

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 219/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.K Kute Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Deskhule, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the Respondent State is in the process of implementing the judgment of this Tribunal dated 1.8.2022 in O.A 1009/2021. Shri Sandeep B. Kamble Vs. Government of Maharashtra & Ors in respect of the present applicant. Learned C.P.O therefore seeks two weeks' time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 3.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 564/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Shelke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for hearing on 13.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.880 of 2023 to O.A. No.885 of 2023 with O.A. No.888 of 2023 with O.A. No.1033 of 2023 with O.A. No.1034 of 2023 with O.A. No. 983 of 2023 with O.A. No.1017 of 2023 with O.A. No. 887 of 2023 with O.A. No. 958 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. P.G. Kulkarni Dr. S.M.K. Borate A.H. Meshram Dr. U.N. Khemani Dr. J.T. Sankpal Dr. V.K. Ambore Dr. M.S. Umate Dr. B.A. Verma Dr.U.Rajwade @ Dr.U.R. Badole C.D. Hathial D.R. Katke Dr. R.V. Deshpande Dr. S.V. MahadeshwarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, Shri K.R. Jagdale and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, Shri A.J. Chougule and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. produces an 'Office Order' dated 08.09.2023 of Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai wherein Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors who are before the Tribunal and had been granted 'Interim Relief' have now been allowed to draw their 'Salary and Allowances' from the 'Date of Joining' as communicated by respective 'Heads of the Departments and Professors' to the 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai', but not from the 'Date of Interim Relief' granted by the Tribunal.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>3. 'Interim Relief' granted in all these O.As. therefore are still not being fully implemented in letter and spirit by the 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai' so as to enable the Applicants to receive 'Salary and Allowances' due to them upto 31.08.2023. The 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai' should therefore modify the 'Office Order' dated 08.09.2023 enabling the Applicants to draw their 'Salary and Allowances' from the 'Date of Interim Relief' upto 31.08.2023. The officer present on behalf of 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai' states that bills of 'Salary and Allowances' have now been prepared for all Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professors from the 'Date of Joining' as per reports submitted by respective 'Head of Departments and Professors' to the 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai' and these will be forthwith submitted to 'Pay and Accounts Office'. However, as directed above, the bills for payment of the 'Salary and Allowances' for earlier period from 'Date of Interim Relief' to the 'Date of Joining' conveyed to 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai' should also be prepared within One Week and forthwith submitted to 'Pay and Accounts Office.' The 'Dean, Grant Medical College, Mumbai' is directed to then inform the Tribunal on the next date about submission of all bills of 'Salary and Allowances' payable to the Professors, Associate Professors and Assistant Professor from the 'Date of Interim Relief' upto 31st August, 2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1129 of 2023</p> <p>T.N. DaundkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Bhavesh Bhatia, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandesh Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 is present and files his 'Vakalatnama'.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. on behalf of Respondent No.1 produces letter dated 08.09.2023 and submits that the order of 'Interim Relief' given by the Tribunal on 31.08.2023 has been implemented by the Respondent No.1 and accordingly the Applicant is allowed to continue on the post of Chief Accounts & Finance Officer, Transport undertaking, Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation (NMMC).</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on behalf of Respondent No.1 further states that the proposal of the Municipal Commissioner, NMMC, the Respondent No.2 submitted on 12.07.2023 was duly considered by the C.S.B., before orders were issued on 11.08.2023 to post Applicant on promotion to the post of 'Deputy Director & Under Secretary, Relief and Rehabilitation Department'.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. on instruction from Shri T.R. Pawar, Under Secretary, Finance Department who is present on behalf of Respondent No.1 also states that they are yet to issue 'Formal Order' for 'Cancellation of Promotion' granted to the Applicant to the Cadre of 'Deputy Director, Maharashtra Finance & Accounts Services'. Respondent No.1 is directed to file reply preferably within One Week with respect to refusal by Applicant to accept promotion to the cadre of 'Deputy Director, Maharashtra Finance & Accounts Services'.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 18.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.761 of 2021</u></p> <p>V.P. Bhoir ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. S.E. Ambhore-Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files her written notes of arguments.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.935 of 2023</u></p> <p>K.B. Khedkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondents are directed to produce the file for perusal of the Court.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.390 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.K. Waghela & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 4 and Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Applicants and their advocate are absent.</p> <p>3. Last opportunity given to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants to withdraw the matter and in case he fails to do so the matter will be closed for orders.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1077 of 2023</u></p> <p>S.T. Deshmukh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO to take instructions whether the matter has been placed before the suspension review committee and inform the Tribunal if any decision has been taken.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.574 of 2023 in O.A. No.1151 of 2023

J.D. Dange & 4 Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medhā Gadgil)

Member (A)

11.9.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1152 of 2023</u></p> <p>B.M. Gosavi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1158 of 2023</u></p> <p>A.J. Chikprikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 4.10.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.395 of 2023 in O.A. No.556 of 2023

P.W. Renuse & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.
3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that since the issue of Time Bound Promotion is a continuous cause of action, there is no delay.
4. S.O. to 18.9.2023 by way of last chance.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
11.9.2023

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.568 of 2023 in O.A. No.624 of 2023

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
P.S. Kavate ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.

2. This MA has been filed by the State seeking extension of time for implementation of the order dated 12.7.2023 passed by this Tribunal in the above OA whereby respondents were directed to determine the amount due and payable to the applicants as claimed in prayer clause 9(a) and amount found payable shall be paid within a month from the date of the order.

3. Ld. PO submits that original respondents seek extension of three months for complying with the order of this Tribunal. He states that they are in process. However, certain objections have been raised by the Pay and Accounts Office to which they are complying.

4. Ld. Advocate for the original applicant opposes the same and states that MA for extension of time is filed on 1.9.2023 which is one month after a period of expiry which was granted for complying the order and if extension is granted it would amount to dilution of the original order.

5. I have considered the facts and in view of the genuine difficulty faced by the department for compliance, I grant three weeks time from today to comply the order. MA disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
11.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.278 of 2023</u></p> <p>R.K. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces copy of order dated 1.9.2023 and submits that regularization of the leave period has been decided by the Social Justice & Special Assistance Department on 24.7.2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO is directed to file affidavit to that effect.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.528 of 2023</u></p> <p>I.B. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has been reinstated on 16.6.2023.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO is directed to take instructions whether any decision has been taken regarding treatment of suspension period as duty period.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.943 of 2023</u>
	<p>H.B. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p>
	<p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Respondent no.3.</p>
	<p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that Govt. has not followed circular of GAD dated 5.9.2018 regarding granting of additional charge.</p>
	<p>3. Shri Ashok Mande, Deputy Secretary, Higher & Technical Education Department, Mantralaya is present in the Court and states that decision regarding giving additional charge of Joint Director (Administration) was taken at the level of Hon'ble Minister relying on the criteria of GR dated 3.11.2022. He states that decision to this effect was taken by committee headed by retired ACS, Higher & Technical Education Department and administrative exigencies and report was submitted to the department who in turn submitted to the Hon'ble Minister.</p>
	<p>4. Respondent no.1 is directed to file affidavit as to how a decision granting of additional charge to the post of Joint Director was taken along with record. Dy. Secretary is also directed to point out whether different procedure is followed for giving additional charge to Joint Director (Administration) and Regional Joint Director.</p>
	<p>5. S.O. to 27.9.2023.</p>
	<p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023</p>
	<p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1151 of 2023</u></p> <p>J.D. Dange & 4 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants prays for interim relief restraining the respondents from recovering the amount from pay and allowances of the applicants already paid to the applicants in consonance with the GR dated 6.4.1998 towards risk allowance for having worked with Bomb Detection and Disposal Unit. Ld. Advocate submits that applicants are Class III employees and will face considerable financial hardship.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO opposes grant of interim relief.</p> <p>4. <u>Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice, the recovery is stayed till next date.</u></p> <p>5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.9.2023. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within **seven days** or service report on affidavit is not filed **three days** before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "**For Dismissal**" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadga)
Member (A)
11.9.2023

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1169 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. Supe,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he is working as 'Joint Secretary, Food & Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department' since 02.01.2023 whereas, Respondent No.3 is working as 'Deputy Secretary, Finance Department' since 30.06.2023. The Respondent No.3 has been posted on 08.09.2023 in place of the Applicant as Deputy Secretary, Food & Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department. File noting of the proposal prepared that the G.A.D. had very clearly indicated that both the Applicant and the Respondent are not eligible to be transferred and this was specifically mentioned while submitting proposal to the 'Competent Authority'. Further, it is a fact that the Applicant is also due for retirement on 31.12.2023. Therefore the Applicant should have been allowed to be continued on the post of 'Joint Secretary, Food & Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department' till his Date of Retirement on 31.12.2023 in accordance with the provision of Section 5(1)(a) of 'Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Transfer Act 2005' for brevity).</p> <p>3. The Tribunal is of the view that the Applicant has been transferred from the post of 'Joint Secretary, Food & Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department' within One Year of his Date of Retirement on 31.12.2023 as such the provisions Section 5(1)(a) of 'Transfer Act 2005' stand contravened. Thus <i>prima-facie</i> the Applicant deserves to be granted 'interim Relief'. Hence, 'Interim</p>

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Relief' is granted to the Applicant and he is permitted to continue on the post of Joint Secretary, Food & Civil Supplies and Consumer Protection Department till further Orders. However, the Respondents No.1 are at liberty to submit information on Next Date if there are other instances of Joint Secretary / Deputy Secretary of any other Administrative Departments who have been recently transferred within One Year of their 'Date of Retirement' but particularly if they have been transferred within around 'One Quarter' viz Three Months away from their 'Date of Retirement' and accordingly Respondent No.1 can seek vacation of the 'Interim Relief'.

4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.09.2023.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
10. Learned P.O. requested for time to file Affidavit-in-Reply. Time as prayed is granted.
11. S.O. to 25.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.09.2023

O.A. No.897 of 2023

Dr. A.R. PhadnisApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that she has carried out an amendment in the O.A. as compliance of the Order issued by Public Health Department on 28.08.2023.

3. Learned P.O. however contends that the amended copy of the O.A. is still not received by the P.H.D. and seeks some time. Learned P.O. is granted time of One week.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant however urges that 'Interim Relief' be granted to the implementation of the Order dated 28.08.2023, wherein 'Para 5' it has been stated that the Applicant may be liable to precede against some Administrative/ Disciplinary Action for delay in joining post of 'Assistant Director, Health Services (Human Organ Transplant)', Mumbai. In view of above, Respondents are directed that in the intermediate period not to initiate any Administrative/ Disciplinary Action against the Applicant. However, it is made clear that there is no 'Interim Relief' to the Order dated 28.08.2023 of Public Health Department.

5. S.O. to 18.09.2023.

Sd/-
(Debashi Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.09.2023

O.A. No.1098 of 2023

S.M. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. states that the School Education and Sports Department by Order dated 11.09.2023 has 'Sou-Moto' cancelled its earlier orders dated 18.08.2023 *fax* Applicant as well as Respondent No.2.

3. Learned C.P.O. further stated that in view of above, as nothing survives in this O.A. it be disposed off. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also has No Objection to the O.A. being disposed off. Hence O.A. is disposed of with No Order as to Costs.

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 07.09.2023

O.A.No.1106 of 2023

P. N. PuraneApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.09.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 25.09.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

Date : 07.09.2023

O.A.No.1164 of 2023

N. R. Raut

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. P. Warunjikar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.10.2023.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

8. S.O. to 09.10.2023.

Sd/-

Sd/-
(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

W.C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or objections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1139 of 2023 with M.A.No.533 of 2023 in O.A.No.670 of 2023</p> <p>Dr. S. K. Phule @ S. M. GawdeApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Desai, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.4 and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.5. Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.670/2023 and S. P. Machekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that as per order passed by this Tribunal on 07.09.2023, he has amended the prayer and pleading in the O.A. which is annexed at page no.15-A.</p> <p>3. Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.5 seeks time to file reply to the amended O.A.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 25.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>vsm</p>

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A/C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 11.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1161 of 2023</p> <p>P. G. RautApplicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Versus</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. S. Gaikwad, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this O.A., the Applicant has challenged the selection process for the post of Assistant Director, Town Planning, Maharashtra Town Planning and Valuation Department, Group A) pursuant to advertisement no.3/2022, dated 28.01.2022 conducted by the Respondent No.3. As per the advertisement, there were in all 12 total posts out of that 4 posts are for Woman Category, out of which, 2 posts which reserved for Open Female Category and 1 post is reserved for OBC Female Category. The Applicant challenges the results dated 02.06.2023 and 10.08.2023 and seeks direction to Respondent No.3 to quash and set aside recommendation made in respect of Respondent No.4 and further be directed to recommend the name of Applicant and revised the list of result. She further seeks direction to Respondent No.2 - Urban Development to appoint the her on the post of Assistant Director, Town Planning, Maharashtra Town Planning and Valuation Dept., Group-A.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant tenders Service Affidavit on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 3, he is directed to file same in the office. Learned Advocate further submits that due to non-availability of address of Respondent No.4, the copy of Service Affidavit could not be served.
4. Respondent No.3- M.P.S.C. is directed to furnish the address of Respondent No.4, if the Applicant approaches.
5. The office objection, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.09.2023.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original/ Miscellaneous Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed.

11. S.O. to 18.09.2023.

Sd/-

(Debashish Chakrabarty)
Member (A)

vsm

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.872 of 2023</p> <p>A.A. PawarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. to seek instruction from the Food and Drugs Administration Department whether they are willing to consider the request of the Applicant for the 'Vacant Post' of Food Safety Officer, Sangli.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.289 of 2023 with O.A. No.477 of 2023 with O.A. No.541 of 2023 with O.A. No.595 of 2023 with O.A. No.878 of 2023</p> <p>Y.R. Gayakwad G.S. Ghube R.R. Sapkal & Ors., R.S. Gawali B.K. MandlikApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents along with Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that he will file Affidavit-in-Reply during the course of the day as Para-wise comments are received in O.A. No.477/2023. Statement is accepted. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that similar O.As in which Tribunal has passed an Order has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the same will be heard by the Hon'ble High Court on 11.09.2023.</p> <p>4. Hence, this O.A. is kept along with other similar matters and will be heard on 13.09.2023.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <u>(Debashish Chakrabarty)</u> Member (A)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1030 of 2023</p> <p>K.J. BhosaleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1099 of 2023</p> <p>B.A. Kute & Anrs.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that similar O.As in which Tribunal has passed an Order has been challenged before the Hon'ble High Court and the same will be heard by the Hon'ble High Court on 11.09.2023.</p> <p>3. Hence, this O.A. is kept along with other similar matters and will be heard on 13.09.2023.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.09.2023.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 714/2023</u></p> <p>Shri M.A Hajari Applicant Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply. Learned counsel for the applicant files affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 9.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.09.2023</p> <p>M.A.No.578/2023 in O.A.No.625/2021 with Caveat No.57/2023 in O.A.No.625/2021</p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is noticed that the order dated 27.06.2023 is not complied till date.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. on instructions has submitted that Respondent will comply the order within a week.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 684/2023</u></p> <p>Ms M.D Mohite Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. No reply is filed. Matter to proceed without reply.</p> <p>3. S O to 3.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (Mridala Bhaskar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 839/2023</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Kekane Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant challenges the order dated 10.2.2023, passed by Respondent no. 4, debarring the applicant permanently from appearing in all the examinations.</p> <p>3. The applicant who is working as a Police Constable has appeared for the MPSC examination for the post of P.S.I through Limited Departmental Pre-Examination 2021. Learned counsel submitted that new advertisement is issued on 8.9.2023 for the post of P.S.I and the last date for filling up the Form is 3.10.2023 and hence he requested for urgent hearing.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O request for time to file reply.</p> <p>5. S.O to 25.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>11.09.2023</p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 35/2023 in O.A 1017/2021</u></p> <p>Shri C.N Gawande Applicant Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the filed is submitted to MPSC on 23.8.2023 and approval of MPSC is awaited.</p> <p>3. In view of the above matter adjourned to 3.10.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) Akn</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 823/2023</u></p> <p>Shri P.B Taware Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, submits that he has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of Respondent No. 4 and he seeks two weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S O to 25.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 815/2023</u></p> <p>B.R Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.F.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit. Place for final hearing on 9.10.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) <small>Akn</small></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhaskar, J.) Chairperson</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.499/2022 in O.A.No.31/2022</p> <p>Y.B. WaghApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 25.09.2023. Place for Final Hearing. Both M.A. and O.A. will be heard together. FOB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.09.2023</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.79/2022 in O.A.No.605/2022</p> <p>Dr. B.T. KarvekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 18.09.2023.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ /</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.62/2023 in O.A.No.194/2022</p> <p>S.A. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate has stated that he will file rejoinder during the course of the day. It be taken no record. Copy be served upon the concerned.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 25.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <i>(Signature)</i> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.09.2023

C.A.No.32/2023 in O.A.No.496/2020

A.B. Baviskar & Ors.Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has submitted that Contemnor No.2 is present today.

3. We hereby add Principal Secretary, Forest Department as Respondent No.3 in the array of Respondents, as Respondents No.1 and 2 are coming under this Department.

4. It is noticed that the file is not moving fast for the compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal.

5. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.10.2023 on behalf of Respondent No.3.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

11. Adjourned to 09.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.7/2023 with O.A.No.1012/2016 with O.A.No.1013/2016 with O.A.No.763/2017 With C.A.No.11/2023 with O.A.No.1013/2016 with O.A.No.642/2016 with O.A.Nos.764 to 766/2017</p> <p>S.S. Mirgal & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. P Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. states that during the course of the day reply will be filed.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. has submitted that in the year 2019 the Department has taken decision and made clear that the period of temporary service cannot be considered for Time Bound Promotion as specific directions were not given by the Hon'ble Tribunal in its order dated 08.11.2019. Learned P.O. has submitted that the 1st Time Bound Promotion is already granted to the Applicant in the year 2005 wherein the Applicants regular service was considered from 1993.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 22.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 412/2023</u></p> <p>Shri B.P Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that the Enquiry Officer has submitted his report on 21.8.2023 and the applicant is held partially guilty for the charges levelled against him. The copy of the Enquiry Report is furnished to the applicant on 30.8.2023 and opportunity is given to submit his say.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 18.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1190/2022</u></p> <p>Shri N.A Bankar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swti Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks time as the issue is pending before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court.</p> <p>3. S.O to 23.10.2023.</p> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>11.09.2023</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1255/2022</u></p> <p>Bhagwat R. Bighol ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.L Adbe, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O to 25.9.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.617/2022 in O.A.No.1060/2022</p> <p>J.V. SalunkheApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.S. Ayubi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay in filing O.A.</p> <p>3. Applicant was appointed on 15.12.1992 as Police Constable in Traffic Department. He was made permanent on 15.12.1995 and so he is entitled for full pension and other benefits. On 12.08.2003 FIR was registered against him under Section 7, 13(1)(d), r/w 13(2) under Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate has submitted that the services of the Applicant was terminated by order dated 20.04.2007. The Applicant expired on 28.03.2011. He was acquitted on 01.02.2019. O.A. was filed on 09.11.2022. As the date of acquittal is to be taken as a cause of action for filing O.A. Applicant is requesting setting aside the dismissal order on account of acquittal from the Hon'ble High Court on 01.02.2019 and the period of limitation got over on 01.02.2020. Learned Advocate has further prayed that according to the Applicant there is delay of 2 years. Learned Advocate has submitted that the delay is caused on account of COVID-19 pandemic and so also she is unable to look after herself and then approach the Legal Aid Services Authority to put up her grievance.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. while opposing this O.A. has submitted that the period of limitation was over prior to COVID-19 pandemic as the lock down was declared on 20.03.2020. Thus, there is already delay of one month and 20 days. Subsequently, it was extended upto March, 2022 and thereafter 10 months. Thereafter the Applicant filed this O.A. Thus, there is delay of nearly one year.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Applicant is a widow of a Government servant. She has also approached Legal Aid Services Authority. Considering the period of service and so also the difficulties faced by the Applicant, widow, we are inclined to condone the delay. The reasons given are sufficient to condone the delay.

7. In view of above, delay is condoned, M.A. is allowed.

8. Learned C.P.O. has states that today during the course of the day reply will be filed in O.A.. It be taken on record. Copy be served upon the concerned.

9. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.10.2023.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

13. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original Application shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original Application shall stand dismissed.

15. Adjourned to 09.10.2023.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1097 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KhotApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. S.O. to 26.09.2023. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant is present and requested to mark his presence in the O.A. No.1097/2023 and also requested to continue the 'Interim Relief' earlier granted by the Tribunal. As requested above, presence of the Advocate on behalf of the Applicant is granted and also 'Interim Relief' earlier granted by the Tribunal is continued till next date. <p align="right">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A) [P.T.O.]</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.1096 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. KhotApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Rahul Shinde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 are present. 3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply. 4. S.O. to 26.09.2023. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p>LATER ON</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Shri A.S. Raktade, learned Advocate for the Applicant is present and requested to mark his presence in the O.A. No.1096/2023. 6. As requested above, presence of the Advocate on behalf of the Applicant is granted. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.09.2023</p> <p>O.A. No.631 of 2023</p> <p>S.S. BawulgaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file 'Affidavit-in-Sur Rejoinder' on behalf of the Respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Debashish Chakrabarty) Member (A)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.09.2023</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.80/2022 in O.A.No.879/2015</p> <p>G.P. WadekarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Mr. N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent. Applicant in person present.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that today she will file affidavit dated 06.09.2023 on behalf of Respondent, through Mr. Milind Mhaiskar, Add. Chief Secretary, Public Health Department. In the said affidavit the movement of the file in the tabular format is given and it is mentioned that since 11.08.2023 the file was forwarded by the Add. Chief Secretary to the Hon'ble Minister, Public Health Department. Thus, it shows that the file is pending nearly since last one month with the Hon'ble Minister Mr. Tanaji Sawant, Public Health Department.</p> <p>4. The Department to pursue the matter and point out to the Hon'ble Minister that Contempt is pending.</p> <p>5. For reporting compliance, adjourned to 14.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>11.09.2023</u>
	<u>O.A 219/2023</u>
	Shri S.K Kute Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.A Devkhile, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manabekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents
	2. Learned C.P.O submits that the Respondent-State is in the process of implementing the judgment of this Tribunal dated 1.8.2022 in O.A 1009/2021, Shri Sandeep B. Kamble Vs. Government of Maharashtra & Ors in respect of the present applicant. Learned C.P.O therefore seeks two weeks' time.
	3. S.O to 3.10.2023.
	Sd/-
	Sd/-
	(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

OAs. No.716, 717, 718, 719, 720 & 730 of 2022

H.G. Sapkal
G.A. Deshmukh
Y.C. Girange
S.A. Bhagat
G.S. Hadbe
A.P. Khatal

..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Though these matters are on board they are scheduled on 26.9.2023.

3. S.O. to 26.9.2022. Interim relief to continue.

Sd/-

(Medha Gadgil)
Member.(A)
11.9.2023

(sgj)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
11.9.2023

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.914 of 2016</u></p> <p>A.A. Desale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO seeks time as she wants to find out whether all Local Bodies are autonomous and file affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.9.2023 for final hearing. Part heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.9.2023 11.9.2023</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.390 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.K. Survase ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO submits that during the course of the day she will file affidavit in reply bringing on record the factual position about conducting of the DPC in May 2016 for temporary/adhoc promotions to the post of Assistant Commandant, SRPF. The applicant retired on 30.4.2016 and thereafter ad hoc promotion orders were issued on 12.5.2016 promoting juniors of the applicant. Ld. CPO pointed out that the record of the DPC discloses that DPC which was conducted on 4.5.2016 is not a regular DPC and not by the competent authority and was held to grant purely adhoc promotions. However, regular DPC was conducted in November 2016 for giving promotions to some police personnel who were promoted as ad hoc. The regular promotions were given in March 2017.</p> <p>3. Photocopies of the record of both the DPCs to be annexed to the affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.9.2023 for final hearing. FOB.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.9.2023</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1299 of 2022</u></p> <p>U.H. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 25.9.2023 for final hearing. Interim relief to continue.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023 (sg)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.9.2023</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1079 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.S. Chougule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though this matter is on board it is scheduled on 6.10.2023. However, at the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant the matter is taken on board and in between Shri Vinay Anjanwatikar, Ld. Advocate submits that today he has filed Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.3 and he has also filed the reply.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant confirms that he has received the reply.</p> <p>4. By consent adjourned to 26.9.2023 for final hearing. FOB.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.9.2023 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.9.2023</p> </div> </div>