(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

i

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Triþunal's orders	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	Date: 11.06.2020 O. A. No. 1038 of 2019 A.N. BalchandraApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents. 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms, S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Pursuant to the order dated 04.06.2020 and 09.06.2020 passed by the bench learned C.P.O. addresses the queries made by us, as follows:- a) The Departmental Promotion Committee	
	 a) The Departmental Fromotion committee (D.P.C.) was not held for the year 2017-18, 2018-19, the Respondent state did not give any Reply to the representation dated 13.11.2018 and 16.09.2019 made by the Applicant. However they have taken the note of it. The appointing authority has accepted the recommendation made by the enquiry office in D.E. conducted against the Applicant. Thus he is exonerated in the inquiry and the order will be issued accordingly in couple of days. 3. As the Applidant is exonerated and is reinstated learned C.P.O. submits that the Respondent state will hold D.P.C. /meeting of establishment board to consider his promotion of the Applicant. 	

	2
Office Notes, Office Memorands of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	 4. In the present O.A. the Applicant prays that he is the promoted to the post of Joint Commissioner of Transport from 1.1.2019. Now the state has taken decision to hold meeting nothing remains in this matter so this matter can be decided by following directions. a. The meeting of D.P.C./ establishment board to scheduled and decision is to be taken on Applicant prayer within four weeks from today i.e. on before 09.07.2020 & it is communicated within week thereafter. 5. In view of this the O.A. is partly allowed a disposed off.
	6. Parties to act on authenticated copy.
	Sd/-
	(P.N. Ďixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, Vice-Chairman Chairperson
	NMN

Ş. -

/

ł

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

- 1

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 11.06.2020	
	O. A. No. 265 of 2	:020
	A.D. Bhalekar & Ors. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
	 Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, lear Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Officer for the Respondents. 	
	2. Letter written by Dr. V. Clar Conservator (Region); Kolhapur, da the Respondent No.2 is submitted. Heard submission of both the par admission this O.A. can be decided a very short and the Respondent No.2 in the letter about deciding the object	ated 11.06.2020 from It is taken on record. rties. At the stage of as the issue involved is thas specifically stated
	3. In this Application the Se challenged the seniority list dated have prayed that select list dated 28 Respondent No.2 is to be set aside of observance of ptoper procedu preparation of seniority list and the s	22.05.2020 and also 8.05.2020 prepared by and quashed for want are contemplated for
	4. It is admitted fact that respondent published the provision objections were called till 10.06.2020 informed by learned P.O. 27 object the respondent No.2 will decide all few days. It is further informed that to decide objections and thereafter therefore, the meeting of Dep Committee (D.P.C.) which is schedu hereby postponed.	nal seniority list. All Di.e. till yesterday. It is ions are received and the objections within some time is required to prepare select list, artmental Promotion
		[PTO.

[P.I.O.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	5. In view of these submissions following directions are given by us. Respondent No. 2 to decide all the objections including the objection dated 1.6.2020 taken by the Applicants. All these objections are to be decided within 15 days i.e. on or before 24.06.2020 and decision to be communicated the same on or before 29.06.2020 to the applicants.	
	6. Thereafter, Respondents may prepare the fresh seniority list & select list. Hence this select list dated 28.05.2020 is hereby quashed and set aside no further order is required. This O.A. is partly Allowed.	
	7. The Seven Applicants are permitted to prosecute jointly this Application hence they are required to pay court fees till 15 August 2020. Concession is given as the court fees (Postal Order) are not available in the Post office due to Covid-19 Pandemic.	
	8. Parties to act on authenticated copy.	
	Sd/-	
	(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson	
	NMN	

ijЪ

./

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tri buna l's orders	
	Date: 11.06.2020 O. A. No. 250 of	2020
	M.R. Patil Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Applicant Respondents.
·	 Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Present Officer for the Respondents. In this O.A. the Applicant prayed that all 4 orded dated 29.05.2020 declaring super cession of the petition in the matter of his promotion of the rank of Depa Regional Transport Officer are illegal and bad in law and be quashed and set aside. Learned P.O. after taking oral instructions from D.H. Kadam, Under Secretary, Home Transport submit that the super cession occurred due to non-availability Annual Confidential Report (A.C.R.) of one year 2014-15. Now it is found that A.C.R. of the said year available. The learned P.O. after receiving telepho communication (Whatsapp message) by Deputy Secreta Table No.12 Miss Kulkarni informs that the A.C.R. approved by G.A.D. also. The learned P.O. submits that the basis of this A.C.R. now decision will be taken afresh per the rules therefore issue of super cession / promoti will be decided as per the rules. 	
	4. Now all these submissions a direct that the decision on the issu concerned authority on or before 30	e is to be taken by the
h		1070

	2	
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	5. Parties to act on authenticated copy of the and thereafter the said decision is to be communica the Applicant within a week.	
	6. The Applicants are permitted to prosecute this Application hence they are required to pay coun till 15 August 2020. Concession is given as the cour (Postal Order) are not available in the Post office of Covid-19 Pandemic.	rt fees t fees
	7. O.A. is partly Allowed and disposed of.	
	Sd/- Sd/-	
· ·	(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatka Vice-Chairman Chairperson	r, J.)
	NMN	
		i.
	· · ·	

5 °

(G.C.P.) J 2737 (50,000-4-2019)

Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

E

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

ΙN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 11.06.2020	
	O. A. No. 257 of 2020	
	U.D. Thorat & OrsApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & OrsRespondents.	
	 Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. In compliance with order dated 09.06.2020 passed by us the leaned P.O. has orally answered the queries in pare 4 of the said order. (a) Whether the list prepared on 1.1.2015 is provisional or final? 	
	Ans. by P.O. The list dated 1.1.2015 is provisional.	
Ň	Obj. by Advocate: Page 16 exhibit 'A' disclosed it is final seniority list.	
	(b) If final, then furnish the date of publication of the seniority list?	
	Ans. by P.O. He did not receive the correct instructions.	
	 (c) What decision was taken by the respondents in respect of the objections of the Association dated 2.11,2019? (d) Produce GR No.SRV.1076/12 dated 21.6.1982. (e) Whether promotions given to the applicants by order dated 30.4.2020 was temporary in nature? (f) If temporary, then prior notice before reversion order is required? If required, whether it was served? 	
	Ans. by P.O. In receipt of queries in c,d,e,f, learned P.O. says that he needs more time to file proper affidavit.	
	[PT.O.	

Tribunal's orders	
(.) We at mosting the applicants are holding as of	
(g) What posting the applicants are holding as of today i.e. Forest Guard or Forester and whether the order of reversion is executed as of today?	
Ans. by P.O. On 09.06.2020 the promoted Foresters were relieved from the post of Forester and they are required to join as Forest guard however, both of them till today have not joined their original post.	
Queries by D.B. Respondents are directed to produce the copy of the order of relieving both the Applicants.	
 In view of these submissions both the Applicants to join and start working as Forest guard without prejudice pending this litigation. If the claim of the Applicants is found legal then the relief prayed by the Applicants may be considered as per the rules and law after receiving Reply cum Affidavit of the state. Copy of the Reply cum Affidavit may be served on the the rules and be served on the the rules are before 00.07,2020. 	
the Applicant by email on or before 09.07.2020.5. S.O. 14.07.2020.	
Sd/-	
``{``[`P.N. Dixit)(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)Vice-ChairmanChairperson	
NMN	

Į

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

of 20

ΙN

Original Application No.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

ſ

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders	
	Date: 11.06.2020	
	O. A. No. 257 d	of 2020
	U.D. Thorat & Ors. Versus	Applicant
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	Respondents.
	Speaking to the Minutes 1. Both are Present, in order dated 09.06.2020 concerned in para (b) a word final is substituted by w provisional.	
	Sd/	N. Mutz Sd/-
	1	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson
	NMN	

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

..... Applicant/s

DISTRICT

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

Original Application No.

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date: 11.06.2020 O.A.No.985 of 2019 1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, this matter is taken on record by way of circulation on the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant for early hearing. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that in view of the hardship faced by the Applicant, the matter be taken up for final hearing at the stage of admission. He submits that Applicant is suffering from serious health issues and is taking treatment at Aurangabad, and therefore, requested to transfer at Aurangabad. 4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to take instructions and be ready for final hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 16.06.2020. Sd/-(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) vsm [*P.T.O.*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Tribunal's orders directions and Registrar's orders Date : 11.06.2020 OL A. No. 266 of 2020 Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the 1. Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. The Applicant has challenged the order dated 2. 11.05.2020 whereby his service as Medical Officer serving as Taluka Health Officer, Niphad has been requisition in Zilla Parishad, Nashik in view of Covid-19 pandemic situation. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks stay to the 3. impugned order. Whereas learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks two 4. weeks time to take instructions to file reply. It appears that impugned order has been passed by 5 the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Nashik invoking the powers under Epidemic Diseases Act, 1897, as the services of the Applicant were required in Zilla Parishad, Nashik. Therefore, at this stage considering Covid-19 pandemic situation, I am not indined to grant stay. 6. Issue notice returnable on 25.06.2020. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this 7. stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 10. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be • obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. S.O. to 25.06.2020. 11. Issue of interim relief be kept open. 12. Sd/-

> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)

vsm