

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.10.2022 M.A. No.582 of 2022 in O.A. No.397 of 2022 with M.A. No.583 of 2022 in O.A. No.396 of 2022</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. (Org. Resps.)....Appl. Versus A.B. Gangurde (Org. Appl.)Resps. R.S. Kajale (Org. Appl.)Resps.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. These two M.As are filed for extension of time to complete D.E.</p> <p>3. The Applicant in O.A. No.397/2022 stands retired on 31.05.2022 from the post of Office Superintendent and the Applicant in O.A. No.396/2022 stands retired on 31.12.2019 as warden. At the time of retirement, D.E. was pending and therefore Gratuity and regular Pension is withheld. The Applicants therefore filed O.A. No.396/2022 & 397/2022 for direction to the Respondents to release retiral benefits.</p> <p>4. O.A. No.396/2022 & 397/2022 were disposed of by this Tribunal by order dated 06.07.2022 with direction to the Respondent to complete D.E. including passing final order within three months from the date of order without fail. It was the case of joint enquiry for alleged misconduct for 2012-13 for which D.E. was initiated belatedly in 2019 but thereafter not a single step was taken and D.E. was kept in cold storage without any progress and it is on this background the Tribunal disposed of O.A. No.396/2022 & 397/2022 with direction to complete D.E. within three months including passing final order therein.</p> <p>5. Now, these two M.As are filed for extension of six months for completion of D.E. which is strongly opposed by learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>6. After retirement except 90% P.F. nothing was paid, it is only after filing of O.A. retiral benefits were released except Gratuity and regular Pension.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. The perusal of record reveals that even enquiry officer was not appointed and it is for the first time by order dated 29.07.2022 enquiry officer has been appointed only after direction given by the Tribunal to complete D.E. within three months by order dated 06.07.2022 which shows total laxity, negligence and inaction on the part of concerned for completing D.E. within reasonable time.</p> <p>8. Indeed, the enquiry has to be completed maximum within one year in view of circular dated 07.04.2008 issued by the Government. Regrettably, no such steps were taken for completion of D.E., on the contrary it is totally ignored.</p> <p>9. Here material to note that Tribunal passed order on 06.07.2022 for completion of D.E. within three months and in compliance, Respondent No.1 sent letter dated 29.07.2022 to the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik to complete D.E. as directed by the Tribunal. Thus the said steps are taken quite belatedly after more than 20 days. As such, there is delay in taking the cognizance of the order passed by the Tribunal and to take the further steps expeditiously. It is thereafter only the enquiry officer has been appointed on 29.07.2022. However, not a single witness is examined, it is thus explicit that despite orders passed by the Tribunal no further steps were taken for completion of D.E. Respondents have no regard to the orders passed by the Tribunal and taking things very lightly and causally. Despite the specific direction given by the Tribunal no follow-up is taken either by Secretary of the Department or Divisional Commissioner, Nashik. Affidavit filed by Shri Sumant N. Bhangе, Secretary, Social Justice and Special Assistance Dept. is not at all satisfactory.</p> <p>10. However, in the interest of justice it would be appropriate to grant some time for completion of D.E. but subject to cost to be saddled on the Respondents for their negligence, inaction and lapses.</p> <p>11. In view of above, six weeks time is granted from today for completion of D.E. including passing final order therein subject to payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand Only) each in M.A. which will be deposited in the Tribunal within seven days. On deposit cost be paid to the Applicant.</p> <p>12. The Government is at liberty to recover the amount from the concerned person responsible for delay in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1012 of 2022</p> <p>Shri K. D.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri <i>D. B. Khaire</i>, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 06.10.2022 whereby he was transferred from Rasayani Police Station, Khalapur, Dist. Raigad to Cyber Crime Police Station at Alibag, Dist. Raigad, <i>inter-alia</i> contending that it is in contravention of provisions of Maharashtra Police Act.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought to contend that Applicant is transferred without there being any such full fledged inquiry pointing out fault or misconduct of the Applicant and in absence of it, transfer is unsustainable. He referred Circular dated 25.02.2015 which says that anonymous complaint should be filed without taking any cognizance.</p> <p>4. Whereas learned P.O. has tendered Minutes of PEB dated 06.10.2022 which shows that on administrative ground, the Applicant is transferred citing instance attributing negligence and misconduct to the Applicant. Though there is reference of anonymous complaint, it is not the sole ground for the transfer. Thus, <i>prima-facie</i>, the competent authority i.e. PEB at district level invoked the power under Section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act and transferred the Applicant. The Applicant is already relieved and Respondent No.2 has also taken charge.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. The submission advanced by learned Counsel for the Applicant that in absence of full fledged inquiry there could be no transfer is totally untenable in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.1010-1011 of 2004 (Union of India Vs. Sri Janardhan Debanath & Anr., decided on 13.02.2004)</i>.</p> <p>6. In view of above, no case is made out to grant interim relief.</p> <p>7. In the meantime, the office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.11.2022.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within <u>seven</u> days or service report on affidavit is not filed <u>three</u> days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "<u>for Dismissal</u>" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 09.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">C.A. No.21 of 2022 in O.A. No.900 of 2021</p> <p>B.R. GirmeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.S. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Matter is heard for some time. 3. The Applicant is offered post at Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation. However, he is not satisfied with it and tried to contend that it is not equivalent post. 4. Learned P.O. submits that the service conditions of Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation for the post offered are not adverse to the interest of the Applicant and he will not suffer any kind of loss. 5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought one week time to take instruction from his client as to whether he is ready to accept the offer of appointment given in Pimpri Chinchwad Municipal Corporation. 6. S.O. to 17.10.2022. <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p>M.A.No.584 of 2022 in O.A.No.865 of 2021</p> <p>N.M. Ransing & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is moved for expedite hearing of O.A.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate submits that the Applicants who are working at present in the P.W.D. seek seniority on the basis of their marks. She further submits that the promotion process is already started and if matter is not heard the juniors of the applicants will be promoted.</p> <p>4. The Tribunal has taken up the drive of clearing 2015 matters and thereafter 2016 matters will be taken up. Considering the relief prayed, we are of the view that it is not so urgent so has to be heard expeditiously. Even if it is heard afterwards the grievance of the applicants can be redressed.</p> <p>5. M.A. stands dismissed. O.A. adjourned to 03.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 11.10.2022

M.A.No.226 of 2022 in O.A.No.354 of 2020

State of MaharashtraAppli (Org.Respd.)

Vs.

K.R. Gupta & Ors.

.....Respd (Org. Appli).

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate with Mr. Vishwanath Talkute, learned Advocate holding for Mr. J.J. Carlos, Sr. Counsel for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).

2. 6 applicants are seeking certain directions for framing of rules in respect of promotion, age and experience in the feeder cadre for the post of AE. Out of 6 Applicants, 3 Applicants have retired.

3. In view of this learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he wants to substitute other persons as applicants under order 1 Rule 8, sub clause 5 of CPC. He seeks time to file such application.

4. Adjourned to 12.10.2022.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.503 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. KanhereApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply and rejoinder is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 22.11.2022</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.648 of 2022</p> <p>G.P. YamajiApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. A.S. Khedkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 14.11.2022. HOB for hearing.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.572 of 2022</p> <p>A.S. MoreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 14.10.2022. HOB for hearing.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>CA No.129 of 2015 in OAs. No.142, 143 & 144 of 2015</u></p> <p>Dr. S.S. Deshpande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.P. Nalawade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has referred to the judgment and order dated 25.9.2003 passed by the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal in TA No.2262/1991 (W.P. No.879/1990) filed by the Applicant which is at page 177 of the OA.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate submits that it was held by the judgment and order dated 17.8.2015 passed by this Tribunal in the above OAs that applicant can continue to work till he attains the age of 62 years. As per the information given by the Ld. Advocate the applicant has attained the age of 62 years in November, 2016.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO refers to the order dated 16.10.2018 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.165 of 2016 filed by the State of Maharashtra against the applicant challenging the said order dated 17.8.2015.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO relies on GR dated 3.9.2021 (page 117 of OA) wherein it is mentioned that applicant was given benefit of continuity in service from the age of 58 to 62.</p> <p>6. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to satisfy on the ground that as per the judgment of the Hon'ble High Court the applicant was allowed to work till the age of 64 and not 62.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 20.10.2022 at 2.00 p.m.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.52 of 2021 in O.A. No.488 of 2020</u></p> <p>V.N. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the order dated 29.10.2020 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA No.488 of 2020 is complied with.</p> <p>3. Hence, nothing survives in the CA and the same is disposed off accordingly.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.10.2022</p> </div> </div>	

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.597 of 2022 in O.A. No.113 of 2020</u></p> <p>Aarti S. Dhamankar & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicants have filed this MA for modification of the order dated 17.2.2022 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA. Para 3 of the said order dated 17.2.2022 reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"The department may consider applicants' representations dated 18.8.2021 and 2.8.2021 respectively for deemed date in accordance with law."</i></p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate prays that department be directed to decide the representations 18.8.2021 and 2.8.2021 and communicate the decision thereon within three weeks.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO opposes the MA.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, as the order is already passed on 17.2.2022 and till today the decision has not been taken by the Government after eight months, we pass the following order.</p> <p>6. The department should decide the representations dated 18.8.2021 and 2.8.2021 respectively within a period of six weeks from today and communicate the decision to the applicants within one week thereafter.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.10.2022</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.376 of 2022 in O.A. No.737 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.S. Bhamare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Last chance to file affidavit of service.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 14.10.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.10.2022 11.10.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Date : 11.10.2022

O.A.No. 971 of 2022

Y. G. Mulani

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R.Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has tendered letter of Director General of Police dated 11.10.2022 thereby correcting his earlier communication dated 08.06.2022 in which he is stated that accommodation of Applicant in Pimpri-Chinchwad Police Commissionerate is not possible in general transfer of 2022. The Applicant had challenged the communication dated 08.06.2022 in the present O.A. The letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.

3. In letter dated 11.10.2022, now the D.G.P. states as under :-

“मा.महाराष्ट्र प्रशासकीय न्यायाधिकरण, मुंबई यांनी मुळ अर्ज क्र. ८०१/२०२१ मध्ये दि.२५.०४.२०२२ रोजी दिलेल्या आदेशास अनुसरून पोलीस निरीक्षक युनुस गुलाब मुलाणी, नेमणुक पुणे शहर यांची पिंपरी-चिंचवड येथे नेमणूक करण्याबाबत निर्णय घेण्याच्या अनुषंगाने त्यांचा दि.०९.०८.२०२२ रोजीचा विनंती अर्ज सार्वत्रिक बदल्या २०२२ मध्ये पोलीस आस्थापना मंडळ क्र.२ यांचे समोर सादर करण्यात येईल.”

4. Indeed, in earlier round of litigation in O.A.No.801/2021, the Tribunal gave directions to the Respondents to accommodate the Applicant on the post to which he was transferred by order dated 23.02.2021 at the earliest and they shall make over endeavor to accommodate the Applicant during ensuing annual general transfers. Now, the Respondents shown their readiness to accommodate the Applicant in general transfer of 2022.

5. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1004 of 2022 (SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES)</p> <p>R.N. Bade,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today matter is taken up for Speaking to the minutes to correct Typographical mistake in order dated 10.10.2022 passed in O.A. 3. On 10.10.2022 while granting interim relief, Tribunal granted interim relief in terms of prayer clause 10(c) instead of 10 (b). 4. Accordingly, it be corrected and 10(c) be placed by 10(b). Accordingly, correction be made. <p align="right">Sd/- /vvv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.990 of 2022</p> <p>Smita G. ZagadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1, Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsels for Respondent Nos.2 and 3, one week time is granted for filing reply. Learned Counsels are directed to supply the copy of reply to Applicant's Counsel one day before the date of hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.182 of 2022</p> <p>A.E. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing it is transpired that the Applicant has not challenged communication dated 09.10.2017 whereby claim for substitution has been rejected. In O.A. challenged is to the subsequent order dated 12.11.2020.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought time to make amendment in O.A. challenging the order dated 09.10.2017 as well as to file M.A. for condonation of delay.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.868 of 2022</p> <p>A.D. Magare & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Additional Affidavit along with communication dated 15.09.2022 issued by the Superintendent of Police, Aurangabad (Rural). In which he stated that Police Personnel will not be accommodated on his establishment after 20.10.2022.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks interim relief <i>inter-alia</i> contending that by the time matter is decided the cut-off date of 20.10.2022 mentioned in the communication dated 15.09.2022 will be over and in that event the very purpose of filing O.A. will be frustrated.</p> <p>4. In view of above, implementation of communication dated 15.09.2022 qua the Applicant is stayed till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 03.11.2022.</p> <p>6. learned P.O. to inform concerned authority accordingly</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.10.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.151 of 2022</p> <p>S.K. Lendave & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.N. Biradar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.10.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.655 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. SarwadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder on behalf of the Applicant. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 04.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.10.2022</p> <p>O.A. No.500 of 2022</p> <p>P.B. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- W* (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.475, 476 & 477 of 2022 in O.A.Nos.786, 787 & 788 of 2022</p> <p>T. D. Pednekar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. R. Gawas, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file reply to Misc. Applications.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 03.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 535 of 2022</p> <p>S. R. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted to file reply. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for final hearing with liberty to file reply on affidavit within one week from today in the office.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">^</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.641 of 2022</p> <p>T. T. Wangade & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.713 of 2022</p> <p>Dr. V.N. Musale & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Shri J. S. Deshmukh, learned Counsel for the Applicant has sent leave note. Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. Misal, learned Counsel for the Respondnet No.4 are present.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. and learned Counsel for Respondent No.4, two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.825 of 2022</p> <p>R. R. DhaktodeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the O.A. and tendered application of the Applicant addressed to him to that effect. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X'.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>4. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.898 of 2022</p> <p>N. S. VaidyaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., one week time granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.10.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.723 of 2022</p> <p>A. M. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ankita Naik holding for Shri I. M. Koparkar/P.S.Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 07.11.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

[P.T.O.]