

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date:- 11.05.2018

O.A.No.417 of 2018

Shri S.G. Jadhav & Anr.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. Surbhi Kulkarni, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 15.06.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Appointment of the Respondent Nos.3 & 4 shall be subject to outcome of O.A.

9. S.O. to 15.06.2018.

(P.N DIXIT)  
MEMBER (A)

(A.H. JOSHI)  
CHAIRMAN

DATE: 11/5/18

CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:  
Shri Smt. Ms. Surbhi Kulkarni  
holding for A.A. Desai.  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. A.J. Chougule  
C.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. S.O. to 15/6/18.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 11/5/18</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. Ms. Surbhi Kulkarni holding Power A.A. Desai Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule C.F./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to M.A. is allowed.</p>	<p><b>Date:- 11.05.2018</b></p> <p><b>M.A.No.251 of 2018 in O.A.No.417 of 2018</b></p> <p>Shri S.G. Jadhav &amp;Anr. ... Applicants</p> <p><b>Vs.</b></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Ms. Surbhi Kulkarni, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</li> <li>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</li> <li>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</li> <li>5. M.A. is allowed.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">   (P.N. DIXIT)  MEMBER (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">   (A.H. JOSHI, J)  CHAIRMAN </p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

**MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.437 OF 2018**

Dr. Meenakshi B. Bansode

..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Smt. Punam Mahajan – Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

Shri P.N. Dixit, Member (A)


DATE : 11<sup>th</sup> May, 2018

**ORDER**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 3.7.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.



7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Heard on the point of interim relief. Today the Ld. PO is instructed by Shri Shailesh S. Surve, Assistant Desk Officer, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.
9. It is seen that the objection raised by the applicant through communications dated 12.3.2016 and 13.3.2016, copies whereof are at page No.66-67 of OA, have not been duly attended to.
10. Ld. PO, on instructions, states as follows:
- (a) Representations pointing out errors which have occurred in the process of finalisation of seniority list are received. Those are apparently based on valid grounds and same are being considered.
  - (b) Since the objections raised by officers are required to be considered favourably, corrigendum may have to be issued for correcting final seniority list for correcting errors.
  - (c) Promotions based on provisional seniority list which were proposed, would be deferred till the modification in final seniority list is carried out.
11. In view of the statement of Ld. PO, any interim order is not necessary.
12. S.O. to 3.7.2018. Liberty is granted to both sides to circulate, if Government takes some steps and case matures for issuing promotion orders.
13. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

  
**(P.N. Dixit)**  
**Member (A)**  
**7.5.2018**

  
**(A.H. Joshi, J)**  
**Chairman**  
**7.5.2018**

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

D:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2018\5 May 2018\OA.437.17.J.5.2018-MBBansode-SO.3.7.18.doc

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.437 OF 2018**

Dr. Meenakshi B. Bansode ..Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Smt. Punam Mahajan – Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri A.J. Chougule – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman  
Shri P.N. Dixit, Member (A)

DATE : 11<sup>th</sup> May, 2018

**ORDER**

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 3.7.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.



7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Heard on the point of interim relief. Today the Ld. PO is instructed by Shri Shailesh S. Surve, Assistant Desk Officer, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.

9. It is seen that the objection raised by the applicant through communications dated 12.3.2016 and 13.3.2016, copies whereof are at page No.66-67 of OA, have not been duly attended to.


10. Ld. PO, on instructions, states as follows:

- (a) Representations pointing out errors which have occurred in the process of finalisation of seniority list are received. Those are apparently based on valid grounds and same are being considered.
- (b) Since the objections raised by officers are required to be considered favourably, corrigendum may have to be issued for correcting final seniority list for correcting errors.
- (c) Promotions based on provisional seniority list which were proposed, would be deferred till the modification in final seniority list is carried out.

11. In view of the statement of Ld. PO, any interim order is not necessary.

12. S.O. to 3.7.2018. Liberty is granted to both sides to circulate, if Government takes some steps and case matures for issuing promotion orders.

13. Steno copy and hamdast is allowed. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

  
**(P.N. Dixit)**  
**Member (A)**  
**7.5.2018**

  
**(A.H. Joshi, J)**  
**Chairman**  
**7.5.2018**

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

D:\JAWALKAR\Judgements\2018\5 May 2018\OA.437.17.J.5.2018-MBBansode-SO.3.7.18.doc