

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

11.10.2017.

<sup>922</sup>  
O.A No. 902/2017

1. Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Report does not indicate filing of service report. This Tribunal was about to remove the case from Board.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that service report is already filed and has tendered copy of acknowledgement showing the fact that service report is filed.

4. It is seen that service report is filed on 4.10.2017. Copy of affidavit of service showing acknowledgement tendered by learned advocate for the applicant is taken on record.

5. Registrar is directed to inquire as to the circumstances or reasons due to which an entry regarding affidavit of service is not taken on the original farad file and warn/caution officer concerned for failure.

6. Learned Advocate for the applicant argues the case for admission-hearing.

7. In the midst of hearing, learned Presenting Officer states that today he has received instructions from the office of the Director of Medical Education and Research, through Shri Prashant Waghmare, Assistant Superintendent and states that applicant's request for retention in the office of Director of Medical Education and Research is being considered favourably and till the decision is reached applicant will not be relieved.

8. By consent, adjourned to 2.11.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi J.)  
Chairman

Akm

DATE: 11/10/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Advocate: Ajay Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Advocate for the Respondent's: Archana B.K

C.R.O./P.O. for the Respondent's

By consent adjourned

to 2/11/2017.

PUT  
D/E

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere Ld. Adv. holding</u> <u>Amol Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.F.O./P.T. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>30/11/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p><u>11.10.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 941/2017</u></p> <p>Shri U.P. Kambri ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri S.S Dere, holding for Shri Amol Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 30.11.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record</p> <p>8. S.O to 30.11.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, V.) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.634 of 2016

Shri R.M. Lukade ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO prays for time.
3. It is considered necessary to direct the Secretary, Agriculture to examine matter himself and decide as to whether the applicant's case deserves favourable consideration under facts and law. If answer be in affirmative, he shall take suitable action.
4. In case Applicant's claim does not merit any favour he shall be free to oppose the OA by filing reply answering each para and point.
5. In case affidavit is to be filed, it be filed on or before 30.11.2017.
6. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

DATE: 11/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 30/11/17

*sgj*

(sgj)

Sd/-  
(A.H. JOSHI, J.)  
Chairman  
11.10.2017

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <del>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</del></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N. K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><del>Adj. To - Removed from board in view that the affidavit of service is not filed.</del></p> <p><del>C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</del> <del>Shri/Smt. ....</del> <del>Advocate for the Applicant</del> <del>Shri/Smt. ....</del></p> <p><del>APPEARANCE:</del> <del>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</del> <del>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</del></p> <p><del>CORAM:</del> <del>DATE:</del></p>	<p><u>11.10.2017</u></p> <p><u>M.A 346/2017 in O.A No 760/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Removed from board in view that the affidavit of service is not filed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.959 OF 2017****DISTRICT: THANE****M.D. Kedar & Ors.****....Applicants.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri K.G. Salunkhe, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 11.10.2017

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri K.G. Salunkhe, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.11.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Heard on Interim relief. It shall suffice to order that in case selection process is completed, and list of selected candidates is prepared, applicants be given notice of preparation of list and appointments shall not be effected within 10 days from publishing notice and communication thereof to applicants by email for which applicants shall furnish their email id.

9. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to both parties. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

10. S.O. to 10.11.2017.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI****ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.960 OF 2017****DISTRICT: THANE****M.M. Gaikwad & Ors.****....Applicants.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri K.G. Salunkhe, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 11.10.2017

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri K.G. Salunkhe, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.11.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Heard on Interim relief. It shall suffice to order that in case selection process is completed, and list of selected candidates is prepared, applicants be given notice of preparation of list and appointments shall not be effected within 10 days from publishing notice and communication thereof to applicants by email for which applicants shall furnish their email id.

9. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to both parties. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

10. S.O. to 10.11.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

prk



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/2017</u></p> <p>SEEN BY: _____</p> <p>Judicial Officer Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Shri M. K. Kulkarni (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri Megha Shigavan the Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./D.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>M.A. for intervention</u> <u>is allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.10.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.447 of 2017 in M.A.No.438 of 2017 in O.A.No.524 of 2017</p> <p>G.V. Avhad &amp; Anr. ....Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Megha Shigavan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. for intervention is allowed.</p> <p>3. Interveners waive service.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/10/17</u></p> <p>CORAM :</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE :</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K. G. Salunkhe &amp; Co</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>H. K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To : <u>M.A. is allowed.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>HP</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.10.2017.</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.449 of 2017 in O.A.No.960 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>M.M. Gaikwad &amp; Ors. ....Applicant.</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.G. Salunkhe, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.H. Joshi)</b> Chairman</p> <p align="left">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri L. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>J. H. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>30.11.2017</u> <u>Steno copy &amp; hamdast</u> <u>is allowed.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>JK</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.180 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri R.A. Konapure ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that applicant is already removed. In view of this fact, order passed on 11.9.2017 is recalled.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO prays for four weeks time for filing affidavit.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to produce the record of the DE on the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.11.2017.</p> <p>6. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) <i>new</i> Chairman 11.10.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad To <u>OA is accordingly disposed.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.10.2017.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.350 of 2017</b> (Subject : For directions to decide the Appeal)</p> <p><b>B.A. Bandhkar</b> .....Applicant.</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. The said affidavit is affirmed by Shri Shree Kant Singh, Principal Secretary (Appeal and Security), Home Department, Mantralaya. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The explanation furnished in earlier affidavit and today's affidavit is accepted and show cause of costs is dropped.</p> <p>4. In view of decision rendered in appeal, O.A. has become free to challenge the order passed in appeal as advised.</p> <p>5. O.A. is accordingly disposed.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.H. Joshi J.)</b> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./H.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>G.S. Shukla, special</u></p> <p><del>C.P.O./P.O.</del> for the Respondent/s</p> <p>counsel with A.J. Chougule, Ld.P.O.</p> <p>Adj. To <u>for Refs.</u></p> <p>MA is disposed as purpose thereof is accomplished.</p> <p><i>MJE</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.382 of 2017 in O.A. No.43 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.M. Patil ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri G.S. Shukla, Special Counsel with Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. MA is disposed as purpose thereof is accomplished.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 11.10.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>2/11/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>ATE</i></p>	<p><u>11.10.2017</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A No 934/2017</u></p> <p>Shri A.M Tupe ... Applicant</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 2.11.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record</p> <p>8. S.O to 2.11.2017.</p> <p align="right"><i>J</i></p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.H. Joshi, <i>AJ</i>) Chairman</p> <p align="center">Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN \_\_\_\_\_

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasankumar (Member) A.</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>Anil More</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>J.K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. to: <u>Service report is not filed, OA is removed from board.</u></p> <p align="right"><u>prk</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.10.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.669 of 2017 to O.A.No.673 of 2017</p> <p>A.D. Manchekar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anil More, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since service report is not filed, O.A. is removed from board.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.H. Joshi J.)\ Chairman         </p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Judge Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Member Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: <u>K. G. Gokhale</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N. K. Rajpurohit</u></p> <p>C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>M.A. is allowed.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.10.2017.</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.448 of 2017 in O.A.No.959 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>M.D. Kedar &amp; Ors. ....Applicant.</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.G. Salunkhe, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li> <li>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</li> <li>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</li> <li>5. M.A. is allowed.</li> </ol> <p align="right">             Sd/-  <b>(A.H. Joshi J.)</b>  <b>Chairman</b> </p> <p align="left">prk</p>



Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.10.2017.

O.A.No.848 of 2017

Dr. Y.U. Sathe

....Applicant:

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents states on instructions that the competent committee has taken decision that Applicant's appointment cannot be approved in view of the gravity of offences in which applicant has been involved.
3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has tendered copy of minutes of meeting of the said Committee. It is taken on record.
4. On perusal of the minutes it is seen that the Committee consists of seven Senior Officers.
5. Deputy Secretary, Technical Education who is the Secretary of the Committee is directed to file affidavit-in-reply consisting of the following :-
  - (a) List of documents which were placed before the Committee.
  - (b) State whether seven sets of paper book were furnished.
6. Deputy Secretary, Technical Education is directed to furnish one set of documents which was placed before the Committee.
7. For compliance S.O. to 13.10.2017.
8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed to learned C.P.O.. Learned C.P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**11.10.2017**

**O.A No 209/2017**

Shri B.S Chaudhari ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P Manchekar, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer with Shri S.S. Dere, learned for the Respondent no. 1 and Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2. Shri R.D Murkute, learned advocate for the Respondent no. 5, absent.

2. Learned Advocate states that this Original Application be heard as and when D.B becomes available.

3. Learned Advocate for M.P.S.C states that let the interim relief as ordered on 22.8.2017 in para No. 5 be vacated and let the name of selected candidate be sent to Government, subject to outcome of order as may be passed in present O.A.

4. Hence this Tribunal modifies earlier order passed on 2.8.2017 and orders that M.P.S.C shall be free to forward the name of selected candidate to the Government subject to outcome of present O.A.

5. S.O to 16.4.2018, with liberty to circular before due date, if occasion arises.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

DATE: 11/10/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. K.B. Bhise

CPO/P.O. for the Respondent/s

with S.S. Dere for R.No. 1.  
Ajay Deshpande for R.No. 2

Ad. to R.D. Murkute, Adv. for R.No. 5

absent.

S.O. to 16/4/18.

*(Signature)*

*(Signature)*

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 11.10.2017.
<p>DATE : 11/10/2017</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE :</p> <p>Shri/Smt. M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To : Issue notice before admission returnable on 31/11/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.938 of 2017</p> <p>P.G. Julkar .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.11.2017.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record</li> <li>8. S.O. to 03.11.2017.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>As since service report is not filed, O.A. is removed from board.</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.10.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.795 of 2017</p> <p>S.P. Jagtap .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Since service report is not filed, O.A. is removed from board.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) J Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/10/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshkumar (Member) A.</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.A. Gharate holding</u> <u>J.D. Khaimar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryavanshi</u> C.A. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Removed from board</u> <u>in view that the affidavit</u> <u>of service is not filed.</u></p> <p align="right"><u>B.T.</u></p>	<p align="center"><b><u>11.10.2017</u></b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A No 832/2017</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.L Borase &amp; others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Gharate, holding for Shri J.D Khairnar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Removed from board in view that the affidavit of service is not filed.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.H Joshi, J.)            Chairman         </p> <p align="center">Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrur's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>11/10/2017</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : <u>A.D. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To <u>Hence O.A. is admitted.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BT</i></p>	<p>Date : 11.10.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.466 of 2017</p> <p>S.N. Jagtap .....Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, on instructions from the Law Officer of the office of Director General of Police states as follows :-</p> <p>Applicant's suspension period as of day cannot be decided because the Departmental Enquiry in relation to subject matter conducted, is initiated.</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. is admitted.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.H. Joshi J.)            Chairman         </p> <p>prk</p>