

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.659 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. Naik & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. The Applicants has filed this O.A. being aggrieved by order dated 28.01.2020 whereby punishment of withdrawing of two increments without cumulative effect was imposed by Respondent No.1 – The Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the Applicants have already filed appeal before the Respondent No.2 – The Director General of Police against the order of punishment but it is not decided.</p> <p>4. Though, The Director General of Police is Respondent No.2 in the present O.A., no Reply is filed by him. Respondent No.1 - The Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune only filed Reply and surprisingly made a statement that no appeal is filed against the appeal of punishment which is obviously incorrect.</p> <p>5. None is present from the Office of Respondent No.1 or 2.</p> <p>6. In view of above, the present O.A. needs to dispose of with direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the appeals filed by the Applicants on 16.07.2021 & 28.07.2021 within stipulated period since the decision on statutory appeal is still not taken by the appellate authority.</p>

7. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.2 to decide appeals preferred by the Applicants in accordance to law within two months from today and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.

8. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has also raised grievance about order dated 05.05.2021 whereby suspension of the Applicant is treated as suspension as such in view of punishment imposed by the disciplinary authority. However, since the appeal is still subjudice the decision to that effect will have to be taken afresh by the Respondent No.1 - The Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune in accordance to law. Therefore, order dated 05.05.2021 is set aside.

9. Respondent No.1 - The Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune is therefore directed to take decision afresh about the suspension period after decision of appeal by following due process of law and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.

10. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he may avail further remedy as may be permissible in law.

11. No order as to costs.

^

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.659 of 2021</p> <p>R.G. Naik & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. The Applicants has filed this O.A. being aggrieved by order dated 28.01.2020 whereby punishment of withdrawing of two increments without cumulative effect was imposed by Respondent No.1 – The Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the Applicants have already filed appeal before the Respondent No.2 – The Director General of Police against the order of punishment but it is not decided.</p> <p>4. Though, The Director General of Police is Respondent No.2 in the present O.A., no Reply is filed by him. Respondent No.1 - The Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune only filed Reply and surprisingly made a statement that no appeal is filed against the appeal of punishment which is obviously incorrect.</p> <p>5. None is present from the Office of Respondent No.1 or 2.</p> <p>6. In view of above, the present O.A. needs to dispose of with direction to Respondent No.2 to decide the appeals filed by the Applicants on 16.07.2021 & 28.07.2021 within stipulated period since the decision on statutory appeal is still not taken by the appellate authority.</p>

7. O.A. is accordingly disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.2 to decide appeals preferred by the Applicants in accordance to law within two months from today and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.

8. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has also raised grievance about order dated 05.05.2021 whereby suspension of the Applicant is treated as suspension as such in view of punishment imposed by the disciplinary authority. However, since the appeal is still subjudice the decision to that effect will have to be taken afresh by the Respondent No.1 - The Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune in accordance to law. Therefore, order dated 05.05.2021 is set aside.

9. Respondent No.1 - The Additional Commissioner of Police, Pune is therefore directed to take decision afresh about the suspension period after decision of appeal by following due process of law and the decision as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant.

10. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision he may avail further remedy as may be permissible in law.

11. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
v
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.45 of 2021 in O.A. No.715 of 2020</u></p> <p>Arvind R. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO has submitted that Central Government has asked all the State Governments and the office of Government Pleaders to take up the issue of annual increments to be given to Government employees who retire on 30th June. Therefore all the matters will be taken up before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The matter which is decided by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court where the view is taken that such increment can be given to Government employees, is to be challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Ld. PO pointed out para 8 of the affidavit in reply dated 26.10.2021 filed by Shri Nitin Ramchandra Gadre, Additional Chief Secretary (Accounts and Treasuries), Finance Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, which reads:</p> <p align="center"><i>"8. I say and submit that the State Government could not take policy decision regarding grant of one notional increment (as due on 1st July) for the pensionary benefits to those employees who had retired on 30th June, which was neither intentional nor deliberate but only because the issue involved in the matter was subjudice before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the question of law was open as mentioned in Para 5. The Government of India has subsequently requested all the States, vide letter dated 1.7.2021, to take necessary action in this matter as per provisions mentioned in the OA issued by DoPT&T vide dated 3.2.2021 and order dated 5.4.2021 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India as mentioned in para 6.</i></p> <p align="center"><i>In view of this above stated position and instructions received from government of India</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><i>mentioned above, the State Government has decided not to change the existing policy in this regard."</i></p> <p>3. The applicant submits that SLP No.4422/2021 is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and only dates are taken in this matter. He submits that Hon'ble Gujarat High Court, Bombay High Court and the Tribunal has taken a view in favour of the Government servants holding them eligible for increment on 1st July though they are retired on 30th June. He submits that on that basis he is also to be granted benefit of annual increment though he is retired on 30th June. He be granted notional increment for the purpose of pensionary benefits though he retired on 30.6.2015. It is to be given subject to outcome of SLP.</p> <p>4. Considering the submissions made by both the sides, we accept that the Hon'ble Bombay High Court and the Tribunal has taken this view. However, it is a fact that SLP No.4722/2021 is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court involving the same issue. Under such circumstances, it will be cumbersome to hold that applicant is eligible for notional increment on 1st July though he retired on 30.6.2015 as it is final repercussion. If the Hon'ble Supreme Court decides against the prayer made by the applicant then it will be very difficult for the State to recover the amount paid to him.</p> <p>5. In view of this we are of the view that Government's action in taking policy decision at this stage is justifiable. However, as and when Hon'ble Supreme Court decides the matter, the State is bound to take decision immediately.</p> <p>6. In view of the above, the Contempt Application is disposed off.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.8.2022</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.8.2022</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C.A.No.11 of 2022 in O.A.No.131 of 2021</p> <p>M.J. KadamApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. informs that after receipt of the report dated 09.05.2022 it was submitted to the Government and it was accepted. It was served on the Applicant by letter dated 30.06.2022 and thereafter the Applicant submitted her reply on 11.07.2022. By order dated on 22.07.2022, punishment of 6% reduction in the pension for two years was proposed. Learned C.P.O. submits that they need further time of approximately 10 weeks from today to complete the entire process.</p> <p>3. We grant time till 28.10.2022 to complete the entire process. Matter adjourned to 03.11.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil/ Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.104 of 2022 in O.A. No.373 of 2021</u></p> <p>A.K. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo moto speaking to minutes in para 2 of the order dated 4.8.2022. The date of first FIR "27.9.2001" is to be substituted as "27.9.2008". Accordingly, it is to be corrected and read.</p> <p>3. In the OA the applicant prays that applicant be deemed to be regular and permanent Class-I officer in the post of Project Officer having deemed to have been satisfactorily completed the probation period of two years as on 12.4.2008 as per GR dated 21.3.2000.</p> <p>4. In this matter Desk Officer and Law Officer from Tribal Department are present and inform that as the matter is pending before this Tribunal regarding satisfactory completion of probation period of the applicant the Tribal Department has sent the file to GAD thrice on 21.1.2021, 3.6.2021 and 29.7.2022. However, the GAD sent back the file thereby refusing to clear the file of satisfactory completion of probation period of the applicant on account of pendency of the criminal cases.</p> <p>5. We suo moto add GAD as party respondent no.2 as we have observed that Tribal Department is unable to take decision in absence of approval of GAD. We would like to point out that today we are not provided the reason given by GAD. Hence, we are handicapped to proceed in this matter.</p> <p>6. We call upon Deputy Secretary, GAD to remain present and enlighten us in respect of GR dated 28.3.1995 and 29.2.2016 wherein GAD itself has directed the concerned department to take decision in respect of</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders


completion of probation period within time or to remove the Government servant who are rendering unsatisfactory services during probation period or within grace period of three months thereafter. We would like to point out that applicant though joined the service on 10.4.2006 his probation period was to be completed on 10.4.2008 and if not as per concerned GR within grace period of three months i.e. on 10.7.2008. However, concerned department did not pass any order. Thereafter the applicant was prosecuted for offences of forgery, cheating and fraud and criminal case was registered against him. Department has initiated enquiry. Then second FIR was registered against him on 4.8.2009 for the similar offences and DE was initiated. However, record shows that applicant was exonerated on 17.8.2020 from first DE and further exonerated on 13.1.2022 from second DE though criminal cases are pending in criminal courts.

7. We would like to know from GAD when during the period which is prescribed under two GRs and grace period given for probation period no criminal case was registered against him, then why probation period was not deemed to be completed as per the GRs. Though criminal cases are pending against him, in two DEs he is exonerated. Under such circumstances, can we keep the case of the applicant pending in respect of probation period. GAD to support opinion along with relevant GRs and MCS Rules.

8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant pointed out that Shri Hariram Madavi, Project Officer in Tribal Department was appointed on 17.4.2006. He retired on 28.2.2017 without completion of probation period and his criminal case was pending under the Prevention of Corruption Act and DE was also pending against him. However, by order dated 27.1.2020 his probation period was brought to an end. We call upon GAD to show the rules and procedure followed in case of Shri Madavi to compare both the cases.

9. S.O. to 18.8.2022.

Sd/-
(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
11.8.2022

Sd/- 
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
11.8.2022

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.161 of 2021</p> <p>S.R. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has tendered short Affidavit of behalf of Respondent No.2 along with G.R. dated 21.12.2019 and 12.06.2020. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Having heard learned Advocate for the Applicant for some time the issue involved in the present O.A. is about entitlement of Government servant for retiral benefits who were appointed on supernumerary post in view of invalidation of their caste certificate.</p> <p>4. Government through G.A.D. by G.R. dated 21.12.2019 had taken decision to appoint Government servant on supernumerary post whose caste certificate were invalidated. The said decision seems to have been taken in compliance of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.8928/2015 (Chairman and M.D., Food Corporation of India (F.C.I.) v/s. Gagdish Balram Bahira and others)</i> dated 06.07.2017.</p> <p>5. Later Government through G.A.D. issued another G.R. dated 12.01.2020 and appointed study group for making recommendation about the entitlement of such Government servant who are appointed on supernumerary post. As per this G.R. study group was to submit recommendation within three months. Thus on receipt of recommendation of study group Government was to take policy decision.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. In present case also the issue is about entitlement to retiral benefits of a Government servant who was appointed on supernumerary post.

7. Learned P.O. on instruction from G.A.D. submits that study group has made some recommendation and now matter is in further process at the level of Government.

8. Indeed, the decision as the case may be ought to have been taken by this time, since the retiral benefits of so many employees are now held up. In this O.A. also Government servant died on 21.09.2020 but till date leave encashment, family pension & gratuity is not paid. O.A. therefore deserves to be decided expeditiously.

9. In view of above, Respondent No.3 is directed to file additional Affidavit to appraise the Tribunal about the decision of Government on the recommendation made by study group.


10. S.O. to 22.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

NMN

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.791 of 2022</u></p> <p>Ganesh Pawar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Pranav Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. Applicants to file the affidavit of service.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.8.2022 11.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.790 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.L. Ghumare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Pranav Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. Applicants to file the affidavit of service.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha/Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.8.2022 11.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.14 of 2022 in O.A. No.343 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.G. Rajput & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We expect that the order of the Tribunal will be complied within two weeks.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.8.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.8.2022 11.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.36 of 2022 in O.A. No.65 of 2022</u></p> <p>P.P. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to answer the query of the Tribunal regarding date of cause of action to fix the limitation.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.8.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.8.2022</p> </div>  </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.35 of 2021 in O.A. No.229 of 2019</u></p> <p>A. Nazirkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Pooja Mankoji holding for Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that issue involved in this matter is also before the Aurangabad Bench of this Tribunal who has heard the matter and it is kept for orders.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.9.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.8.2022 11.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.758 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.V. Mali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply. Affidavit of service is filed.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.8.2022 11.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.793 of 2022</u></p> <p>M.D. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Ld. CPO for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant challenges cause notice dated 2.8.2022 issued by respondent no.3 calling upon applicant to show cause why his services should not be terminated.</p> <p>3. In view of order dated 8.8.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OAs No.775, 776, 777, 778 & 779/2022, <u>we grant interim relief on the same lines as the issue being the same. The respondents are directed not to pass any adverse or coercive orders till next date.</u></p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.8.2022 11.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.796 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.A. Bhowad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, Ld. Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, Ld. CPO for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant challenges cause notice dated 2.8.2022 issued by respondent no.3 calling upon applicant to show cause why his services should not be terminated.</p> <p>3. In view of order dated 8.8.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OAs No.775, 776, 777, 778 & 779/2022, <u>we grant interim relief on the same lines as the issue being the same. The respondents are directed not to pass any adverse or coercive orders till next date.</u></p> <p>4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.8.2022. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>8. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>9. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the OA shall be placed on board before the concerned Bench under the caption "For Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the OA shall stand dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member (A) Chairperson 11.8.2022 11.8.2022</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 11.08.2022
	<p align="center">M.A.No.287 of 2022 in O.A.No.263 of 2022</p> <p>N.D. RautApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A. is filed seeking condonation of delay in filing O.A. Learned P.O. seeks three weeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply in M.A.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 11.08.2022
	<p align="center">M.A.No.457 of 2022 in O.A.No.692 of 2022</p> <p>S.R. Mohite & Ors.Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. N.A. Agashe, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed. O.A. adjourned to 22.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 11.08.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.1062 of 2021</p> <p>M.P. Devade & OrsApplicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Mr. Jagdale submits that out of 27 Applicants, 26 Applicants are promoted. Applicant No.11, Ms. Gayatri Prakash Amale is not promotion because she does not have three years requisite experience. Learned Advocate submits that in view of this the grievance of promotion is redressed, he however, prayers for Deemed date of Promotion.</p> <p>3. We are of the view that the Applicants who are promoted may apply to the concerned Department for giving deemed date of promotion and such representation is placed before the concerned authority. This decision is to be taken reasonably within a period of three months thereafter.</p> <p>4. Order of promotion dated 08.08.2022 is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-1.</p> <p>5. In view of above, O.A. stands disposed of.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p align="left">prk [P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.08.2022</p> <p>O.A.No.1044 of 2021</p> <p>R.B. KolpeApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply filed. Admit and kept for final hearing on 13.10.2022.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 11.08.2022
	<p align="center">O.A.No.32 of 2022</p> <p>S.S. Palve @ S.B. GiteApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view that Home Department is required to file affidavit-in-reply matter is adjourned to 15.09.2022.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 11.08.2022
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.173 of 2022</p> <p>J.N. ShaikhApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate seeks time to file affidavit-in-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) ✓ (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">Date : 11.08.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.625 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. AkaleApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the file has been submitted to the Hon'ble the Chief Minister.</p> <p>3. Matter adjourned to 18.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Medha Gadgil) (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.226 of 2020</p> <p>R.S. Jadhav.Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. M.B. Gawade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate submits that the Government has issued G.R. on 02.06.2022, wherein Police Patil are allowed to be Member/Director of the Co-operative Society, so the Applicant has deleted the prayer about challenging the G.R. dated 07.09.1999. In view of this matter can be assigned to Single Bench.</p> <p>3. Hence, matter be placed before Single Bench today itself.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Member(A) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.478 of 2022 in O.A. No.790 of 2022</u></p> <p>V.L. Ghumare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Pranav Avhad, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are prosecuting for the same cause of action. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 11.8.2022 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 11.8.2022</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.425 of 2022 with O.A. No.426 of 2022</p> <p>S.H. AyareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O., Smt. Archana B.K. submits that matter is handled by P.O., Shri A.J. Chougule but he is unable to remain present today due to his personal difficulties.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.486 of 2020</p> <p>S.D. TejaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. During the course of hearing, learned Advocate for the Applicant raised issue of parity <i>inter-alia</i> contending that in the matter of co-delinquent. Government modified the punishment imposed upon them and only censured them. According to him, misconduct attributed to the present Applicant and other four delinquents are identical. He therefore sought time to file relevant record to show that all are equally situated.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.593 of 2022</p> <p>R.V. SalunkeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today matter is for filing Affidavit-in-Reply but the same is not filed. Last chance was granted on previous date. Hence, I am not inclined to grant further time.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.34 of 2021 in O.A. No.55 of 2021</p> <p>S.B. Savlekar & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawanshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents Nos.1 & 2 and None for the Respondent Nos.3 & 4.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Savita Suraywanshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi submit that there are two other M.As filed in this O.A. in office but those are not listed.</p> <p>3. Office is directed to trace those M.A. and it be listed before this Bench on 23.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.895 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. V.T. ThakurApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant on telephonic instruction from his client fairly submit that prayer made in the O.A. has become infructuous in view of certain development and request to dispose of the O.A.</p> <p>3. Since, O.A. has become infructuous O.A. is disposed of with no order as costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.363 of 2022 in O.A. No.555 of 2022</p> <p>D.D. GaikwadApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.B. Ade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply to M.A.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.979 of 2017</p> <p>G.S. DeshmukhApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Advocate for the Respondent. 2. On request of learned P.O. one week time is granted to produce copies of deposition. 3. Adjourned for Final Hearing by way of last chance. 4. The impugned order is of reduction of basic pay for a period of two years in the post of Police Constable ^{Constable} 5. Learned P.O. submits that this seems to be major punishment. 6. The impugned order of punishment in present case is passed under provision of Maharashtra Police Act in which there is no classification between minor penalty and major penalty. However, as per classification of penalties given for M.C.S. (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 the impugned punishment of reduction of basic pay falls in the category of major penalty. 7. In view of above, I/C. Registrar is directed to verify and place it before appropriate Bench. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) [P.T.O. Member (J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.1112 of 2017</p> <p>P.D. ShindeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned ^{P.O.}Advocate for the Respondent is present. In this O.A. the Applicant has challenged the selection process initiated by S.D.O. by notification dated 25.10.2017 to fill-in the post of Police Patil. On previous date also the Applicant and his Advocate both were absent but in the interest of justice to give one opportunity matter was adjourned for Final Hearing. However, today also the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Thus, it appears that they are not interested in the matter. In view of above, O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.703 of 2022</p> <p>S.G. Tambdi & Ors.,Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.652 of 2022</p> <p>D.K. MayekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Dhannawat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one day time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply, since the Applicant is deprived of retiral benefits for long time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.647 of 2022</p> <p>A.G. SangarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.616 of 2022</p> <p>P.P. PimparkhedeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.P. Pimparkhede, Applicant-in-Person and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.613 of 2022</p> <p>S.B. BamaneApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.602 of 2022</p> <p>S.D. GanageApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.L. Rathod, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.598 of 2022</p> <p>R.R. MohiteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. one day time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.493 of 2022</p> <p>U.M. SasaveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.424 of 2022</p> <p>B.P. SalunkheApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S. Sakhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.962 of 2021</p> <p>S.M. KalkuteApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Savita Suryawnashi, learned Advocate holding for Smt. P. Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for all the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.3 & 4. It is taken on record. No separate Reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2.</p> <p>3. O.A. be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.09.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.816 of 2021</p> <p>K.S. BirajdarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 11.08.2022</p> <p align="center">R.A. No. 11 of 2022 in O.A. No.50 of 2021</p> <p>A.N. BhalchandraApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.N. Bhalchandra, Applicant-in-Person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. three days time is granted to file Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.08.2022.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

[P.T.O.]

Date: 11.08.2022

O.A. No.766 of 2022

A.B. NimbalkarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 01.09.2021 issued by Government denying his claim for interest on delayed retiral payment.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.08.2022.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.
9. S.O. to 29.08.2022.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 11.08.2022

O.A. No.680 of 2022

S.S. PawarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged suspension order dated 17.03.2021 in view of arrest under the provision of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.09.2022.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. By Hand delivery, speed post, courier notice to be served and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall be placed on board before the concerned Benches under the caption "for Dismissal" and thereafter on the subsequent date the Original / Miscellaneous Applications shall stand dismissed.

9. S.O. to 05.09.2022.

Sd/-

✓
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)