

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 993, 994, 995, 996, 1020 & 1021/2019</u></p> <p>Shri V.D Kalsait & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.As are heard together. Learned advocate for the applicants submit that applicants in O.A nos 993, 995 996/2019 have been reinstated in service by the Respondents</p> <p>3. As far as applicants in O.A 994, 1020 & 1021/2019 is concerned, she submits that applicants have made representation and the same are pending. She requests that the representations made by the applicants may be decided on the same line as in case of other applicants.</p> <p>4. The Respondents are therefore directed to consider the case of the applicants as per representations made by them within a period of three weeks</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 5.2.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 122/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.NJadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no.s 1 & 2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder. To be heard in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1067/2017 with M.A 487/2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.R Kharat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None present for the applicant and heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant in the present O.A has filed M.A 487/2017 stating therein that though he is working in the jurisdiction of the Tribunal at Aurangabad bench, he may be allowed to file the O.A before the Mumbai bench.</p> <p>3. The matter may be placed before the Hon. Acting Chairman for his decision in the matter.</p> <p>4. Registrar is directed to take necessary action.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 618/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant as well as learned advocate for the applicant are absent. Heard Mrs K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. To be heard in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 545/2019</u></p> <p>Shri W.H Suryawanshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule , learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. To be heard in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 49/2019 in O.A 378/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S.A Koli ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today during hearing learned C.P.O as well learned advocate for the applicant tender a copy from the Public Health Department (Respondent).</p> <p>3. In view of the communication taken on record and marked as 'X', the C.A does not survive.</p> <p>4. C.A is accordingly disposed of.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N/Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Atm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 11.12.2019
	<p align="center"><u>O.A 1191/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.H Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 8.1.2020. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. Respondents are directed to file short affidavit regarding para 6.11 of the O.A. 9. S.O to 8.1.2020. <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhakar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1171/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Bale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant was dismissed from service following D.E on 27.12.2018. He has filed appeal against the same on 11.2.2019. The applicant has prayed as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"Para 9(a) By a suitable order/direction, this Hon'ble Tribunal may be pleased to direct the Respondent to hear and decide the pending appeal of the Petitioner dated 11.2.2019 and to communicate the decision thereon all within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the order of the Hon'ble Tribunal."</i></p> <p>3. The Respondents were directed to file their reply on 3.12.2019. Learned P.O submits that she has received instructions by letter dated 7.12.2019 and seeks four month ^{month} time to decide the appeal filed by the applicant.</p> <p>4. We therefore direct the Respondents to decide the appeal within a period of 3 months and communicate the same to the applicant within a period of two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>5. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhakar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....))

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 11.12.2019
	<p align="center">C.A 21/2019 in O.A 848/2014</p> <p>Shri R.B Wadile ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.B Wadile, applicant in person and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O points out that no notice has been issued to the Contemnor. 3. Applicant is directed to comply with the same. 4. Adjourned to 15.1.2020. <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1197/2019</u></p> <p>Charushila R. Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 9.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O to 9.1.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 685/2016 with O.A 703/2016</u></p> <p>Smt Hemlata B. Gawhane [O.A 685/2016] Shri C.D Pawar [O.A 703/2016] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar , learned advocate for the applicants, Shri A.J Chougule with Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents and Shri P. S Devdas, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3. Shri N.S Khambayat.</p> <p>2. Shri N.S Khambayat, present Respondent no. 3, had approached the Hon'ble Bombay High Court by filing W.P 2931/2017 against the petitioners and others. By order dated 18.1.2019 Hon'ble High Court had remanded the matter back to the Tribunal to decide the matter afresh after giving an opportunity to the Petitioner (Respondent no. 3 in the present O.A).</p> <p>3. Shri P.S Devdas, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 submitted that the issue relating to the subject matter regarding validity of the Certificate issued for low eye vision is pending in the Hon'ble High Court (W.P 11644/2017) and the next date of hearing is 18.12.2019.</p> <p>4. As the matter is pending before the Hon. High Court, the matter is adjourned.</p> <p>5. S.O to 9.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 407/2019</u></p> <p>Smt S.S Chougale & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri M.D Lonkar, Spl Counsel with Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Lonkar, appearing as Special Counsel for Respondent nos 1 & 2 files sur rejoinder. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Aln</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 610 with 611/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.R Borse [O.A 610/2019] Shri S.R Gharat [O.A 611/2019] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicants. Heard Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 7.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Attn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 773/2019</u></p> <p>Shri C.M Rupanwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that reply would be file during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that he does not propose to file rejoinder and request of urgent hearing.</p> <p>4. Admit. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 921/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.N Adhari ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. None for the applicant and heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents no 1, 2 & 3.3. Learned advocate as well as applicant are absent.4. Adjourned to 23.1.2020 <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 960/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.E Kerure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4 and Ms Sunita holding for Shri D.G Mishra, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she may be provided one weeks' time for filing reply by way of last chance as she has received instructions. Affidavit in reply be provided to the applicant by email.</p> <p>3. By consent adjourned to 19.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kerhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 978/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.B Jadhav & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai holding for Shri P.S Bhavake, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 to 4. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit with liberty to file rejoinder. To be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Karhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 981/2019</u></p> <p>Dr L.V Bhandarkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks two weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 19.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>