

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.09.2024

O.A. No.659 of 2023 with O.A. No. 660 of 2023

S.G. Beldar & Ors.,

N.K. Zanke & Ors.,

.....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned PO states that he will file 'Short 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Chief Conservator of Forests (Territorial), Nashik'.

3. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that all Applicants have been transferred to posts of 'Forest Guards' in areas under 'PESA Act : 1996' within 'Shahapur Forest Division' of Thane District by Order dated 02.08.2024 of 'Chief Conservator of Forest, (Territorial) Thane'. The Applicants have since joined on their posts of 'Forest Guards'.

4. Learned Advocate for Applicant states that Applicant by name of Shri Madhav Kisan Kamble in O.A. No. 659/2023 still has grievance relating to non-consideration of his 'Options' on grounds of being an 'Ex-Serviceman' as per 'Policy Guidelines' in GAD GR dated 21.11.2022.

5. Learned Advocate for Applicant further states that Applicant by name of Smt. Natasha Kailash Zanke, in O.A. No. 660/2023 has also requested to be transferred as per her 'Options' to convenient location in consideration of 'Safety' & 'Security' during travel being 'Single Woman'.

6. The 'Chief Conservator of Forest, (Territorial) Thane' to consider their grievances if so made by way of 'Fresh Representations' and take appropriate decision with an 'Open Mind' within next 'Four Weeks'.

7. The grievances of all Applicants in both (a) O.A. No. 659/2023 and (b) O.A. No. 660/2023 now stand redressed. Hence (a) O.A. No. 659/2023 and (b) O.A. No. 660/2023 are disposed off. No Order as to Cost.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date: 11.09.2024</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No.653 of 2024 (DB)</p> <p>G.S. WadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant in O.A. No. 653/2024 states that Applicant could not be sent for 'Training Programme' of 'Police Constables' because his appointment from 'Sports Category' is held up due to undue delay; as 'Commissioner of Sports and Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' has still not forwarded report to 'Appointing Authority' viz. 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' within 'Two Weeks' as per directions given in 'Para 4(b)' of 'Order' dated 22.07.2024.</p> <p>3. The 'Commissioner of Sports and Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' should have submitted the report to 'Appointing Authority' viz 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' in 'Two Weeks' from 22.07.2024 as per directions given in 'Para 4(b)' of 'Order' dated 22.07.2024.</p> <p>4. The learned Advocates for Applicant thereupon reiterates that undue delay in appointment of Applicant as 'Police Constable' from 'Sports Category' in establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' may result in his not being permitted later on to join 'Training Programme' which is to be begin from 19.09.2024.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. The Applicant will be appointed as 'Police Constable' by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' as 'Appointing Authority' only if he is found eligible from 'Sports Category'; which in turn would be based on report to be submitted by 'Commissioner of Sports & Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.

6. The 'Commissioner of Sports and Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' therefore to submit report without fail within 'One Week' about eligibility of 'Applicant' from 'Sports Category' to 'Appointing Authority' viz 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.

7. The 'Appointing Authority' viz 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' thereupon to take appropriate decision within 'One Week' about appointment of Applicant from 'Sports Category' to post of 'Police Constable'.

8. The copy of this 'Order' dated 11.09.2024 must also to be forwarded for information to 'Additional DG Training & Special Squad, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' with respect to issue raised about 'Training Programme' of 'Police Constable' which is to be begin from 19.09.2024.

9. S.O. to 25.09.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

AGR

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date: 11.09.2024 Tribunal's orders</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No. 629 of 2024 with O.A. No. 635 of 2024, O.A. No. 645 of 2024, O.A. No. 646 of 2024 & O.A. No. 731 of 2024 (DB)</p> <p>A.R. Shinde & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri T.A. Quadri, learned Advocate present on behalf of Applicant in O.A. No. 629/2024 through 'Video Conference'. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate present on behalf of Applicants in OAs No. 645/2024, 646/2024 & 731/2024. Shri V.S. Kadam, learned Advocate is not present on behalf of Applicant in O.A. No. 635/2024. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer alongwith Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer present on behalf of Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicants in OAs No. 645/2024, 646/2024 & 731/2024 states that Applicants could not be sent for 'Training Programme' of 'Police Constables' because their appointments from 'Sports Category' are held up due to undue delay; as 'Commissioner of Sports and Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' has still not forwarded report to 'Appointing Authority' viz. 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' within 'Two Weeks' as per directions given in 'Para 8(b)' of 'Order' dated 08.07.2024.</p> <p>3. Learned CPO states that Applicants had appeared before 'Commissioner of Sports and Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' for 'Personal Hearing' by 23.07.2024. Thus report about their eligibility under 'Sports Category' for appointment to posts of 'Police Constables' would be submitted soon to 'Appointing Authority' viz. 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.</p>
--	---

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. The learned Advocates for Applicants thereupon reiterates that undue delay in appointment of Applicants as 'Police Constables' from 'Sports Category' in the establishment of 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' may result in their not being permitted later to join 'Training Programme' which is to be begin from 19.09.2024.

5. The 'Commissioner of Sports and Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' should have submitted the report to 'Appointing Authority' viz 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' in 'Two Weeks' from 08.07.2024 as per directions given in 'Para 8(b)' of 'Order' dated 08.07.2024.

6. The Applicants will be appointed as 'Police Constables' by 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' as 'Appointing Authority' only if they are found eligible from 'Sports Category'; which in turn would be based on report to be submitted by 'Commissioner of Sports & Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Mumbai'.

7. The 'Commissioner of Sports and Youth Services, Maharashtra State, Pune' therefore to submit report without fail within 'One Week' about eligibility of 'Applicants' from 'Sports Category' to 'Appointing Authority' viz 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai'.

8. The 'Appointing Authority' viz 'Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai' thereupon to take appropriate decision within 'One Week' about appointment of Applicants from 'Sports Category' to posts of 'Police Constables'.

9. The copy of this 'Order' dated 11.09.2024 must also to be forwarded for information to 'Additional DG Training & Special Squad, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' with respect to issue raised about 'Training Programme' of 'Police Constables' which is to be begin from 19.09.2024.

10. S.O. to 25.09.2024

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 11.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.297 of 2024</p> <p>J.M. TupatApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Learned PO mentions that 'ACP (HQ)' is present on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Mira Bhayander'. Learned PO then states that there are some documents which had not been attached with 'Affidavit-in-Reply' filed on 11.03.2024 which relate to the transfer of Applicant. Learned PO accordingly seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file these documents along with 'Additional Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of 'Commissioner of Police, Mira Bhayander'. Hence 'Two Weeks' time is granted to learned PO. S.O. to 24.09.2024. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.463 of 2024 (DB)</p> <p>S.M. Dabade & Ors.,Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2024</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.848 of 2022 with O.A. No. 997 of 2022 (DB)</p> <p>V.B. Saravade A.T. JosephApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned PO files 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent in O.A. No. 997/2022. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned PO seeks time to file 'Affidavit-in-Reply' on behalf of Respondent in O.A. No. 848/2022. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.09.2024.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1119 of 2024 (DB)</p> <p>Rachana R. RatnaparkhiApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A.B. Chalak, learned Advocate for the Applicant through 'Video Conference' and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. 'Interim Relief' to continue till 'Next Date'.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.1586 of 2023 (DB)</p> <p>S.T. KatkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri R.B. Khot, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant files 'Affidavit-in-Rejoinder'. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2024</p> <p>O.A. No.617 of 2024 (DB)</p> <p>B.K. GuleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for Applicant seeks 'Two Weeks' time to file M.A for amendment to O.A. No. 617/2024. Time of 'Two Weeks' granted to learned Advocate for Applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.09.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>AGR</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.567 of 2024 in O.A. No.1168 of 2024</u></p> <p>A.H. Holkar & 12 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 11.9.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.566 of 2024 in O.A. No.1167 of 2024</u></p> <p>Y.A. Naik & 6 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 11.9.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.As. No.1167 & 1168 of 2024</u></p> <p>Y.A. Naik & 6 Ors. A.H. Holkar & 12 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants refers to 'Circular' dated 1.8.2024 issued by 'Additional Director General of Police, Training and Special Squad, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' regarding filling up of 100 Posts' of 'Reserve PSI' for the years 2024-2027 as per provisions of 'Maharashtra Police Manual Part-I Rule 183 as amended by 'Correction Slip' No.122.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants then states that this recruitment for posts of 100 Posts' of 'Reserve PSI' is being done after a period of almost 10 years and also there are no 'Recruitment Rules' of Home Department under 'Article 309' of the Constitution of India.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants then refers to unpublished draft recruitment rules of 'Reserve PSI' wherein it is mentioned that the eligibility would be 10 years of service of 'Police Constables' or higher post or more. Then reference is also made to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <u>Union of India Vs. V. Ramakrishnan & Ors. AIR 2005 SSC 4295.</u></p> <p>5. Ld. CPO states that the 'Circular' dated 1.8.2024 issued by 'Additional Director General of Police, Training and Special Squad, Maharashtra State, Mumbai' had given adequate time to Applicants to challenge the criteria of requirement of 4 years' service on the post of 'Police Head Constable', when the 'Schedule' for acceptance of the 'Application Form' was included in the 'Circular' dated 1.8.2024. The 'Application Forms' are to be submitted to</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>office of 'DGP, Mumbai' by 15.9.2024 and the examination is scheduled to be held on 20.9.2024.</p> <p>6. Ld. CPO, therefore, mentions that some time is required to ascertain the factual aspects about the proposed recruitment to 100 posts of Reserve PSI which is based on the provisions of 'Maharashtra Police Manual Part-I Rule 183' as amended by 'Correction Slip' No.122.</p> <p>7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 12.9.2024. To be shown under the caption of 'Urgent Admission'. Both the OAs are tagged together.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) 11.9.2024</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1169 of 2024</u></p> <p>D.V. Dubal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant states that the Applicant is seeking appointment to the post of 'Police Constable' from 'SEBC Category' in the establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Satara'.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant further states that Applicant is being denied appointment on the ground that there are no available post of 'Police Constable' in 'SEBC Category' as '2 Posts' from 'Orphan Category' – 'Non Institution' has been filled up by 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' which is against the GR dated 6.4.2023 issued by the Women & Child Welfare Department.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant thereupon states that as per GR dated 6.4.2023 issued by the Women & Child Welfare Department the ratio of posts under 'Orphan Category' from 'Institution' & 'Non-institution' is to be maintained as 1:1.</p> <p>5. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant therefore states that out of 2 Posts for 'Orphan Category' only one post of 'Police Constable' for 'Non Institution' can be filled up and other post of 'Police Constable' is required to be filled up from 'SEBC Category'.</p> <p>6. The case of the Applicant is for appointment to the post of 'Police Constable' from 'SEBC Category' in the establishment of 'Superintendent of Police, Satara'. Therefore, at this stage it is necessary to grant Interim Relief</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

to the Applicant by directing the 'Superintendent of Police, Satara' to keep 'One Post' of 'Police Constable' vacant in the 'SEBC Category'. However, there will be no impediment to fill 'One Post' of 'Police Constable' in the 'Orphan Category' from 'Non Institutional'; based on the 'Merit List'.

7. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.9.2024. The respondents are directed to file reply.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)

Member (A)

11.9.2024

(sgj)