O.A.Nos.341/2020(D.B.)&913/2019(S.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman

Dated: 11/01/2021.

Heard Shri N.A.Jachak, the Id. Counsel for the applicant, Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents and Smt. J.A.Alkari holding for Shri H.D.Marathe, the Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2.

- 2. The Id. counsel for the respondent no. 2 submits that provisional pension has been paid for first six months and for further period of provisional pension it has been approved in November. However, there is some technical lacuna for that and there is correspondence between A.G. and Government. The Respondent no. 2 is further directed to depute some officer to solve the issue of technical points with A.G..
- 3. As far as reply is concerned there is inadvertent delay in filing reply and for that only respondent no. 2 is responsible. The respondent no. 2 submits that there is an order from the Government that reply should be approved from the Government. Hence, respondent no. 2 should personally follow up with the Government and ensure that reply should be filed before next date of hearing.
- 4. S.O. two weeks.
- 5. **Steno copy is granted.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/01/2021.

O.A.Nos.384&385/2020 (D.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman

Dated: 11/01/2021.

None for the applicant. Shri A.M.Ghogre, the Id. P.O. for the respondents and Smt. J.A.Alkari, the

ld. counsel for the respondent nos. 2, 4 to 6.

2. As pointed out by Id. P.O. relief clause 9 (A) at P.B., Pg. No. 21 has been already solved for the applicant. Both the counsels agreed for the same. As

relief sought in O.A. in para no. 8 (A) related has

been solved; the O.A. becomes infructuous. Hence,

O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/01/2021.

O.A.Nos.825&826/2020 (D.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman

Dated: 11/01/2021.

C.A.Nos.341 to 344/2020:-

Smt. P.Mahajan, the Id. counsel for the applicant, Shri V.A.Kulkarni, the Id. P.O. for the respondents and Shri R.V.Gahilot, the proposed Intervenor.

- 2. Letter filed by Id. P.O. dated 30.12.2020 by Desk Office, Home Department. The letter is very clear and it admits that as per previous order of Tribunal; applicants have been considered for promotion and revised proposal has been received from Police Headquarters. They have forwarded the proposal to G.A.D. for their approval as per their own policy and after approval necessary order be issued. Only point remains to be decided is that respondents should expedite the process and issue promotion order within thirty days.
- 3. Hence, C.A. Nos. 341/2020 & 343/2020 are allowed. C.A. Nos. 342/2020 & 344/2020 are become infructuous.
- 4. In view of above directions, O.A. Nos. 825/2020 and 826/2020 are allowed with no order as to costs.

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/01/2021.

O.A.No.993/2019 (D.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman

Dated: 11/01/2021.

Shri A.R.Ingole, the Id. counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the

respondents.

2. Today the Id. P.O. files reply for respondent

no. 2. It is taken on record. Copy is supplied to the other side. He further submits that the same reply

will suffice the purpose of the O.A..

3. Hence, the O.A. is admitted and kept for

final hearing.

4. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the

respondents.

5. **S.O. in due course.**

6. Meanwhile, The Id. counsel for the applicant is

at liberty to file Rejoinder. The Id. counsel for the

applicant is further at liberty to circulate the matter

for early hearing as an when the regular D.B. is

available.

Vice Chairman

Date:-11/01/2021.

O.A.No.896/2019 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>:12/01/2021.

Heard Shri G.K.Bhusari holding for Shri S.N.Gaikwad, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, **S.O. 09.02.2021**.

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021. aps.

O.A.No.545/2019 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>: Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>: 12/01/2021.

Heard Shri D.S.Sawarkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

- 2. The reply of Respondent nos. 1, 2 & 4 have been filed on 17.12.2019. As per the records, facts of the case is Sau. Triveni W/o Millind Ramteke who is mother of applicant was doing job as a Staff Nurse in Government Medical College, Nagpur. She expired during the surgical operation of Heart in Seems Hospital, Nagpur on 26/09/2002. She was having behind her one elder daughter name Ku. Sonali M. Ramteke and one younger son name Master Mangesh M. Ramteke.
- 3. Respondents have appointed Ku. Sonali M. Ramteke as Clerk-Cum-Typist, Class-III post as per order dated 05.12.2013 (Annexure-A-10, P.B., Pg. No. 31). After appointment of Ms. Sonali M. Ramteke the question of appointing any other person from family on compassionate ground does not arise. Now, applicant came with the case that in place of Ms. Sonali M. Ramteke applicant may be given chance to be appointed on compassionate ground.
- 4. As per Government policy of compassionate ground appointment; once the appointment is given than there is no chance to substitute him/her either

he/she may be from the blood relation. Hence, it is total illegal.

5. In view of this, nothing survives in this O.A.. Hence, **O.A.** is dismissed with no order as to costs.

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021.

O.A.No.529/2019 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>:12/01/2021.

Heard Shri M.R.Rajgure, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjukar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents. None of respondent no. 3.

2. At the request of Id. P.O., **S.O. 19.01.2021.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021.

O.A.Nos.638, 780, 808, 809 & 812/2020 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman

Dated: 12/01/2021.

Heard Shri M.R.Khan, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the

respondents.

2. The ld. C.P.O. desires some time to file reply, **S.O. three weeks as a last chance.** If reply is not filed within three weeks time, matter will be decided

on merit. **S.O. 02.02.2021.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021.

O.A.No.697/2020 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>: Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>: 12/01/2021.

Heard Shri G.G.Bade, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Sainis, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

- 2. The ld. counsel for the applicant submits that he desires to delete the respondent no. 6, since that office is not existing now. He is permitted to do so. The ld. counsel for the applicant has relied on Judgment in O.A. No. 705/2017 delivered on 03.12.2019 on the lines of parity and justice same relief is granted to these applicants on the condition that they should file representation to the concerned authorities and as order passed in O.A. No. 705/2017 on 03.12.2019 following para nos. 2 & 3 reproduced below:-
- "2. The Id. counsel for the applicants submitted that Van Majoors in the Forest Department who were regularized from 01.06.2012 in pursuant to the G.R. dated 16.10.2012 should count past service, since they were employed to the department on temporary basis for granting pensionary benefits.
- 3. The Id. P.O. pointed out that in G.R. itself the cut-off date has been given as 01.06.2012 on the supernumerary posts were created specifically from that date only. However, the Id. counsel for the applicant is advised to ask the applicant to file representation about his grievance before the

appropriate authority who may consider regularization as per G.Rs. 01.11.1994 and 16.10.2012 together and consider the applicant's grievances sympathetically and disposed of their representations within 8 weeks from the date of receipt of their representations. The Id. counsel for the applicants is also requested to ask the applicant to move the application within one week."

3. The respondents are directed to decide their representations within six weeks from the date of receipt of their representations. With this directions, O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021.

O.A.Nos.414, 415, 416 & 417/2018(S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>: Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>: 12/01/2021.

Heard Shri S.D.Malke, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the respondents.

- 2. **S.O. three weeks.**
- 3. Put up this matter along with O.A. Nos. 629/2018.

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021. aps.

C.A.No.06/2021 in O.A.No.629/2018 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman

Dated: 12/01/2021.

Heard Shri S.D.Malke, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A.Deo, the Id. C.P.O. for the

respondents.

2. C.A. No. 06/2021 for Amendment is

allowed. The Id. counsel for the applicant is directed to carryout the amendment within a week and

supply the copy of the amended portion to the Id.

 $\mbox{P.O.}.$ The office is further directed to issue notice to

the newly added respondent i.e. S.D.O., Wardha.

3. The ld. counsel for the applicant is further

pointed out that O.A. No. 766/2018 is also is of same issue. Office is directed that at the time of next

hearing that matter should also be placed with this

matter.

4. **S.O. three weeks.**

5. Put up this matter along with O.A. Nos. 414,

415, 416 & 417/2018.

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021.

O.A.No.620/2020 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman

Dated: 12/01/2021.

Heard Shri M.R.Khan holding for Shri R.M.Fating, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. The Id. P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the respondent nos. 1 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy is

served to the other side.

3. The ld. P.O. desires some time to file reply of

respondent nos. 1 & 2, **S.O. three weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021.

O.A.No.860/2019 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman

Dated: 12/01/2021.

 $\label{thm:counselfor} \mbox{Heard Shri S.A.Kalbande, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.I.Khan, the Id. P.O. for the $$ (1.5)$ and $$ (2.5)$ are the same of the$

respondents.

2. The ld. P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the

respondent nos. 1 to 4. It is taken on record. Copy is

served to the other side.

3. Hence, the matter is **admitted** and kept for

final hearing.

4. The Id. P.O. waives notices for the

respondents.

5. **S.O. four weeks.**

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021.

O.A.No.113/2020 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>:12/01/2021.

Heard Smt. Saboo, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

At the request of Id. counsel for the applicant, S.O. 20.01.2021.

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021.

O.A.No.437/2020 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>:12/01/2021.

Heard Smt. Saboo, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri H.K.Pande, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

2. **S.O.** three weeks for reply.

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021. aps.

O.A.No.832/2018 (S.B.)

<u>Coram</u>:Shri Shree Bhagwan, Vice Chairman <u>Dated</u>:12/01/2021.

Heard Shri R.V.Shiralkar, the Id. Counsel for the applicant and Shri P.N.Warjukar, the Id. P.O. for the respondents.

As clarified by the Id. counsel for the 2. applicant Shri N.N.Nandanwar was a secondary teacher in some Tribal Ashram School i.e. Kurandimal Ashram School. After retirement of one Shri Gedam on 31.01.2013, he was given additional charge of Head Master from 01.02.2013 which continued till 15.06.2016 as per his suspension order dated 15/07/2013 (Annexure-A-1, P.B., Pg. No. 10) in the first paragraph it is mentioned by the respondents. The Id. P.O. submits that the charge against the applicant was that after delivery of the child by girl Ms. Rupali Vishwanath Kirange he didn't inform to the higher authorities i.e. the only mistake lavelled against the applicant and respondents came to know this facts through press, N.G.O. etc.. But at the same time it was the duty of the Lady Superintendent of the hostel to communicate to the Headmaster and so also administration too. Secondly, what role was played by the Lady Superintendent of the girls hostel who is supposed to maintain menstrual cycle register of all girls of hostel. Whether Lady Superintendent took any action at appropriate time or not? Why action has not been taken against the said Lady Superintendent

and the then Headmaster i.e. Shri K.G.Gedam who was incharge during July/August 2012. It is also not enquired that whether the said girl i.e. Ku. Rupali Vishwanath Kirange had been on leave to her home village during July/August 2012 and whether this incident took place during that period and outside the school premises or not? These aspects need to be enquired upon. In whole issue it appears that the main culprit is the then Headmaster and Lady Superintendent of the hostel. It seems that under pressure from Social Media/ N.G.O./ News paper the respondents have passed the order against the applicant and there is no any adverse order against the then Headmaster/ Lady Superintendent. The respondents are directed to do the proper enquiry in this matter, go in the depth of the whole issue and give the punishment to the culprit one who is responsible for this pregnancy.

3. The ld. counsel for the applicant has invited my attention on P.B., Pg. No. 5 para no. "A" of the O.A. where it is mentioned that applicant has given information about the pregnancy of Ku. Rupali Kirange to the project Officer, Gadchiroli as the fact came to his knowledge by report dated 06.04.2013. And it was also placed before the disciplinary authority and appellate authority. It seems that it was not the case that applicant didn't informed the higher authorities after taking charge of Headmaster on 01.02.2013, when that fact was brought to his knowledge by Lady Superintendent or by any other source, he reported to the Project Officer, about the pregnancy of the girl Ms. Rupali Kirange but he could not have stopped the delivery of the girl who gave

birth to a child on 24.04.2013 for which he has been suspended.

4. All these circumstances clearly compel me to notice dated say that show cause 15.07.2013(Annexure-A-1, P.B., Pg. No. 10) and chargesheet dated 14.03.2014 (Annexure-A-2, P.B., Pg. No. 11) and punishment order dated 24.02.2016 (Annexure-A-7, P.B., Pg., No. 25) by respondent no. 3 and order passed by respondent no. 2 dated 06.03.2018 (Annexure-A-9, P.B., Pg. No. 33) are total baseless and bad in law and require to be quashed and set aside. In view of these circumstances, following order:-

ORDER

- The order dated 15.07.2013 (Annexure-A-1, P.B., Pg. No. 10), order dated 14.03.2014 (Annexure-A-2, P.B., Pg. No. 11), order dated 24.02.2016 (Annexure-A-7, P.B., Pg. No. 25) and order dated 06.03.2018 (Annexure-A-9, P.B., Pg. No. 33) are quashed and set aside.
- Respondents are directed to conduct fair enquiry of whole issue and punish the culprit.
- 3. Since the suspension order has been quashed, so period of suspension i.e. from 15.07.2013 to 14.03.2014 should be treated as duty period for all the purposes and applicant should be paid salary for that period.
- 4. With the above directions, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Vice Chairman

Date:-12/01/2021.

Rev. 12/2020 in O.A. 211/2020 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member(J)

Dated: 11/01/2021.

None for the applicant. Shri A.P. Potnis, learned P.O. for respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, **S.O. in due course.**

Member (J)

Rev. 32/2019 in O.A. 433/2016 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member(J)

Dated: 11/01/2021.

Heard Shri D.S. Sawarkar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri S.A. Sainis, learned P.O. for respondents.

- 2. Respondent No. 3 has filed his affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
- 3. The present matter is admitted and it be kept for final hearing <u>in due course.</u>

Member (J)

O.A. 870/2020 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member(J)

Dated: 11/01/2021.

None for the applicant. Shri S.A. Sainis, learned P.O. for respondents.

- 2. The applicant has not collected the notices of respondent Nos. 2 to 4.
- 3. As none present for the applicant, **S.O. after three weeks.**

Member (J)

O.A. Nos. 871, 872, 873 & 874 all of 2020 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member(J)

Dated: 11/01/2021.

Heard Shri V.R. Borkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and S/shri P.N. Warjurkar, A.P. Potnis, M.I. Khan & V.A. Khadatkar, learned P.Os. for respective respondents in respective O.As.

2. At the request of learned P.Os., <u>S.O.</u> <u>after three weeks</u> for filing affidavit in reply in all these O.As.

Member (J)

O.A. 214/2020 (S.B.)

Coram: Shri A.D. Karanjkar, Member(J)

Dated: 11/01/2021.

Heard the applicant in person Shri H.K. Pande, learned P.O. for respondents.

2. The learned P.O. is directed to give information as to what decision is taken by the respondent No. 1 on letter dated 02.08.2018.

3. **S.O. to 21.01.2021.**

Member (J)