#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

#### **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.832 OF 2018**

Shri R.A. Kadampatil

)...Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. )...Respondents

Mrs. Punam Mahajan, Advocate for the Applicant. Mr. A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : SHRI B.P. Patil (Member-J)

: 11.09.2018

Date

#### <u>O R D E R</u>

1. Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is the contention of the Applicant that he has been promoted as a Deputy Commissioner and posted as Deputy Commissioner (Establishment) in the Office of Social Welfare Commissioner, Pune by order dated 28.12.2016. It is further contention of the Applicant that he has not completed normal tenure of his posting. But he has been abruptly transferred and posted as Deputy Commissioner (Planning) in the same office by impugned order dated 6.9.2018. It is his contention that his transfer is made in violation of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as "Transfer Act) with intention to accommodate private Respondent No.2 at his place.



3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the impugned order by which the Applicant has been transferred is a mid-term transfer order and the Respondents have not followed the provisions of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Transfer Act while issuing the impugned order. She has submitted that no specific reasons has been recorded while issuing the impugned order.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has further submitted that the work of the Applicant is excellent and there is no complaint against him. She also submitted that the Applicant has not been relieved till today and he is still working on the same post. Therefore, she requested to grant interim relief as prayed for.

5. Learned P.O. has submitted that the transfer of the Applicant has been made as per the recommendation of the Civil Services Board and with the approval of the higher competent transferring authority and there is no illegality in it. He has also produced the documents regarding the transfer of the Applicant for perusal of the Tribunal. He has submitted that, he has no instruction regarding relieving of the Applicant.

6. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the private Respondent Shri Ghule who is serving at Aurangabad and one Shri Wagh who is serving at Pune have filed request applications for making their transfers by mutual consent. The requests of Shri Ghule and Shri Wagh have been accepted by the Civil Services Board and accordingly, the recommendation was made. While submitting the said proposal, the concerned Department has proposed the transfer of the Applicant. No special reasons for transfer of the Applicant has been recorded.

7. Prima-facie, it seems that the impugned order has been issued in contravention of the provisions of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the Transfer Act. Till today, the Applicant has not been relieved.

8. In the circumstances, it is just to direct the Respondents to maintain status quo as on today until further orders.

9. Hence, Respondents are directed to maintain status-quo as on today so far as the Applicant is concerned till filing of reply by them.

10. Issue notice returnable on 24.09.2018.

11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

13. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

14. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

15. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

16. S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2018. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil) Member-J 11.09.2018

Mumbai Date: 11.09.2018 Dictation taken by: S.K. Wamanse. D:\SANJAY WAMANSE\JUDGMENTS\2018\9 Beptember, 2018\0.A.632.2018.Order.doc

#### MUMBAL

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date : 11.09.2018. O.A.No.107 of 2017 ....Applicant S.A. Nalawade Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the 1. Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. At the request of the learned Advocate short time is 2. granted to the Applicant to produce documents regarding **DATE :\_\_\_** CORAM : pay fixation of the Applicant. ) (MIJ) Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) S.O. to 11.10.2018. **APPEARANCE:** 3. Shri/Smi K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Sd/-Shri/Smt K.S. Gaikwa C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s (B.P. Patil) Adj./S.O. to 11/10/2018. Member (J)

sba

the

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH MISC APPLICATION NO 461 OF 2018

#### IN

## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 136 OF 2018**

#### DISTRICT : THANE

Shri Kiran Vishnu Patil	)
Assistant Commissioner of Police,	)
Transferred from Turbhe Division to	)
Control Room, Navi Mumbai.	)
R/o: Sarovar Darshan, D-1402,	)
Chandanwadi, Thane [W].	) <b>Applicant</b>

#### Versus

1.	The Commissioner,	)
	Navi Mumbai, having office at	)
	Navi Mumbai Police Commissionerate	)
	C.B.D Belapur, Navi Mumbai 400 614	)
2.	Shri Amol Zende,	)
	Transferred as Assistant Commissioner	)
	of Police, Control Room, Navi Mumbai.	)
	transferred to Turbhe Division,	)
	Navi Mumbai.	)
3.	The State of Maharashtra,	)
	Through Principal Secretary,	)
	Home Department, Mantralaya,	)
	Mumbai 4000 032.	)Respondents

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 & 3.

Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2.

### CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)

DATE : 11.09.2018

#### ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant, Ms Swal Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 & 3 and Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for Respondent to 2.

2. This Misc Application was heard yesterday and after hearing, O.A was adjourned at the request of Shri Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the  $\epsilon$  pplicant, today for enabling the advocate to consult the applicant as to whether O.A be withdrawn.

3. Shri 5andiwadekar, learned advocate could not reach when the matter was called out. However, the applicant is present.

4. The  $\epsilon$  pplicant asserts that he wants to press this Misc Application.

5. By this application, the applicant wants to challenge his transfer from 113 last posting as A.C.P, Control Room, Navi Mumbai Commissionerate to A.C.P, Mumbai.

6. I earned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar has later on appeared and by changing stand urged that he wants to press the M.A and wants to rely on the order passed by Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court in W.P 5933/2004 dated 1.3.2005 and that the prayer for amendment cannot Le rejected.

7. Applicant's transfer from the A.C.P, Turbhe Division to Control Room, Navi Mumbai Police Commissionerate is already under challenge in O.A 136/2018 and that matter is being examined, unlike in W.P

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

6933/2004 where due to subsequent transfer this Tribunal had rejected the C A.

3. Moreover, in W.P 6933/2004 Hon'ble High Court had left the matter of amendment to be decided by this Tribunal in the event application for amendment is eventually filed. There is no judicial mandate ordered in W.P 6933/2004 that application for amendment must be allowed. Moreover, O.A 136/2018 is still pending rather it is not dismissed due to subsequent transfer.

9. The transfer order dated 20.6.2018 is a tresh cause of action which is distinct and independent from the case subject matter of challenge in O.A 136/2018.

10. Hence, Misc Application for amendment is rejected.

11. This does not preclude the applicant from challenging the order dated 20.6.2018 by filing independent Original Application.

12. Original Application No. 136/2018 shall come up for hearing in due course.

X (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman

Place : Mumbai Date : 11.09.2018 Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

H:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2018\Sept. 2018\M.A 461.18 in O.A 136.18, Int. order, SB, 09.18.doc

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI BENCH

## **ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 1116 OF 2016**

**DISTRICT : PUNE** 

Smt Ulka Sachin Salunkhe	)Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Others	) <b>Respondents</b>

Shri Sachin P. Salunkhe, husband of applicant in person. Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman) DATE : 11.09.2018

#### ORDER

1. Heard Shri Sachin P. Salunkhe, Power of Attorney of the applicant in person and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has moved the present Original Application for peaking to the minutes on the ground that the matter was not taken up or hearing by Hon'ble Member (A).

3. Party in person was called to state as to whether he is ready for final hearing of the case. He agreed that he would not insist on speaking to the minutes and shall argue the case for final hearing.

4. Case is taken up for final hearing. The applicant points out that the impugned order No. 11/Est-4/Appeal/2014/726/337 dated 8.3.2015, page 20, Exh. A as well as impugned communication No. 1/Estt-4/Appeal/204/1779/1013 dated 30.7.2016, page 42, Exh. D,

are de hors the finding recorded in O.A 98/2010, wherein Division Bench of this Tribun 1 categorically held that Respondents had on record and had knowledge about the fact that applicant had proceeded on maternity, and also that she had submitted application.

5. Applicant has also pointed out that the averments contained in para 5.20 wherein the version contained in both impugned letters is challenged on the ground of it being factually incorrect.

6. The averments contained in para 6.20 of O.A are not dealt with in reply rather reply to specific averment is avoided thereby facts stated in para 6.20 of O.A s and admitted.

7. Prima facie the factual correctness of the matter is not open for adjudication being governed by the findings recorded in para nos 12 & 13 of the judgment of this Tribunal in O.A 98/2010.

8. Initially this Original Application was heard yesterday in the morning session and was adjourned for enabling the Director, Vocational Education to uppear in person to explain the situation in which the judicial pronouncement recorded in O.A 98/2010 is open for debate in absence of which impugned communication may amount to contempt.

9. Yesterday, at the request of learned P.O, hearing was deterred and adjourned to afternoon session. Thereafter, the case was called out, in afternoon session. At that time learned P.O accompanied by Shri R.S. Sonawe ie, Assistant Director, (Non-Technical), was present. Learned P.O h is reported on instructions that the Director is out of India and J sint Director, did not arrive, because he did not receive correct message form learned P.O, Ms Suryavanshi, nor that he is formally put in to the c harge of the post of Director.

Today Shri A.M Jadhav, I/C Director & Shri P.M Wakde, Joint D. ector, Directorate of Vocational Education & Training, Mumbai are present. He was asked to read out para 12 and 13 of the judgment in

2

O.A 98/2010. Shri A.M Jadhav, states that it prima facie appears that this Tr Junal has taken cognizance of the fact that present applicant had it timated that she was on maternity leave, and her request was to be decided by the appellate authority.

11. Shri A.M Jadhav, was called to state as to whether in the back ground of judicial pronouncement, it is open to the Respondents to urge to the contrary to what the judicial pronouncement is. At this stage, he prays for time to take corrective measures.

12. It was clarified that corrective measures would mean accepting the fact that applicant had proceeded on maternity leave and that her leave nee ls to be dealt with in accordance with rules, if required by permitting the applicant to take measures for rectification of deficiency if any in relation to earlier leave application.

13. It implies that applicant shall cooperate.

14. In view that Learned Presenting Officer has prayed for time tor rexamining the matter and for reporting substantial compliance,, time is granted till 25.09.2018 with a hope that Respondents would not aggravate the matter and would take proper and prudent steps for effectively implementing the judgment and order passed in O.A 98/2010.

15. S.O to 25.10.2018.

16. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to cormunicate this order to the Respondents.

A.H. Josh

Place : Mumbai Date 11.09.2018 Dictat on taken by : A.K. Nair.

H:\Anil . lair\Judgments\2018\Sept. 2018\O.A 1116.16, Int. order DB, 09.18, Chairman.ooc

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) [Spl- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

~

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	*S
	<u>O.As.293 &amp; 294/20</u>	<u>18</u> .
· .	Mr. U.S. Gawandi & Anr. Vs.	Applicants
	The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Ma for the Applicants and Shri A. for the Respondents.	ahajan, learned Advocate J. Chougule, learned P.O.
	2. The learned P.O. has s remarks of Respondents have therefore, the Affidavit-in-re therefore, he seeks short tim chance.	eply is not ready, and
Stockly	3. S.O. to 24 <sup>th</sup> Septembe	r, 2018.
<b>DATE</b> : <u>11]9]2018</u> <b>CORAM</b> : D.P. Patil (M)7) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)		Sd/-
APPEARANCE: Shrifting Punam Mahajan		(B.P. Patil) Member-J 11.09.2018
Advocate for the Applicant	(skw)	
shri/smt. A.J. Urolug We C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s		· .
Ad1/5.0. 10 24/9/2018.		
Bre		
-		

Office Noise, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A.825/2018

Mr. C.S. Patil ... Applicant Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the address of the Applicant. Leave as prayed for is granted. The Applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

2. Issue notice returnable on 3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 3<sup>rd</sup> October, 2018.

Sd/-(B.P. Pati<del>l)</del> Member-J 11.09.2018

DATE: 119/2018 CORAM: B.P. P.L.) (M) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt . 5.9. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: K.M. Bhise CEO/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. 15.0. 10 Igsue notire Volumable on 3/10/2018.

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) [Spl- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

•

of 20

Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's order	s
	<u>0.A.1079/2017</u>	
	Mr. A.S. Jaiswał Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.V. B Advocate for the Applicant learned P.O. for the Responde	
	2. The learned Advocate Rejoinder. The same is taken o	for the Applicant files on record.
	3. The matter is admitte on 3 <sup>rd</sup> October, 2018.	d and kept for hearing
DATE : 11/9/2018		Sd/-
CORAM: B.P. Pati (M)) Hen ble Justice Shri-A.H. Joshi (Chairman)		(B.P <del>. Patil</del> ) Member-J
APPEARANCE: shri/Sout A.V. Bandiwadeker	(skw)	11.09.2018
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: A.O. Kalolo, C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s		
Adj./S.O. to Admit. S.o. Le 3/10/2018.		
F.H. BE		,
	· · ·	

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

~

of 20

1.N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orde	rs
	<u>0.A.33</u>	<u>3/2018</u>
	Mr. S.R. Shahane Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri V.V. Josh the Applicant and Ms. N.G. the Respondents.	ni, learned Advocate for Gohad, learned P.O. for
	2. Since the pleadings a is admitted and kept for fina 2018.	are complete, the matter I hearing on 9 <sup>th</sup> October
	3. S.O. to 9 <sup>th</sup> October, 20	)18.
	· .	Sd/-
DATE: 11/9/2018 CORAM: BP. Paki (M17) Hen ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	• •	(B.P. Pat <del>il)</del> Member-J 11.09.2018
APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt V.V. Joshi	(skw)	11.05.2010
Advocate for the Applicant		
Shri/Smt.: 19. G. Gohca C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s		
Adi./S.O. to 9/10/2018.		
BE		

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Goram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's	ordere

#### M.A.471/2018 in O.A.523/2018

Mrs. Z.G. Vithoba Vs ... Applicant

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.M. Kamtam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988.

6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Miscellaneous Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 4<sup>th</sup> October, 2018.

(skw)

Sd/-

(B.P. Patil) Member-J 11.09.2018

DATE : 119208 <u>CORAM</u>: B.P. Pakil (M1)) Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairmen) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. S. M. Kantam

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.B. Kelelg. C.P.C/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. 15.0. to Fasile natire Volumable on 4/10/2018

YH.

2

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

-

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>0.A.263</u>	/2018
	Mr. S.M. Jadhav Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & ors.	Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Ba Advocate for the Applicant a learned P.O. for the Responden	and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi
	2. The learned Advocate Rejoinder on behalf of Applica on record.	
DATE: 1192018	3. S.O. to 3 <sup>rd</sup> October, 201	3.
Hen ble-Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chakman)	· · ·	Sd/-
APPEARANCE: Shrivsont: A.V. Bandiwedder		(B.P. P <del>atil)</del> Member-J
Advocate for the Applicant	(skw)	11.09.2018
4a, 13.0. to 3/10/2018		
the te		

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (60,000-2-2015)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	'Tribunal's orders	
	Date : 11.09.2018. O.A.No.364 of 2	2017
	S.G. Warkhede	Applicant
	Versus	
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, 1	the learned Advocate for
	the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule	e, the learned Presenting
	Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Learned P.O. for the Response copy of communication dated 3 impugned order of punishment ha	0.7.2018 by which the
DATE :11/9/2012	aside. The same is taken on record.	
<u>CORAM</u> : B. P. P. L(M/H) Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairmen) APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt C. T. Chandratre	<ol> <li>Learned Advocate for the A</li> <li>Applicant is satisfied with this subst therefore Applicant does not want</li> </ol>	pplicant submits that the equent development and to proceed with the O.A.
Advocate for the Applicant	as his grievance has been redressed.	
Shri/Smt: A.J. Chaughle C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to 0.A is dis for a of. ByE	4. In view of the statement of the, Applicant and as the grieval redressed, Original Application is di order as to costs.	nce of the Applicant is
	-	_ Sd/-

(B.P. Patil) Member (J)

 $({\rm G.C}|{\rm P.}) = J_{-} 2260({\rm B})_{-} (50.000 - -2.2015)$ 

ISpl. MAT-F-2 E.

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memorenda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 11.09.2018.         O.A.No.365 of 2017         S.J. Dhobale      Applicant         Versus         The State of Maharashtra & Ors.      Respondents.         1.       Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting
CORAM: B.P. Pabi (CMJ) Herrible Justice Shri <del>A. H. Joshi (Chairman</del> )	Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has produced a copy of communication dated 30.7.2018 by which the impugned order of punishment has been quashed and set aside. The same is taken on record.
APPEARANCE: C.T. Chandratre A control the Applicant Sin /3ar A.J. Changuk C.P. (VP.O. for the Respondent/s Adv. S.C. to <u>0 A is disferred</u> of BYEE	<ul> <li>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is satisfied with this subsequent development and therefore Applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. as his grievance has been redressed.</li> <li>4. In view of the statement of the learned Advocate for the Applicant and as the grievance of the Applicant is redressed, Original Application is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.</li> </ul>
	Sd/-

(B.P. Patil) Member (J) (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	M.A.397/2018 in O.A.31/2018
	Mrs. S.V. Kute Applicant Vs.
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
	2. The M.A. is filed by the Applicant to bring L.Rs of deceased application on record. It is the contention of the Applicant that the deceased Applicant Dr. V.M. Kute has filed the O.A. on 8.1.2018. During the pendency of the O.A, he died on 2.7.2018. It is the contention of the present Applicant that she is the only legal heir of the deceased, and therefore, she wants to proceed with the O.A. Therefore, she prayed to allow the M.A.
DATE: 11/9/2018 <u>COMAND</u> : B.P. Patil (MIJ) Horible Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairmon) APEEARANCE: Shuisme: V.P. PotbNey e	3. The learned P.O. prayed to pass the necessary orders.
	4. Deceased Dr. V.M. Kute, the Applicant in O.A. died during the pendency of O.A, on 2.7.2018. The application for bringing his LRs on record is moved within stipulated time.
Advocate for the Applicant. Stri/Smt.: K.S. Gaikwad C.M. W.P.O. for the Respondent/s Adi.: 3 O. to MA is allow a. Adi.: 3 O. to MA is allow a.	5. Hence, the M.A.No.397/2018 is allowed. LRs be brought on record as prayed for. Amendment be carried out accordingly within one week.
Adi. 3 0. to MAY 13 allow @	Sd/-
the le	(B.P. Patil)
	Member-J
	11.09.2018
	(skw)

(C.L.) + 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

[Spl. MAT-F-2\_8.

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20 15. S.O. to 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2018. Hamdast. I N (B.P. Patil) Griginal Application No. of 20 • 11.09.2018

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>0.A.923/2017</u>
	Mr. R.Z. Jople Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors Respondents 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate
DATE: <u>Ngl2018</u> CLASSING: B.P.Patil (Ml2) Hon Die Herice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) APEEARANCE: S PUNAM Mahajan	<ol> <li>I. Theard Shit. Fullam Manajah, Icanied Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>Learned P.O. has submitted that the proposal of 32 persons including the Applicant has been forwarded to Hon'ble Chief Minister for obtaining necessary sanction by Home Department yesterday. He has submitted that, more time is required for obtaining sanction of the Hon'ble Chief Minister, and therefore, he requests to grant reasonable time to the documents in that regard.</li> </ol>
Advertise Applicant Similar: A.J. Mougue C.200700. for the Respondent/s Adi/S.O. to 241912018.	3. Since the proposal of the Applicant and other persons is placed before the Hon'ble Chief Minster for approval, S.O. to 24 <sup>th</sup> September, 2018.
BE	Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member-J 11.09.2018 (skw)

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) [Spl. MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

· · ·

ŧ

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

•

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	M.A.3/2018 with O.A.8/2018
	Mr. R.D. Ugale Applicant Vs.
	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
	2. The learned P.O. seeks time to file reply in O.A.
· · ·	3. S.O. to 3 <sup>rd</sup> October, 201 <b>8</b> .
DATE: 11/9/18 CORAM: B.C. Patil (M11)	Sd/-
Hen'ble Jestice Shri A: H. Joshi (Chairman)	(B.P. <del>Patil)</del> Member-J
APPEARANCE: Shri/Sunt V.V. Joshi	(skw)
Advocate for the Applicant	
Shri/Smt. A.B. Velalgi C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s	
Adj. 15.0. to 3/10/2018.	
Bri	
	·

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) [Spl- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, 'Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	M.A.509/2017 in O.A.1115/2017	
	Mr. S.R. Pawar Vs.	. Applicant
	The State of Mah. & ors.	. Respondents
	1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned A Applicant and Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learn Respondents.	
	2. The learned Advocate for the Rejoinder. The same is taken on record	
	3. S.O. to 4 <sup>th</sup> October, 2018.	
		Sd/-
DATE: 11/9/2018 <u>CORAM</u> : B. P. P. A. H. Joshi (M)]) Hen'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	N	3.P. Patil) 1ember-J 1.09.2018
APPEARANCE:	(skw)	1.05.2010
Shri/Spit: V.V. Josh	· · · · · · · · ·	
Advocate for the Applicant		
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s		
Adi/S.O. to 4/10/2018.		
He .		
		<b>*</b> .

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000–2-2015) (Spt.- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A, No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.610/2018</u>
	Dr. S.S. Chaudhari Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.T. Suryawanshi, learned P.O. for Respondents 1 to 3 and Smt. L.S. Patne, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.
	2. The learned P.O. submits that she is going to file reply during the course of the day and serve the copy of the reply to the Applicant.
<b>DATE</b> : <u>11]g]2e18</u> <u>COEAM</u> : <u>B. P. Patil</u> (M)) Honible Justice Shri <del>A. H. Joshi (Chairman</del> )	3. The learned Advocate for Respondent No.4 seeks time to file reply. In the interest of justice, short time is granted to Respondent No.4 subject to cost of Rs.1,000/
APFEARANCE: Shrift punam Mahajan	4. S.O. to 24 <sup>th</sup> September, 2018.
Advocite for the Applicant Shri/Smt S-T. SUMAWANSH' C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3. N. Mrs. Lata Palme for R.4. Adj./S.O. to 24/9/2018.	Sd/- (B.P. Pa <del>til) -</del> Member-J 11.09.2018 (skw)
B-2	

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

#### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

-----

of 20

Original Application No.

ΙN

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A.609/2018</u>
	Mr. K.M. More Applicant Vs.
· *	The State of Mah. & ors Respondents
	1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.
	2. The learned P.O. has produced the copy of the proposal dated 22.05.2018 regarding the transfer of other employees at Mulund, Chembur and Goregaon as per the directions given by this Tribunal on 04.09.2018. The same is taken on record.
DATE: 11/9/2018 CORAM: B. P. Patil (M1) Hen'ble Justice Shrit H. Joshi (Chairman)	3. The learned P.O. seeks time to file short Affidavit on behalf of Respondents to clarify as to why the Applicant's posting has been cancelled.
APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt V.V. Joshi	4. Short time is granted. S.O. to 25 <sup>th</sup> September, 2018. Steno-copy allowed.
Advocate for the Applicant	Sd/-
Shri/Smt: <u>It</u> & Gohad CPO/P.O. for the Respondent/s 142 tav. K.R. Jegdale for R.3. Adj./S.O. to <u>25/9/2018.</u> Stero Copy allowed.	(B.P. Patil) Member-J 11.09.2018
BER .	

#### (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50.000–2-2015) (Spl- MAT-F-2 E. IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

.

of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	. <u>O</u>	<u>.A.401/2018</u>
	Mr. R.L. Boga Vs.	Applicant
	The State of Mah. & ors	Respondents
	1. None for the Suryawanshi, learned P.	Applicant. Heard Ms. S.T. D. for the Respondents.
	2. S.O. to 25 <sup>th</sup> September, 2018 as last chance for filing Affidavit-in-reply.	
		Sd/-
DATE: 11/9/2018 CORAM: B. P. Pato) (M/J) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)	(skw)	(B.P. P <del>atil)</del> Member-J 11.09.2018
APPEARANCE: shri/sml: Hone for the Applicant		
Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. T. SUM/AWSNSM C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s		
Adi/S.O. to 25/9/2018 as a left Chance for filing Affidavit-		
in-reply.		
yze		

(9.C.P.) J.2200(B) (69,000---2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M,A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	11.09.2018
	C.A 34/2011 in O.A 88/2008
	Shri A.R Manvatkar Applicant Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
119/18	1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned
Gray- Hon' Jubric Shri D. H. Josly (Chain man	advocate the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, ) learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
Shrii CIT. Chardrahte AN for the Abolicatul Shrii F. B. Bhise Poten Heard	2. Principal Secretary/Addl C.S, Food and Civil Supplies Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to file his own affidavit stating the time frame within which the order passed by this Tribunal will be complied with.
Column - 5020 2619/18.	3. It is expected that the minimum indispensable time should be stated in the affidavit.
standest is proved.	<ol> <li>S.O to 26.9.2018.</li> <li>Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</li> </ol>
e	Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

(A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (80,000-2-2015) IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Ragistrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>11.09.2018</u>
	C.A 34/2011 in O.A 88/2008
	Shri A.R Manvatkar Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
11/9/18 Graw- Hon' Jubric Olari O.H. Josly (Chain man)	<ol> <li>Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> </ol>
Shrii CIT Chardrahte DAN-for the BBALicaue Shrii F. B. Bhise PD for The prespondence Heard	2. Principal Secretary/Addl C.S, Food and Civil Supplies Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to file his own affidavit stating the time frame within which the order passed by this Tribunal will be complied with.
orded Passed in Tribul Column 5020 2619118. Steno GPJ and	<ul> <li>3. It is expected that the minimum indispensable time should be stated in the affidavit.</li> <li>4. S.O to 26.9.2018.</li> </ul>
standest is ollowed.	
e	5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
	Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

(A.H Joshi, J.)<sup>36</sup> Chairman (G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-2015)

ISpl. MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

1 N

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders 11.09.2018 M.A 467/2018 in O.A 323/2018 Shri Popat B. Bhalekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents 11/9/18 Coracu. Hon'Jusnice Shri D. H. Joslu: Chariman Shri D. B. Dhaine Adn. For the BHOLicant. Shri D. J. Changule AD - Fur de des poulaurs. Hiard order passed in Tribund column Colam. Hon'Jushice Shi 1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Accepting the grounds and reasons contained in Misc Application, it is allowed in terms of para 6(a), which reads as follows:-"6(a) This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to recall/cancel the order dated 31.8.2018. passed in M.A 401/2018 for transferring the proceedings of O.A no 323/2018 to mp is plowed. Aurangabad Bench of the Hon'ble Tribunal." 3. O.A no 323/2018 shall continue at Mumbai. Sd/∙ A.H Joshi, 🎝 Chairman Akn

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,006 -2-2015)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAH ARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No

of 20

IN

Original App cation No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.1040 of 2017 .. Applicant Y.G. Bhambure Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents None for Applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougue. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course 2. Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) DATE 119 18 Member (A) 11.9.2018 CORAM (sgj) Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXI (Member) A PPEARANCE: LOCO foral. applic en Advocat - for the Appli ant Shri<u>(Shri</u> A. J. C. A sciencell C.P.O/P.O. 1 or the Respondent/s b Boon Kingthe To come up boon kinal in due course.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2 2015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Appl<sup>-</sup> ation No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Menorands of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.956 of 2017</u>
	S.D. Jadhav & OrsApplicants Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents
	Heard Sint. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Sint. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicants invite attention appara 7 of affidavit which states that the DPC would be conducted in three months time. Though more than six months have passed the progress regarding the same is yet as be intimated.
CATE 1,1918	3. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to intimate the action taken.
Fonto's Sh. P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	4. S.O. to 4.10.2018.
AF FEARANCE: AF FEARANCE: Molage	5. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO directed to communicate this order to the respondents.
Advo the for the Ap Ilicant	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A)
C. UP.O. for the l'espondent/s	11.9.2018
Ad 150 x 4/10/18	(sgj)
* stenc copy and hands	
es granted	

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000--2-2015)

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, O fice Memoranda of Coram, Appearanc , Tribunal's orders or directions nd Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	M.A. No.403 of 2018 in O.A. No.168 of 2018
	S.C. Zanjurne & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondent.
	<ul> <li>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for un Applicants, Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presentin. Officer for Respondents No.1 &amp; 2 and Shri S.S. Der- learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 &amp; 4. Responden No.9 in person.</li> <li>2. Respondent No.9 appears in person and wants to have</li> </ul>
	additional reply. He is permitted to do so.
DATE <u>119118</u> <u>CORAM</u> Ficerble Shri P.N. DIXIT (1 lember) A	3. By consent S.O. to 19.9.2018. (P.M. Dixit) Member (A)
APPEARANCE: Statistic F.T. chandrothe	2 (sgj)
Advocate for the Applicant	7-m
C.P.O.S. O.T. The Riss pondent/s 104 192 S-SS-Dere Pour R.W. 38	4
RAY > 16 - a Ressout, All RAY > 16 - a Ressout, All 11. J. 20 on Proly biled 11. J. 20 on Proly biled by Ky in L. 2. 9. A. p. Riled by How . C- endle how A 100.5 by p. 100.9.	

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000---: 2015)

Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHA ASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Appl ation No.

of 20.

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tr bunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.654 of 2018 . Applicant S.H. Bobade Vs. .Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate 10. the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chier Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. CPO mentions that this matter be heard along 2. with OAs No.464/2018 and 465/2018. In the meanwhile Lu. CPO proposes to file reply on behalf of respondents. DATE 11/2/18 S.O. to 27.9.2018. 3. CORAM Sd/-Honible Shri P N. DIXIT (Member) A (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) APPEARANCE: Simismin A.V. Esendiciondella 11.9.2018 (sgj) Advocate for the Applicant Sharsont Mg. S.P. Monchelkar C.P.O/P.O for the Respondent/s Adj. S.O. K 52-7/0/18.

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2-3015)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHAR SHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. 1 o.

of 20

J N

Original Application No.

of 20

### FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registr ir's orders O.A. No.298 of 2018 .. Applicant V.C. Murudkar Vs. ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate ion the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chica Presenting Officer for the Respondents. DATE Ld. CPO files replies on behalf of respondents no. 2. & respondent no.4 and the same are taken on record. Sne M seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondents no.2 & 3. de Shri P.N. DD IT (Member) A S.O. to 8.10.2018. 3. EARANCE Smt. A:V: Bandlusadekon Sd/-Selvocate for the Applicant (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) Sodisme Ma S. P. Manc 11.9.2018 C.P.O.P.O.Tor 1 is Respondent/s (sgi) Reply HIEd by R.NO.124

(G.C.P.) J 2260(B) (50,000-2.2.15)

## IN THE MAHAR ASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I 14

Original Applicatic 1 No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Alemoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Legistrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	<u>O.A. No.48 of 2018</u>	
	K.F. NaikwadeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate 10	
	the Applicants and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.	
	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to in rejoinder.	
DATE 11/9/18 <u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. D XIT (Membar) A <u>APPEARANCE</u> Shrif Smt. :	3. S.O. to 4.10.2018. Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018	
Advocate for the Apple ant <u>Shri/Smt. 19</u> : N.C. Golod GF O/P.O. for the Respondent/s		
Ad 15.0. 10		

Office Notes, Office Momoranda of Coram, Appearance, "In' Junal's orders or directions and tegistrar's orders

DATE \_1115

APPEARAN CE:

and locate for the Applicant

CP.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. 10 30/10/18

Hon'ble Shrl P.N. DIX T (Member) A

Strismi : Penceus Malag

K. N.G. Goha

CORAM

#### Tribunal's orders O.A. No.663 of 2018

A.S. Kurundkar Vs.

2

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 30.10.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duty authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules. 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9,2018

(sgj)

(G.C.P.) J. 2260(B) (50,000---2-2015)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

## IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	M.A. No.348 of 2018 in O.A. No.663 of 2018
	A.S. KurundkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Present Officer for the Respondents.
DATE 11/9/18	2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that applicant in Central Jail at Taloja. She pleads that applicant is not i position to file affidavit by approaching notary. S therefore, prays that filing of affidavit may be dispen- with. Ld. PO agrees with the same.
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	3. In view of the above, MA is allowed and filing affidavit is dispensed with.
APPEARANCE: StarSmt. : Puncen Mahayan Advocate for the Applicant	Sd/- (P.N! Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018
Shifemit: Mr. N:G. Gohad	(sgj)
Adjus 6. 10 M.A. is allowed	

IN THE MAHA RASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

J N

Original / pplication No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memori and of Coram, Appoarance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.34 of 2018</u>
	S.S. Bhong & OrsApplicants Vs
	The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate 10 the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
DATE 11/9/18	<ol> <li>Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.2 and 4 and seeks time to take instructions to file reply on behalf of other respondents.</li> <li>By consent S.O. to 4.10.2018.</li> </ol>
CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A	Sd/-
APPEARANCE: SmrSmt : Paince: A Mahapa	(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018 (sgj)
Advocate for the Applic ant	
ShritSmi.: K. S. Cicil Leonod C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to 4/10/18 Peply lotod any Org A. 100. 2-24.	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

DATE

CORAM

<u>|||9</u>

APPEARANCE:

Hourble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

16 Tele Kar 20A650

Som Me N.G. Gohad

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. 10 ..... 2-1 9 18

Advocate for the Applic ant

#### Tribunal's orders

### M.A. No.470 of 2018 in O.A. No.733 of 2018

R.B. Fulpagare Vs.

2

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Heard Shri Rahul Agarwal, learned Advocate  $\nu_{\rm c}$ Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicana and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 21.9.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at the stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing durauthenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule... 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registr within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and . service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018

(sgi)

Office Notes, Office Memor and a of Coram, Appearance, Tribuna's orders or directions and legistrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders O.A. No.815 of 2018

K.P. Gholap & Ors. Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

.. Applicants

Heard Shri M.A. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Office: for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 15.10.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve of Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dura authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be take. up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of us Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kepopen.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spect post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not conlected within three days and service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to the order date. 31.8.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Chairman in OA No.734 of 2016 & Ors. granting interim relief to all who are in similar situation like applicant. The applicant is a Scientific Officer and was having contract to work up to 3.9.2018. The Ld. Advocate further mentions that even then applicant is continuing to work. Similar relief as in the order of 31.8.2018 is extended to the applicant in the present OA as well. The same terms and conditions will approto him.

> Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) 'Member (A) 11,9.2018

CORAM Herble Shri F N. DIXIT (Member) A

Small A choudhau

Advocate for the Ap licant

DATE ILCIIS

Sharson I, N.G. Gohac

Adj. 15.0. 15/10/18 Riled isy R.Leo.g. Respondent in G: a. Present in parson

2

Office Notes, Off e Memoranda of Coram, Tribunal's orders Appearance Tribunal's orders or M.A. No.378 of 2018 in O.A. No.686 of 2018 directions nd Registrar's orders .. Applicant D.D. Rawate Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Issue notice returnable on 25.9.2018. 2. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this 3. stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve or 4. Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 o. 5. the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules. 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed 6. post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and 11:118 produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry DATE within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of CORAM compliance and notice. Honible Shri P.N. DIXIT Member) A In case notice is not collected within three days and i. 7. service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference APPEARANCE Machaeren to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. SANT STAL : Promoo In. Advc cate for the Applica a Sd/-Shrin Mg. N.C ... - ohad (P.N. DIXII) C.P.( 15.0. for the Rest ondent/s Member (A) 11.9.2018 Ad AS.C 10 .... 2.5 9 (sgj)

2

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHAR ASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A.1 o.

of 20

IN

Original Appli ation No.

of 20

# FAI AD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribuo al's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No.631 of 2018

R.M. Pandhare & Ors. ...Applicants Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

None for Applicant. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar. learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply and the same is taken on recorc.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018

(sgj)

applicant None bas the

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

Advocate for the Appl cant

DATE 11918

CORAM

Stri/Smt Ms S. P. Manchelkan C.P.O/P.O. for the Res sondent/s

Adres to Adurt To come up fors final hearing Allidapit Riled og & Agriculture Dept: 0

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Applicat on No.

of 20

Office Notes, Of ice Memoranda of Coram, Apperrance Tribunal's orders or direct ms m d Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.828 of 2018</u>
	D.J. AmbilwadeApplicant . Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presentin Officer for the Respondents.
	2. OA is filed by Applicant in person. Applicant absent.
	3. Dismissed for want of prosecution.
DATE 1119118	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018
Hon'ble Shri P. V. Dib 'T (Member) A	(sgj)
APPEARANCE: Survent Applic suit absent	
Sharements for the April ant Sharements	
Adj. 15.0. 10 Disnussed	ол.
De ud	

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Regist car's orders

Tribunal's orders

#### O.A. No:122 of 2018

D.S. Patil ...Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate to the Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO mentions that file has been submitted to the MPSC for their decision. The same needs to be expedited.

3. S.O. to 4.10.2018.

(sgj)

4. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. CPO as directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018

APPEARA ICE: Naran Stort Smt. : ... CLACOLIA. M.S.

Mdvr cate for L e Applicant

DATE

CORAM

String mindles S.P Mehch C.P.C. P.O. for the Res pon Jent/s

0118

Honible Shri P N. LIXIT (Member) A

AGUIS O. 10 HIDLIS. Steve copy and homodost is granted

Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHAF ASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

ΙN

Original Appli ation No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, 'ribunal's orders or directions arc Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	O.A. No.1036 of 2017
	V.C. Gadave
	Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief
	Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. Ld. CPO mentions that the reply along with judgments by the various courts has been filed and are on record. In view thereof the matter may be kept for final hearing.
DATE 11/9'.8	3. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing .
CORAM	3.10.2018.
Hon'ble Shrl P.N. L IXIT (Member) A	Sd/-
APPEARANCE: Stagent M.D. Lowkon	(P.N. <sup>1</sup> Dixit) Member (A) [1.9.2018
Advocate for the Applicant	(sgj)
Suismilles. F. P. Mencheker	
C.P.O/P.O. for t' e Re spondent/s	
Adiso to Adivit	
5.0. +0 3(1)(18.	
P.O. TO -11-110	
Craf	

### IN THE MAHA RASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. N .

of 20

11

Original Application No.

of 20

#### FA RAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Mer oranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribu al's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Re istrar's orders O.A. No.919 of 2017 ..Applicant N.D. Kamble Vs. ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for till Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant mentions that i.e 2. proposes to make a representation before respondent no. . and bring to his notice the provisions contained in Chapter 19.47 of the Maharashtra Civil Medical Code. The respondents are directed to look into the same DATE 11 3. and take a decision. The Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is CORAM at liberty to approach the Tribunal thereafter, if required. Hon'ble Shri P.I .. DIXIT (Member) A OA disposed off accordingly. 4. EARANCE: Stagent 5.5. Joh Sd/-Acvocate for the Apr icant (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018 Statement Ma: 2. P. Mahcherkon C.P.O/P.O. for t .e Respondent/s (sgj) 1. s. o. to - O. A. cis disposed

# IN THE MAHAI ASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

(Spi.- MAT-F-2 E.

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

11

· Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appe trance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.913 of 2017 ..Applicant B.V. Birajdar Vs. ...Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri R. Hake Patil, learned Advocate for use Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chie, Presenting Officer for the Respondents. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the Applicant 2. enable him to do proper pagination adjourned to 28.9.2018 18 nal DATE Sd/-CORAM (P.N. Dixit) monthle Shri P.N. JIXIT (Member) A Member (A) 11.9.2018 (sgj) APPEARANCI ?: Sonisme R. Victe-Peetil Movecate for the Applicant Sand Ms. S.P. Manchakan C.P.O/P.O. for the Resp indent/s Adj./S.O. 12 28 7 18.

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHAR ASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Netes, Office Lemoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and I egistrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>O.A. No.413 of 2018</u>
	R.M. ShindeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents
	Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for une Applicant, Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presentit., Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri K.R. Jagdan learned Advocate for Respondent No.3.
ilalis	<ol> <li>Shri K.R. Jadgale, Ld. Advocate for Respondent No.3 files reply and the same is taken on record. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</li> <li>S.O. to 27.9.2018.</li> </ol>
A RANCE Chendrate	3. S.O. to 27.9.2018. Sd/- [! (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018
No S. P. Mancheka. K. A. Jagdale Roon A. NO. 3. AULASIC 12 27/9/18:	(sgj)
(@jucj	

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

M.A./R.A./C.A. Ne

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, '. ribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.339 of 2018 .. Applicant U.B. Jarande Vs. ..Respondent: The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate 107 the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Ld. PO proposes to file reply enclosing the order  $\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ 2. respondent no.2. She proposes to do the same within three weeks. DATE 11/9/18 S.O. to 4.10.2018. 3. CORAM Sd/ſ Honible Shri P.N. DI' IT (Member) A (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018 APPEARANCE: Snright P. N. P. C. M. Dicorredoker (sgj) Advoca le for i in Applic ant Shit MI . K.S. Cocol 4000 C.P.O. P.O. for the Re punder .s 

[Spi.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Of ace Memoranda of Coram, Appearanc , Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders O.A. No.873 of 2017 .. Applicant P.J. Ingawale · Vs. ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officefor the Respondents. Reply is already filed. Applicant as well as his 2. advocate are absent. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course 3. DATE 11/9/18 CORAM Sd/-Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (F.ember) A (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018 APPEARAN DE for the (sgj) Hone ... applicent Advocate for the Applicant Shirsmi. K.S. 3 aikewad G.P.OTP.O. for the Read ondent/s ep loon final he come in due course.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

#### Tribunal's orders O.A. No.830 of 2018

#### A.M. Gulve Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Applicant

Heard Shri M.A. Choudhari, learned Advocate holding Res Shri K.T. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss-Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 15.10.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dury authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book or O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ spectro post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within onweek. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and ... service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant refers to the order date: 31.8.2018 passed by the Hon'ble Chairman in OA No.734 of 2018 & Ors. granting interim relief to all who are in similar situation like applicant. The applicant is a Scientific Officer and was having contract to work up to 3.9.2018. The Ld. Advocate furmer mentions that even then applicant is continuing to work. Similar relief as in the order of 31.8.2018 is extended to the applicant the present OA as well. The same terms and conditions will apply to him.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018

DATE CORAM .

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE A. ID nolding bois Movecate for the Applicant

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 15-110 18

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

119

holding for S.S

CF.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

ANTIS 10 10 15 10 18.

Statisme: Ac-chana B.K.

Advocate for the Apylicant

APPEARANCE:

Hon'ble Shrl P. / DIXIT (Member) A

DATE

CORAM

Tribunal's orders O.A. No.826 of 2018

R.S. Khapale

Vs.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

.. Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 15.10.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve  $o_{12}$ . Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing dura authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would the taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rule... 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ specpost/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days and a service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Fribunal and papers be consigned to record.

(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018

(sgj)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

### IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

# FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.182 of 2018

G.K. Gaware ...Applicant Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for un Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant is directed to find out the present position of the criminal case before the JMFC. Dhule. Ld. CPO may also find out the present position and intimate the same.

3. S.O. to 24.9.2018.

(sg).

Sd/-(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 11.9.2018

DATE CORAM

rion'ble Shri P.t . DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCS

Advocate for the A plicant

Starsmithe S. P. Monche Kan C.P.O/P.O. for the Re. pondent/s

Adj./S.O. 10 24/c 118.