

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/8/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. _____ (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri. <u>P.V. Patil</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Shri. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> -C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>Rejoinder filed by Applicant.</u> -Adj. To <u>S.O. to 29/9/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>11.08.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 31/2015</u></p> <p>Dr S.N Waydande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.V Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Shri Patil, files rejoinder. O.A is admitted. Respondents are at liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if need be.</p> <p>Place for final hearing on 29.9.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11.8.2016</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshlunar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>18/8/2016</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.820 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri D.M. Diwane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 18.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman 11.8.2016 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

O.A.545/2016

Shri K.V. Lokhande ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 08.09.2016.


Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 8th September, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.


(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
11.08.2016

(skw)

O.A.356/2016

Shri S.V. Sonawane ... Applicant


Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.

If the Sur-rejoinder is to be filed, it must be filed on the day, it appears before the Bench and not thereafter.


(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
11.08.2016

(skw)

O.A.826/2016

**Integrated Medical Practitioner Association
... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents**

Heard Shri Sandeep S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

The learned P.O. is being instructed by Shri K.V. Lokhande on behalf of MPSC. In the matter of equivalence according to the Applicant, the qualification of BUMS is equivalent to BAMS and that aspect of the matter is already being agitated. The MPSC is hereby directed to convey to this Tribunal their decision in that behalf on the next date.

It is made clear that the recommendations, if any, made at any subsequent stage also would be subject to the condition that those recommended or appointed should be made clear that it would be subject to the ultimate outcome hereof.

Issue notice returnable on 25.08.2016.


Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 25th August, 2016. The learned P.O. do waive service.


(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
11.08.2016

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.357 of 2016

Shri C.A. Ghodke

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that reply is ready and he wants to
verify whether it is proper and prays for time till
tomorrow.

3. S.O. to 12.8.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Jōshī,
Chairman

11.8.2016

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.834 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri Khan A. Gani. . . Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. . . Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that he has not read the brief and requests for one day's time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 11.8.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA.315/16 in CA.60/15 in OA.1013/14</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. Smt. M.V. Deshmukh ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents. None for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 12.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi,  Chairman 11.8.2016</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

(Advocate

versus.

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.08.2016.

C.A.No.41 of 2016 in O.A.No.1225 of 2010

Shri S.C. Gupta

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Contemnor is absent though case was kept back in the background that affidavit is not filed though time is granted.

3. For order, adjourned to tomorrow.

4. S.O. to 12.08.2016.

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

1.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.130 of 2015 in O.A. No.308 of 2012

Shri S.S. Padave ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate
for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO is instructed by Shri Naresh S. Ingte,
Assistant, Home Department, and he prays for
adjournment.

3. S.O. to 12.8.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Jōshī) - 4
Chairman

11.8.2016

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.829 OF 2016

Shri Siddharth K. Kasbe ..Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar – Advocate for the Applicant
Smt. Savita Suryawanshi – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 11th August, 2016

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Heard for some time. Ld. PO is assisted by Smt. Kale, Law Officer and Shri Medage, Head Clerk from the office of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai.
3. Tribunal had directed to produce record. Minutes of PEB were tendered for perusal. Perusal of minutes reveals only discussion. Any other record such as detailed proposal were not produced.
4. In these premises, Learned PO was called:
 - (a) To produce if there exists any record to evidence that the cases of proposed transfers under Section 22N(2) were put up before the PEB along with a note or narration supporting the grounds in support.



- (b) To show if it can be demonstrated that any such note and supporting material was either placed and/or circulated before the members of PEB for enabling them to apply mind.
- (c) Whether any decision on each case is recorded by committee to the effect that each case claimed as falling within Section 22N(2), satisfies the conditions required/mandated therein i.e. 'exceptional circumstances', 'public interest', 'administrative exigencies'.
- (d) Whether the officer who has initiated transfers before the PEB would like to personally address, who could be Assistant Commissioner of Police concerned or any other higher officer.


4. Ld. PO states that she would communicate this order to the Commissioner of Police, Mumbai and request him to depute officer concerned or any higher officer if he desires to address himself.

5. Ld. PO shall be free to produce compilation of the documents consisting of the minutes of PEB, office note on the subject, if any and evidence to suggest that these records were circulated and/or documents whatsoever.

6. In case respondents want to file affidavit answering each and every point it must be filed. It shall suffice if averments contained in para 6.5, 6.6 and 6.7 are replied.

7. S.O. to 16.8.2016.

8. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
11.8.2016

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

MUMBAI

Final Application No. _____

of 20 _____

District _____

Applicant/s

ocate _____

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

Presenting Officer _____

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No.727 of 2016 with O.A.No.728 of 2016

Shri K.R. Khairnar - O.A.No.727 of 2016

Shri K.D. Kabadi - O.A.No.728 of 2016 ...Applicants

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It has transpired, prima-facie, that the Applicant is transferred on account of adverse report. However this report does not appear to be proper.

3. It is hoped that the Respondent should, in all fairness reconsider the matter, openmindedly.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to take instructions and make statement.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents

6. S.O. to 12.08.2016.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

P/O

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
C.A. No.95 of 2015 in O.A. No.1013 of 2012

Shri A.V. Mulik ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate
for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the midst of hearing Shri Bandiwadekar. *Ld.*
Advocate for the Applicant states that:-

(a) After dismissal of writ petition filed by the
State, it appears to him that notice of
contempt requires to be given to contemnor
who may be past Collector, present
Collector, Commissioner, Secretary as well
as other officers in their individual name
and give them 15 days time and then to file
an application for action for contempt. if
need arises.

(b) For the aforesaid course of action he would
like to withdraw the present contempt
application with liberty to file fresh CA.

3. CA is allowed to be withdrawn with above liberty
in the manner requested by him.

2
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi) *(J.)*
Chairman
11.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 11.08.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

CPO/ P.G. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. CA is allowed to

be withdrawn

(Bj)


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11.08.2016</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice S.M. Deshpande (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>For Applicant: <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>For Respondent: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u></p> <p>CPG/PO. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>23.08.2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">(R)</p>	<p>Date : 11.08.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.34 of 2012 in O.A.No.846 of 2009</p> <p>Dr. Major P.Y. Gaikwad ...Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>The file is submitted to L. & J.D., however the opinion is not yet received.</p> <p>3. The Respondent No.2 is directed to file his own affidavit stating that steps are taken to pursue the L.& J.D. for securing opinion in the background of pendency of Contempt Application.</p> <p>4. Affidavit be filed on or before 23.08.2016.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>sb</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.554 of 2016

Shri R.N. Bhosale ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant in person. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad,
learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant tenders affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken
on record.

3. Admit. To come up in due course.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at
this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not
be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on
Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly
authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper
book of O.A.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of
the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure
Rules, 1988. The questions such as limitation and
alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed
post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and
produced along with affidavit of compliance in the
Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file
affidavit of compliance and notice.

(Signature)
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
11.8.2016

(sgj)

DATE: 11.08.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Chandra B. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice J. Y. Joshi (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/S... Applicant in Person

Advocate for Respondents

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O. for Respondent/s

Adj. To... Admit

(8)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.642 of 2016

Shri G.J. Rasal & Ors. ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate
for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO states that affidavit in reply of respondents
no.1 and 4 is already filed.

3. Ld. PO is expected to examine whether reply of
respondents no.2 and 3 is necessary and only if affidavit
is necessary it be filed by Respondents No.2 and 3.

4. Ld. PO seeks time to decide and advise concerned
respondents suitably.

5. S.O. to 23.9.2016.

Sell
(A.H. Joshi, sgj)
Chairman
11.8.2016

DATE: 11.08.2016

CORAM:

Member/Chairman Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Member/Registrar Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar (Member) A

Member/Advocate / B.A. Bandiwadekar

Member/Advocate / Archana B.K.

Member/Advocate / Respondent/s

Adj. To 23.09.2016

(H)


(sgj)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11.8.2016</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice K. B. Bhise (Member) A</p> <p><u>ADVOCATE:</u> Adv. A. S. Deshpande</p> <p><u>Advocate for the Applicant</u> Shri/Smt. K. B. Bhise</p> <p><u>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</u></p> <p>Adj. To: 22.08.2016 (P)</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.691 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.M. Morey ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO prays for time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.8.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 11.8.2016 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11.08.2016</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/S^{adv.} A. R. M. Kolge Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/S^{adv.} A. J. Chougule C/O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To..... 17.10.2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(R)</p>	<p>Date : 11.08.2016.</p> <p>C.A.No.158 of 2014 in O.A.No.160 of 2008 with O.A.No.471 of 2012</p> <p>Shri Y.S. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors.respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that the W.P. is filed before the Hon'ble High court challenging the order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.160 of 2008, and the W.P. is expected to be listed for hearing on tomorrow's board.</p> <p>3. In view of the submission of learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 17.10.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date if occasion arises.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sba</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, Jm) Chairman </p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No.397 of 2016

Shri S.N. Pawar ...Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Mah. & Ors. ...respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

Additional affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary will be filed because the draft affidavit does not contain satisfactory explanation of certain things and prays for two weeks time.

4. S.O. to 6.09.2016.

DATE: 11.08.2016

CORAM:

Mr. Justice T. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
 Mr. Justice K. S. Narayana (Member) A

ADVOCATE:

Ms. (Adv) S.P. Manchekar

ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENTS:

Shri/A. J. Chougule
 P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To 6.09.2016

(B)

(Signature)
 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

sba


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

... Respondent.

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11.08.2016.</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasankumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: <u>None for the Applicant</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>dismissed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P)</p>	<p>Date : 11.08.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No. 219 of 2015 with O.A.No.220 of 2015</p> <p>Smt S.S. Mhetre (O.A.No.219 of 2015) Shri B.R. Battise (O.A.No.220 of 2015) ...Applicants</p> <p>Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...respondents</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is seen that none appeared for the Applicant on earlier 3 dates.</p> <p>3. Application is dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p>

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/8/16</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: A.A. Desai Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: K.S. Mulekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents Smt. Punam Mahajan for BS, G</p> <p>Adj. To: Heard</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column - 5010 25/8/16 first on board.</p>	<p>11.08.2016</p> <p><u>M.A 181/2016 in R.A No 8/2016 in O.A 289/15</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Pawar & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the Applicant, Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 4 and Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for Respondents 5 & 9.</p> <p>As of now the Review Application will be taken up for hearing and the M.A is tied along with liberty to the Applicant to present the M.A, if need be and if for some reason or the other getting delayed.</p> <p>Review Application adjourned to 25.8.2016, first on Board.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (R.B. Malik) Member (J)</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>




(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>11/8/16</u></p> <p>Coram - Himabti R.B. malik (MS)</p> <p>Applicant in person present Shri K.G. Rajpurohit holding for Smt. Savita Suryawanshi PO for the Respondents.</p> <p>Heard orders passed by Tribunal Coram. S.O. 26/8/16.</p> <p></p>	<p><u>O.A.482/2016</u></p> <p>Shri K.G. More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard the Applicant in person and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit holding for Smt. Savita Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>The Affidavit of Service tendered by the Applicant is taken on record. Affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents 1, 3 & 5 is also taken on record and a copy of which is furnished to the Applicant. The Applicant may file the Affidavit-in-rejoinder on the next date and if the Respondents 2 and 4 also want to file Affidavit-in-reply, they must file it on the next date otherwise, the OA shall proceed to its next stage.</p> <p>S.O. to 26th August, 2016.</p> <p> Gdl- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 11.08.2016</p> <p> (skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____
..... Applicants

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

11.08.2016

M.A 303/2016 in O.A 664/2013

Shri A.H Kaktikar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J Chougale, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 25.8.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O 25.8.2016.

DATE: 11/8/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Shri. M. D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. A.J. Chougale

Presenting Officer for Respondents

Head

order passed by Tribunal

Column

S.O 25/8/16.

2

Sd/-
(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)

Sd/-
garwal
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/8/16</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad C.A.O./R.O. for the Respondents 1, 2 Miss S.P. Manchekar original Applicant</p> <p>Adj. To: Headcl</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal Column 5070 4/8/16.</p>	<p><u>11.08.2016</u></p> <p><u>M.A 310/2016 in O.A 271/2016</u></p> <p>Shri V.R Jagtap & ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the Applicants, Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the Original Applicant. 2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 25.8.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation / notice is ordered under rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. S.O 25.8.2016. <p>(R.B. Malik) Member (J)</p> <p>(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No.799 of 2016

Smt. S.D. Tambe ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 25.8.2016.


3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 25.08.2016.


(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

DATE : 11.08.2016

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Ch. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice ... (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

For Applicant / P. S. Pathak

For Respondent /

K. B. Bhise

Presenting Officer /

Adj. To 25.08.2016

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11.08.2016</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>3.10.2016</u> <u>(B)</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.08.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.684 of 2016</p> <p>S.H. ManeApplicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors.Respondent/s</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that further three weeks time may be granted. 3. Though interim relief is granted and is in operative, learned P.O. is not duly instructed. 4. S.O. to 3.10.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date if affidavit in reply is filed. <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p>

sb

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No.1063 of 2015

Shri C.V. Alsatwra ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurani, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that he wants time to till 16.08.2016.

3. It is expected that action required to be taken should be taken by Director General of Police for replacing or relocating the persons who are claiming the benefits for S.B.C. category in compliance to Government decision dated 30.06.2004.

4. Steps be taken and compliance be reported on the next date.

5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. for the Respondents to communicate this order to the Respondents.

6. S.O. to 16.08.2016.

DATE: 11.08.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kamechi (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Adv. A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri /Smt. N.K. Rajpurani

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 16.08.2016

(4)

Sba

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman P.T.C.

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No.635 of 2016

S.D. Jagtap

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

- (a) The Government has decided to acquiesce with the judgment of acquittal rendered in Special Case No. 14 of 2008.
- (b) Now the matter would be processed for passing consequential order.
- (c) Three months time would be required for this exercise.

3. It is seen that the order of acquittal is dated 20.02.2015. Government has already spent long time.

4. Any further delay result in injustice.

5. Required steps should be expedited and steps should be reported from time to time.

6. Compliance be reported on the next date.

7. S.O. to-21.10.2016.

DATE: 11.08.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCES:

State/Adv. A.S. Deshpande

Advocates for the Respondents

Shri A.J. Chougule

C.A.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 21.10.2016

(2)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No. 833 of 2016

Shri S.R. Ahire ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 29.8.2016.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. It is admitted that applicant is not relieved so far because examinations are going on.
8. In view of the aforesaid position, the applicant should not be relieved till next date.
9. Affidavit be filed and record be kept ready.
10. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

DATE: 11.8.2016

CORAM:

Hon. Mr. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon. Mr. Justice Shri B. K. ... (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. Archana B.K.

Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 29.8.2016

Steno copy and hamdast is allowed (R)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
11.8.2016

(sg)


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11.08.2016</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Registrar (Member) A</p> <p><u>Punam Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adm. To <u>disposed off.</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(E)</p>	<p><u>O.As. No.786, 787, 788 & 792 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri M.V. Barkule & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Mahajan, Ld. Advocate for the Applicants states that the impugned order is withdrawn by the respondents.</p> <p>3. In view of the development OAs have become infructuous and are accordingly disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, Chairman 11.8.2016 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No.634 of 2016

Shri R.M. Lukade ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states that time is required for filing reply.

3. Commissioner of Agriculture is directed as follows:-

- (a) He should call for the documents and record of present O.A.
- (b) Read those documents including the Original Application.
- (c) He should examine as to whether the claim of the Applicant can be opposed on merit.
- (d) If he finds that Applicant's claim can not be opposed on merits, appropriate decision be taken within 15 days from today.
- (e) If the claim is opposed in that case affidavit be filed answering each averment and para of O.A..

4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

5. S.O. to 21.09.2016.


Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE: 11.08.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule

P.O. for the Respondent

Adj. To. 21.09.2016

(e)

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11.08.2016</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpuronit C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 3.10.2016 (B)</p>	<p>Date : 11.08.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.684 of 2016</p> <p>S.H. Mane ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpuronit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states that further three weeks time may be granted.</p> <p>3. Though interim relief is granted and is in operative, learned P.O. is not duly instructed.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.10.2016 with liberty to circulate before due date if affidavit in reply is filed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sdl - (A.H. Joshi, Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">sba</p>

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No.819 of 2016

Shri B.M. Nile ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 19.09.2016.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.


6. The service may be done by Hand delivery/ speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Applicant shall be free to apply for inspection and supply of documents and also these documents be supplied to the Respondents unless the Respondents claim privilege.

8. Record be kept available.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

10. S.O. to 19.09.2016


(A.H. Joshi),
Chairman

DATE : 11.08.2016

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Chri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Chri M. Ramashikumar (Member) A~~

APPEARANCE :

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. MS. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 19.09.2016

(B)

sba


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11.08.2016</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri Anand Kumar (Member)A</p> <p><u>REGISTRAR:</u> Sd/- / K.R. Jagdale</p> <p><u>Advocate for the Applicant, Coram</u> Sd/- / Archana B.K.</p> <p><u>CPO/Respondent's Representative</u></p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>O.A. disposed</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A)</p>	<p>Date : 11.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.515 of 2016</p> <p>Shri H.H. Khade ...Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows:-</p> <p>(a) The impugned order is modified by the Respondents.</p> <p>(b) Applicant is satisfied with the same.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of learned Advocate for the Applicant, O.A. is disposed of as infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>sba</p>


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 11.08.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.52 of 2016</p> <p>Shri S.V. Varunjikar ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Responoents states as follows:-</p> <p>(a) Proposal is sent by the Respondent to the Divisional Commissioner who is the Chairman of the Review Committee for taking up Applicant's case for review or suspension.</p> <p>(b) A month's time may be granted to make a statement as to time frame within which the Divisional Commissioner would take up the matter.</p> <p>3. Adjournment for enabling learned P.O. to make statement.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 14.09.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>sba</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No.433 of 2016

Shri P.G. Pingle ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S. Suryawansi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant does not press for motion for early hearing.

3. Admit. This O.A. shall come up for hearing in due course.

DATE: 11.08.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri. (Member) A

Advocate for Applicant
Sd/- Ms. S.P. Manchekar.

Presenting Officer for Respondents
Sd/- S. Suryawansi

Adj. To Admit

(R)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

sba

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

Applicants

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 11.08.2016.

O.A.No.602 of 2016

D.S. Kandekar ...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors. ...respondents

1. Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri P.S. Pathak, holding for Shri N.Y. Chavan prays for time.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 4.10.2016.

DATE: 11.08.2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri M. S. ... (Member)-A

Adv. P.S. Pathak, holding for Adv. (Shri) N.Y. Chavan

Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./N.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 4.10.2016

(PJ)

(A.H. Joshi, Jb) Chairman

sba

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicants


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11.08.2016</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice Shri N. K. Rajpurhit (Member) A</p> <p><u>Advocate for Applicant:</u> Shri / <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p><u>Advocate for Respondent/s:</u> Shri / <u>N.K. Rajpurhit</u> C.P.O. / <u>.....</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>22.08.2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(PS)</p>	<p>Date : 11.08.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.717 of 2016 with O.A.No.718 of 2016 with O.A.No.719 of 2016 with O.A.No.720 of 2016 with O.A.No.721 of 2016</p> <p>S.D. Shelar (O.A.No.717 of 2016) S.V. Thakurdesai (O.A.No.718 of 2016) E.J. Barshinge (O.A.No.719 of 2016) S.H. Parche (O.A.No.720 of 2016) P.S. Pereira (O.A.No.721 of 2016) ...Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurhit the learned Presenting Chief Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:- Reply is being drafted and two weeks time may be granted.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.08.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman </p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

dated _____

... Applicants

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

... respondents

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 11.08.2016.

M.A.No.251 of 2016 in O.A.No.660 of 2015 with
M.A.No.252 of 2016 in O.A.No.661 of 2015 with
M.A.No.253 of 2016 in O.A.No.662 of 2015

M.S. Joshi & Ors. (M.A.251/2016 in O.A.No.660/2015)

S.B. Korke & Ors. (M.A.252/2016 in O.A.No.661/2015)

M.S. Joshi & Ors. (M.A.253/2016 in O.A.No.662/2015)

...Applicants


Vs.

The State of Mah. & Ors.

...respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 22.08.2016.


(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11.08.2016</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. K. Kumbhar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri <u>M. P. S. Pathak</u></p> <p><u>ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawansi</u> C.H.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>6.09.2016</u></p>	<p>Date : 11.08.2016.</p> <p>O.A.No.809 of 2016</p> <p>Smt. M.V. Kumbhar ...Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & Ors. ...Respondent.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. S. Suryawansi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 6.09.2016. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing authenticated by Registry, along with complete case book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under rule 10 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand deliver or speed post/ courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance to the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. Apart from filing reply, the Respondent is directed to keep the record ready on the date of hearing. 8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to communicate this order to the Respondents. 9. S.O. to 6.9.2016. <p style="text-align: right;">(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman.</p> <p>56a</p>