

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

**MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO.610 OF 2024  
IN  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.689 OF 2024**

Smt. Shraddha S. Sudewad ..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Smt. Punam Mahajan – Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. S.P. Manchekar – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Debashish Chakrabarty, Member (A)

DATE : 11<sup>th</sup> October, 2024

**ORDER**

1. The learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant at present is serving on post of 'Executive Engineer (e-Governance)' in office 'Chief Engineer (Civil); Hydro Projects & Quality Control, Pune'.
2. The learned Advocate for Applicant then states that name of Applicant was not considered in meeting of 'Departmental Promotion Committee' (DPC) held on 26.3.2024 for promotion to 23 Posts of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)' of 'Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A' under 'Water Resources Department'.
3. The learned Advocate for Applicant emphasized that Applicant is eligible for promotion to post of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)' of 'Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A' under 'Water Resources Department based on 'Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 dated 7.4.2008' wherein substituted Rule 1 and Rule 3 of



Irrigation Department (Recruitment) Rules, 1996 dated 01.03.1996 are as follows:

3. *"In rule 1 of the principal Rules, for the words "Irrigation Department" the words "Water Resources Department" shall be substituted."*

6. *"For rule 3 of the principal Rules, the following rule shall be substituted, namely:-*

*"3. Without prejudice to the provisions of rule 2, the persons appointed to the post mentioned in rule 2 shall have, while in the Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A, under the Department gained an experience of not less than three years in any one or more of the branches from each of the following two groups, namely:*

- (a) (i) research, or*
- (ii) designs, or*
- (iii) project preparation, or*
- (iv) investigation, or*
- (v) training work, or*
- (vi) the service rendered in any of the Group-A posts in the offices listed in the Annexure appended to these rules; and*
- (b) (i) construction, or*
- (ii) management;*

*Provided that the Government may at any time if required amend the list in the Annexure appended to these rules."*

4. The learned Advocate for Applicant then referred to contents of 'GR dated 25.7.2014' of 'Water Resources Department' to mention about 'Policy Decision' taken to shift criteria of experience of not less than 3 Years in 'Management' from 'Group B' to 'Group A' of 'Rule 6' of Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 dated 7.4.2008 but

emphasized that it does not amount to amendment to 'Rule 6' of Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 dated 7.4.2008 which has been 'Notified' under 'Article 309' of 'Constitution of India'.

5. The learned Advocate for Applicant further drew attention to the 'Minutes of Meeting' of Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) held on 26.3.2024 to highlight the case of Shri Shriram Vijay Hazare who though having experience of less than 3 Years in 'Management' which had been subsequently shifted from 'Group-B' to 'Group-A' by GR dated 25.7.2014 of 'Water Resources Department'; was still considered eligible for promotion to post of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)'. Further she also drew attention to another case of Smt. Rupali D. Thombre whose earlier experience while serving in Public Works Department had been considered to show her as fulfilling criteria of experience of not less than 3 Years in 'Construction' in 'Group-B' of 'Rule 6' of Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 dated 7.4.2008, although she was required to have experience of not less than 3 Years in 'Construction' in Maharashtra Service of Engineers Group-A only when serving in 'Water Resources Department'.

6. The learned Advocate for Applicant also referred to earlier precedences by citing cases of (a) Smt. Purnima Desai who was promoted on 9.5.2014 and (b) Shri Abhay Katkar who was promoted on 19.5.2021 to post of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)' in 'Maharashtra Service of Engineers, 'Group-A' under Water Resources Department' after due consideration was given of their experience of not less than 3 Years in 'e-Governance' which was then held to be equivalent to experience in 'Management' or 'Construction' under Group-B of 'Rule 6' of 'Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008' dated 7.4.2008.

7. The learned Advocate for Applicant also drew attention to the specific conclusion drawn in meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) held on 26.3.2024 that besides Applicant who was found to be ineligible on the grounds of not having requisite experience of not less than 3 Years in 'Management' or 'Construction' in Group-B, so was similarly placed Smt. Rupali Thombre who was also found ineligible for promotion to post of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)' in 'Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A' under 'Water Resources Department'.
8. The learned CPO thereupon states that Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) had decided to consider eligibility of officers for promotion to '23 Posts' of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)' in Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A under 'Water Resources Department' based on 'Rule 6' of 'Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 dated 7.4.2008' read with 'Policy Decision' taken by 'GR dated 25.7.2014' of 'Water Resources Department'.
9. The learned CPO further based on information from Senior Officers present from 'Water Resources Department' makes statement that they are likely to issue 'Orders of Promotion' today itself to fill '23 Posts' of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)' in 'Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A' under 'Water Resources Department' including of Smt. Rupali Thombre, who was subsequently found eligible in subsequent meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) held after 26.3.2024.
10. The learned CPO clarified that 'Water Resources Department' had held two more meetings of Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) soon after 26.3.2024 and it was in one of these that Smt. Rupali Thombre was subsequently found to be eligible based on her experience in 'Group A' and 'Group B' of 'Rule 6' of 'Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 dated 7.4.2008'.

11. The contention of Applicant is that she fulfills the eligibility requirements of experience of not less than 3 Years in 'Management' or 'Construction' in 'Group B' of 'Rule 6' of Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 dated 7.4.2008 as criteria of 'Management' in 'Group-B' could not be considered as having been shifted from 'Group B' to 'Group A' only on basis of 'Policy Decision' taken by GR dated 25.7.2014 of 'Water Resources Department'. Further the claim of Applicant is also based on precedence cited about cases of (i) Smt. Purnima Desai and (ii) Shri Abhay Katkar who had been promoted to post of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil) in Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A under Water Resources Department' after equivalence was granted in their cases to experience of 'e-Governance' with either 'Management' or 'Construction' in Group-B of 'Rule 6' of 'Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 dated 7.4.2008'.

12. The other pertinent aspect of the case of Applicant is that specific recommendation has been made by 'Chief Engineer (Civil), Hydro Project & Quality Control, Pune' on 21.6.2024 though after meeting of Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) held on 26.3.2024 based on her experience of 'e-Governance' regarding which appropriate decision was expected to be taken as it specifically relates to cited precedences in cases of Smt. Purnima Desai and Shri Abhay Katkar who had been promoted to posts of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)' in Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A under Water Resources Department.

13. The 'Balance of Convenience' at this stage thus clearly lies in favour of the Applicant. Further it is now very likely that Smt. Rupali Thombre who is placed at 'Sr. No.24', just below Applicant who is placed at 'Sr. No.23' of 'Zone of Consideration' placed before Departmental Promotion Committee (DPC) in meeting held on 26.3.2024. The relevant information

now received from 'Senior Officers' present from 'Water Resources Department' and conveyed through learned CPO is that '2 Posts' would still be available for grant of promotion to Applicant if found eligible for post of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)' in 'Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A' even after 'Orders of Promotion' for '23 Posts' are issued today by 'Water Resources Department'. Hence, it is directed that 'One Post' of 'Superintending Engineer (Civil)' in 'Maharashtra Service of Engineers, Group-A' under 'Water Resources Department' should not be filled by promotion after 'Orders of Promotion' are issued today for '23 Posts' until case of Applicant is decided based upon Irrigation Department (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 dated 7.4.2008 the cited precedences as also applicability of 'Policy Decision' taken by GR dated 25.7.2014 of Water Resources Department besides decision about proposal submitted by Chief Engineer (Civil), Hydro Project & Quality Control, Pune on 21.6.2024.

14. The learned CPO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' in MA No.610/2024.

15. The MA No.610/2024 is adjourned to 23.10.2024 along with OA No.689/2024.

Sd/-

**(Debashish Chakrabarty)**  
**Member (A)**  
**11.10.2024**

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.1217 of 2024  
(D.B.)

E.D. Kamble .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant drew attention to 'Names of Incumbents' from 'IPS' and 'SPS' cadres mentioned in 'Para 6.13' of this 'O.A. No.1217/2024' who had occupied post of either (a) 'Inspector General of Police, Wireless' or (b) 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Wireless' during the period from 18.02.1995 upto 24.07.2024 to emphasize that Applicant who belongs to 'SPS' be promoted to re-designated post of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Communication and Technology' as for initial 10 years or so only officers from cadre of 'SPS' had occupied post of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Wireless'.

3. Learned CPO states that 'Home Department' has now undertaken drafting of 'Recruitment Rules' for filling up of 'Two Vacant Posts' of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Communication and Technology' subsequent to sanction of 'One Additional Post' by 'Home Department' G.R. dated 28.03.2022. Furthermore from 02.12.2006 upto 24.07.2024 the posts of (a) 'Inspector General of Police Wireless' or (b) 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Wireless' have been held only by officers from cadre of 'IPS'.

4. The 'One Additional Post' of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Communication and Technology' created by 'Home Department' G.R. dated 28.03.2022 may be earmarked for officers from cadres of either 'IPS' or 'SPS'. However, this would be known only now after 'Recruitment Rules' are 'Notified' by 'Home Department'.

5. The Applicant who is serving on the post of 'SP, Wireless, West Zone Pune' since 16.04.2010 is due for retirement on 31.12.2024. The Applicant though belonging to cadre to 'SPS' has been serving in specialized 'Sub Cadre' of 'Police Wireless' and may thus

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

not have any other channels of promotion except to the post of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Communication and Technology' unlike all others in the rank of 'SP / DCP' from cadre of 'SPS'. Therefore; by such time as 'Recruitment Rules' are 'Notified' by 'Home Department', the Applicant apprehends that he would stand retired on 31.12.2024.

6. The fact remains that many officers from cadre of 'SPS' as evident from 'List of Incumbents' in 'Para 6.13' of this 'O.A. No.1217/2024' have occupied the post of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Wireless' till 01.12.2006. However, these decisions taken earlier by 'Home Department' could not have been taken in absence of any 'Recruitment Rules' for promotion of officers from cadre of 'SPS' to post of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Wireless'. Nonetheless; from 02.12.2006 onwards only officers from cadre of 'IPS Officer' have occupied posts of either (a) 'Inspector General of Police Wireless' or (b) 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Wireless'.

7. The 'Two Vacant Posts' of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Communication and Technology' are expected to be filled up after 'Recruitment Rules' are 'Notified' by 'Home Department'. Hence, at this stage it would suffice to seek 'Additional Information' from 'Home Department' as to whether the 'One Additional Post' of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Communication and Technology' is likely to be earmarked for officers from cadre of 'SPS' and by when the 'Recruitment Rules' can be expected to be 'Notified' by 'Home Department'. Further it would be necessary to ascertain which 'Recruitment Rules' if any; were referred to earlier by 'Home Department' to grant promotion to officers from cadre of 'SPS' to post of 'Deputy Inspector General of Police, Wireless', or if such decisions had been consciously taken by 'Competent Authority' even without 'Recruitment Rules' being framed 'Home Department'.

8. S.O. to 25.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><b>Date: 11.10.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.1218 of 2024 (D.B.)</b></p> <p><b>V.B. Patil &amp; Ors.,</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that there were disparities in '7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission' recommendations made applicable to Applicants as compared to many others, although they also are serving as (a) 'Laboratory Assistants' &amp; (b) 'Library Attendants' in establishment of 'Director of Technical Education, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for Applicant then states that grievance of Applicant is that 'Higher Pay-Scale' of 'S-6: Rs.19000 – 63200' has been made applicable to some (a) 'Laboratory Assistants' &amp; (b) 'Library Attendants' but not to certain other employees like Applicants who have been placed in much 'Lower Pay-Scale' of 'S-1 : Rs.15000 – 47600'.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that the proposal to grant 'Higher Pay-Scales' of 'S-6: Rs.19000 – 63200' to all employees serving as (a) 'Laboratory Assistants' &amp; (b) 'Library Attendants' in establishment of 'Director of Technical Education, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' has been pending decision in office of 'Principal Secretary, Higher and Technical</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Education Department' since 29.08.2015 when initial proposal was submitted by 'Director of Technical Education, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'. Subsequently, reminder was also submitted by 'Directorate of Technical Education, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' on 12.07.2017. However, since then no decision has been conveyed to 'Directorate of Technical Education, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' or Applicant by office of 'Principal Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department'.

5. Learned P.O. is accordingly informed to seek information if any appropriate decision has since been taken with regard to proposal submitted by 'Director of Technical Education, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' on 29.08.2015 & 12.07.2017 to office of 'Principal Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department', as limited grievance of Applicant is regarding appropriate decision to be taken without any further delay about proposal submitted by 'Director of Technical Education, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' on 29.08.2015 & 12.07.2017 to office of 'Principal Secretary, Higher and Technical Education Department'.

6. S.O. to 18.10.2024 for 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.10.2024</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A Nos 546/2023 with 543/2023</u></p> <p>N.R Takey &amp; Ors Dr V.N Wahul &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned C.P.O submits that today meeting of the Civil Services Board No. 1 was held and seeks time for issuance of the promotion orders.</li> <li>3. We direct the Respondents to take necessary steps and compliance shall be done within one week.</li> <li>4. S.O to 18.10.2024.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>11.10.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A Nos 1180 &amp; 1181/2024</u></b></p> <p>M.U Salve A.M Sarukte ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B Solnke, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that O.A 1181/2024 is not shown on today's Board.</p> <p>3. Registry to take note that O.A No 1181/2024 be shown along with O.A 1180/2024.</p> <p>4. S.O to 22.11.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>11.10.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 198/2024</u></b></p> <p>Savita B. More &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Not on Board. Taken on Board at the request of learned counsel for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Matter adjourned to 18.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>11.10.2024</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 629/2024 &amp; ORS</u></b></p> <p>A.R Shinde &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri T.A Quadri, through V.C and Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 629/2024 &amp; 645/2024 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that affidavit in reply is filed and copy of the affidavit in reply is not collected by the learned counsel Shri Quadri for the applicants.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel Shri Quadri states that he will collect the affidavit in reply from the office of C.P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O to 17.10.2024.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b> Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b> Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.12 of 2024 in O.A. No.321 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.R. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that Writ Petition has been filed in the Hon'ble High Court challenging the order of the Tribunal and it is now kept on 7.12.2024.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.12.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 11.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.97 of 2024 in O.A. No.1206 of 2023</u></p> <p>C.B. Paradke &amp; Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri C.K. Bhangoji, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.10.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 11.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.101 of 2024 in O.A. No.1242 of 2024</u></p> <p>S.S. Wagh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.S. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to serve the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.10.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 11.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.105 of 2024 in O.A. No.276 of 2024</u></p> <p>V.G. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 16.10.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 11.10.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 11.10.2024</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.601 of 2024 in O.A. No.1280 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>G.R. Rathod &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicants by M.A. No.601 of 2024 in O.A. No.1280 of 2024 are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in this M.A. No.601 of 2024, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid. M.A. No.601 of 2024 is accordingly disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  <b>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.1280 of 2024  
(O.B.)

G.R. Rathod & Ors.

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.10.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 21.10.2024.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.1298 of 2024 with O.A. No.1301 of 2024  
(O.B.)

M.S. Napte

S.P. Ghotekar

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri R.V. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 18.10.2024 for Urgent Admission.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.1313 of 2024  
(O.C.)

S.S. Mahajan .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2024.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate-remedy are kept open.

6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 15.10.2024 for Urgent Admission.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.1314 of 2024  
(D.B.)

V.T. Kamble .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 15.10.2024 for Urgent Admission.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.1315 of 2024  
(D.B.)

I.M. Makandar .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 15.10.2024 for Urgent Admission.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)  
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.1026 of 2024**

**D. S. Tawade .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that Applicant has received the arrears as shown in Clause 7.7 of Rs.2,90,308/-.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that matter can be kept before 'Lok Adalat'.

5. S.O. to 20.12.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others.

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A. No.842 of 2024**

**P. D. Pawar .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.....Respondents.**

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file short Affidavit.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A. No.904 of 2024  
With  
O.A.No.905 of 2024**

**S. I. Mulla .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant submits that suspension order is revoked. However, he is attached to the office at Kolhapur.

3. Learned PO seeks time to file reply.

4. S.O. to 21.11.2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.659 of 2024

S. M. Mundhe .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

3. S.O. to 07.01.2025.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.807 of 2024

T.M. Garge .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks time to file reply.

4. S.O. to 22.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

L.O.

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant has filed Affidavit in Rejoinder. It is taken on record.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.870 of 2024

M. S. Patil .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Shri R. Thobde, learned Advocate for the Applicant through video conference and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.4.

2. Learned PO seeks time to file Affidavit in Reply.

3. S.O.to 21.11.2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

L.O.

5. Shri B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 is present and has filed Affidavit in Reply. It is taken on record.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.1112 of 2024**

**P. S. Shinde**

**.....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugle, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO submits that 'Affidavit in Reply' is under process and seeks short time to file the same.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**M.A. No.526 of 2024 in O.A. No.698 of 2024**

**S. S. Kamble .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant to argue the matter positively on next date.

3. S.O. to 20.11.2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.1380 of 2023**

**D. K. Mharse & Ors. ....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant and Learned PO suggest that matter be kept before 'Lok Adalat'.

3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

4. S.O. to 18.12.2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.85 of 2024**

**R. C. Jadhav .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO seeks time to file reply.

3. The O.A. is filed January, 2024. Learned PO to file reply on next date positively.

4. 18.11.2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.238 of 2024**

**P. S. Joshi .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned PO has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate seeks time to file Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 20.11.2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.281 of 2024**

**K. P. Mane .....Applicant**  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.4.

2. Learned PO has produced the copy of order dated 26.09.2024 showing transfer of Applicant as B.D.O., Panchayat Samiti Tasgaon, District Sangali. It is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.

3. S.O. to 07.01.2025.

^  
Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)**  
**Member (J)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....) )

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.379 of 2024**

**D. B. Borse** .....Applicant  
**Versus**  
**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO submits that retirement benefits are given to the Applicant and has made available the copy of order. It is taken record and marked as letter 'X' for identification.

3. The Applicant to verify the same.

4. S.O. to 20.11.2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.332 of 2024**

**Dr. S. P. Garud .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1, 4 & 5. It is taken on record.

3. The matter is admitted and kept for final hearing with liberty to file Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 19.12.2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.417 of 2024**

**S. B. Londhe**

**.....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned PO has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 20.11.2024 for filing Rejoinder, if any.

^  
Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.507 of 2024**

**R. N. Bhanase .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO submits that instructions are received from the concerned department and seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2024.

^  
Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**O.A.No.592 of 2024**

**S. D. Turbadkar .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for Applicant and learned PO submit that matter can be kept before 'Lok Adalat'.

3. Learned PO seeks time to file reply.

4. S.O. to 14.11.2024.

Sd/- \_\_\_\_\_

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.10.2024

O.A. No.1320 of 2024

B. S. Tayde .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.10.2024.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
8. S.O. to 16.10.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**M.A. No.186 of 2024 in M.A.No.140 of 2024 with  
M. A. No.341 of 2019 in O.A. No.603 of 2019**

**R. S. Kamble & Ors. ....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO seeks time to file Reply.

3. S.O. to 25.10.2024.

Sd/-

**(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)**

VSM

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date: 11.10.2024**

**M.A. No.291 of 2024 in O.A. No.601 of 2024**

**N. Y. Zimare .....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.**

1. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Perused the earlier orders dated 23.07.2024, 13.09.2024, 27.09.2024 and 04.10.2024.

3. Learned PO submits that the Responsible Officer from the concerned department was to attend today but nobody is present.

4. Sufficient time is already granted but no reply is filed.

5. The matter to proceed without reply.

6. S.O. to 18.10.2024.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 11.10.2024</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.352 of 2024 in O.A. No.499 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>K. K. Makwana</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant to argue the matter positively on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 19.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 11.10.2024</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.436 of 2024 in O.A. No.882 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>A. R. Tikole .....Applicant</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that 'Draft Reply' is already sent for affirmation and same will be filed within 2-3 days.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  <b>(A.N. Karmarkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 11.10.2024</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.437 of 2024 in O.A. No.883 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>J. S. Kadam</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO submits that 'Draft Reply' is already sent for affirmation and same will be filed within 2-3 days.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.10.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>VSM</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.10.2024</p> <p>M.A. No.517 of 2024 in O.A. No.1011 of 2024</p> <p>A. L. Koli .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D. R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Affidavit in Reply is not filed by learned PO, though sufficient time is given.</p> <p>3. The matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.11.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.N. Karmarkar) Member (J)</p> <p>VSM</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 11.10.2024</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1281 of 2024 with O.A.1295 of 2024 with O.A.No.1296 of 2024 with O.A. No.1302 of 2024 with O.A. No.1303 of 2024 with O.A. No.1305 of 2024 with O.A. No.1306 of 2024 with O.A. No.1307 of 2024 with O.A. No.1308 with M.A. No.609 of 2024 with O.A. No.1309 of 2024 with O.A.1312 of 2024 with M.A.615 of 2024 with O.A.1317 of 2024 with O.A.1318 of 2024</b></p> <p><b>S. S. Kokare R. S. Chavan A. S. Dange I.I. Shaikh S.P. Lokhande A.B. Kshirsagar J.J. Gajbhiye M.A. Shirke S.B. Gaikwad &amp; Ors. V.B. Madaye V. K. Chavan &amp; Ors. R. S. Kane G. G. Sawardekar</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>.....Applicants</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>.....Respondents.</b></span></p> <p>1. Shri P.M. Nagargoje, Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Shri S.S. Dere learned Advocates for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned CPO, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.D. Gugale, Smt Archana B.K., Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants submit that similar kind of matters are already pending before the Hon'ble Chairperson and those matters were already heard. It is also submitted by Ld. Advocate for the Applicants that since the matters on today's board are of similar in nature, those may be kept before the Hon'ble Chairperson for further hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Ld. C.P.O. submits that meantime notices may be issued to Respondents for which Applicants have no objection.
4. Ld. Advocates for Applicants submit that matters may be heard under the caption of "Urgent Admission/hearing".  
When it is suggested to keep few matters on 15.10.2024 and few matters on 16.10.2024, Ld. Advocates have submitted that only one Advocate would argue as nature of matters are same.
5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2024.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / ~~Miscellaneous~~ Applications shall stand dismissed.
11. S.O. to 15.10.2024 under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'.

Sd/-

(A.N. Karmarkar)  
Member (J)