Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders '

Date: 11.09.2019.

O.A.No.339 of 2019

S. S. Jatkar VersusApplicant

...Respondents.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

- 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the suspension order dated 16.07.2018. Earlier the Applicant has filed O.A.No.127/2019 which was decided by this Tribunal on 22.02.2019 whereby directions were given to take review of suspension in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2011 and also to complete the D.E. within four months from the date of order.
- 3. The Government has taken review of the suspension and decided not to revoke the suspension.
- 4. In so far as directions for completion of D.E. is concerned, it is not completed within stipulated time granted by the Tribunal.
- 5. Today, learned P.O. submits that inquiry is completed and the report is submitted. He further stated that show cause notice is also issued to the Applicant and final order in D.E. will be passed soon. He, therefore, requested for grant of time.
- 6. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the Applicant has received the report of enquiry officer on 30.08.2019 to which the Applicant has submitted his reply on 03.09.2019. Thus, enquiry is already completed and pending at the stage of passing final order.
- 7. In view of above, present O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions as D.E. is on the verge of completion.
- 8. Respondent is directed to pass final order in D.E. in accordance to rules within four weeks from today.
- 9. If the final order is not passed within four weeks from today, the suspension of the Applicant will be deemed to be revoked and the Applicant will be entitled for reinstatement in service, subject to further outcome of D.E..
- 10. Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	Dist	rіст Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
	versus		•
The Stat		htra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer	······································)	•
Office Notes, Office Memaranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal's or	ders
	Date	: 11.09.2019.	
		O.A.No.7	71 of 2019
	A. V.	Sontakke	Applicant
	Vers	us	
	The S	State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.
	Pres	the Applicant and enting Officer for the Dalvi, learned Counse	Shri S. D. Dole, learned Respondent No.1 and Shri el for the Respondent No.2.
	filed	Rejoinder. It is taken	on record.
	3.	The matter is a	djourned for hearing at the
	stag	e of admission.	
	4.	S.O. to 16.09.201	9.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)
			Member(J)

vsm

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	D	DISTRICT	Applicant/s	
Advocate	,			,.	
Auvocase					
	versu				
The Stat	te of Mahara	shtra and others	.,		
			Re	espondent/s	
Presenting Officer					
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders		Tribunal' s	orders		
	Da	ite: 11.09.2019.			
		O.A.No.569 of 201	9 with O.A.No	.570 of 2019	
!	М.	. V. Mengarti	•		
	P.i	B. Sure	****	.Applicants	
	Ve	ersus			
	Th	e State of Maharashi	tra & OrsR	tespondents.	
	ļ	Heard Smt. Pun r the Applicants and esenting Officer for th Today, learned (d Shri A. J. Cl he Respondent	hougul e, lear ts.	ned
·	file	ed Rejoinder. It is tak	en on record.		
	3.	The matter is	adjourned for	hearing at	the
	sta	age of admission alon	g with o.A.No.	570/2019.	
•	4.	Learned P.O. is	s at liberty	to file reply	, in
	0.	A.570/2019 on next d	late.		
•	5.	S.O. to 20.09.201	19.		
			√ Sd/	 !-	

vsm

ISpl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

the state of the s				
Original Application No.	of 20		DISTRICT	
; ! !			Applicant/s	
Advocate)			
	versu	s		
The St	ate of Mahara	shtra and others		
1			Respondent/s	
Presenting Officer)		
Tresenting officer				
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corar Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m,	Tribunal'	s orders	
	Dat	e: 11.09.2019.		
!		O.A.N	o.539 of 2019	
: : :		Л. More	Applicant	
	, Air	n. Wore	ттррисанс	
	Ver	su s		
1	The	State of Maharash	tra & OrsRespondents.	
:	1.	Heard Shri A. V.	Bandiwadekar, learned Counse	e۱
	for	the Applicant and	Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learne	đ
	Pres	senti <mark>ng</mark> Officer for t	·	
	2.		as Part Heard on 09.09.201	
:	and	was kept today	for completion of hearing	ζ.
:	Hov	vever, learned P.C), for the Respondents seek	S
	peri	mission to tender	some documents on recor	đ
·	whi	ch according to he	r remain to be annexed to th	e
:	repl	y. S he has tend	ered the documents at pag	е
	nos	.107 to 124. It is tal	cen on record.	
	3.	Le arned Coun	sel for the Applicant sough	t
	time	e to respond to th	e documents filed by learne	đ
	P.O.			
	4.	S .O. to 16.09.2	019.	
•			641	
			Sd/-	

Original Application No.	of 20	Distr	іст Applicant/s
(Advocate	.,,,,	.)	
		ersus	
The	State of Ma	aharashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of C Appearance, Tribunal's orders of directions and Registrar's orde	or	Tribunal's arde	ers
		Date: 11.09.2019.	
		O.A.No.89	5 of 2019
		V. S. Bhangare	Applicant
		Versus	
		The State of Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents.
		1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiv the Applicant and Ms S. P. Presenting Officer for the Respo	
		2. The applicant is set impugned transfer order dated in	eking interim relief to the 09.09.2019.
		3. The Applicant was	earlier transferred by order
		dated 06.09.2019 from the po	ost of District Supply Officer,
		Nandurbar to the post of Su	
		Baglan, District Nashik and in p	·
	ļ	charge on 07.09.2019. As such,	
		implemented. However, abrup 09.09.2019, the Applicant is ag-	
		of Sub-Division Officer, Satana	
		post of Deputy Collector, Land A	"
			days, the Applicant is again
		subjected to another transfer	
		09.09.2019. It is, therefore, nece	essary to know whether it is in
		compliance with Section 4(5)	of Maharashtra Government

as 'Act 2005).

Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

- 5. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to take instructions from the department.
- Learned Counsel for the Applicant submitted that the Applicant is not yet relieved and, therefore, requested for protection.
- 7. In view of above, I am inclined to grant status quo as on today.
- 8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.09.2019.
- Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharasntra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 13. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 14. S.O. to 25.09.2019.

N ... ✓ Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsn

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.729 of 2019

S.V. Patil

.. Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 30.9.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.9.2019

 $M.A./R_+^cA./C.A.$ No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance. Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.112 of 2018 ..Applicant J.P. Madye Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. 3. Dismissed for want of prosecution. (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.9.2019 (sgj)

(G.Q.P.) J 2959(B) (50,000-3-2017)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders			
	Date: 11.09.2019			
	O.A	.No.543 of 201	19	
	D.D. Barad		Applicant	
	Versus The State of Maharas	htra & Ors.	Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri R.N	Л. Kolge, learn	ed Advocate for the	
	Applicant and Smt. K	.S. Gaikwad, le	earned P.O. for the	
ļ	Respondents.			
	2. Learned P.O.	. Smt. K.S.	Gaikwad for the	
	Respondents submit	ts that repl	y on behalf of	
	Respondent No.3 wo	uld be filed d	uring the course of	
	the day.			
	3. Adjourned to	18.09.2019.		
	Sd/-	and the second s	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurheka	r)	(P.N. Dixit)	

Member(J)

prk

Vice-Chairman

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

 Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's ord	ers			
 directions and registration					
: :					
	Date: 11.09.2019				
	O.A.No.775 of 2019				
	S.B. Khadtare Versus	Applicant			
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents			
1	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, le	arned Advocate for			
	the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manch	ekar, learned C.P.O.			
	for the Respondents.				
	2. At the request of learne	ed C.P.O. Ms. S.P.			
	Manchekar adjourned to 26.09.201	Э.			
The state of the s	Sd/-	Sd/-			
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman			
	prk				

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	Date: 11.09.2019		
	O.A.No.776 of 20	119	
	N.P. Bhosale & Ors. Versus	Applicants	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents	
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, le	earned Advocate for	
	the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manch	nekar, learned C.P.O.	
	for the Respondents.		
	2. At the request of learn	ed C.P.O. Ms. S.P.	
	Manchekar adjourned to 26.09.201	9.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar)	(P.N. Dixit)	
	Member(J)	Vice-Chairman	
	prk		

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.706 of 2019

P.S. Parab & Ors.

.. Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.
- 3. To be heard before admission on 30.9.2019. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.9.2019

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.705 of 2019

V.D. Kamane & Ors.

.. Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.
- 3. To be heard before admission on 30.9.2019. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is at liberty to file rejoinder.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.9.2019

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.624 of 2019

B.C. Tribhuvan

..Applicant

 \mathcal{A}° V_{S} .

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. PO states that no remarks have been received in written by the office of Ld. CPO from the respondents. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that respondent no 1 is the competent concerned officer for filing reply.
- Respondent no.1 is directed to remain present on 3.9.2019 along with necessary records.
- 4. S.O. to 13.9.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.9.2019

sgj)

|Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.336 of 2019 in O.A. No.599 of 2019

M.P. Shinde

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note.
- 2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 13.9.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.9.2019

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.239 of 2019 in O.A. No.436 of 2019

B.K. Kalekar

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note.
- 2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 23.9.2019 for filling reply.

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) re-Chairman (A

Vice-Chairman (A) 11.9.2019

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.239 of 2019 in O.A. No.436 of 2019

B.K. Kalekar

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

. Respondents

Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note.
- 2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 23.9.2019 for filling reply.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.9.2019

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.239 of 2019 in O.A. No.436 of 2019

B.K. Kalekar

.. Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.. Respondents

Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed leave note.
- 2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 23.9.2019 for filling reply.

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 11.9.2019

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. Noi

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 11.09.2019 O.A.No.495 of 2019 T.K. Tayade Applicant **Vers**us The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant seeks two week's time for filing rejoinder, if any. Adjourned to 03.10.2019. Sd/-Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J)

prk

Vice-Chairman

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.09.2019

O.A.No.256 of 2019 with O.A.No.257 of 2019

Or. \$.D. Kulkarni (O.A.256/2019)

Dr. P.D. Shendge (O.A.257/2019)

..... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

- 1. Heard Ms. Kalyani Tulankar, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.P. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submits that she proposes to file factual affidavit before 25.09.2019. She further mentions that she will provide copy of the same in advance to the learned Advocate for the Applicant for filing rejoinder.
- 3. Adjourned to 26.09.2019.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) (P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

prk

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
• •		
	Date: 11.09.2019	
	O.A.No.254 of	2019
	S.A. Pedgaonkar	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri K.R. Jadgale,	learned Advocate for
	the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Man	chekar, learned C.P.O.
	for the Respondents.	
	2. At the request of learn	ed Advocate Shri K.R.
	Jagdale for the Applicant adjour	ned to 18.09.2019 for
	hearing before admission.	
	Sd/-	
		Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman
	prk	Aice-cuairmañ

G.C.P.)	J	2959	(A)	(50,000-	-3-2017)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	Dis	STRICT
			Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
·	vers	ans	
The St			
The St	are or Mana	rashtra and others	
			Respondent/s
Presenting Officer)	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cora Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	m, Da	te: 11.09.2019 junal's or	ders
		O.A.No.112	7 of 2018
	-	J. Kadam rsus	Applicant
	Th	e State of Maharashtra & (Ors Respondents
	1.	Heard Shri S.T. Bhosle	, learned Advocate for the
	Ар	plicant and Ms. S.P. Mand	hekar, learned C.P.O. for
	the	Respondents.	·
	2.	Admitted and kept (for final hearing in due
	cou	irse.	
		Sd/-	Sd/-
	prk	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	(P.Ń. Dixit) Vice-Chairman
	J Pik		

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's	orders
	Date: 11.09.2019	
	O.A.No.62 of	2019
	H.B. Shinde Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents
•	1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhos	le, learned Advocate
	holding for Shri M.R. Patil, lear	rned Advocate for the
	Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar,	learned C.P.O. holding
	for Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.	O. for the Respondents
	and Shri A. Khandekar, learn	ed Advocate for the
	Respondent No.1.	
	2. Matter may be kept	for hearing before
	admission on 25.09.2019.	
	Sd/-	Sd/-
	(A.P. Kurhekar)	SC/- (P.N <mark>. Dixit</mark>)
	Member(J)	Vice-Chairman

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
:	·		
·			
	·		
	Date: 11.09.2019		
	O.A.No.441 of 2019 with M.A.	No.242 of 2019	
	P.S. Swami	Applicant	
	Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents	
	1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar	, learned C.P.O. for	
:	the Respondents.		
	2. Shri P.S. Pathak, learned	Advocate for the	
,	Applicant is absent.		
	3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. I	Manchekar for the	
	Respondents mentions that she v	will be filing short	
	affidavit in O.A. as well as M.A. durir	ng the course of the	
	date.		
	:		
	4. Adjourned to 19.09.2019.		
	•		
	Sd/-	Sd/-	
	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman	

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.09,2019

O.A.No.790 of 2019

Dr. R.M. Jatkar Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

- 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 03.10.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. to 03.10.2019.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman

motor at a surely

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	of 20	DISTRICT
		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
	versus	
Th	e State of Maharashtra	and others
		Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer)
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Appearance, Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's ord	or	Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.885 of 2019

S. G. Bhoye

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applicant

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 29.09.2016.
- 3. Perusal of suspension order dated 29.09.2016 reveals that the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of D.E. Though the period of near about three years is over, he is subjected to prolong suspension which is ex-facie in defiance of settled legal position.
- 4. Indeed, the Respondent was required to take review of the suspension and to expedite the D.E.. However, as per pleading, till date, no D.E. has been initiated against the Applicant.
- 5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the department and apprise the Tribunal as to why the Applicant is kept under prolonged suspension without taking steps to take review of the suspension and to initiate the departmental proceeding. He is directed to call the concerned officials from the office of Respondent No.1 so that matter can be disposed of expeditiously.
- 6. S.O. to 13.09.2019.

、.**ハ**レワン Sd/-

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.	1	of 20	, å	DISTRICT.	
				Applica	nt/s
(Advocate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)			
	•	versus	3		
. :	The State	of Maharas	shtra and others		
				Responde	nt/s
(Presenting Officer	:)		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	-		
Office Notes, Office Memor Appearance, Tribunal' directions and Registr	s orders or	B -4	Tribuns	d's orders	

O.A.No.886 of 2019

Y. S. Bhanse

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 15.09.2010.
- 3. Perusal of suspension order dated 15.09.2010 reveals that the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of O.E. Though the period of near about nine years is over, he is subjected to prolong suspension which is ex-facie in defiance of settled legal position.
- 4. Indeed, the Respondent was required to take review of the suspension and to expedite the D.E.. However, as per pleading, till date, no D.E. has been initiated against the Applicant.
- 5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the department and apprise the Tribunal as to why the Applicant is kept under prolonged suspension without taking steps to take review of the suspension and to initiate the departmental proceeding. He is directed to call the concerned officials from the office of Respondent No.1 so that matter can be disposed of expeditiously.
- 6. **S.O**. to 13.09.2019.

Sd/-

matter a morning

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original App	lication No.	of 20	DISTRICT	
			•	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		
		versus		
		The State of Maharash	tra and others	·
				Respondent/s
Presenting C	fficer	••••••)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				:
Appear	s, Office Memorand ance, Tribanal's or	ders or	Tribunal's orders	

Date: 11.09.2019.

O.A.No.885 of 2019

S. G. BhoyeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 29.09.2016.
- 3. Perusal of suspension order dated 29.09.2016 reveals that the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of D.E. Though the period of near about three years is over, he is subjected to prolong suspension which is ex-facie in defiance of settled legal position.
- 4. Indeed, the Respondent was required to take review of the suspension and to expedite the D.E.. However, as per pleading, till date, no D.E. has been initiated against the Applicant.
- 5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the department and apprise the Tribunal as to why the Applicant is kept under prolonged suspension without taking steps to take review of the suspension and to initiate the departmental proceeding. He is directed to call the concerned officials from the office of Respondent No.1 so that matter can be disposed of expeditiously.
- 6. S.O. to 13.09.2019.

או ה Sd/-

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Original App	lication N	to.	of 20	DISTRICT.
				Applicant/s
(Advocate	1)	
			·	
		1	versus	
			The State of Maharashtra ar	nd others
				Respondent/s
(Presenting C	fficer		•••••	<u>,</u>)
	, Office Me ance, Tribi		ia of Coram, rders or	Tribunal's orders

directions and Registrar's orders

Date: 11.09.2019.

O.A.No.886 of 2019

Y. S. Bhanse

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

- Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 15.09.2010.
- Perusal of suspension order dated 15.09.2010 reveals that the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of D.E. Though the period of near about nine years is over, he is subjected to prolong suspension which is ex-facie in defiance of settled legal position.
- Indeed, the Respondent was required to take review of the suspension and to expedite the D.E.. However, as per pleading, tili date, no D.E. has been initiated against the Applicant.
- In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the department and apprise the Tribunal as to why the Applicant is kept under prolonged suspension without taking steps to take review of the suspension and to initiate the departmental proceeding. He is directed to call the concerned officials from the office of Respondent No.1 so that matter can be disposed of expeditiously.
- 6. S.O. to 13.09.2019.

Sd/-

	grander grand	A + 44		•	
Original App	olication No.	of	20	Distr	LICT
					Applicant/s
(Advocate		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •)		
					•
			versus		
		The State of	Maharasht	ra and others	:
		**		•	Respondent/s
(Presenting (Officer	**************	****************)	
				<u>-</u>	
Appear	es, Office Memorano rance, Tribunal's d ons and Registrary	rders or		Tribunal's orde	ers
			Date:	11.09.2019.	
			0./	A.No.354 of 2017 wit	h M.A.No.342 of 2017
·		1	V.B.Pa	atil	Applicant
			Versus		
			The Sta	ite of Maharashtra &	OrsRespondents.
			for the Present	e Applicant and S	iwadekar, learned Counse hri S. D. Dole, learne espondents. The Applican
			2.		for the Applicant seek
		·	permiss	si o n to withdraw the	e O.A. as the Applicant is
		٠.	already	reinstated in service	e. He has also tendered a
			letter o	of the Applicant which	ch is taken on record and
• :				as letter 'X' for ident	
			3.		allowed to withdraw the
	•		o.a.	m view of above,	anowed to withdraw the
			4.	Original Application	is accordingly disposed o
			with no	order as to costs.	

Sd/-

		111.01	
	and in a fire		1
Original Applic	eation No.	of 20	DISTRICT
			Applicant/s
	•		
(Advocate	************)	
	: .		
	**	ve	rsus
			·
j	T	ne State of Mah	arashtra and others
			70
			Respondent/s
(Presenting Off	icer	********************)
	<u> </u>		
Office Notes	Office Memoranda of	Coram.	
	ce, Tribunal's order		Tribunal's orders
	and Registrar's or		,
	1 1		
			Pate: 11.09.2019.
		'	ate: 11.03.2013.
			O A No 704 (6004)
	•		O.A.No.761 of 2019
		٠ ،	V en la la
			. Y. Gavli Applicant
		'	ersus
			•
		T	he State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.
	•	1	Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counse
		f	or the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned
ļ			
Ì	. :	· P	esenting Officer for the Respondents.
	•	2	learned P.O. submits that must be set to
		2	Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed

during the course of the day. Statement is accepted.

Applicant, one week's time is granted for filing

On the request of learned Counsel for the

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

It be taken on record.

S.O. to 17.09.2019.

Rejoinder.

4.

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000—3-2017) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Appl	cation No.		20	Ď	ISTRICT	
					· Appli	cant/s
(Advocate)			
				·		
		*** • • • • •	versus			
		The State of	Maharashtr	a an d others		
					Respon	dent/s
(Presenting O	fficer		***************************************)		
Appears	Office Memorand nce, Tribunal's or a and Registrar's	ders or		Tribunal's o	orders	
				•		
			Date: 1	1.09.2019.		
				O.A.No.	309 of 2019	
			Dr. S. V.	Patil	Applic	ant
	•		Versus			
			The State	e of Maharashtra	a & OrsRespond	dents.
			1. He	e a rd Shri V. P. Po	otbheare, learned C	ounsel for
					J. Chougle holding	
			G. Goh: Respond		resenting Officer	for the
			2. 1	Fo day, learned C	ounsel for the App	licant has
			filed Affic	davit-in-Rejoinder	r. It is taken on reco	ord.
					djourned for hearing	
				dmission.		
			4. \$	5. 0 . to 07.10.2019	9.	
	* *					
					Sd/-	
					(A.P. Kurhekar)	

vsm

	Contract Contract	PARTITION AND	•	
Original Appl	cation No.	of 2	\mathbf{D}_{IS}	STRICT
	3			Applicant/s
Advocate				Y
Auvocate		***************************************	•••••)	
			versus	
		The State of	Maharashtra and others	
		THE State of	wanarasiwa and others	
				Respondent/s
Presenting O	ficer	******)	
O45 N-4				
	Office Memorand nce, Tribunal's or		Tribunal's or	rders
direction	s and Registrar's	orders		·
	. : i			
			Date: 11.09.2019.	· ·
				· ·
			O.A.No.4	117 of 2019
•	•		M. I. A. Shaikh	Applicant
				Applicant
			Versus	
			The State of Makeupahaus	S. Comp
			The State of Maharashtra	& OrsRespondents.
			1. Heard Shri A. V. Ban	diwadekar, learned Counsel
				ri A. J. Chougule, learned
	; !		Presenting Officer for the F	
				O. has filed reply on behalf
			of the Respondent No.1 an	
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		· ·	learned Counsel for the
		•	Applicant, two weeks ti	me is granted for filing
			Rejoinder.	
			4. S.O. to 01.10.2019	
				N 12
		. •		Sd/-
				V
	,			(A.P. Kurhekar)
	to personal distribution of the		vem	Member(J)

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000 3-2017) [Spl. MAINTHE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

-	n nan∰esa, aŭ	Profession Contraction		
Original Appl	ication No.	of 20	Dis	TRICT
				Applicant/s
	.			**
(Advocate)	
				·
	1		versus	
		The State of N	laharashtra and others	•
* . *				
			·	Respondent/s
(Presenting O	fficer)	
				41-1111-121-12
	Office Memorand			
	nce, Tribunal's or s and Registrar's		Tribunal's or	ders
		014015		
				•
			Date: 11.09.2019.	
			O.A.No.766 of 2019 v	vith M.A.No.408/2019
	;	;		
		İ	S. M. Deore & Anr.	Applicants
			Vanarra	
			Versus	
		·	The State of Maharashtra	P. Ore Decemendants
			THE State Of Wallandslift a	x Orsnespondents.
	·		1. Heard Shri A. V. Ban	diwadekar, learned Counsel
				ri A. J. Chougule, learned
				e Respondents. Shri M.D.
	:			for Respondent No.1 in
			M.A.408/19.	ioi kespondent No.1 in
			WI.A.400/15.	
·			2. Today, learned P.O). has filed reply on behalf
			of the Respondent No.1. It	is taken on record.
			3. The matter is adju	ourned for hearing at the
	- 1		stage of admission with con	nected O.A.No.722/2019
,				
			4. S.O. to 01.10.2019.	
Ï	<u>.</u> . :		•	A
!				Sd/-
	; ;		·	V
				(A.P. Kurhekar)
	1.	l		Member(J)

	1 1 1 1 1 1 H 4 1 H 4 1	4.7.3		the state of the state of	
Original Appl:	cation No.	of	20	DISTRICT	Contract of the Contract of th
	,	!			Applicant/s
Advocate)		
		:	,		
			versus	•	
		The State of	of Maharashtra a nd	d others	
	·: ·	1			Respondent/s
Presenting O	fficer	!)		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Appeara	Office Memoran nce, Tribunal's o and Registrar	rders or		Tribunal's orders	
			Date: 11.09	.2019.	`
		:		-	•
		1 1		O.A.No.815 of	2019
		ii e	A. R. Sonawa	ine	Applicant
		•			
			Versus		
			The State of	Maharashtra & Or	sRespondents.
			l		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			r, learned Counsel for
					iaikwad holding for Ms
			Respondent.	u, learned Prese	nting Officer for the
				+	
		. • • . . •	2. Toda	y, learned P.O. ha	s filed reply on behalf
·		:	of the Respor	ndent. It is taken o	n record.
		i	3. The	matter is adjourn	ed for hearing at the
		•	stage of admi	ssion with liberty t	o file Rejoinder, if any.
		:	4. S.O .t	o 18.09.2019.	
		it e			
•		•		·	Sd/-
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
			ı	(A E	J #114850V091

(A.P. Kurheka Member(J)

vsm

(G.C.P.) J 2959 (A) (50,000 3-2017) [Spl. MAT-IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL **MUMBAI**

Original Applicat	ion No.	of 2	20	DISTRICT
		•		Applicant/s
(Advocate)	
		•	versus	•
		The State of	Maharashtra and others	•
	•			Respondent/s
(Presenting Offic	e r .	~~~~)	
		,		
1	ice Memorani , Tribunal's o nd Registrar'	rders or	Date: 11.09.2019.	ıl's orders
			O.A.	.No.836 of 2019
			S. M. Jadhav	Applicant
	:	·	Versus	
		i.	The State of Maharas	htra & OrsRespondents.
				7. Bandiwadekar, learned Counseled Shri A. J. Chougule, learned the Respondents.
			2. To day, learne	ed P.O. has filed reply on behalf
			of Respondent No.6 a	and made a statement that it be
	-		treated as reply on be	ehalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to
			5 also.	
	1		3. The matter i	is adjourned for hearing at the
			stage of admission.	
			4. S.O. to 01.10.	.2019.
				Sd/-
•	:			(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)
	. :		vsm	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.09.2019.

O. A. Nos.889 & 890 of 2019

M. G. Kamble D.R. Gaikwad

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.09.2019.
- 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
- 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
- 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
- 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
- 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
- 8. S.O. to 25.09.2019.

Sd/-

	\$18.48384.C	F 7, 71 - 7		· .
Original Application No.		of 20	Distric	$\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{v}}$
	. :		•	Applicant/s
(Advocate)		·
		versu	s	
	4	The State of Mahara	shtra and others	
			•	Respondent/s
(Presenting (fficer)	
<u> </u>				
Appear	s, Office Memorand rance, Tribunal's or ons, and Revisivar's	rders or	Tribunal's orders	3

Date: 11.09.2019.

O.A.No.762 of 2019

S. S. Tekam

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents.

- 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
- 2. Learned P.O. submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.
- 3. On the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week's time is granted for filing Rejoinder.
- 4. S.O. to 17.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm