 (Shri Popat K. Bachkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

<u>CORAM</u> : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri M.C. Syed, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 22.8.2019 for final hearing before the Division bench.

MEMBER (A)

C.P. 27/2018 IN O.A. 515/2013 (Dr. Balaji G. Phalke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH C.P. 28/2018 IN O.A. 516/2013 (Dr. Appasaheb S. Dhus V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH C.P. 29/2018 IN O.A. 511/2013 (Dr. Ramesh J. Dhapte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.) WITH C.P. 30/2018 IN O.A. 510/2013 (Dr. Satish D. Londhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : A.R. CHADHA, MEMBER (A).

This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

<u>DATE</u> : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases is present.

2. Learned P.O. submits that Writ Petition No. 11604/2015 has been filed by the Respondent State before the Hon'ble High Court against the order of the Tribunal in respective O.As. and it is due for hearing / admission on 21.9.2019.

3. In the circumstances, present cases be placed on board on 21.10.2019.

MEMBER (A)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.266 OF 2019

Age- B.No	ohar s/o. Gokul Anawane, 53 years, Occ.: Driver Police Head Constable, 291 Police Station Yusuf Wadgaon, Yusuf Wadgaon, Tq. Kej, Dist. Beed. VERSUS	DISTRICT:- BEED)))Applicant
1.	The Special Inspector General of Police Aurangabad Range Aurangabad.)))
2.	The Superintendent of Police Beed, Dist. Beed.)) Respondents
Shri Omprakash D. Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant.		

Chri V.D. Dhumbon loomed Dressenting Officer for the Desner der

Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 11.7.2019

ORAL ORDER :

The Applicant has challenged the order dated 15.12.2018 issued by the Respondent No.2 attaching him to Motor Transport Section, Beed till the conclusion of preliminary enquiry against him by filing the present Original Application.

2. The Applicant was initially appointed as a driver Police Constable in the year 1986 by order dated 01.03.1986. Thereafter, he has been posted at various police stations in Beed district. He was promoted as Police Head Constable in the year 2014. In the month of June 2015, he

//2//

was posted at police station Yusuf Wadgaon in the general transfer of the year 2015 and since then, he was working there. On 14.10.2018, Shri Zote, Assistant Police Inspector and incharge of the police station Yusuf Wadgaon orally ordered the Applicant to attend the training course at Police Head Quarter, Beed. Accordingly, he left police station on 15.10.2018 and joined the training course. When he was undergoing the training course at Beed, the incharge of the police station Yusuf Wagdaon submitted default report against him to the Superintendent of Police Beed alleging that the Applicant used to discharge the duties under drunken condition. On the basis of said default report, the S.P., Beed issued the impugned order and attached him to Motor Transport Department, Beed till conclusion of the preliminary enquiry.

3. It is contention of the Applicant that the impugned order is based on false report submitted by the incharge of the police station Yusuf Wadgaon. He has submitted explanation regarding the allegations made against him on 14.01.2019 stating that there is no any record about his drunkenness and he never underwent any medical test for alcohol consumption. It is his contention that the impugned order is illegal and therefore, he prayed to quash and set aside the impugned order by allowing the Original Application.

4. The Respondent No.2 has filed affidavit-in-reply and resisted the contentions of the Applicant. He has not disputed the fact that the Applicant was posted at Yusuf Wadgaon and he has been sent for training programme. It is his contention that the training programme is part and parcel of the police department and the Applicant was sent to training course as part of service. It is his contention that on the basis of report submitted by the incharge of the police station Yusuf Wadgaon, a preliminary enquiry has been initiated against the

Applicant and in order to conduct the enquiry in fair manner, it was necessary to attach the Applicant temporarily to Motor Transport Section, Police Head Quarter and accordingly impugned order has been issued. It is his contention that after preliminary enquiry regarding negligence, indiscipline and irresponsible conduct of the Applicant, a show cause notice has been issued to the Applicant by the Respondent No.2 calling upon him to show cause as to why his increment for three year should not be stopped. The Applicant was heard on 14.06.2019 and after considering his written and oral submission, the punishment of stoppage of increment for one year has been passed. It is his contention that after passing the order and on considering the report in the enquiry, the Police Establishment Board of Beed District decided to transfer the Applicant on administrative ground from Yusuf Wadgaon to Motor Transport Section, Police Head Quarter Beed in the interest of public at large and accordingly transferred him by order dated 27.5.2019. The Applicant joined the Motor Transport Section, Beed. In view of this, the present application is infructuous and therefore, the Respondents prayed to reject the Original Application.

5. I have heard Shri O.D. Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. I have perused the documents on record produced by both the parties.

6. Admittedly, the Applicant was initially appointed as Driver Police Constable in the year 1986 and thereafter, he was posted at various police stations in Beed district. In the year 2014, he was promoted as Police Head Constable. He has been posted at Police Station Yusuf Wadgaon in the month of June, 2005 and since then, he was working there. Admittedly, one Shri Zote, Assistant Police Inspector and incharge of the police station Yusuf Wadgaon submitted default report against the Applicant to the Respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of //4//

Police, Beed on 31.10.2018 and 15.12.2018 which are at page nos.27 to 30 regarding indiscipline behaviour, misbehaviour and misconduct on the part of the Applicant while discharging the duties. Admittedly, the Superintendent of Police, Beed initiated preliminary enquiry in the default report submitted by the incharge officer of the police station Yusuf Wadgaon and during pendency of enquiry, he passed the impugned order and attached the Applicant to Motor Transport Section at Police Head Quarter, Beed.

7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has argued that the Applicant never attended the police station under influence of liquor and he never misbehaved and misconducted with the senior officer and colleagues. He has submitted that the allegations made in the default report regarding drunkenness of the Applicant are false. He never punished for it. He has submitted that the Applicant was promoted during the pendency of the Original Application and these facts falsities the allegations made in the default report of Shri Zote, incahrge of the police station Yusuf Wadgaon and therefore, he has prayed to quash and set aside the impugned order.

8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that on the basis of default report submitted by Shri Zote, API and incharge of the police station Yusuf Wadgaon, a preliminary enquiry has been initiated against the Applicant and it has been found during enquiry that the Applicant had misbehaved while on duty and therefore, the Respondent No.2 issued show cause notice calling upon the Applicant to show cause as to why his increment for three years should not be stopped. The Applicant had given reply to the show cause notice. Personal hearing was given to the Applicant and after considering his written and oral submission, punishment of stoppage of increment for one year imposed on 15.06.2019. He has submitted that in order to conduct

the fair enquiry, the impugned order has been issued and the Applicant has been temporarily attached to Motor Transport Section, Beed in the interest of justice. Therefore, there is no illegality in the impugned order. He has further submitted that after imposition of punishment on the Applicant, the Police Establishment Board at Beed decided to transfer the Applicant from police station Yusuf Wadgaon to Motor Transport Section, Beed and accordingly transfer order has been issued on 31.5.2019. Accordingly, the Applicant has been relieved from Yusuf Wadgaon and he joined Motor Transport Section on 1.6.2019. He has submitted that in view of the transfer of the Applicant, the present Original Application become infructuous and therefore, he prayed to reject the Original Application.

9. On perusal of documents produced at page nos.27 to 30 it reveals that Shri Zote, API and incharge of the police station Yusuf Wadgaon, Dist. Beed submitted default report dated 31.10.2018 and 15.12.2018 to the Respondent No.2 alleging that the Applicant used to misbehave with him, his colleagues in drunken condition and he misbehaved at various occasion. On the basis of report submitted by incahrge of the police station, Yusuf Wadgaon, the Respondent No.2. i.e. S.P., Beed initiated preliminary enquiry and in order to conduct enquiry in fair manner, he passed the impugned order attaching the Applicant to Motor Transport Section. The allegations made against the Applicant were of serious nature. In the enquiry, the statement of the colleagues of the Applicant will have to be recorded and therefore, the Respondent No.2 thought fit to attach the service of the Applicant to Motor Transport Section till conclusion of the enquiry in order to conduct the enquiry in fair manner. In my opinion, there is no illegality in the impugned order. The impugned order has been issued to conduct the enquiry in free and fair manner and therefore, I find no illegality in the

impugned order. Not only this, but during the pendency of the Original Application, enquiry has been concluded and the Applicant was punished. Not only this, but during the pendency of the O.A., in the general transfer the Applicant has been transferred by Police Establishment Board and posted at Motor Transport Department, Beed and accordingly the said order has been implemented. Therefore, the present Original Application has become infructuous.

//6//

10. In these circumstances, in my opinion, there is no illegality in the impugned order. Therefore, no interference is called for in it. There is no merit in the Original Application. Consequently, the same deserves to be dismissed.

11. In view of the discussion in the foregoing paragraph, the Original Application stands dismissed. No order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN